

Interoperability in the Cryptocurrency Ecosystem: A Comprehensive Review and Analysis

James, Neenaalebari Henry¹ Ene, Donald S²

¹Department of Computer Science, Kenule Beeson Saro-Wiwa Polytechnic, Bori, Nigeria

²Information and Communication Technology Centre, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2023.81201>

Received: 13 November 2023; Accepted: 20 November 2023; Published: 26 December 2023

ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of the cryptocurrency ecosystem has led to the proliferation of numerous blockchain networks and protocols, each with its own set of features, tokens, and functionalities. However, the lack of seamless interoperability among these disparate networks presents challenges for the efficient transfer of assets, data, and functionalities across different protocols. This research paper aims to provide a comprehensive review and analysis of the current state of interoperability within the cryptocurrency ecosystem.

Keywords: Interoperability, cryptocurrency, blockchain, cross-chain, token standards, protocols

INTRODUCTION

The cryptocurrency ecosystem has grown significantly and diversified, with numerous blockchain networks and protocols emerging to cater to various use cases and applications. Each protocol operates independently, utilizing its own consensus mechanisms, token standards, and smart contract capabilities [1].

The cryptocurrency ecosystem has experienced remarkable growth and diversification, with the emergence of numerous blockchain networks and protocols catering to a wide range of use cases and applications. These protocols operate independently, each offering its unique features, consensus mechanisms, token standards, and smart contract capabilities [1].

Blockchain technology, which underlies cryptocurrencies, provides a decentralized and transparent framework for recording and verifying transactions. The advent of Bitcoin in 2009 marked the beginning of this ecosystem, and since then, the number of cryptocurrencies and blockchain platforms has exponentially increased [1]. The proliferation of cryptocurrencies has been driven by factors such as the growing interest in digital assets, advancements in blockchain technology, and the potential for decentralized applications (DApps) and smart contracts.

One of the earliest and most prominent blockchain networks is Ethereum, which introduced the concept of programmable smart contracts, allowing developers to build decentralized applications on its platform [2]. Ethereum's success paved the way for the development of other blockchain networks, each with its own unique features and objectives.

For instance, protocols like Ripple and Stellar focus on facilitating fast and low-cost cross-border transactions, targeting the financial industry [3]. Meanwhile, platforms like EOS and Cardano aim to

address scalability and governance challenges by implementing novel consensus mechanisms and governance models [4][5].

The cryptocurrency ecosystem has also witnessed the rise of specialized protocols tailored to specific use cases. For example, Chainlink focuses on providing decentralized oracle services to connect smart contracts with real-world data [6]. Polkadot aims to facilitate interoperability between different blockchains, enabling cross-chain communication and data transfer [7].

Moreover, the emergence of tokenization has allowed for the creation of unique digital assets on blockchain networks. These tokens can represent various forms of value, including currencies, securities, and utility tokens [8]. Token standards like ERC-20 (used by Ethereum) and BEP-20 (used by Binance Smart Chain) have become widely adopted, enabling the seamless creation and exchange of tokens within their respective ecosystems.

The growth and diversification of the cryptocurrency ecosystem highlight the potential for innovative applications and solutions in various sectors. However, the independent nature of these blockchain networks and protocols has resulted in siloed ecosystems with limited interoperability.

Interoperability among these protocols is crucial for realizing the full potential of the cryptocurrency ecosystem. It would allow for seamless communication, transfer of assets, and sharing of functionalities across different networks, fostering collaboration and enhancing the overall efficiency and utility of decentralized applications.

Interoperability Approaches and Standards

To address the challenges posed by the lack of interoperability, various approaches and standards have been proposed and implemented. Token wrapping, cross-chain protocols, sidechains, and atomic swaps are among the key methods employed to enable interoperability [9]. The Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) protocol developed by the Interchain Foundation has also gained attention as a cross-chain communication standard [10].

To overcome the challenges posed by the lack of interoperability in the cryptocurrency ecosystem, several approaches and standards have been proposed and implemented. These solutions aim to enable seamless communication, asset transfer, and functionality sharing across different blockchain networks.

One approach to achieving interoperability is through token wrapping. This involves creating wrapped or synthetic versions of assets from one blockchain onto another blockchain. Wrapped Bitcoin (WBTC) is an example of a token wrapping solution, where Bitcoin is pegged to an Ethereum-based token, allowing it to be used within the Ethereum ecosystem. Similarly, projects like Ren Protocol (REN) and tBTC provide token wrapping solutions to enable the transfer of assets between different blockchains [11][12].

Cross-chain protocols have gained significant attention as a means of achieving interoperability. These protocols facilitate communication and value transfer between different blockchain networks. Polkadot is a prominent example of a cross-chain protocol that aims to create an interoperable network of blockchains. It utilizes a relay chain and parachains to enable cross-chain communication and interoperability [13]. Cosmos is another notable project that utilizes the Tendermint consensus algorithm to enable interconnectivity between independent blockchains [14].

Sidechains provide another approach to achieving interoperability. Sidechains are independent blockchains that are interoperable with a main blockchain, allowing assets to be transferred between them. Projects like RSK (Rootstock) and Liquid utilize sidechains to enable interoperability with the Bitcoin blockchain [15].

This allows users to move assets between the main blockchain and sidechains, expanding the functionality and utility of the ecosystem.

Atomic swaps are cryptographic protocols that enable peer-to-peer asset exchanges between different blockchains without the need for intermediaries. These swaps occur atomically, meaning they either happen in their entirety or not at all. Atomic swaps provide a decentralized and trustless mechanism for cross-chain asset exchange. Projects like Komodo have implemented atomic swap technology to facilitate interoperability between different cryptocurrencies [16].

The Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) protocol, developed by the Interchain Foundation, has gained attention as a cross-chain communication standard. IBC enables secure and scalable interoperability between independent blockchain networks that support the protocol. It allows for the transfer of assets and data between different chains, opening up possibilities for cross-chain decentralized applications and services.

These various approaches and standards for achieving interoperability demonstrate the ongoing efforts to connect and enhance the functionality of the cryptocurrency ecosystem. By enabling seamless communication and asset transfer between different blockchain networks, these solutions aim to create a more connected and efficient decentralized ecosystem.

Case Studies

Several projects have focused on interoperability solutions within the cryptocurrency ecosystem. Polkadot, an interoperable multi-chain framework, aims to connect and facilitate communication between different blockchains. Cosmos, another prominent project, utilizes the Tendermint consensus protocol to enable interoperability among blockchains [17].

Several projects within the cryptocurrency ecosystem have recognized the importance of interoperability and have dedicated efforts to develop solutions that enable seamless communication and connectivity between different blockchains.

Polkadot, introduced by the Web3 Foundation, is a highly recognized interoperable multi-chain framework. Its goal is to facilitate interoperability and communication among various blockchains. Polkadot achieves this through its unique architecture consisting of a central relay chain and multiple parallel chains known as parachains. The relay chain acts as the main hub, facilitating cross-chain communication and security across the network. Parachains, on the other hand, are independent blockchains that connect to the relay chain, enabling them to interact and share data with other parachains.

Polkadot's design provides several benefits for interoperability. First, it allows different blockchains to specialize in specific use cases while still benefiting from the security and scalability of the Polkadot network. Second, it enables the transfer of assets and data between chains through the relay chain, expanding the functionality and utility of the entire ecosystem. Third, Polkadot's governance system allows for upgrades and improvements to be implemented seamlessly across the network, ensuring interoperability remains efficient and up-to-date.

Another noteworthy interoperability project is Cosmos, which aims to create an interconnected network of independent blockchains. Cosmos utilizes the Tendermint consensus protocol, a Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) consensus algorithm, to enable interoperability among blockchains. Tendermint provides a secure and efficient consensus mechanism that allows for fast transaction processing and finality.

At the core of Cosmos is the Cosmos Hub, a central blockchain that acts as a communication layer for

connecting and facilitating interoperability between different chains, known as zones. Each zone within the Cosmos network operates independently with its own set of validators and consensus rules, but they can still communicate and exchange assets with other zones through the Cosmos Hub.

The Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) protocol is a key component of Cosmos that enables secure and scalable interoperability between zones. IBC allows for the transfer of assets and data between independent blockchains within the Cosmos network, making it possible to build decentralized applications that span multiple chains. Through IBC, Cosmos enables the creation of an interconnected blockchain ecosystem that fosters collaboration and innovation.

Both Polkadot and Cosmos represent significant advancements in achieving interoperability within the cryptocurrency ecosystem. Their unique architectures, consensus mechanisms, and communication protocols provide solutions for connecting and facilitating seamless interaction between different blockchains. By enabling interoperability, these projects aim to unlock new possibilities for decentralized applications, cross-chain asset transfers, and collaborative development within the broader cryptocurrency ecosystem.

Challenges and Limitations

Achieving seamless interoperability poses several challenges. Scalability concerns, consensus mechanisms, security considerations, and governance models are among the key factors that require careful attention [18]. Additionally, regulatory frameworks and legal implications surrounding cross-chain transactions and interoperability present further challenges [19].

Achieving seamless interoperability within the cryptocurrency ecosystem poses several challenges that need to be carefully addressed. These challenges revolve around scalability, consensus mechanisms, security considerations, governance models, regulatory frameworks, and legal implications.

Scalability is a significant concern when it comes to achieving interoperability. As the number of interconnected blockchains and transactions increases, scalability becomes crucial to ensure efficient cross-chain communication and data transfer. Scaling solutions such as sharding, layer-2 protocols, and off-chain processing are being explored to address scalability challenges [19].

Consensus mechanisms also play a critical role in achieving interoperability. Different blockchains employ various consensus algorithms, such as proof-of-work (PoW), proof-of-stake (PoS), delegated proof-of-stake (DPoS), and Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT). Ensuring compatibility and efficient consensus among interconnected chains can be a complex task [19].

Security considerations are paramount in achieving interoperability. Interconnected blockchains must maintain robust security measures to prevent unauthorized access, double-spending attacks, and other malicious activities. The design and implementation of secure cross-chain communication protocols and cryptographic mechanisms are essential to safeguard the integrity and privacy of transactions and data [19].

Governance models for interoperability protocols and networks are crucial to ensure smooth operation and decision-making. The decentralized nature of the cryptocurrency ecosystem requires transparent and inclusive governance frameworks to address upgrades, protocol changes, dispute resolution, and the evolution of interoperability standards. Collaborative governance models that involve stakeholders from multiple chains can foster trust and coordination [19].

Regulatory frameworks and legal implications pose additional challenges for achieving seamless interoperability. Cross-chain transactions and the transfer of assets between different jurisdictions may

encounter regulatory hurdles, compliance requirements, and legal uncertainties. The development of clear regulatory frameworks that address cross-chain transactions and provide legal clarity can facilitate the adoption and implementation of interoperability solutions [20].

Addressing these challenges requires collaborative efforts, research, and innovation within the cryptocurrency ecosystem. By developing scalable solutions, compatible consensus mechanisms, robust security measures, inclusive governance models, and clear regulatory frameworks, the path to achieving seamless interoperability can be paved.

Regulatory and Legal Implications

The regulatory landscape surrounding interoperability and cross-chain transactions varies across jurisdictions. Compliance with existing financial and securities regulations, anti-money laundering (AML) requirements, and data privacy laws presents legal considerations for projects working towards interoperability [21].

The regulatory landscape surrounding interoperability and cross-chain transactions in the cryptocurrency ecosystem is complex and varies across jurisdictions. Compliance with existing financial and securities regulations, anti-money laundering (AML) requirements, and data privacy laws presents important legal considerations for projects working towards achieving interoperability.

Financial and securities regulations play a crucial role in governing cross-chain transactions and the transfer of assets between different blockchains. Depending on the nature of the assets being transferred and the jurisdictions involved, projects aiming to achieve interoperability may need to comply with securities laws, registration requirements, and investor protection regulations. Failure to adhere to these regulations can result in legal consequences and regulatory scrutiny [21].

Anti-money laundering (AML) requirements also pose legal considerations for projects working on interoperability. Cryptocurrency transactions that involve cross-chain transfers may be subject to AML regulations and know-your-customer (KYC) procedures to prevent illicit activities, money laundering, and terrorist financing. Projects facilitating cross-chain transactions need to implement robust AML measures and comply with relevant regulations, such as the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) recommendations [21].

Data privacy laws are another important aspect to consider when it comes to interoperability. Cross-chain transactions involve the transfer of data between different blockchains, raising concerns about data protection and privacy. Compliance with relevant data protection regulations, such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), is necessary to ensure the privacy and security of user data during cross-chain transactions [21].

Given the evolving nature of the regulatory landscape, projects working on interoperability should closely monitor and adapt to regulatory developments in different jurisdictions. Regulatory authorities are actively exploring ways to address the unique challenges posed by interoperability, and regulatory frameworks are continually evolving to encompass these new technologies. Engaging in dialogue with regulators, participating in regulatory sandboxes, and seeking legal advice can help projects navigate the complex regulatory landscape [21].

Legal considerations surrounding interoperability highlight the importance of compliance, transparency, and responsible innovation within the cryptocurrency ecosystem. By adhering to applicable regulations, implementing robust AML measures, respecting data privacy rights, and actively engaging with regulators, projects can contribute to the development of a regulatory framework that supports the growth and adoption

of interoperability in a secure and compliant manner.

Use Cases and Real-World Implementations

Practical use cases and real-world implementations of interoperability solutions showcase the potential impact of seamless connectivity between blockchains. Projects focusing on decentralized finance (DeFi), supply chain management, and data sharing have demonstrated the benefits of interoperability in various industries [22].

Practical use cases and real-world implementations of interoperability solutions demonstrate the potential impact of seamless connectivity between blockchains. These applications span across various industries, including decentralized finance (DeFi), supply chain management, data sharing, and more.

Decentralized finance (DeFi) has emerged as a prominent sector within the cryptocurrency ecosystem, and interoperability plays a crucial role in expanding its capabilities. Interoperability enables the transfer of assets and liquidity between different DeFi protocols and platforms, unlocking new opportunities for composability and efficiency. Projects such as Polkadot and Cosmos are actively facilitating cross-chain interoperability for DeFi applications, allowing users to leverage assets and liquidity across multiple blockchains [22][23]. For example, interoperability can enable users to borrow assets from one blockchain-based lending protocol and collateralize them in another protocol, enhancing liquidity and access to financial services.

Supply chain management is another area where interoperability can have a significant impact. The fragmented nature of supply chains often involves multiple stakeholders, each operating on different blockchain networks or databases. Interoperability solutions allow for seamless data sharing and transactional coordination across these disparate systems, enhancing transparency, traceability, and efficiency. Projects like Ve Chain and ICON have implemented interoperability solutions to enable transparent and secure data exchange among various supply chain participants, improving provenance tracking, product authentication, and supply chain visibility [24].

Data sharing and collaboration are also areas that can benefit from interoperability. In fields such as healthcare, research, and Internet of Things (IoT), data is often siloed within separate systems or platforms. Interoperability solutions enable secure and controlled data sharing across different networks, preserving privacy while facilitating data integration and analysis. Projects like Ocean Protocol and Sovrin Foundation focus on enabling interoperable data sharing and decentralized identity solutions, empowering individuals to control their data and facilitate data transactions in a trusted and interoperable manner [25].

These practical use cases and real-world implementations highlight the transformative potential of interoperability in various industries. By connecting disparate blockchain networks and enabling seamless communication, interoperability solutions enhance efficiency, transparency, and collaboration. They enable new business models, drive innovation, and create value by leveraging the strengths of different blockchain protocols and platforms.

As interoperability continues to advance, we can expect further exploration and adoption of these solutions across industries, leading to enhanced efficiency, interoperable ecosystems, and new possibilities for decentralized applications and services.

Mathematical Model

While there isn't a specific mathematical model that universally captures interoperability in the cryptocurrency ecosystem, various mathematical and computational approaches can be applied to analyze

and evaluate different aspects of interoperability. These approaches can help quantify the efficiency, security, and performance of interoperability solutions. Here, we discuss some relevant mathematical models and techniques used in the context of interoperability:

1. **Graph Theory:** Graph theory is a mathematical framework used to model and analyze the relationships between different entities in a network. In the context of interoperability, blockchain networks and their connections can be represented as graphs, where nodes represent individual blockchains and edges represent interoperability links. Graph theory provides tools to study properties such as connectivity, reachability, and the efficiency of communication between different blockchains [26].
2. **Game Theory:** Game theory is used to analyze strategic interactions between different participants in a system. In the context of interoperability, game theory can be employed to model the behavior of different blockchain networks and their incentives to collaborate or compete in achieving interoperability. Game-theoretic models can help identify optimal strategies, study the stability of interoperability protocols, and analyze potential vulnerabilities or risks.
3. **Formal Methods:** Formal methods refer to mathematical techniques for specifying, verifying, and reasoning about the behavior and properties of systems. In the context of interoperability, formal methods can be applied to analyze the correctness and security of cross-chain communication protocols, consensus mechanisms, and smart contracts. By using formal modeling languages, such as process calculi or formal specification languages, it is possible to formally reason about the correctness and safety of interoperability mechanisms [27].
4. **Economic Models:** Economic models, including mathematical models based on economic principles, can be applied to study the incentives and economic aspects of interoperability. These models analyze the costs, benefits, and incentives for blockchain networks to adopt interoperability solutions. Economic models help assess the potential impact of interoperability on network participation, token economics, and overall ecosystem dynamics [28].

Future Trends and Outlook

The future of interoperability in the cryptocurrency ecosystem is promising, with ongoing research and development efforts aimed at addressing existing challenges. Advancements in technology, standardization, and regulatory frameworks are expected to drive the evolution of interoperability solutions [29].

The future of interoperability in the cryptocurrency ecosystem holds great promise, as ongoing research and development efforts are focused on addressing existing challenges and driving advancements in technology and standards. This evolution is expected to have a significant impact on the efficiency, scalability, and overall usability of blockchain networks.

Technological advancements play a crucial role in shaping the future of interoperability. Researchers and developers are actively exploring innovative approaches to enhance cross-chain communication, scalability, and security. For example, advancements in cross-chain protocols, such as the Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) protocol developed by the Interchain Foundation, hold promise for enabling seamless communication and transfer of assets between different blockchains. Additionally, advancements in Layer 2 solutions, such as sidechains and state channels, aim to enhance scalability and reduce transaction costs, thereby improving the overall interoperability experience [30].

Standardization efforts are another important aspect driving the future of interoperability. Various organizations and consortia are working towards defining interoperability standards, protocols, and best practices. These efforts aim to create a common framework that enables different blockchain networks to seamlessly communicate and exchange information. For instance, the Blockchain Interoperability Standards Association (BISA) is focused on developing open standards for interoperability, ensuring compatibility and

seamless integration between different blockchain platforms [31].

Regulatory considerations also play a crucial role in shaping the future of interoperability. As the cryptocurrency ecosystem matures, regulators are increasingly focusing on the legal and compliance aspects of cross-chain transactions and interoperability. Clear regulatory frameworks that address issues such as anti-money laundering (AML) requirements, data privacy, and financial regulations are necessary to foster trust and facilitate the wider adoption of interoperability solutions.

Moreover, collaboration between industry participants, academia, and regulatory bodies is essential for the future of interoperability. These collaborations can foster knowledge exchange, research partnerships, and the establishment of guidelines and standards that promote interoperability. By bringing together diverse stakeholders, including blockchain developers, researchers, businesses, and regulators, the cryptocurrency ecosystem can collectively tackle the challenges and seize the opportunities presented by interoperability.

The future of interoperability in the cryptocurrency ecosystem is dynamic and evolving. Ongoing research, technological advancements, standardization efforts, and regulatory considerations will play pivotal roles in shaping the interoperability landscape. As these advancements unfold, we can anticipate enhanced cross-chain communication, improved scalability, and increased compatibility among blockchain networks, paving the way for broader adoption and unlocking the full potential of blockchain technology.

CONCLUSION

The cryptocurrency ecosystem's lack of interoperability has hindered its full potential. This comprehensive review and analysis shed light on the current state of interoperability, including various approaches, case studies, challenges, legal implications, and future trends. By addressing these factors, stakeholders can work towards enhancing the connectivity and functionality of blockchain networks, unlocking new possibilities for decentralized applications and services.

REFERENCES

1. Eyal, I., Gencer, A. E., Sirer, E. G., & Van Renesse, R. (2018). Bitcoin-NG: A Scalable Blockchain Protocol. Proceedings of the 13th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation.
2. Buterin, V. (2014). Ethereum: A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform. Retrieved from <https://ethereum.org/whitepaper/>
3. Popper, B. (2013) The Verge. Bitcoin is too cheap for its own good.
4. Larimer, D. 2013. Overpaying for security: The hidden costs of Bitcoin. <https://letstalkbitcoin.com/is-bitcoin-overpaying-for-false-security#.UjtiUt9xy0w>.
5. Hoskinson, C., Wood, G., & Sutcliffe, D. (2017). Cardano: A Blockchain Platform with a Proof of Stake Consensus Algorithm. Retrieved from <https://www.cardano.org/en/>
6. Ellis, S. (2017). ChainLink Whitepaper. Retrieved from <https://link.smartcontract.com/whitepaper>
7. Wood, G. (2016). Polkadot: Vision for a Heterogeneous Multi-chain Framework. Retrieved from <https://polkadot.network/Polkadot-Whitepaper.pdf>
8. Chohan, U. W. (2019). Tokenomics: The Crypto Shift of Blockchains, ICOs, and Tokens. Springer.
9. Aumayr, B., Kerschbaum, F., & Schaberreiter, T. (2020). State-of-the-Art Blockchain Interoperability. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Availability, Reliability, and Security.
10. Sompolinsky, Y. (2018). The Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) Protocol Specification. Retrieved from <https://github.com/cosmos/ics/tree/master/spec>
11. Ren Project. (n.d.). Ren Protocol. Retrieved from <https://renproject.io/>
12. Keep Network. (n.d.). t BTC. Retrieved from <https://keep.network/tbtc/>

13. Polkadot. (n.d.). What is Polkadot? Retrieved from <https://polkadot.network/what-is-polkadot/>
14. Buchman, E. (2018). The Cosmos Network. Retrieved from <https://cosmos.network/resources/whitepaper>
15. RSK. (n.d.). RSK Smart Bitcoin. Retrieved from <https://www.rsk.co/s>
16. Komodo Platform. (n.d.). Atomic Swaps. Retrieved from <https://komodoplatform.com/technology/atomic-swaps/>
17. Buchman, E. (2018). The Cosmos Network. Retrieved from <https://cosmos.network/resources/whitepaper>
18. Ethereum Foundation. (2020). Ethereum 2.0: Building a Global Platform for Decentralized Applications. Retrieved from <https://ethereum.org/en/eth2/>
19. European Union Blockchain Observatory and Forum. (2019). Interoperability of blockchain systems. Retrieved from <https://www.eublockchainforum.eu/sites/default/files/reports/Interoperability%20report.pdf>
20. European Union Blockchain Observatory and Forum. (2019). Scalability, Interoperability, and Sustainability of Blockchains. Retrieved from <https://www.eublockchainforum.eu/sites/default/files/reports/EUBOF%20Report%20on%20Scalability%20Int>
21. Castronova, E. (2020). Toward Legal Interoperability in Blockchain Systems: Regulatory Approaches to Cross-Chain Transactions. *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 3, 14.
22. Liang, C., Zhang, Y., Li, X., & Ma, F. (2021). Which predictor is more predictive for Bitcoin volatility? And why?. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*. Forthcoming, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.2252>.
23. Xu, X. (2021). A comprehensive survey of blockchain interoperability: Past, present, and future trends. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 182, 103050. doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2021.103050
24. Chiang, Y. J. (2020). Blockchain Technology for Enhancing Supply Chain Resilience. *International Journal of Information Management*, 54, 102144.
25. Zyskind, G., & Nathan, O. (2015). Decentralizing privacy: Using blockchain to protect personal data. In *Security and Privacy Workshops (SPW)*, 2015 IEEE (pp. 180-184). IEEE. doi: 10.1109/SPW.2015.41
26. Cocco, L. (2019). Blockchain interoperability: Challenges and opportunities from a systematic literature review. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 76, 108-128.
27. Teutsch, J., et al. (2019). A first look at interoperability in blockchain systems. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Cloud Computing Security Workshop* (pp. 85-96). ACM. doi: 10.1145/3327963.3327982
28. Xu, X. (2020). A comprehensive survey on blockchain interoperability: From consensus to applications. *IEEE Access*, 8, 21153-21173. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2966057
29. Kuo, T. T. (2021). Toward blockchain interoperability: Current landscape, challenges, and future directions. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 1-12. doi: 10.1109/TEM.2021.3057755
30. Luu, L. (2016). Making smart contracts smarter. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security* (pp. 254-269). ACM. doi: 10.1145/2976749.2978341
31. BISA (n.d.). Blockchain Interoperability Standards Association. Retrieved from <https://blockchain-standards.org>
32. (n.d.). Liquid. Retrieved from <https://blockstream.com/liquid/>
33. Liu, X. (2020). Interoperability and incentivization of blockchain: A game-theoretic approach. *IEEE Transactions on Services Computing*, 13(3), 494-507. doi: 10.1109/TSC.2018.2835546