

# Competitive State Anxiety and Psychological Performance on Badminton Players based on Gender

Vincent Parnabas<sup>1</sup>, Julinamary Parnabas<sup>2</sup>, Antoinette Mary Parnabas<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Sport Science and Recreation, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Institut Pendidikan Guru Kampus Darulaman Jitra, Kedah, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>Medical Unit, Hospital Taiping, Taiping, Perak, Malaysia

**Abstract:** - Anxiety is recognized as one of the main factors that reduces athletes' performance in sports. In many research reviews, researchers have found that high levels of anxiety have the tendency to deteriorate athletes' performance. However, to date there has been very limited research examining competitive anxiety on gender. The main aim of the study was to compare the level of competitive anxiety and sport performance differences among gender. Data was collected from 97 badminton players, using a 27 item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 and 42-item of The Psychological Performance Inventory. The results showed that male athletes obtained the lowest score on competitive state anxiety. Furthermore, female athletes exhibited the lowest level of psychological performance. Based on the current results, it is recommended that sport psychologists, sport counselors and coaches in Malaysia use the findings to design appropriate training programs to help athletes acquire suitable coping strategies, to reduce their competitive state anxiety levels and enhance their psychological performance.

**Key Words:** Competitive State Anxiety; Psychological Performance; Cognitive Anxiety; Somatic Anxiety

## I. INTRODUCTION

Anxiety is a negative emotion, which affects perceptions in sport competitions, with a large majority of athletes considering anxiety to be debilitating and may result in decreases in performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2019; Raglin & Hanin, 2000). Researchers have reported that over 50 percent of consultations among athletes at an Olympic festival were related to stress or anxiety problems (Murphy, 1988). When anxiety is not managed, athletes lose control and performance levels decrease (Martens, Vealey & Burton, 1990).

There has been a large amount of research concerning the multidimensional aspect of anxiety (Martens et al., 1990). Competitive State Anxiety consists of two subcomponents: cognitive and somatic anxiety, which influence performance (Martens et al., 1990; Jarvis, 2002). Cognitive anxiety is the mental component, which characterized by negative expectations about success or self-evaluation, negative self-talk, worries about performance, images of failure, inability to concentrate, and disrupted attention (Martens et al., 1990; Jarvis, 2002). Whereas, the somatic anxiety is the physiological element, which is related to autonomic arousals, negative symptoms such as feelings of nervousness, high blood pressure, dry throat, muscular tension, rapid heart rate,

sweaty palms and butterflies in the stomach (Martens et al., 1990; Jones 2000; Jarvis, 2002).

Although, research had proven that there is a link between level of competitive anxiety and performance, the role of demographic factors in influencing anxiety levels is yet to be determined (Martens et al., 1990; Humara, 2001). Gender plays an important role in sports but most of the sport psychology research focuses on male than female athletes (Cox, 2011), and this makes it very difficult to determine the level of anxiety and sport performance on female athletes. More research is needed to determine the role of gender on anxiety (Oglesby & Hill, 1993), especially on badminton.

## II. AIMS

The aim of this research was to identify the level of competitive state anxiety and sport performance among badminton players of different gender.

## III. METHODS

In order to assess level of competitive state anxiety (cognitive and somatic), athletes responded to the 27-item Competitive State Anxiety Inventory-2 (CSAI-2) (Martens et al., 1990), using a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very much so). CSAI-2 was used to measure athletes' tendency to respond competitive sport situation during competition. Besides that, 42-item of The Psychological Performance Inventory had been distributed. The Psychological Performance Inventory assesses seven factor of performance: Self Confident, Negative Energy, Attention Control, Visualization and Imagery, Motivation, Positive Energy Control and Attitude Control.

The sample consisted of 97 badminton players, including the categories of national athletes (N=31), state athletes (N=27), district athletes (N=20) and university athletes (N= 19).

## IV. RESULT

### 4.1. Respondents' Profile

The respondents' profile described their ranking, ethnic and age. Table 1 shows the overall results of the respondents' profile for 97 badminton athletes. The overall mean age for these respondents was 22.15 years old. The age of male respondents varied from 19 to 27 years, where the mean age was 21.47 years old. The age of female players ranged from

the minimum of 18 to the maximum of 26 years old. The mean age for female respondents was 19.28 years old.

The variable “rank which is gathered through this study is categorized into four levels namely, national, state, district and university. The result showed that 31 respondents had participated at national, whilst 27 respondents participate at state, 20 had participated at district and 19 respondents participated at the university level. Majority of the respondents, were undergraduates for Degree (n=55) and Diploma (n=42) programmes.

Table 1: Respondents’ Profile (n=97)

Variables	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	SD
<b>Athletes according to rank</b>				
National	31	31.96		
State	27	27.84		
District	20	20.52		
University	19	19.59		
<b>Programme</b>				
Diploma	55	56.70		
Degree	42	43.30		
<b>Age</b>				
Male			21.47	2.19
Female			19.28	2.01
Overall			22.15	1.93

4.2. Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

In this study, Cronbach alpha coefficients were found relatively high, ranging from .81 to .87 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cronbach Reliability Coefficients

Questionnaire	Cronbach’s Alpha (n=97)
Competitive State Anxiety	.8730
Psychological Performance	.8121

4.3. Level of Competitive State Anxiety

The independent *t*-test on Table 3, showed the level of Competitive State Anxiety of badminton players, females athletes were higher ( $\bar{x}$  =17.3899) than males ( $\bar{x}$  =13.5147). Male athletes showed significantly less anxiety than females,  $t(15.7141)$ ,  $p<0.01$ .

Table 3: Competitive State Anxiety based on Gender

	Gender	State Competitive Anxiety		
		Mean	<i>t</i> -test	p-Value
Level of State Competitive Anxiety	Female	17.3899	15.7141**	0.000
	Male	13.7141		

\*\* $p<0.01$

4.4. Level of Psychological Performance

The independent *t*-test on Table 4, showed the level of Sport Performances of badminton players, males athletes were

higher ( $\bar{x}$  =21. 4001) than females ( $\bar{x}$  =15.5151). Female athletes showed significantly lower sport performance than females,  $t(18.4251)$ ,  $p<0.01$ .

Table 4: Level of Psychological Performance based on Gender

	Gender	Level of Sport Performance		
		Mean	<i>t</i> -test	p-Value
Level of Sport Performance	Female	15.5151	12.4251**	0.000
	Male	21.4001		

\*\* $p<0.01$

V. DISCUSSION

5.1. Level of Competitive State Anxiety

The result showed that the level of competitive state anxiety of female athletes was higher than males. Overall most of the research done on athletes and non athletes showed that female exhibited higher level of anxiety than males and this is supported by Montgomery and Morris (1994), Deutsch (1999), Barksy, Peekna and Borus (2001), Thatcher, Thatcher and Dorling (2004). They attributed the difference to biological factors and their gender-role expectations in society. For example, society will accept if females show fear, nervousness and worry but not males (Montgomery & Morris, 1994). In other words males have been trained to control emotions but females to exhibit emotions (Jones & Cale, 1989).

5.2. Level of Sport Performance

The result showed that the level of psychological performances of female badminton athletes was lower than males. The present result is supported by Alessandra, Carlo, Claudia, Maria, Alessandra, Marina and Laura (2008), Trost, Pate, Sallis, Freedson, Taylor, Dowda and Sirard (2002), Scali, Sheila and Jennifer (2000) and, Davies, Greenwood and Jones (1998). Sport often perceived as man’s world because it needs a strong and muscular body to achieve success. However, physically, women are not as strong as man. Therefore, the biological factors remain as the major cause that deteriorates females’ level of sport performance compared males. Moreover, the level of competitive state anxiety also influences the level of performance. A few researches supported that high level of competitive state anxiety deteriorate the level of sport performance. They were Aufenanger (2005), Mellalieu, Hanton and O’Brian (2004) and, Jones and Hanton (2001).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the research determined that there are differences in the level of anxiety and sport performances showed by different gender of badminton athletes. The result showed that females’ athletes exhibited higher level of competitive state anxiety and lower level of sport performance. This might indicated that high levels of

competitive state anxiety were one of the main barriers that inhibited athletes from gaining higher achievements in sport. Anyway, more research is needed to confirm.

Most probably, coaches, sport psychologist and counselors can use this research to provide coping strategies for female athletes, to reduce their competitive state anxiety and enhance their performance.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Alessandra, D.C., Carlo, B., Claudia, B., Maria, D.M., Alessandra, P., Marina, P. & Laura, G. 2008. Factors influencing performance of competitive and amateur rhythmic gymnastics—Gender differences. *Journal of Sport Science and Medicine in Sport* 12(3), 411-416.
- [2]. Aufenanger, S. J. (2005). *Relationships between mental skills and competitive anxiety interpretation in open skill and close skill athletes*. Masters Thesis Miami University, Oxford, Ohio.
- [3]. Barksy, A. J., Peekna, H. M. & Borus, J. E. 2001. Somatic symptoms reporting in men and women. *Journal General Internal Medicine* 16, 266-275.
- [4]. Cox, R. H. 2011. *Sport Psychology, concepts and applications*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [5]. Davies, B. N., Greenwood, E. J. & Jones, S. R. 1998. Gender difference in the relationship of performance in the handgrip and standing long jump tests to lean limb volume in young adults. *Biomedical and Life Sciences* 58(3), 315-320.
- [6]. Deutsch, D. 1999. *The psychology of music*. London: Academic Press.
- [7]. Humara, M., 2001. The relationship between anxiety and performance: A Cognitive-behavioral perspective. *Athletic Insight 1(2):The Online Journal of Sport Psychology*.
- [8]. Jarvis, M. 2002. *Sport Psychology*. New York: Routledge.
- [9]. Jones, G. 2000. Stress and anxiety. In S.J. Bull, *Sport Psychology: A self-help guide*. Ramsbury, Marlborough: Crowood.
- [10]. Jones, G. & Cale, A. 1989. Precompetition temporal patterning of anxiety and self-confidence in males and females. *Journal Sport Behavior* 12, 183-195.
- [11]. Jones, G. & Hanton, S. 2001. Precompetitive feelings states and directional anxiety interpretations. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 19, 385-395.
- [12]. Martens, R., Vealey, R.S., & Burton, D. 1990. *Competitive Anxiety in Sport*. Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics.
- [13]. Mellialieu, S.D., Hanton, S. & O'Brian, M. 2004. Intensity and direction of competitive anxiety as a function of sport type and experience. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports* 14, 326-334.
- [14]. Montgomery, B. & Morris, L. 1994. *Living with anxiety*. Singapore: Heinemann Asia.
- [15]. Murphy, S. M. 1988. The on-site provision of sport psychology services at the 1987 U. S Olympic Festival. *The Sport Psychologist* 2, 105-130.
- [16]. Oglesby, C.A. & Hill, K.L. 1993. Gender and sport. In R.N. Singer, M. Murphey and L.K. Tennant, *Handbook of research on sport psychology*. New York: Macmillan.
- [17]. Raglin, J.S. & Hanin, Y.L. 2000. Competitive anxiety. In Yuri, L.H., *Emotions in Sport*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- [18]. Scali, R.M., Sheila, B. & Jennifer, H. 2000. Gender differences in spatial task performance as a function of speed or accuracy orientation. *Sex roles* 43, 359-376.
- [19]. Thatcher, J., Thatcher, R. & Dorling, D. 2004. Gender differences in the pre-competition temporal patterning of anxiety and hormonal responses. *Journal of Sports Medicine Physical Fitness* 44, 300-308.
- [20]. Trost, S.G., Pate, R.R., Sallis, J.F., Freedson, J.F., Taylor, W.C., Dowda, M & Sirard, J. 2002. Age and gender differences in objectively measured physical activity in youth. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 34(2), 350-355.
- [21]. Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D., 2019. *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.