Process Optimization and Modelling of Lubricant Base Stock Synthesis from Crude Palm Oil

Chika S. Nkem¹ and M.S. Nwakaudu²

^{1,2}Chemical Engineering Department, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

Abstract: - In this study, crude palm oil was used as a precursor for the production of biolubricant base stock by a two-step transesterification process. Palm oil methyl ester produced from the first step was subsequently reacted with trimethylolpropane using calcium hydroxide as catalyst to produce palm based TMP ester. Optimization of lubricant base stock synthesis from palm oil methyl ester and trimethylolpropane was carried out using response surface methodology, central composite design (CCD). The optimum variable conditions were reaction temperature of 159.9°C, mole ratio of 4.99, catalyst loading of 1.16 and reaction time of 211.63 minutes under vacuum pressure for 84.681% palm based TMP ester yield. Regression analysis of the data showed a second order quadratic regression model which establishes the link between variables and biolubricant yield with temperature and mole ratio being most significant variable. The coefficient of regression R² was 0.9477 implying that 94.77% of the irregularity in the response can be explained by the model. Lubricating properties of palm based TMP ester was evaluated and found to have the following lubricating properties: kinematic viscosity of 40.44 and 10.03 cSt at 40°C and 100°C respectively, viscosity index of 198, flash point of 187°C and pour point of -6°C. These properties of palm based TMP ester conforms to the standard specifications for ISO VG32 and VG46 viscosity grades, and were also found similar to other plant based biolubricants such as jatropha, sesame and canola biolubricant base stock and indicates good prospect as base stock in biodegradable lubricant formulation.

Keywords: Biolubricant, Crude palm oil, Response surface methodology Transesterification, Trimethylolpropane.

I. INTRODUCTION

Petroleum based lubricants have been attributed with lot of negative impact on our environments owing majorly to its inherent properties of non-biodegrability, toxicity and nonrenewability. This is evident from pollution, threats to occupational, human and aquatic safety caused by accidental discharge, total loss applications, refinery processes, etc. Also, the combustion of mineral oils as a lubricant has been proven to emit traces of metals, such as calcium, phosphorous, zinc, magnesium, and iron nanoparticles [11]. Moreover, the current and future prospects of mineral oils as lubricants in automobile engines was investigated and anticipated a declined future prospects was forecasted [7]. These have rekindled researchers' interest on the search for a better alternative to petroleum based lubricants, one that will be easily renewable, non-toxic, biodegradable, ecofriendly and at the same time posses good lubricity property. Over the years, researchers has explored the prospects of biodegradable synthetic products, a renewable source as an alternative to petroleum based lubricants for industrial and transportation application just like bio-diesels[5]. Some works has also being carried out which confirmed the prospects of vegetable oils as an alternative fuels [24]. Bio- lubricants possess lower volatility, higher flash/ fire points, less vapor emissions and oil mist, and constant viscosity that make them offer better safety[15].

Vegetable oils are promising alternative to petroleum based base oil because of their good lubricity, non-toxic and biodegradable nature, low volatility and are also renewable. However, vegetable oils have some drawbacks which limit their application as lubricant base oil. Such properties include low thermal oxidative stability and high melting point [6]. Vegetable oil is made up of natural triglyceride (TAGs) consisting of glycerol back-bone and three esterified long chain fatty acids, the β hydrogen in glycerol is not suitable because of its instability and tendency to undergo elimination reaction which causes molecule degradation [10]. These drawbacks can be reduced by converting natural fatty acyl esters into synthetic esters using a more resistant polyol to replace the glycerol backbone [1, 4].

The chemical modification involves transesterification reaction whereby trimethylolpropane (TMP), a polyol is used to displace the glycerol backbone to yield synthetic ester [6]. This compound is also used to produce triesters (TE) compounds that can replace triacylgycerol in lubricants [1, 21] and produce vegetable oil based lubricants with improved properties. The major constituent of vegetable oil is triacylgycerol which is made up of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen that determines their characteristics. The general principle of synthesis of biolubricant from vegetable oil involves a two-step transesterification process, firstly, the base-transesterification reaction of triglyceride and alcohol to produce fatty acid acyl ester (FAAE) and subsequent reaction of FAAE and TMP to yield TMP ester. Previous studies reported over 85% yield of Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) at 60°C reaction temperature, 1% sodium hydroxide catalyst, methanol-to-oil molar ratio of 6.0 and 1 hour reaction time [8, 12, 20]. For the second step, the use of sodium methoxide to catalyze the reaction has been reported by many researchers [17, 18, 22].

Both edible and non-edible vegetable oils have been investigated for the synthesis of biolubricant. Palm kernel oil methyl ester has been successfully used to produce 98% trimethylolpropane ester [23] while more than 80% biolubricant yield have been reported from jatropha oil [5, 26].75.0% yield of melon-based biolubricant successfully produced at the optimum mole ratio, time and temperature of 4:1, 5 hours, and 150° C respectively [14] while jatropha curcas has also been reported as a feedstock for biolubricant production [3, 16]. The process variables that affects the conversion efficiency of the reaction has been reported to include temperature, catalyst loading, reaction time, molar ratio and pressure [13, 5, 3]. A mini pilot batch reactor was used for the process and obtained the following optimal conditions; temperature: 120°C, pressure: 20 mbar, molar ratio: 3.8: 1 (POME to TMP), 2 hours reaction time, catalyst: 0.9 w/w% and speed of agitation: 180 rpm [22].

Palm oil is speculated to be the world's top oil produced, although it is at the moment the second most produced after soybean oil [25].Palm oil has been speculated to be the most potential vegetable oil which can be used as raw material to produce biodiesel and also predicted an increased future potential for palm oil production [9]. Palm oil has an approximately equal percentage of saturated and unsaturated fatty acid giving it a distinctive characteristic. Its percentage composition in decreasing order is as follows; 44% C16:0; 39.2% C18:1; 10.1% C18:2; 4.5%C18:0; 1.1%C14:0; 0.4%C18:3; 0.2% C12:0. The main objective of this study is to optimize the synthesis of lubricant base stock from crude palm oil using response surface methodology along with comparative study of the physiochemical properties of the obtained biolubricant with a known mineral base stock. Calcium hydroxide, a cheaper and readily available reagent was used to catalyze the second step transesterification reaction.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

Fresh crude palm oil was purchased from a local producer in Ihite-Afoukwu, Mbeise in Imo state, Nigeria. Trimethylolpropane (1, 1, 1-Tris (hydroxymethyl) propane dist., \geq 98.0% (GC)) was purchased from Aldrich-Zigma, Germany. Calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂ was purchased from Onitsha. Other reagents were of analytical grade ad also purchased from Onitsha.

2.2 Experimental procedure

The synthesis of palm oil TMP ester from crude palm oil (CPO) by a two step transesterification process is illustrated in Figure1



FIG. 1. Simplified flow diagram for the synthesis of palm oil TMP Ester

The percentage free fatty acid was first reduced to <1% by esterification reaction process, the esterified palm oil was converted to palm oil methyl ester (POME) and subsequently transesterified with TMP to produce palm based TMP ester, a biolubricant. The procedure for this reaction was carried out with modifications as described by [19] in batches. TMP was measured and added to the 500ml three-neck round bottom flask fitted with a water-cooled reflux condenser, a thermometer and a magnetic stirrer. The substance was heated to 110°C with constant stirring under CO₂ line using kipp's apparatus until it melted. The heating continued at that temperature for another 15 miuntes to allow moisture form due to the hygroscopic nature of TMP to dry. Then, a known quantity of POME was introduced into the reactor with respect to the molar ratio of POME: TMP and heated to the specified temperature. The catalyst was then added and left to react over a specific period of time. The mixture was allowed to cool after the time elapsed using an ice bath and the catalyst filtered out. The percentage composition of the product mixture was analyzed by Gas chromatography analysis (GC) while FTIR was used to determine the funtional group in the product mixture.Also, the lubricating properties of palm based TMP ester were evaluated according to ASTM standard [2]. The reaction equation is illustrated in Equ. 1.

First step



Methylester

2.3 Design of Experiment for palm based TMP ester synthesis

TMP

Design Expert Software Version 11 central composite design (CCD) was used to design and array 30 experimental runs to study the effect of process variables on response and also the

interactive effect of the process variables. The runs where taken randomly to avoid systematic error. The study also embodied a response surface analysis, regression analysis and ANOVA analysis. Table 1 shows the process variables range for the design.

 $+ 3CH_{3}OH$

Methanol

| Table 1 | Factors | levels | of inde | ependent | variable | for p | alm | based | TMP | ester | synthesis |
|---------|---------|--------|---------|----------|----------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----------|
|---------|---------|--------|---------|----------|----------|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----------|

TMP ester

| Independent variables | Units | Low Level | High Level | -alpha | +alpha |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------|------------|--------|--------|
| Temp | deg.C | 100 | 160 | 70 | 190 |
| molar ratio | | 2 | 5 | 0.5 | 6.5 |
| catalyst loading | %wt | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| Time | mins | 90 | 240 | 15 | 315 |

III. RESULTS

3.1 Design Matrix and Response using Central Composite Design

The process design matrix and responses was shown in Table 2. It shows the combined effects of four important

independent variables: temperature, mole ratio, catalyst loading and time on palm based TMP ester (response). The highest percentage yield of palm based TMP ester was 84.26% at 160°C, catalyst loading of 1.2% wt/wt, mole ratio of 5 and time of 240mins. Design Expert 11.0 trail version was used to analyze the result.

| Std | Run | Factor 1 Temperature (deg.C) | Factor 2 POME:TMP | Factor 3 Catalyst loading (%wt/wt) | Factor 4 Time (mins) | Actual Response Yield% | Predicted Response Yield % |
|-----|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | 23 | 100 | 2 | 0.6 | 90 | 68.34 | 68.56 |
| 2 | 18 | 160 | 2 | 0.6 | 90 | 71.46 | 70.35 |
| 3 | 3 | 100 | 5 | 0.6 | 90 | 73.05 | 71.99 |
| 4 | 10 | 160 | 5 | 0.6 | 90 | 75.17 | 76.23 |
| 5 | 28 | 100 | 2 | 1.2 | 90 | 70.82 | 70.32 |
| 6 | 15 | 160 | 2 | 1.2 | 90 | 73.91 | 72.95 |
| 7 | 13 | 100 | 5 | 1.2 | 90 | 75.01 | 74.65 |
| 8 | 8 | 160 | 5 | 1.2 | 90 | 80.12 | 79.73 |
| 9 | 27 | 100 | 2 | 0.6 | 240 | 70.78 | 70.20 |
| 10 | 1 | 160 | 2 | 0.6 | 240 | 73.15 | 73.70 |
| 11 | 14 | 100 | 5 | 0.6 | 240 | 75.02 | 76.17 |
| 12 | 20 | 160 | 5 | 0.6 | 240 | 82.59 | 82.12 |
| 13 | 25 | 100 | 2 | 1.2 | 240 | 71.43 | 70.55 |
| 14 | 30 | 160 | 2 | 1.2 | 240 | 74.81 | 74.89 |
| 15 | 17 | 100 | 5 | 1.2 | 240 | 77.3 | 77.43 |
| 16 | 11 | 160 | 5 | 1.2 | 240 | 84.26 | 84.22 |
| 17 | 4 | 70 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 72.82 | 73.82 |
| 18 | 26 | 190 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 79.31 | 79.88 |
| 19 | 6 | 130 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 70.88 | 72.80 |
| 20 | 2 | 130 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 82.18 | 81.83 |
| 21 | 21 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 165 | 72.4 | 72.24 |
| 22 | 24 | 130 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 165 | 73.24 | 74.97 |
| 23 | 5 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 15 | 64.76 | 66.62 |
| 24 | 22 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 315 | 71.24 | 70.95 |
| 25 | 9 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 73.45 | 73.65 |
| 26 | 7 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 75.26 | 73.65 |
| 27 | 16 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 74.66 | 73.65 |
| 28 | 12 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 72.86 | 73.65 |
| 29 | 19 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 73.6 | 73.65 |
| 30 | 29 | 130 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 165 | 75.19 | 73.65 |

Table 2 Design Matrix and Response for Palm based TMP ester

3.2 ANOVA analysis for Palm based TMP ester yield

The model summary test and the lack of fit test for the palm based TMP ester yield was presented in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. The highest order polynomial where the additional terms are significant and the model is not aliased was selected. The summary of P-values indicates that a quadratic model fitted the ANOVA analysis and hence it was suggested. The linear and 2FI models were not suggested while the cubic model was aliased because the CCD does not contain enough runs to support a full cubic model. A significance level of 95% was used hence all the terms whose P-value are less than 0.05 are considered significant.

| Source | Sequential p-value | Lack of Fit p-value | Adjusted R ² | Predicted R ² | |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Linear | < 0.0001 | 0.0211 | 0.6717 | 0.6034 | Not suggested |
| 2FI | 0.8124 | 0.0140 | 0.6253 | 0.5549 | Not suggested |
| Quadratic | < 0.0001 | 0.2108 | 0.8989 | 0.7673 | Suggested |
| Cubic | 0.6074 | 0.0838 | 0.8885 | -1.1951 | Aliased |

Table 3 Summary of P-values for Palm based TMP ester

Table 4 Lack of Fit Tests for palm based TMP ester

| Source | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F-value | p-value | |
|------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Linear | 137.46 | 20 | 6.87 | 6.83 | 0.0211 | |
| 2FI | 118.58 | 14 | 8.47 | 8.42 | 0.0140 | |
| Quadratic | 21.30 | 10 | 2.13 | 2.12 | 0.2108 | Suggested |
| Cubic | 8.53 | 2 | 4.26 | 4.24 | 0.0838 | Aliased |
| Pure Error | 5.03 | 5 | 1.01 | | | |

Table 5 shows the ANOVA for triester quadratic model, Pvalues less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case A, B, C, D, A², B², D² are significant model terms. Values greater than 0.1000 indicate the model terms are not significant. The final quadratic model equations obtained for the yield of palm based TMP ester (triester) in terms of coded factors are

Triester (%) = +73.65 + 2.14*A + 3.19*B + 0.9644*C + $1.53*D + 1.60*A^2 + 1.83*B^2 - 2.43*D^2$

From the model equation, the co-efficient of molar ratio is the highest positive value thus is the most significant variable in the conversion. Positive coefficient of model term implies that an increase in the variable will result to a gain in yield.

| Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | p-value | |
|----------------|----|-------------|---------|----------|--|
| 477.19 | 14 | 34.09 | 19.42 | < 0.0001 | |
| 92.01 | 1 | 92.01 | 52.42 | < 0.0001 | |
| 203.53 | 1 | 203.53 | 115.96 | < 0.0001 | |
| 18.60 | 1 | 18.60 | 10.60 | 0.0053 | |
| 46.89 | 1 | 46.89 | 26.72 | 0.0001 | |

| Table 5 ANOVA | A for Quadratic m | odel Response (triester) |) |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---|

| Model | 477.19 | 14 | 34.09 | 19.42 | < 0.0001 | Significant |
|--------------------|--------|----|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| A-temp | 92.01 | 1 | 92.01 | 52.42 | < 0.0001 | |
| B-molar ratio | 203.53 | 1 | 203.53 | 115.96 | < 0.0001 | |
| C-catalyst loading | 18.60 | 1 | 18.60 | 10.60 | 0.0053 | |
| D-time | 46.89 | 1 | 46.89 | 26.72 | 0.0001 | |
| AB | 6.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 3.42 | 0.0842 | |
| AC | 0.7056 | 1 | 0.7056 | 0.4020 | 0.5356 | |
| AD | 2.92 | 1 | 2.92 | 1.67 | 0.2163 | |
| BC | 0.8190 | 1 | 0.8190 | 0.4666 | 0.5050 | |
| BD | 6.48 | 1 | 6.48 | 3.69 | 0.0739 | |
| CD | 1.95 | 1 | 1.95 | 1.11 | 0.3090 | |
| A ² | 23.94 | 1 | 23.94 | 13.64 | 0.0022 | |
| B^2 | 31.39 | 1 | 31.39 | 17.88 | 0.0007 | |
| C^2 | 0.0041 | 1 | 0.0041 | 0.0024 | 0.9619 | |
| D^2 | 55.16 | 1 | 55.16 | 31.43 | < 0.0001 | |
| Residual | 26.33 | 15 | 1.76 | | | |
| Lack of Fit | 21.30 | 10 | 2.13 | 2.12 | 0.2108 | not significant |
| Pure Error | 5.03 | 5 | 1.01 | | | |
| Cor Total | 503.52 | 29 | | | | |

Source

Table 6Fit Statistics

| Std Dev | 1 32 | R ² | 0 9477 |
|---------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| Mean | 74.30 | Adjusted R ² | 0.8989 |
| C.V. % | 1.78 | Predicted R ² | 0.7673 |
| | | Adeq Precision | 18.7929 |

Figure 2 and 3 shows the normal plot of Residuals and Predicted vs Actual plot respectively. From the plots, it can be seen that the points were closely distributed along the straight line of the plot in the figures, it confirms the good relationship between the experimental values and the predicted values of the response though some small scatter like an 'S' shape is always expected. These plots equally confirm that the selected model was adequate in predicting the response variable in the experimental values.







Design-Expert® Software

Color points by value of

85.26

Trial Version

triester

triester: 64.76

3.3 2D Response Surface Studies

Two factor-at-a-time response surface plots were drawn for the four reaction parameters of reaction temperature, mole ratio, catalyst loading and time. The triester (TE) yield was found to vary between 68.34 and 84.26 wt%. Figure 4 shows plots for the effects of temperature and mole ratio on TE yield, the time and catalyst loading was fixed at 240mins and 1.2 wt% respectively. Figure 5 shows plot for the effect of temperature and catalyst loading on TE yield, the time and mole ratio was fixed at 240 minutes and 5 respectively.



Fig 4: 2D Surface plot for effect of temperature and mole ratio on TE yield obtained from transesterification reaction of TMP with POME at a catalyst loading of 1.2 wt% and 240mins.



Figure 5: 2D surface plot for effect of temperature and catalyst loading on TE yield obtained from transesterification reaction of TMP with POME at mole ratio of 5 and 240mins.

3.4 3D Response Surface and Contour Plot

The response surface shows the interactive effect of process variables on the response, the response surface contours which are graphical results of interactive effects are shown in Figure 6 - 10. The optimum value of Y was 84.26%. The response surface of extent of conversion showed a clear peak, indicating that the optimum condition for maximum yield was

well within the design perimeter. It could be observed from the 3D plot the conversion increased when mole ratio and temperature increased. It was observed that temperature and mole ratio increased the yield in a parabolic pattern. Figure 6 shows a very strong interaction of temperature and molar ratio at fixed catalyst loading and temperature. It was observed that the conversion increased steadily with a corresponding increment in temperature and molar ratio.



Fig 6: 3D contour plot for effect of temperature and POME -to-TMP molar-ratio on TE



Fig 7: 3D contour plot for effect of temperature and catalyst loading on TE yield







Fig 9: 3D contour plot for effect of catalyst loading and time on TE yield



Fig 10: 3D contour plot for effect of catalyst loading and mole ratio on TE yield.

3.4 Optimization studies

Design expert version 11.0 was also used to optimize the process yield to achieve the maximum yield of TE. Predicted responses were generated using point prediction node (under

optimization node in the CCD module). The best solution for the yield of 84.681% palm based TMP ester at reaction temperature of 159.9°C, mole ratio of 4.99, catalyst loading of 1.16 and reaction time of 211.63 minutes under vacuum pressure as shown in Figure 11



Desirability = 1.000 Solution 1 out of 100

Fig 11: solution to numerical optimization of TE yield in CCD module for the transesterification of TMP and POME

3.5 Validation of optimization result

Six experiments were performed to check for reproducibility of data using the optimum conditions obtained from the optimization studies. The result shows that the palm based TMP ester yield varied between 84.1 - 85.5 wt percent. Figure 12 shows a plot of the six experiments at the optimum conditions. It was observed that the replicates showed excellent similarities in product development. It was seen that these yields differed by less than 2.0 wt %, while the standard deviation between these values was found to be less than 0.75%. Thus, it is confirmed that the transesterification experiments were repeatable and reproducible.



FIG.12. Reproducibility plot of six experiments for the transesterification of TMP and POME at the optimum condition.

3.6 Qualitative analysis of palm based TMP ester

The lubricating properties of palm based TMP ester was investigated using procedures from ASTM. Table 7 shows the qualitative analysis of palm based TMP ester as derived from this work compared to other vegetable based biolubricant reported by other authors. The viscosity of the palm based biolubricant base stock met ISO VG 46 and VG 22 specification for industrial grade light gear oil and was also found to exhibit high viscosity index (>190).

| Property | Viscosity @ 40°C (cSt) | Viscosity@ 100°C (cSt) | Viscosity index (VI) | Pour point (PP) (°C) | Flash point (FP) (°C) | Reference |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| This work | 49.44 | 10.03 | 198 | -6 | 187 | |
| Palm kernel oil | 52.4 | 10.2 | 186 | -5 | | Yunus et al. (2003) |
| Jatropha Based Lube | 42.37 | 9.37 | 183 | -3 | - | Muhammed et al. (2011) |
| Sesame Based Lube | 35.55 | 7.66 | 193 | -21 | 196 | Ocholi <i>et al.</i> (2017 |
| Castor oil Based lube | 45.3 | 9.21 | 191 | -8 | 215 | Musa et al. (2015) |

Table 7 Properties of Palm oil based biolubricant and comparison with other plant based biolubricant

IV. CONCLUSION

Palm based TMP ester was successfully synthesized from crude palm oil through transesterification processes. The optimum synthesis conditions developed by the CCD model for prediction of TE yield were reaction temperature of 160°C, mole ratio of 5, catalyst loading of 1.17 and reaction time of 212 minutes. The predicted TE yield at these conditions was 84.68 wt%. The palm based biolubricant base stock obtained conforms to the standard requirements for ISO VG 46 and VG 22 specification for industrial grade light gear oil, it was also found that the palm based TMP ester exhibit high viscosity index (>190) thus will show smallchange in viscosity with temperature change.

REFERENCES

- Arbain, N. H., & Salimon, J. (2010). Synthesis and characterization of ester trimethylolpropane based jatropha curcas oil as biolubricant base stocks. *Journal of Science and Technology*, 2: 47-58.
- [2]. ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) (2003). ASTM Standard Methods. Philadelphia, PA, USA: ASTM publication.
- [3]. Bilal, S., Mohammed-Dabo, I.A., Nuhu, M., Kasim, Almustapha, I.A., & Yamusa, Y.A. (2013). Production of biolubricant from jatropha curcas seed. *Journal of Chemical Engineering and Material Science*, vol.4 (6), pp. 72 – 79.
- [4]. Campanella, A., Rusto, E., Baldessari, A., & Baltanás, M.A. (2010). Lubricants from chemically modified vegetable oils. *Bioresoures Technology*, 101, 245–254.
- [5]. Ebtisam, K.H., M.S. Elmelany, Salah A.K., & N.M. Elbasuny (2016). Manufacturing of Environment Friendly Biolubricants from Vegetable Oils. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, Vol. 26, 53 – 59.
- [6]. Fox, N.J., & Stachowiak, G.W. (2007). Vegetable oil-based lubricants—A review of oxidation. *Tribology International*, 40, 1035–1046.
- [7]. Tung, S.C., McMillan, M.L. (2004). Automotive tribology overview of current advances and challenges for the future. *Tribology International*; 37:517–36
- [8]. Hoda, N. (2010). Optimization of biodiesel production from cottonseed oil by transesterification using NaOH and methanol. *Energy sources*, part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects, vol. 32, Iss. 5.
- [9]. Jayed, M.H., H.H. Masjuki, R. Saidur, M.A. Kalam and M.I. Jahirul, (2009). Environmental aspects and challenges of oilseed produced biodiesel in Southeast Asia. *Renewable and Sustainable*

www.rsisinternational.org

Energy Revolution, 13: 2452-2462. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2009.06.023

- [10]. Jieyu N., (2012), "Synthesis and Evaluation of Polyol based biolubricants from vegetable oils". Master of Science thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatcon, Saskatchewan, Canada.
- [11]. Miller, A.L., Stipe, C.B., Habjan, M.C., Gilbert, A.G. (2007). Role of lubrication oil inparticulate emissions from a hydrogen-powered internal combustion engine. *Environmetal Science and Technology* ;41:6828–35.
- [12]. Meher, L.C., Sagar, D.V., & Naik, S.N. (2006). Technical aspects of biodiesel production by transesterification-a review. *Renewable* and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 10, 248–268.
- [13]. Menkiti, M., Anaehobi, H., Oyoh, K., & Nnaji, P. (2015). Process optimization and kinetics of biolubricant synthesis from fluted pumpkin seed. *European Scientific Journal*, vol.11, No.27 ISSN: 1857 – 7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1857-7431
- [14]. Menkiti, M.C., Anaeliobi, H.C., & Onukwulu O.D. (2016). Kinetics and parametric study of transesterification synthesis of biolubricant from melon-based ester. *Biofuels*, vol.7, Iss. 5.
- [15]. Muhammed, M.A., Shettima, A.K. & Gideon, Z. (2015). Comparative Studies between Bio-Lubricants from Jatropha oil, Neem oil and Mineral Lubricant (Engen Super 20w/50). *Applied Research Journal*. Vol.1, Issue, 4, pp.252-257. ISSN: 2423-4796
- [16]. Muhammad, F.M., Gunam, R., Tinia, I.M., & Azris, I. (2011). Temperature dependency on the synthesis of jatropha biolubricant". IOP Conf. series: Material Science and Engineering, vol. 17.
- [17]. Musa, U., Mohammed I.A., Sadiq M.M., Aberuagba F., Olurinde A.O.and Obamina R. (2015), "Synthesis and characterization of trimethylolpropane-based biolubricants from castor oil" Proceedings of the 45th Annual Conference of NSChE, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283719311
- [18]. Nuhu, M. (2015). Synthesis of biolubricant from vegetable oils. M.Sc Thesis, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria.
- [19]. Ocheje, O., Menkiti, M., Auta, M., & Ezemagu, I. (2017). Optimization of the operating parameters for the extractive synthesis of biolubricant from sesame seed oil via response surface methodology. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2017.04.001
- [20]. Rashid, U., Anwar, F., & Knothe, G. (2009). Evaluation of biodiesel obtained from cottonseed oil. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 90, 1157–1163.
- [21]. Schneider, M. (2006). Plant-oil-based lubricants and hydraulic fluids. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 86, 1769-1780.
- [22]. Siti, Z.S., Luqman, C.A., & Fakhru'i-Razu, A. (2007). Batch Production of Trimethylolpropane ester from Palm oil as lubricant base stock. *Journal of Applied Science*, 7(15): ISSN 1812 – 5654.
- [23]. Yunus, R., Fakhru'L-Razi, A., Ooi, T., Iyuke, S., & Idris, A. (2003). Preparation and characterization of trimethylolpropane

esters from palm kernel oil methyl esters. Journal of Oil Palm Research, 15 (2), 42-49.

- [24]. Zubir, M.I. and S.Y. Chin, 2010. Kinetics of modified zirconiacatalyzed heterogeneous esterification reaction for biodiesel production. *Journal of Applied Science*, 10: 2584-2589. DOI: 10.3923/jas.2010.2584.2589
- [25]. Bahruddin, S.C., Sariff, M.J., Boey, P.L., Abdussalam, S.M., Wan, T.W., Muhammad, I.S. (2007). Determination of free fatty acids in palm oil samples using non- aqueous flow injection titrimetric

method. Analytical, Nutritional and Clinical Methods ;102:1407–1416

[26]. Musa, U., Mohammed I.A., Sadiq M.M., Aliyu, M.A., Folorunsho, A., Adekunle, J.I. (2016). Statistical optimization of biolubricant production from Jatropha curcas oil using trimethylolpropane as a polyol. Preceedings of the World congress on Engineering and Computer Science 2016, vol. 2, WCECS 2016, October 19-21, San Francisco, USA.