# Generalization of Pure-Supplemented Modules

## R.S. Wadbude

Mahatma Fule Arts, Commerce and Sitaramji Chaudhari Science Mahavidyalaya, Warud. SGB Amravati University Amravati [M.S.], India

Abstract: Let R be a ring and M be an R-module. We generalized the concepts pure-lifting and pure-supplemented module and introduce weak distribution with fully invariant. We prove every pure g-lifting is pure g-supplemented module. Let M be a weak distribution pure g-supplemented module, then M/A is pure gsupplemented module for every submodule A of M. Let M =  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a weakly distributive R-module. Then each  $M_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  is closed weak g-supplemented if and only if M is closed weak g-supplemented.

Key	Words:	g-small,	g-supplemented,	pure-lift	ing, p	oure-
suppl	lemented,	pure	g-supplemented,	closed	weak	g-
supplemented, Distributive, weak Distributive modules.						

### I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R is an associative ring with unity and all modules are unitary R-modules. [12] Sahira M. Yasen and W. Khalid Hasan introduce the concepts puremodule and pure-supplemented module with some conditions. Let M be an R-module, a sub module L of module M is denoted by  $L \leq M$ . submodule L of M is called essential (large) in M, abbreviated  $K \leq_e M$ , if for every submodule N of M,  $L \cap N$  implies N = 0. A submodule N of a module M is called small in M, denoted by N≪M, if for every sub module L of M, the equality N + L = M implies L = M. [2] A submodule K of m is called generalized small (g-small) submodule of M denoted by N  $\ll_{\sigma}$ M, if for every essential submodules T of M with the property M = K + T implies that T = M. Supplemented modules and two other generalizations amply supplemented and weakly supplemented modules were studied by Helmut Zoschinger and he posed their whole structure over discrete valuation rings. " After Zoschinger, some variations of supplemented modules were studied. Let M be an R-module and U. V are submodules of M. If M = U +V and V is minimal with respect to property, or equivalently, M = U + V and  $U \cap V \ll V$ , then V is called a supplement of U in M. M is called supplemented if every submodule of M has supplement in M. If M = U + V and  $U \cap V \ll M$ , then V is called a weak supplement of U in M.[10] M is called weak supplemented if every submodule of M has weak supplement in M. [12] Let M be an R-module. P is called a g-pure sub module of M if  $KM \cap P = KP$  for every ideal in R. An Rmodule M is called lifting if for every submodule N of M there is a decomposition  $M = M_1 + M_2$  such that  $M_1 \le N$  and  $N \cap M_2 \ll M$ . An R-module M is called pure-lifting module if for every submodule A of M there exists a pure submodule P of M, P $\leq$ A such that M = P + X with A  $\cap$  X  $\ll$  X. Let M be a module. M is called Pure g-lifting module for every submodule A of M there exists a g- pure submodule P of M,

 $P \le A$  such that M = P + X with  $A \cap X << gM$ . Every g-lifting module is pure g-lifting module. An R-module M is called pure-supplemented module if for given any submodule A of M there exists a pure submodule P of M such that M = A + X iff M = P + X. [2] B. Kosen, C. Nebiyen and a. Pakin, introduce the concept g-supplemented module. Let M be an R-module and U, V are submodules of M. If M = U + V and M = U + T with T is essential in V implies T = V, or equivalently, M = U + V and  $U \cap V << gM$ , then V is called g-supplement of U in M.

In this paper we generalized the concepts pure-lifting and pure-supplemented module. The concepts small, e-small, csmall and g-small play a key role in the study of supplemented, weak supplemented pure- supplemented and pure-lifting modules.

*Proposition*: 1) Every hollow module is pure g-supplemented module.

2) Every lifting module is pure g-supplemented module.

3) Every pure g-supplemented module is weakly g-supplemented module.

### Proof: [12].

Theorem: The following are equivalent for an R-module:

- 1) M is pure-g-lifting module.
- 2) Every essential submodule N of M can be written as N = A + K, where A is g-pure in M and K <<  $_{g}M$ .
- 3) For every essential submodule N of M there exists a pure g-submodule A of N such that M = A + K and  $\frac{N}{M} \ll \frac{M}{M}$ .

$$A \overset{f}{}^{g} A$$

*Proof*:1⇒ 2. Let M is pure-g-lifting module i.e. for every submodule N of M there exists a g- pure submodule P of M, P ≤ N such that M = P + X with N ∩ X<< X. Hence N ∩ X<< g M. We have N = N ∩ M = N ∩ (P + X) = N ∩ T + N ∩ X = P + (N ∩ X). If A = P, then K = N ∩ X with (K<< gM).

 $2 \Rightarrow 3.$  Let N be an essential submodule of M, since N = A + K, where A is g-pure in M and K << gM. We have M = N +

L, therefore 
$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{A+K}{A} + \frac{L}{A}$$
, this implies  $A + K + L = M$ .

Since K << gM, therefore A + K = M and  $\frac{N}{A} <<_{g} \frac{M}{A}$ .

therefore

 $3 \Rightarrow 1$ . Let N be an essential submodule of M, there exists a pure g-submodule A of N such that M = A + K and  $\frac{N}{A} \ll_g \frac{M}{A}$  to prove that N  $\cap$  K  $\ll$  K. Suppose that N  $\cap$  K +

T = K, where  $T \le K$ . Then  $M = A + K = A + N \cap K + T$ implies

$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{A + (N + K) + T}{A} = \frac{(N + K) + A}{A} + \frac{A + T}{A} = \frac{N}{A} + \frac{A + T}{A} \frac{A + P}{P} < \leq_g \frac{M}{P} \Rightarrow \frac{A + P}{A} < \leq_g \frac{M}{A} \text{ with}$$

$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{A + (N + K) + T}{A} = \frac{(N + K) + A}{A} + \frac{A + T}{A} = \frac{N}{A} + \frac{A + T}{A} \frac{A + P}{P} \cap \frac{K}{P} < \leq_g \frac{M}{P}.$$

$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{M}{A} = \frac{A + T}{A} \text{ Hence } A + T = M \qquad \Leftarrow \text{ Let } A \text{ be a submodule of } M, \text{ then the and } T = K. \text{ Thus } N \cap K \leq \leq K.$$

and T = K. Thus  $N \cap K \ll K$ .

Proposition: Every Pure g-lifting is pure g-supplemented module.

Proof: Let M be pure g-lifting module and A be a a submodule of M. i.e. for every submodule A of M there exists a g- pure submodule P of M,  $P \le A$  such that M = P + X with

 $A \cap X \ll gM$ . Suppose that M = A + X then M = P + T, where  $P \le A$  and  $P \le gM$  and

 $A \cap T \leq gM$ . We have  $A = A \cap M = A \cap (P + T) = A \cap P + C$  $A \cap T = P + (A \cap T)$ , then

Let  $M = A + X = P + (A \cap T) + X$ . Since  $(A \cap T) \leq gM$ . (A  $\cap$  T),then M = P + X thus

M = P + X, since  $P \le A$  such that M = P + X with  $A \cap X \le$ gM. //

Proposition: Let M be an R-module is pure g-supplemented module if and only if for every submodule A of M there exist

a g-pure submodule P of M such that  $\frac{A+P}{P} \ll_g \frac{M}{P}$  and

$$\frac{A+P}{A} <<_g \frac{M}{A}.$$

*Proof:*  $\Rightarrow$  Let M be an R-module is pure g-supplemented module i.e. every submodule A of M there exists a g- pure submodule P of M,  $P \le A$  such that M = A + X iff M = P + Xwith

A 
$$\cap$$
 X<< gX. Let K  $\leq$  M and suppose that  
 $\frac{A+P}{P} + \frac{K}{P} = \frac{M}{P}$  then  $\frac{A+P+K}{P} = \frac{M}{P}$  implies  
 $\frac{A+K}{P} = \frac{M}{P}$ .

Then A + K = M. Since M is pure g-supplemented, therefore  $A + K = M = P + X, P \leq A$ , then

A + X ≤ K, implies M ≤ K. This shows K= M i.e.  $\frac{K}{P} = \frac{M}{P}$  $\frac{A+P}{D} \cap \frac{K}{D} \ll_g \frac{K}{P}$ 

then

be a submodule of M, then there exist a g-pure submodule P of M such that  $\frac{A+P}{P} \ll_g \frac{M}{P}$  and  $\frac{A+P}{A} \ll_g \frac{M}{A}.$  If M = A + X (X  $\leq M$ ) then  $\frac{M}{P} = \frac{A+P}{P} + \frac{X+P}{P}. \quad \text{But} \quad \frac{A+P}{P} <<_g \frac{M}{P}. \quad \text{Then}$  $\frac{M}{D} = \frac{X+P}{D}$ , thus M = X + P  $\Rightarrow$  M = X + A and X + A

Proposition: Let M be pure g-Supplemented module and A be a sub module of M. If for every g-pure submodule of M  $\frac{A+P}{A} \ll_g \frac{M}{A} \text{ then } \frac{M}{A} \text{ is pure g-supplemented module.}$ 

*Proof:* Let  $N \le M$  and let M = N + X for X is a submodule of M. Then  $\frac{N}{A} \le \frac{M}{A}$  and  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{N}{A} + \frac{X}{A}$ , for  $A \le X$ . Since M = N + X, therefore M = P + X, where P is g-pure in M. Then  $\frac{M}{\Lambda} = \frac{P+X}{\Lambda}$ 

$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{P+A}{A} + \frac{X}{A}. \text{ Since } \frac{P+A}{A} \cap \frac{X}{A} <<_g \frac{M}{A} \text{ therefore}$$
$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{X}{A} \text{ implies } \frac{M}{A} \text{ is pure g-supplemented. //}$$

Recall that a sub module N of M is called fully invariant, if  $f(N) \le N$ , for each  $f \in End_R(M)$ . The set  $f^{-1}(N) = \{m \in M : f\}$ (m)  $\in N$ }. Note that f<sup>-1</sup>(N) is a submodule of M and that f (f  $^{-1}(N) \leq N$ . Note further that f (f  $^{-1}(N) = N$  in case f is an epimorphism. Moreover, for any submodules  $L \le N$  of M, we have  $f^{-1}(L) \leq f^{-1}(N)$ . A module M is called duo module if every essential submodule is fully invariant . [9] Let M be an R- module and U  $\leq$  M. A submodule U is said to be a distributive submodule of M if  $U = U \cap X + U \cap Y$  for all X.  $Y \in M$ . A module M is called distributive if and only if for every submodules K, L, N of M such that  $N + (K \cap L) = (N + L)$ K)  $\cap$  (N + L) or N  $\cap$  (K + L) = (N  $\cap$  K) + (N  $\cap$  L). Weakly

distribution module are proper generalization of distributive modules. A submodule U is said to be a weak distributive submodule of M if  $U = U \cap X + U \cap Y$  for all X,  $Y \in M$  such that X + Y = M. A module M is said to be weakly distributive if for every submodule of M is a weak distributive submodule of M. A ring R is weakly distributive if R is a weakly distributive left R-module.

Proposition: Let M be a weak distribution pure gsupplemented module, then M/A is pure g-supplemented module for every submodule A of M.

*Proof:* Let X be direct summand of M, then  $M = X \oplus Y$  for some Y submodule of M.

Since M = X + Y, therefore  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{X}{A} + \frac{Y}{A}$  and  $\frac{U}{A} \le \frac{M}{A}$ . Since M is a weak distributive pure g-supplemented module.  $U = (U \cap X) + (U + Y)$  i.e.

$$\frac{U}{A} = \frac{(U \cap X)}{A} + \frac{(U \cap Y)}{A} = \left(\frac{U}{A} \cap \frac{X}{A}\right) + \left(\frac{U}{A} \cap \frac{Y}{A}\right) = \frac{U}{A} \cap \frac{Y}{A}$$
  
with  $\frac{X}{A} \cap \frac{Y}{A} = \{0\}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{M}{A} = \frac{X \cap A}{A} \oplus \frac{Y \cap A}{A}. \text{ Hence } \frac{X \cap A}{A} \text{ is a direct}$$
  
summand of  $\frac{M}{A} \Rightarrow \frac{M}{A} = \frac{X \cap A}{A} + \frac{Y \cap A}{A}.$ 

A

Hence 
$$\frac{M}{A}$$
 is a pure g-supplemented module. //

*Proposition:* Let A be a sub module of M and  $eA \le A$  for all  $e^2 = e \in End_R(M)$  then  $\frac{M}{A}$  is pure g-supplemented module. In particular for every fully invariant submodule Y of M,  $\frac{M}{V}$  is

pure g- supplemented module.

Proof: Let X be the direct summand of M. Now the projection e : M $\rightarrow$ X, then e<sup>2</sup> = e \in End<sub>R</sub>(M) and eA  $\leq$  A, where A is submodule of M. Hence  $eA = A \cap X$ . Then M = X + Y, for some Y∈M,

A = (A 
$$\cap$$
 X) + (A  $\cap$  Y). Now  $\frac{X+A}{A} = \frac{X \oplus (A \cap Y)}{A}$  and  
 $\frac{Y+A}{A} = \frac{Y \oplus (A \cap X)}{A}$ .

$$M=X\oplus Y=(X+A)\oplus (Y+A)=\{X\oplus (A\cap Y)\}+(Y+A).$$

Then 1. 
$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{X \oplus (Y+A)}{A} + \frac{Y+A}{A}$$

 $\{X \oplus (A \cap Y)\} \cap (Y + A) = \{(X \oplus A) \cap$ 2.  $(X \oplus Y) \} \cap (Y + A)$ 

$$= \{ [(X \oplus A) \cap Y] \cap [(X \oplus Y) \cap Y] + \{ [(X \oplus A) \cap A] \cap [(X \oplus Y) \cap A] \}$$
$$= (A \cap Y) \cap (A \cap A) = A$$

Then 
$$\frac{M}{A} = \frac{X \oplus (Y+A)}{A} \oplus \frac{Y+A}{A}$$
. Therefore  $\frac{Y+A}{A}$  is direct summand of  $\frac{M}{A}$  with  $\frac{Y+A}{A} <<_g \frac{M}{A}$ .

Hence  $\frac{M}{A}$  is pure g-supplemented. //

Theorem: Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a weakly distributive R-module. Then each  $M_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  is closed weak gsupplemented Aff and only if M is closed weak gsupplemented.

*Proof:* Let A  $\leq^{c}$  M. Since M<sub>i</sub>, i  $\in$  {1, 2} is closed weak gsupplemented R-modules. Let  $M = M_1 + M_2$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  are submodules of M. We have  $A \cap M_i \leq^c M_i$ . Let  $A \cap M_i \leq eB$  in Mi, since M is a weakly distributive R-module.

We have  $A = A \cap M = A \cap (M_1 \oplus M_2) = (A \cap M_1) \oplus (A \cap M_2)$  $\leq_{e} B \oplus (A \cap M_{2})$  in M. Since  $A \leq^{c} M \implies A = (A \cap M_{1}) \oplus (A)$  $\cap$  M<sub>2</sub>) = B  $\oplus$  (A  $\cap$  M<sub>2</sub>), therefore A  $\cap$  M<sub>1</sub> = B, thus A  $\cap$  M<sub>1</sub>  $\leq^{c}$ M<sub>1</sub>. Similarly A  $\cap$  M<sub>2</sub>  $\leq^{c}$  M<sub>2</sub>. Since M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub> are closed weak g-supplemented R-modules. Then there are sub modules  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  such that  $M_1 = N_1 + (A \cap M_1)$  and  $N_1 \cap (A \cap M_1) = N_1 \cap A$  $<<_{\sigma}M_1$ . Similarly  $M_2 = N_2 + (A \cap M_2)$  and  $N_2 \cap (A \cap M_2)$ =  $N_2 \cap A \ll_g M_2$ . Put N =  $N_1 \oplus N_2$ . So we get

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M} &= \mathbf{M}_1 \oplus \mathbf{M}_2 \ = \{ \ \mathbf{N}_1 + (\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{M}_1) \} \oplus \{ \ \mathbf{N}_2 + (\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{M}_2) \} \\ &= (\mathbf{N}_1 \oplus \mathbf{N}_2) + \{ (\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{M}_1) \oplus (\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{M}_2) \} \\ &= (\mathbf{N}_1 \oplus \mathbf{N}_2) + \{ \mathbf{A} \cap (\mathbf{M}_1 \oplus \mathbf{M}_2) \} \\ &= (\mathbf{N}_1 \oplus \mathbf{N}_2) + \{ \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{M} \} = (\mathbf{N}_1 \oplus \mathbf{N}_2) + \mathbf{A} \end{split}$$

 $\therefore$  M = X + A. Since M is weakly distributive module. Now  $X \cap A = (N_1 \oplus N_2) \cap A$ 

 $= (N_1 \cap A) \oplus (N_2 \cap A) \ll (M_1 \oplus M_2) = M$ . Then X is weak g-supplement of A in M.

hence M is closed weak g- supplemented. //

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Kosar, Co-finitely G-supplemented modules, British J. Math. 17(4), (2016) 1-6.
- B. Kosar, C. Nebiyev and A. Pakin, A generalization of g-[2] supplemented modules, Miskolc Math. 20(1), (2019) 345-352.
- [3] C. Lomp, On semilocal modules and rings, Comm. Alg. 27(4), (199) 1921-1935.

- [4] C. Nebiyev and Hasan H. Okten, Weakly G- supplemented modules, European J. of Pure and applied Math., 10(3) 2017, 521-528.
- [5] C. Nebiyev, Hasan H. Okten and A. Pakin, Essential supplemented modules, Int J. of Pure and Applied Math. 120(2) (2018), 253-257.
- [6] D. Keskin & N. Orhan, Generalization of weak lifting modules, Soochow J. Math, 32(1), (2006), 71-76.
- [7] G. Azumaya some characterization of regular modules, Publications Mathematiques, 34 (1990) 241-248.
- [8] K. Vardharajan, Modules with supplements Pac. J. Math. 82(1979), 559-564.
- [9] R. Alizade and E. Buyukasik, Cofinite weakly supplemented modules, comm. Alg. 31(11), (2003), 5377-5390.
- [10] R. Wisbauer, Foundation of Modules and Rings, Goldan and Breach, Philadelphia (1991).

- [11] S. K. Coubey, B. M. Pandeya and A. J. Gupta, Amply weak Radsupplemented modules, 6 (27) (2012), 1335-1341.
- [12] S.M. Yasen and W. K. Hasan, Pure-supplemented modules, Iraqi J. of Science. 53(4)(2012) 882-886
- [13] W. Anderson and K. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1992.
- [14] W. Khalid and A. S. Wadi, Generalized Radical g- lifting modules, Int. J. of Science and Research, 6(7), 2015, 2211-2214
- [15] Y. Wang & N. Ding, A generalized supplemented modules. Hac. J. Math., 45 (1), (2016),129-137.
- [16] Y. Wang & N. Ding, Generalized supplemented modules. Taiwanese J. Math., 10, No.6, (2006),1589-1601.
- [17] Y.Talebi, T. Amouzegar and A. Mahmodui, Closed weak generalized supplemented modules, J. of Algebra, Number theory, 11 (1) (2014), 33-47.