

Food Biotechnology in Indonesia: Obstacles and Prospects in the Future

¹Fikran Aranda Fahrudin & ²Fahmi Ilman Fahrudin

¹Chulalongkorn University

²Chiang Mai University

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2024.909015>

Received: 29 August 2024; Accepted: 05 September 2024; Published: 03 October 2024

ABSTRACT

Food biotechnology in Indonesia holds significant potential for enhancing food security and agricultural productivity, particularly in addressing the challenges of food scarcity and sustainability. However, the sector faces significant hurdles, including fragmented and inconsistent regulatory frameworks, limited research funding, and socio-economic barriers such as high adoption costs and cultural resistance. Current biosafety regulations are often unclear, creating uncertainty for stakeholders and slowing innovation. Smallholder farmers, who make up a large portion of Indonesia's agricultural sector, face economic constraints and lack access to the training and resources necessary to adopt biotechnological solutions. Despite these challenges, Indonesia has a unique opportunity to meet the rising global demand for sustainable food production through the development of pest-resistant, climate-resilient, and high-yield crops. To harness these opportunities, a multifaceted strategy is required. This strategy should focus on streamlining regulatory frameworks to provide clarity and foster innovation, increasing public and private investments in research and development, and creating robust public-private partnerships. Additionally, capacity building through education and training for farmers and agricultural stakeholders is essential to facilitate the adoption of biotechnology. This comprehensive approach will address the regulatory, educational, technological, and socio-economic barriers that currently hinder the sector's growth, ultimately enhancing food security and promoting sustainable agricultural development in Indonesia.

Keywords: Food biotechnology, Regulatory framework, Food security, Food production, Sustainable agriculture

INTRODUCTION

Food biotechnology in Indonesia presents a complex interplay of obstacles and prospects that are critical for addressing food security and enhancing agricultural productivity. The integration of biotechnology into the food sector has the potential to improve food production efficiency and nutritional quality significantly, yet several challenges hinder its widespread adoption. One of the primary obstacles to the advancement of food biotechnology in Indonesia is the regulatory framework surrounding genetically modified (GM) crops. The existing biosafety regulations are fragmented and often lack coherence, creating uncertainty for agricultural biotechnology stakeholders (Ulum, 2021). The government must navigate these regulatory challenges to ensure that the benefits of biotechnology can be realized without compromising environmental and public health safety (Ulum, 2021). Furthermore, the lack of infrastructure and funding for agricultural biotechnology research exacerbates these regulatory challenges, limiting the capacity for innovation and extension services (Najafabadi et al., 2011). Thus, the government policies and substantial investments in agricultural research are essential for the successful implementation of biotechnology to combat malnutrition and food insecurity (Jacobsen et al., 2013).

In addition to regulatory and funding issues, there are significant socio-economic barriers that impede the adoption of biotechnology in Indonesia. Many smallholder farmers face high costs associated with biotechnology adoption, including the expenses related to technology access and the necessary training for effective implementation (Najafabadi et al., 2011). This economic challenge is compounded by the perception of

biotechnology as an expensive venture, which can deter farmers from engaging with these innovations (Natalia et al., 2022). Moreover, the cultural and religious context in Indonesia, particularly concerning halal standards, poses additional challenges to the acceptance of certain biotechnological advancements, such as cultured meat (Qotadah et al., 2022). Addressing these socio-economic and cultural barriers is crucial for fostering an environment conducive to the growth of food biotechnology. Despite these challenges, there are promising prospects for food biotechnology in Indonesia. The increasing global demand for food security solutions positions biotechnology as a vital tool for enhancing agricultural productivity (Haroon & Ghazanfar, 2016). The potential applications of biotechnology, such as the development of crops resistant to pests and diseases, can significantly improve yield and sustainability in Indonesian agriculture (Das et al., 2023). Additionally, initiatives to empower entrepreneurship in biotechnology, particularly among university students, can cultivate a new generation of innovators who are equipped to tackle food security challenges through scientific advancements (Atmojo et al., 2022). The integration of sustainable development goals into biotechnology education and research can further align these efforts with broader societal objectives, ensuring that the benefits of biotechnology are realized in a manner that supports both economic growth and environmental sustainability (Purnomo et al., 2022).

How to improve the potency of food biotechnology in Indonesia

Improving the potency of food biotechnology in Indonesia requires a multifaceted approach that addresses regulatory, educational, technological, and socio-economic challenges. By leveraging advancements in biotechnology, Indonesia can enhance food security, agricultural productivity, and sustainability. One of the primary areas for improvement is the regulatory framework governing biotechnology. The current biosafety regulations in Indonesia are often fragmented and lack coherence, which creates uncertainty for stakeholders and hinders innovation in agricultural biotechnology Ulum (2021). Establishing a clear and comprehensive regulatory framework that promotes the safe and responsible use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) is crucial. This includes developing public-private partnerships to facilitate research and development while ensuring compliance with safety standards (Seid & Andualem, 2021). A well-structured regulatory environment can foster trust among consumers and farmers, encouraging the adoption of biotechnological innovations. Education and capacity building are also essential for enhancing the effectiveness of food biotechnology. Empowering future generations through education in biotechnology can cultivate a skilled workforce capable of driving innovation in the agricultural sector. Programs that integrate biotechnology into the curriculum, such as the CEL-BaDiS Up learning model, can enhance students' entrepreneurial skills and scientific understanding (Atmojo et al., 2022). Additionally, increasing awareness and understanding of biotechnology among farmers can help them adopt new technologies more effectively, thereby improving productivity and sustainability (Najafabadi et al., 2011).

Technological advancements in biotechnology, such as the development of genetically modified crops that are drought-resistant, pest-resistant, and high-yielding, can significantly contribute to food security in Indonesia (Seid & Andualem, 2021). Emphasizing research in omics technologies can further augment crop productivity by identifying and utilizing beneficial traits in crops (Pathak et al., 2018). Moreover, innovative agricultural practices, such as fish-vegetable symbiosis and microbial fermentation, can enhance food production efficiency while minimizing environmental impacts (Wang & Xin, 2023; Capozzi et al., 2021). These technologies not only improve yield but also contribute to sustainable agricultural practices, aligning with global sustainability goals (Purnomo et al., 2022).

Socio-economic factors play a critical role in the adoption of food biotechnology. Addressing the economic barriers smallholder farmers face, such as high technology costs and lack of access to financing, is vital for promoting biotechnology (Najafabadi et al., 2011). Initiatives providing farmers with financial support, training, and resources can empower them to adopt biotechnological innovations. Furthermore, fostering collaboration between government, academia, and the private sector can create an ecosystem that supports the growth of biotechnology in Indonesia (Vel et al., 2016; Adji et al., 2021).

Development Strategy for the Future

To enhance the effectiveness of food biotechnology in Indonesia, a comprehensive strategy that encompasses

regulatory reform, education, technological innovation, and socio-economic support is essential. This multifaceted approach will address existing barriers and leverage opportunities for growth in the agricultural sector.

Regulatory Framework Improvement

A significant obstacle to the advancement of food biotechnology in Indonesia is the fragmented regulatory framework governing genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Establishing a coherent and transparent biosafety regulatory system is crucial for fostering innovation and ensuring public safety (Ulum, 2021). The government should work towards harmonizing regulations to facilitate research and development while addressing public concerns regarding the safety of GMOs (Ulum, 2021). By creating a supportive regulatory environment, stakeholders can gain confidence in adopting biotechnological innovations, which can lead to increased agricultural productivity and food security (Abdullah et al., 2018).

Education and Capacity Building

Education is pivotal in improving the acceptance and understanding of biotechnology among various stakeholders, including farmers, consumers, and policymakers. Integrating biotechnology into educational curricula can enhance knowledge and skills, fostering a new generation of innovators in the agricultural sector (Purnomo et al., 2022). Programs that focus on the principles of biotechnology and its applications in food production can empower students and professionals to engage with biotechnological advancements effectively (Chen et al., 2016). Moreover, public awareness campaigns can help demystify biotechnology and promote its benefits, thereby increasing consumer acceptance (Azodi & Dietz, 2019).

Technological Innovation

Investing in research and development of biotechnological tools is vital for improving crop yields and resilience. The application of modern biotechnology, such as genetic engineering and molecular breeding, can lead to the development of crops that are resistant to pests, diseases, and environmental stresses (Haroon & Ghazanfar, 2016). This is particularly important in Indonesia, where climate change poses significant challenges to agricultural productivity (Asare, 2020). Furthermore, utilizing local resources, such as marine yeast from mangrove forests, can enhance the sustainability of biotechnological applications in food production (Hutari, 2024). By fostering innovation in biotechnology, Indonesia can improve food security and nutritional quality.

Socio-Economic Support

Addressing socio-economic barriers is essential for the widespread adoption of biotechnology in Indonesia. Many smallholder farmers face economic constraints that limit their ability to invest in biotechnological innovations (Munyawarara & Govender, 2019). Providing financial assistance, training, and resources can empower these farmers to adopt new technologies and improve their productivity (Haroon & Ghazanfar, 2016). Additionally, promoting local food systems can enhance economic and social values within communities, encouraging the consumption of biotechnologically enhanced products (Arsil et al., 2014). Collaboration between government, academia, and the private sector can create an ecosystem that supports the growth of biotechnology and its applications in food production (Vel et al., 2016).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, improving the effectiveness of food biotechnology in Indonesia requires a holistic approach that addresses regulatory, educational, technological, and socio-economic challenges. By fostering a supportive environment for biotechnology, Indonesia can enhance its agricultural productivity, ensure food security, and promote sustainable development. Meanwhile, Indonesia faces substantial obstacles in the realm of food biotechnology, including regulatory fragmentation, economic barriers, and cultural considerations, the prospects for leveraging biotechnology to enhance food security and agricultural productivity are significant. A concerted effort involving government support, investment in research, and community engagement will be essential to overcome these challenges and harness the full potential of biotechnology in Indonesia's food sector. To

improving the potency of food biotechnology in Indonesia necessitates a comprehensive strategy that encompasses regulatory reform, education, technological innovation, and socio-economic support. By addressing these areas, Indonesia can harness the full potential of biotechnology to enhance food security and agricultural sustainability, ultimately contributing to the well-being of its population.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullah, A., Afrad, M., Bhuiyan, A., Haque, M., & Islam, T. (2018). Attitude and consumption of Bangladeshi professionals toward biotechnological products. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-017-0155-z>
2. Adji, M., Yulianti, S., Tresnaningrum, S., & Norrista, E. (2021). Transmigration as a strategy for strengthening national food security. *The Journal of Indonesia Sustainable Development Planning*, 2(1), 86-107. <https://doi.org/10.46456/jisdep.v2i1.110>
3. Arsil, P., Li, E., Bruwer, J., & Lyons, G. (2014). Exploring consumer motivations towards buying local fresh food products. *British Food Journal*, 116(10), 1533-1549. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bfj-04-2013-0083>
4. Asare, Y. (2020). Application of biotechnology for addressing food security in Ghana. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 1(1), 48-54. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijae.v1i1.50>
5. Atmojo, I., Ardiansyah, R., & Saputri, D. (2022). Empowering science-based entrepreneurship (scipreneur) skills through cel-badis up learning model on food biotechnology materials. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(3), 83-102. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.1535a>
6. Atmojo, I., Ardiansyah, R., & Saputri, D. (2022). Empowering science-based entrepreneurship (scipreneur) skills through cel-badis up learning model on food biotechnology materials. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(3), 83-102. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.1535a>
7. Azodi, C. and Dietz, T. (2019). Perceptions of emerging biotechnologies. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(11), 114018. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4433>
8. Capozzi, V., Fragasso, M., & Bimbo, F. (2021). Microbial resources, fermentation and reduction of negative externalities in food systems: patterns toward sustainability and resilience. *Fermentation*, 7(2), 54. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation7020054>
9. Chen, S., Chu, Y., Lin, C., & Chiang, T. (2016). Students' knowledge of, and attitudes towards biotechnology revisited, 1995–2014: changes in agriculture biotechnology but not in medical biotechnology. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 44(5), 475-491. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20969>
10. Das, S., Ray, M., Panday, D., & Mishra, P. (2023). Role of biotechnology in creating sustainable agriculture. *Plos Sustainability and Transformation*, 2(7), e0000069. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pstr.0000069>
11. Haroon, F. and Ghazanfar, M. (2016). Applications of food biotechnology. *Journal of Ecosystem & Ecography*, 06(04). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7625.1000215>
12. Hutari, A. (2024). Analysis of the ecological role and biotechnology potential of marine yeast *rhodotorula* sp. in the mangrove forest of Pari island, Jakarta. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Dan Biologi Nukleus*, 10(1), 106-112. <https://doi.org/10.36987/jpbn.v10i1.5406>
13. Jacobsen, S., Sørensen, M., Pedersen, S., & Weiner, J. (2013). Feeding the world: genetically modified crops versus agricultural biodiversity. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 33(4), 651-662. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0138-9>
14. Muniyawardana, N. and Govender, K. (2019). Fostering biotechnology on the productivity and development of agricultural SMEs (Zimbabwe). *Journal of Sustainable Tourism and Entrepreneurship*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.35912/joste.v1i1.158>
15. Najafabadi, M., Hashemi, S., & Hosseini, S. (2011). Determining extension challenges of agricultural biotechnology from experts' perception. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia*, 8(2), 611-614. <https://doi.org/10.13005/bbra/908>
16. Natalia, D., Pratiwi, E., Andika, M., Rahmah, S., & Ivana, V. (2022). Cost analysis of semi-organic spinach (*ipomoea aquatica* l) cultivation in Lampung State Polytechnic agricultural land. *Economic Management and Social Sciences Journal*, 21-25. <https://doi.org/10.56787/ecomans.v1i1.10>
17. Pathak, R., Baunthiyal, M., Pandey, D., & Kumar, A. (2018). Augmentation of crop productivity through interventions of omics technologies in India: challenges and opportunities. *3 Biotech*, 8(11).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-018-1473-y>

18. Purnomo, A., Yulianto, B., Mahdiannur, M., & Subekti, H. (2022). Embedding sustainable development goals to support curriculum Merdeka using projects in biotechnology. *International Journal of Learning Teaching and Educational Research*, 22(1), 406-433. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.22.1.23>
19. Qotadah, H., Anshory, A., Achmad, A., & Syarifah, M. (2022). Cultured meat for Indonesian Muslim communities: a review of *masalah* and prospect. *Al-Istinbath Jurnal Hukum Islam*, 7(2), 337. <https://doi.org/10.29240/jhi.v7i2.5476>
20. Seid, A. and Andualem, B. (2021). The role of green biotechnology through genetic engineering for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and for food security: current challenges and future perspectives. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2021/v24i130192>
21. Ulum, M. (2021). Regulating biosafety of genetically modified crops in Indonesia: limits and challenges. *Uum Journal of Legal Studies*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.32890/uumjls.12.1.2021.8551>
22. Vel, J., McCarthy, J., & Zen, Z. (2016). The conflicted nature of food security policy: balancing rice, sugar and palm oil in Indonesia. *Anthropological Forum*, 26(3), 233-247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00664677.2016.1190919>
23. Wang, J. and Xin, Z. (2023). The big food view and human health from the prospect of bio-manufacturing and future food. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2023.1160743>