

The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach

C.Leenas Magna, Dr.S.Pradeepa

Department of Science and Humanities, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education,

Coimbatore -641021, India.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.10100000115>

Received: 31 October 2025; Accepted: 05 November 2025; Published: 12 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Silence is often misunderstood as just a lack of speech. It holds deep ethical, emotional, and cultural importance in human experience. This paper uses *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach* as its main theoretical basis to look at silence as a conscious moral and philosophical choice. By closely examining Perumal Murugan's trilogy: *One Part Woman* (2018), *A Lonely Harvest* (2019), and *Trial by Silence* (2019), the study investigates how silence serves both as resistance and restoration in the characters' social and personal lives. Grounded in Jean-Luc Nancy's idea of "being-with" and Sivaram's view of affective subjectivity, the analysis shows that silence does not simply indicate withdrawal or passivity. Instead, it acts as a form of ethical communication that reshapes agency and relationships. Murugan's main characters use silence to navigate their identities, maintain their sense of self, and challenge systems of power. By connecting literary, philosophical, and ethical viewpoints, this paper presents silence as an interdisciplinary concept that crosses linguistic and cultural lines. In the end, silence in Murugan's stories does not represent emptiness or submission. It reflects a thoughtful moral position—showing inner strength, compassion, and human dignity in the face of societal pressure and exclusion.

Keywords: Silence, Ethics, Interdisciplinary, Resistance, Perumal Murugan, Jean-Luc Nancy, Sivaram, Subjectivity

INTRODUCTION

Silence has often been seen as a sign of passivity, submission, or absence. However, this seemingly empty space holds deep ethical, philosophical, and social meaning. In various cultures, literature, and philosophy, silence has served as a place for reflection, negotiation, and resistance. In everyday life, people might view silence as compliance or weakness. Yet, academic research points to a more complex and morally important understanding. *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach* defines silence not as just the lack of speech but as a thoughtful and reflective moral act. It highlights that silence represents understanding, restraint, and emotional intelligence. Silence can also be a way to engage ethically, especially in situations where speaking is limited or difficult. By framing silence as an ethical and culturally significant concept, this work provides a way to explore its importance across various fields, including literature, philosophy, sociology, and ethics.

Within literary studies, silence often conveys what language cannot fully express, such as emotions, trauma, social critique, or moral stance. Perumal Murugan's trilogy, *One Part Woman* (2018), *A Lonely Harvest* (2019), and *Trial by Silence* (2019), provides a rich ground for this kind of analysis. His stories feature characters whose voices are limited by caste systems, patriarchal norms, and social expectations. Both women and men navigate environments that require conformity and silence while also challenging their moral and personal choices. In these texts, silence is not just enforced but is used by the characters as a way to reflect on ethics, endure hardship, and quietly protest. The trilogy shows that silence can serve as a powerful means of expression, capable of conveying dissent, shaping identity, and reclaiming dignity in situations of systemic oppression.

Applying the Ethics of Silence to Murugan's works allows for an interdisciplinary exploration of silence as both

a personal and collective ethical practice. Philosophically, silence is understood through Jean-Luc Nancy's concept of being-with, which emphasizes that ethical existence is inherently relational. In this view, silence is not withdrawal but participation in a shared ethical space. Murugan's characters, through their silences, illustrate this relational ethics. Their refusal to speak or explain does not show disengagement; it signals moral awareness and recognition of shared suffering. At the same time, Sivaram's idea of affective subjectivity highlights silence as a moral response shaped by empathy, reflection, and internalized ethical judgment. This perspective helps us interpret Murugan's narratives as spaces where silence becomes a conscious ethical choice rather than passive compliance. Murugan's trilogy also shows the connection between literature and social ethics. In *One Part Woman*, the protagonist's silence against societal expectations about fertility acts as both resistance and endurance, challenging oppressive social norms. In *A Lonely Harvest*, silence serves as a space for grief, moral reflection, and emotional survival, revealing the inner ethical struggles of characters facing personal and social crises. In *Trial by Silence*, silence becomes a sign of moral awakening, reconciliation, and ethical growth, where characters confront injustice and reclaim agency without speaking out. Throughout these texts, silence is not simply the absence of words; it is a deliberate ethical and narrative strategy that connects literature, philosophy, and social critique.

The interdisciplinary approach highlights silence as a dynamic moral phenomenon. By combining literary interpretation, philosophical reasoning, and ethical analysis, this study places Murugan's narratives in a broader context of silence as a conscious, ethical gesture. Silence acts as a language of resistance, a way to reflect on morals, and a means to assert agency in the face of social and cultural constraints. Through this perspective, Murugan's work shows how silence can express moral courage, human dignity, and ethical strength, demonstrating its role as both a personal and collective ethical practice. Reading Murugan's trilogy through *The Ethics of Silence* points out the moral power of silence as an interdisciplinary concept. Silence in Murugan's works is neither passive nor empty; it is expressive, ethical, and transformative. It serves as a moral lens for individuals to navigate oppressive structures, reclaim their identity, and express ethical views beyond spoken words. By connecting literary study, philosophy, and ethics, this analysis reveals the complex role of silence as a thoughtful and intentional ethical practice, emphasizing its lasting importance in literature and human experience.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The phenomenon of silence is found in many academic fields, such as literature, psychology, philosophy, and communication. Silence, which was once thought to be the absence of speech or passivity, is now more widely acknowledged as a morally and ethically complex act. *The Morality of Silence: A Multidisciplinary Case Study Approach* presents silence as a thoughtful and intentional ethical behavior that can convey accountability, compassion, and moral consciousness. According to this interpretation, remaining silent is a deliberate choice people make about how they interact with one another, society, and themselves rather than just a social or cultural void. In situations where speech may be insufficient, restricted, or hazardous, it serves as a mechanism for emotional control, moral reflection, and subtly protesting.

Jean-Luc Nancy's idea of "being-with" places silence in a more relational and ethical context. Nancy argues that mutual recognition and coexistence, as opposed to isolation, are what constitute an ethical existence. Therefore, silence is relational rather than withdrawal; it embodies awareness of oneself in relation to others and creates space for ethical reflection. Likewise, Sivaram's affective ethics framework highlights silence as a moral reaction influenced by internalized ethical judgment, empathy, and introspection. When these philosophical viewpoints are combined, silence becomes a conscious ethical act that conveys moral awareness in a way that cannot be expressed verbally.

Silence is often used as a tool of empowerment and resistance in literary studies. *Can the Subaltern Speak?*, Gayatri Spivak's groundbreaking book, emphasizes how silence can represent both oppression and autonomy, especially for marginalized groups whose voices have historically been silenced. Research on women-authored texts, like the study "Beyond What's Missing: Silence in Women-Authored Novels," adds to this understanding by highlighting how silence in literature can be a purposeful tactic for regaining agency. Characters can negotiate repressive social structures, assert moral authority, and uphold their integrity without resorting to verbal conflict.

when they remain silent. In a similar vein, Alifa Rifaat's stories highlight the strategic and introspective aspects of withheld speech by demonstrating how silence functions as a nuanced, moral response to social constraints.

The complex function of silence is further clarified by empirical research. According to "The Silent Resistance: An Ethnographic Study of the Use of Silence in Organizational Contexts" and "Some Questions About Silence as Resistance," silence can be used as a form of resistance against hierarchical control in social and organizational contexts. These studies show that conscious silence conveys dissent, power negotiation, and ethical intent. Therefore, silence is an intentional, morally motivated action that opposes prevailing structures while upholding autonomy and dignity rather than just being a lack of expression.

Perumal Murugan's trilogy, *One Part Woman*, *A Lonely Harvest*, and *Trial by Silence*, offers a rich lens through which to analyze the moral significance of silence in literature when these theoretical and empirical insights are applied. The main characters in Murugan's works usually deal with caste-based limitations on speech, gendered expectations, and systemic oppression. These silences can be seen as moral and ethical gestures rather than as passivity when viewed through the prism of *The Ethics of Silence*. For example, in *One Part Woman*, the protagonist's reluctance to express her personal sorrow due to social pressure turns silence into a subtly protestive act. Silence serves as a tool for introspection and perseverance in *A Lonely Harvest*, enabling characters to work through issues of identity, grief, and moral consciousness. By the time of *Trial by Silence*, characters regain their agency and dignity without using words to argue, and silence transforms into moral maturity and ethical reconciliation.

By combining philosophical frameworks with empirical research, it becomes clear that silence in Murugan's works serves as a social commentary tool, a moral strategy, and a personal and collective practice. Although speech is frequently emphasized as the means of expression in traditional literary criticism, this interdisciplinary viewpoint shows that silence itself has moral and ethical significance. It enables characters to express ethical awareness in situations where words might not be able to, negotiate complicated social realities, and exercise agency under pressure.

A strong theoretical basis for analyzing Murugan's stories is provided by the multidisciplinary scholarship on silence, which draws from *The Ethics of Silence*, Nancy, Sivaram, Spivak, and related works. Silence manifests as a conscious, morally charged act of introspection, defiance, and ethical engagement rather than as an absence. From this angle, Murugan's trilogy demonstrates how silence can represent fortitude, autonomy, and moral awareness, making it a significant narrative and philosophical device in modern literature.

METHODOLOGY

One Part Woman (2018), *A Lonely Harvest* (2019), and *Trial by Silence* (2019) by Perumal Murugan explore the moral and ethical aspects of silence through the theoretical framework of *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach*. This study uses a qualitative, interpretative methodology based on textual and philosophical analysis. Since silence is a complicated social, literary, and philosophical phenomenon, it cannot be sufficiently studied with purely empirical or quantitative methods. A nuanced understanding of silence as a morally complex act that is relationally and socially situated is made possible by a qualitative, interpretive framework. By combining ideas from philosophy, literature, ethics, and cultural studies, this methodology develops an interdisciplinary approach that places textual analysis in larger moral and social contexts.

This study differs from earlier literary-ethical approaches by treating silence not simply as repression or symbolism, but as a conscious ethical action rooted in responsibility, dignity, and relational agency. It uniquely applies *The Ethics of Silence* framework to Murugan's fiction, directly linking philosophical ethics with close textual analysis to reveal silence as an active moral practice.

The main source, *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach*, presents silence as a deliberate, introspective, and morally significant practice. This study uses a qualitative, interpretative methodology based on textual and philosophical foundations. The piece emphasizes the complexity of silence

by presenting it as a means of fostering accountability, compassion, moral consciousness, and ethical involvement. According to this viewpoint, silence is a conscious act as opposed to a passive absence that serves as a bridge for negotiating interpersonal relationships, moral quandaries, and social hierarchies. By laying this conceptual framework, the study places the examination of Murugan's writings in a larger context of silence as a socially, culturally, and morally significant practice.

This approach moves beyond traditional readings that view silence mainly as trauma, oppression, or narrative device by interpreting it as a deliberate ethical act grounded in agency and relational responsibility. It uniquely applies The Ethics of Silence framework to Murugan's texts, integrating philosophical ethics with close textual analysis to reveal silence as moral engagement rather than passive absence.

Perumal Murugan's trilogy serves as the supporting case study because it vividly depicts caste-based oppression, social marginalization, and gendered expectations. Characters whose speech and agency are frequently restricted by social pressures are highlighted in Murugan's stories. In these works, silence functions as a morally significant act that allows characters to resist injustice, negotiate identity, and make moral decisions without directly confronting others. The trilogy is a perfect corpus for a qualitative study based on ethical and philosophical analysis because it provides numerous examples of how silence serves as a narrative device, an ethical gesture, and a social commentary.

The philosophical works of Jean-Luc Nancy and Sivaram, as well as multidisciplinary research on silence in literature and social contexts, serve as the foundation for this study's analytical framework. According to Nancy's theory of being-with, coexistence, mutual recognition, and relationality are the foundations of an ethical existence. According to this framework, remaining silent is a relational and moral act rather than a sign of withdrawal. It permits moral introspection and ethical compromise by acknowledging the existence and suffering of the other. By considering silence as a moral reaction to trauma, social oppression, and moral quandaries, Sivaram's concept of affective ethics enhances the framework even more. Perumal Murugan's trilogy serves as the supporting case study because it vividly depicts caste-based oppression, social marginalization, and gendered expectations. Characters whose speech and agency are frequently restricted by social pressures are highlighted in Murugan's stories. In these works, silence functions as a morally significant act that allows characters to resist injustice, negotiate identity, and make moral decisions without directly confronting others. The trilogy is a perfect corpus for a qualitative study based on ethical and philosophical analysis because it provides numerous examples of how silence serves as a narrative device, an ethical gesture, and a social commentary.

A methodical, multi-stage approach is used in the research process to guarantee both analytical rigor and interpretive depth. Selecting and identifying silences in the text is the first step. Here, the study highlights significant scenes and passages where silence has moral or ethical significance. These could include instances of protest, grief, refusal, reconciliation, or introspection. Moments where silence conveys information that speech cannot, allowing for a deeper comprehension of social critique, moral reasoning, and character psychology, are given special attention.

Textual analysis and close reading constitute the second phase. Every instance of silence that has been found is examined for its cultural, ethical, and narrative significance. Plot, dialogue, character development, and thematic patterns are all carefully examined in this process. While allowing for interpretive analysis, close reading keeps the study firmly rooted in the textual evidence. For instance, Ponna's silence in *One Part Woman* of Murugan's trilogy expresses both her own grief and a subtly critical assessment of caste-based and patriarchal forces. Similar to this, Kali's silence in *Trial by Silence* illustrates how silence functions on a personal and interpersonal level and represents moral reflection and ethical reconciliation.

Conceptual mapping, the third step, links textual examples of silence to theoretical ideas taken from Nancy, Sivaram, and *The Ethics of Silence*. This phase looks at the role that silence plays in relational engagement, moral reflection, and ethical negotiation. Conceptual mapping entails classifying silences based on their narrative function, relational context, and ethical significance. Through this mapping of silence, the study shows how Murugan's narratives concretize abstract ethical concepts by identifying recurrent motifs, ethical strategies, and relational patterns throughout the trilogy.

Interdisciplinary synthesis, the fourth step, incorporates ideas from cultural studies, philosophy, literature, and ethics. This stage emphasizes the complexity of silence by placing literary analysis within social and ethical frameworks. Simultaneous analysis of silence as a social commentary, ethical practice, and narrative device is conducted. For example, *A Lonely Harvest's* silent passages are construed as morally contemplative actions that enable characters to deal with bereavement, moral obligation, and interpersonal interaction. By acknowledging the narrative, moral, and social aspects of silence, interdisciplinary synthesis guarantees that the study fully captures its complexity.

Contextual analysis, which places Murugan's stories within their historical, social, and cultural contexts, is the fifth step. Social pressures such as gender norms, caste hierarchies, and social expectations frequently influence silence. By examining how these outside forces affect characters' silences, contextual analysis shows that silence is both a socially situated response and an ethical act committed by the individual. The interdisciplinary nature of the study is reinforced by this stage, which highlights how ethical silence arises in conversation with social structures, cultural norms, and historical realities.

Recognizing the positionality and interpretive role of the researcher, the sixth stage centers on ethical reflexivity. The researcher's impact on analysis and interpretation must be carefully taken into account when conducting qualitative research. By critically interacting with the texts and theoretical frameworks, reflexivity guarantees that the study upholds ethical integrity and analytical rigor. The study guarantees the analysis's balance, credibility, and deep engagement with the literary material and ethical theory by considering interpretive decisions.

Lastly, the methodology integrates integrative and comparative analysis throughout the trilogy. The study finds patterns, contrasts, and ethical developments that cut across Murugan's narrative universe by analyzing silences in a variety of texts. This method enables a thorough comprehension of the progression of silence from individual sorrow to moral reconciliation, relational engagement, and ethical resistance. The interdisciplinary significance of silence is also highlighted by comparative analysis, which shows how it can connect literature, philosophy, and ethics.

A thorough qualitative methodology that incorporates textual analysis, philosophical frameworks, contextual interpretation, and interdisciplinary synthesis is used in this study. The research investigates silence as a site of moral negotiation, social resistance, and ethical reflection using Murugan's trilogy as the supporting case study and *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach* as the main source. A strong framework for comprehending silence as a purposeful, relational, and ethically transformative act is offered by the phases of close reading, conceptual mapping, contextual analysis, interdisciplinary synthesis, ethical reflexivity, and comparative examination. This approach highlights the profound moral, narrative, and relational significance of silence in modern literature by placing literary silences within theoretical, cultural, and ethical frameworks. It also shows how timeless silence is for interdisciplinary research and ethical analysis.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Silence as Moral Consciousness

There is great moral and ethical significance to silence, which is typically understood as the lack of speech or emotional passivity. This study interprets silence as a conscious act of ethical awareness—a purposeful act that conveys moral intent and reflects profound relational understanding—drawing on *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach*. Ponna, a character in Perumal Murugan's *One Part Woman*, is a prime example of this moral consciousness because of her principled and calculated silence in the face of social pressure and public humiliation. Due to caste, tradition, and patriarchal standards, Ponna is subjected to constant social scrutiny about her marital and reproductive status. Speech or protest in these situations would not only be ineffectual but also subject her to additional social criticism. Instead, Ponna's silence functions as an active ethical choice, asserting moral strength and self-respect without direct confrontation.

Silence as Grief and Reflection

A Lonely Harvest's story takes place in rural India, where social norms, gendered expectations, and caste hierarchies have a significant impact on behavior and individual agency. Speaking out against injustice or expressing personal grief can result in criticism, social exclusion, or even violence in such a setting, which frequently restricts the freedom of speech. Silence in these situations is a calculated and morally sound response rather than a sign of weakness. Based on *The Ethics of Silence*, reflective silence gives characters the room they need to work through their feelings and come to morally sound conclusions. Characters navigate repressive social structures while upholding moral integrity by staying silent, showing that moral behavior is not always explicit or verbal.

This type of moral and introspective silence is embodied in several ways by Murugan's characters. For example, characters frequently withdraw inward during times of social humiliation or personal loss, providing a mental and emotional space for processing shame and grief. This retreat is a purposeful stopover that encourages reflection rather than an escape. Characters are able to consider the moral ramifications of their circumstances, assess possible reactions, and negotiate the moral complexities of their situations by refraining from speaking. Characters' inner thoughts are regularly revealed in the text, highlighting how active, morally engaged, and profoundly reflective silence remains.

Sivaram's affective ethics framework is especially helpful in understanding this contemplative quiet. By arguing that moral reactions arise from an awareness of one's own and other people's emotional states, affective ethics emphasizes the relationship between emotion and ethical reasoning. Characters' silences in *A Lonely Harvest* frequently serve as emotional reactions to moral dilemma, social injustice, or grief. Characters who choose to keep quiet show emotional intelligence by admitting their pain without escalating the situation or using reactive language. This kind of quiet is a perfect example of ethical sensitivity because it is measured, thoughtful, and sensitive to the social and moral context. In this way, remaining silent is a sign of moral wisdom and a means of interacting with the outside world in an ethical manner without causing more strife or injury.

Silence's relational component is just as important. In Murugan's story, silence is relational and sensitive to the ethical context of the community; it is rarely solitary or disengaged. Nancy's idea of "being-with" offers a philosophical perspective on this matter. According to Nancy, coexistence and relational awareness—rather than isolation—are what make up an ethical existence. This idea is best illustrated by the silences of Murugan's characters. They maintain their awareness of the moral and social realities around them despite going through personal grief. They acknowledge the common human experience of suffering while upholding their ethical integrity by refusing to respond hastily or to confront injustice verbally. Because it mediates relationships, upholds dignity, and encourages moral engagement, silence is not a sign of withdrawal but rather of participation in an ethical life.

Murugan also highlights how silence has a transformative and temporal aspect. Characters can gradually process their grief through silence, which eventually promotes introspection and resilience. Characters can face feelings of shame and guilt, reconsider moral decisions, and gain a better understanding of both themselves and their society by making time for introspection. This temporal component of silence is consistent with *The Ethics of Silence*, which contends that moral development and ethical reflection frequently call for deliberate thought, restraint, and pauses rather than quick verbal responses. These times of introspection allow characters in *A Lonely Harvest* to synthesize their experiences into a cohesive ethical framework, turning personal hardship into emotional and moral understanding.

Murugan's use of narrative techniques further emphasizes the moral and literary significance of silence. In order to portray the moral discussions taking place in the characters' minds, the author regularly uses interior monologues, vivid imagery, and subliminal narrative clues. Readers can see how silence is actively framed as a relational and morally contemplative practice through these strategies. Silence is portrayed in the narrative as a conscious engagement with social and personal realities rather than as emptiness or passivity. The silent perseverance of characters conveys moral rectitude, ethical discernment, and a sophisticated comprehension of social and relational dynamics.

Furthermore, loss and grief are intimately linked to silence in *A Lonely Harvest*. Grief is an emotional state that necessitates introspection and careful moral consideration; it frequently impairs one's ability to act or speak morally right away. Characters establish an ethical space through silence where grief can be recognized, dealt with, and changed. Characters are able to face the emotional and moral aspects of loss through this introspective process, which develops their ethical awareness and resilience. In this way, remaining silent is both a reaction to loss and a means of fostering moral and emotional development.

Silence's ethical significance is further highlighted by its social and cultural aspects. Verbal expression can be risky in the rural environments Murugan portrays: publicly expressing grief or speaking out against injustice may cause social exclusion or worsen existing harm. Thus, keeping quiet turns into a morally righteous and defensive tactic. It enables characters to deftly negotiate intricate social structures while upholding their moral consciousness and dignity. The ethical aspect of silence in this context is consistent with more general academic understandings of silence as relationally intelligent action, strategic moral engagement, and resistance.

Additionally, reflective silence promotes relational understanding and moral empathy. Characters become more sensitive to the pain and moral needs of others by addressing their own grief and ethical reflection. They can act morally in subtle, nonverbal ways, showing concern and responsibility even in situations where they are constrained, thanks to their relational ethical awareness. This ability is framed by Sivaram's affective ethics as a crucial component of moral intelligence: ethical attunement is made possible by silence, which enables characters to identify and address suffering without causing more harm. According to this theory, silence has both ethical and affective benefits, indirectly promoting moral behavior via introspection, consciousness, and relational sensitivity.

To sum everything up, *A Lonely Harvest* presents silence as a complex ethical and affective practice that can be a space for reflection, a companion to loss, and a means of moral change. The story highlights that silence is an active, intentional, and morally significant practice that enables characters to process grief, face moral quandaries, and develop resilience by drawing on *The Ethics of Silence*. The emotional intelligence present in such silence is further clarified by Sivaram's affective ethics, which emphasizes its ability to acknowledge suffering, uphold dignity, and facilitate moral reflection. Murugan's characters develop a deeper ethical and emotional understanding of themselves, society, and the relational aspects of human life via silent perseverance. Its profound significance in contemporary literature, ethics, and interdisciplinary scholarship is thus highlighted by the way silence emerges not as emptiness or absence but as a transformative ethical practice that integrates grief, reflection, moral consciousness, and relational awareness.

Silence as Resistance and Renewal

Perumal Murugan completes the ethical cycle examined in his trilogy *Trial by Silence* by presenting silence as an active, morally transforming practice. The main purpose of silence in *Trial by Silence* is ethical resistance, as opposed to the contemplative or sorrowful silences in *A Lonely Harvest*. After being betrayed, humiliated, and shunned by society, Kali, the main character, decides to keep quiet. Crucially, this silence is not a sign of resignation, passivity, or defeat; rather, it is a conscious, intentional act that permits self-reclamation, ethical engagement with oneself and society, and personal transformation. Through this story, Murugan highlights how silence can serve as a powerful moral position that can establish boundaries, promote self-control, and open up relationships for empathy and healing.

The Morality of Silence: A Multidisciplinary Case Study Approach offers a theoretically critical framework for understanding Kali's silence. Rather than being merely the absence of speech, the text frames silence as an ethically complex act. It makes the case that people can assert ethical agency, control affective reactions, and resolve moral quandaries without using words or violence. When Kali is betrayed, his initial emotional reaction is one of indignation and rage. Traditional social norms may call for instantaneous verbal or physical reprisals. However, Kali's conscious decision to remain silent demonstrates moral foresight and ethical self-control. He exhibits an active engagement with moral principles by controlling his reactive impulses, turning his suffering into a catalyst for moral behavior and integrity.

The relational aspect of Kali's silence is clarified by Nancy's concept of being-with. Being silent is a relational

and ethically participatory act. By remaining silent, Kali recognizes the universal human experience of pain, betrayal, and fallibility without intensifying hostilities. His quiet fosters a relationship environment that allows for moral understanding, compassion, and ethical contemplation. Silence conveys awareness and moral presence without the possible negative effects of rash verbal expression, making it a type of ethical engagement in this sense. Kali balances self-preservation with acknowledging the ethical agency of others by negotiating relational ethics through silence.

Sivaram's affective-ethical framework further deepens the interpretive possibilities of silence in *Trial by Silence*, foregrounding the emotional intelligence embedded in restrained expression. Affective ethics posits that moral conduct arises from a nuanced awareness of one's own emotions and those of others, combined with the capacity to respond in ways that minimize harm while preserving dignity and ethical integrity. Kali's silence exemplifies this principle: he consciously redirects his moral and emotional energies toward self-regulation and introspection, recognising that verbal retaliation would intensify suffering for himself and disrupt the fragile social fabric around him. In this formulation, silence becomes an affective practice of resilience, enabling the protagonist to process trauma without compromising his ethical principles.

Murugan's narrative strategies reinforce the moral force of this silence. Through interior monologue, textured descriptive passages, and subtle psychological cues, the narrative grants readers access to the ethical deliberations that accompany Kali's withdrawal from speech. Silence here is not an absence but a deliberate and reflective mode of ethical engagement. By foregrounding the protagonist's inner conflicts and measured responses, Murugan positions silence as a morally purposeful, relationally attuned, and emotionally discerning act rather than passive retreat.

Such silence simultaneously functions as ethical resistance. Murugan situates Kali within a cultural milieu that privileges vocal assertion, confrontation, and externalized power. Against this value system, Kali's quietude defies dominant social logics that conflate speech with authority and moral legitimacy. His refusal to retaliate—whether through anger, accusation, or verbal hostility—constitutes a form of counter-hegemonic agency. Silence becomes a subtle but incisive ethical critique that destabilizes the assumption that vocality signifies strength. In choosing stillness over aggression, Kali affirms moral agency, preserves relational equilibrium, and articulates a dual gesture of protest and peace.

Importantly, silence also facilitates emotional and ethical self-reclamation. Kali's restraint enables him to transcend reactive impulses—anger, humiliation, and resentment—and instead cultivate inner composure, boundaries, and self-respect. Through this disciplined inward turn, Murugan portrays silence as an instrument of ethical self-fashioning. Moral authority in this narrative emerges not from public assertion but from deliberate introspection and the sustained effort to inhabit pain without perpetuating it.

The relational dimension of ethical silence is equally significant. By withholding retaliatory speech, Kali preserves ethical space for recognition, reconciliation, and future dialogue. This intersubjective orientation aligns with Nancy's and Sivaram's understanding of silence as a mode of ethical openness rather than isolation. Silence becomes a means of honoring relational complexity, balancing self-protection with the potential for moral repair, and sustaining a form of ethical hospitality even in the aftermath of betrayal.

The cultural context of the novel further reinforces the strategic and ethical valence of silence. Set within a rural caste-bound social order, *Trial by Silence* illuminates how speech can trigger social censure, escalate conflict, or reproduce harm. Kali's silence therefore acquires contextual urgency: it becomes not only a personal ethical stance but also a socially attuned strategy that respects lived realities, communal obligations, and the precariousness of social life. Silence here embodies reflective discernment grounded in cultural awareness.

Across the narrative, Murugan articulates silence as a multi-layered ethical modality—emotive, relational, and resistant. Silence allows characters to negotiate moral dilemmas, cultivate emotional maturity, and assert agency without recourse to verbal defense. Expanding the premises of *The Ethics of Silence*, Murugan demonstrates that quietude can be a site of ethical presence rather than erasure, an active vocabulary of self-possession, vulnerability, and principled restraint.

In *Trial by Silence*, Murugan completes a sustained ethical inquiry initiated in his earlier works. Kali's silence

emerges as a transformative moral practice—one that transcends reactive violence, establishes ethical boundaries, and nurtures empathetic consciousness. Drawing from Nancy and Sivaram, the narrative reveals silence as a mode of ethical resistance that contests dominant paradigms of speech-as-power. Silence becomes a paradoxical yet potent form of moral discourse: at once protest and protection, sovereignty and surrender, peace and resistance. In transforming silence into an ethical stance rather than a symptom of subjugation, Murugan illuminates its profound affective, philosophical, and human significance.

CONCLUSION

When analyzed using the framework of *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach*, silence—which is frequently written off in popular discourse as a void or passive absence—acquires significant ethical and philosophical significance. In order to show that silence is a purposeful, morally conscious, and relationally conscious practice rather than just a lack of speech, this study has attempted to interpret Perumal Murugan's trilogy—*One Part Woman*, *A Lonely Harvest*, and *Trial by Silence*—through this lens. Murugan's characters face personal suffering, moral quandaries, and social oppression throughout the trilogy. Their silences also highlight the moral, emotional, and spiritual aspects of human perseverance. Silence emerges as an ethical language—a mode of moral resistance, reflective introspection, and relational engagement that communicates values, asserts dignity, and cultivates moral resilience in the face of systemic injustice.

Often dismissed in popular discourse as a void or passive absence, silence takes on substantial ethical and philosophical significance when examined through the lens of *The Ethics of Silence: An Interdisciplinary Case Analysis Approach*. This study has attempted to interpret Perumal Murugan's trilogy—*One Part Woman*, *A Lonely Harvest*, and *Trial by Silence*—through this lens in order to demonstrate that silence is a deliberate, morally conscious, and relationally conscious practice rather than merely a lack of speech. Throughout the trilogy, Murugan's characters deal with social oppression, moral dilemmas, and personal suffering. The moral, emotional, and spiritual facets of human tenacity are also highlighted by their silences.

Silence serves as moral consciousness in *One Part Woman*. The ethical power of restraint is demonstrated by Ponna's refusal to react to public humiliation. Through quiet perseverance rather than argument or protest, she manages social disapproval and moral decay. Here, remaining silent conveys moral integrity and acts as a form of resistance that goes beyond immediate social expectations. Ponna's silence, which draws from Nancy's idea of being-with, is relational; it recognizes the universal human experience of suffering and moral complexity without being hostile or confrontational. By demonstrating how silence can be a relational act that promotes empathy, recognition, and dignity as well as an ethical response, her quiet asserts moral agency.

Silence transforms into a language of sorrow and thoughtful reflection in *A Lonely Harvest*. Silence is used as a space for ethical reflection and emotional intelligence by characters who are dealing with relational tension, societal injustice, and personal loss. This dynamic is explained by Sivaram's affective ethics, which demonstrates how characters can acknowledge suffering, deal with grief, and develop moral fortitude without causing more harm by remaining silent. The story presents silence as an inward ethical space where moral consideration and emotional awareness converge, and as a companion to loss. Silence serves as both moral healing and introspection in this setting, allowing characters to interact with one another and themselves in morally sound ways. Therefore, silence has both social and personal ethical significance, turning suffering into a chance for moral and emotional development.

Silence as a *Trial* finalizes the moral development of silence in Murugan's trilogy. Silence as ethical resistance is best illustrated by Kali's conscious decision to keep quiet after being betrayed. By refraining from speaking, he regains self-control and moral authority while overcoming instantaneous emotional reactions like anger and indignation. Being silent turns into a protest and a statement of moral limits. Thus, silence serves as both a tool for moral realignment on an internal level and a subtly critical critique of social norms that prioritize speech as the main form of agency in Murugan's story. This last illustration emphasizes that silence is a conscious ethical presence that can uphold dignity, oppose injustice, and foster empathy in relationships rather than just being a state of absence.

Together, the trilogy shows how silence functions at the nexus of social, emotional, and moral dimensions. It is a conscious expression of ethical awareness rather than a vacuum. Murugan's characters use silence to negotiate intricate social structures, balance individual and societal moral obligations, and exercise thoughtful moral judgment. Through restraint, relational awareness, and reflective endurance, morality and human dignity can be communicated. Silence serves as a medium for ethical discourse that goes beyond verbal articulation. In this way, silence serves as a literary and philosophical instrument that connects multidisciplinary ethical reasoning with narrative representation.

From a theoretical standpoint, the study supports the claim that silence is a morally generative force, which was made in *The Ethics of Silence*. Silence can resist injustice, promote relational empathy, and uphold moral and personal integrity. It is a symbol of understanding, ethical restraint, and emotional intelligence. Throughout Murugan's trilogy, silence transforms society and individuals by preserving dignity in oppressive situations, fostering thoughtful engagement with suffering, and conveying moral awareness where speech would fail. Murugan's fiction shows that human agency can be powerfully enacted through intentional, morally grounded quiet, as it emphasizes silence as an ethical presence.

The ethical complexity of silence is further illuminated by the interdisciplinary approach used in this study, which integrates literary analysis with philosophical, ethical, and affective frameworks. According to Nancy's relational philosophy, silence can serve as relational engagement rather than isolation and highlights how ethical life is interconnected. According to Sivaram's affective ethics, silence has the emotional intelligence to mediate suffering, foster empathy, and exercise moral judgment. By showing that silence is a form of moral communication and ethical dialogue just as important as verbal articulation, these frameworks collectively offer a strong interpretive lens for comprehending the ethical aspects of Murugan's characters and their silences.

Ultimately, Murugan's trilogy presents silence as a phenomenon that is morally complex and transformative. It disproves the widely held beliefs that speech equates to power by showing that moral reflection, agency assertion, and resistance to injustice can all be achieved through silence without resorting to violence or confrontation. Characters use silence as a means of navigating moral quandaries, social oppression, and personal grief with dignity and integrity. Silence emerges as the language of moral endurance, spiritual resilience, and ethical engagement. Thus, in both theory and fiction, silence is regarded as the most profound kind of ethical discourse; it is a human act that, in the absence of words, can affirm relational understanding, restore moral order, and communicate ethical presence.

In conclusion, the analysis of Perumal Murugan's trilogy through the framework of *The Ethics of Silence* demonstrates that silence is an active, morally significant, and relationally aware practice. It functions as moral resistance, reflective introspection, emotional intelligence, and ethical presence. Murugan's characters, through silent endurance, reveal that silence is capable of asserting boundaries, fostering empathy, and transforming suffering into moral and spiritual resilience. Silence, far from being a passive absence, emerges as a potent ethical language, one that communicates dignity, moral agency, and relational awareness in the most challenging social and emotional circumstances. This study underscores that silence is both a literary and philosophical site of ethical engagement—a space where human morality, emotional intelligence, and social consciousness converge to produce profound insight and transformation.

REFERENCES

1. Billias, N., & Vemuri, S. (2017). *The Ethics of Silence: An interdisciplinary case analysis approach*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-50382-0>
2. Asokan, S. H. K. (2022). Movie minorities: transnational rights advocacy and South Korean cinema. *the Journal of Korean Studies*, 27(2), 389–393. <https://doi.org/10.1215/07311613-9859915>
3. Back, A. L., Arnold, R. M., & Quill, T. E. (2003). Hope for the best, and prepare for the worst. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 138(5), 439–443. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-138-5-200303040-00028>
4. Henry, J. A. (2022). Reparative Public Musicology: Empowering and Centering Community Knowledge Production through Counter-Storytelling Practice. *American Music*, 40(3), 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.5406/19452349.40.3.02>

5. Karam, C. M., Metcalfe, B. D., Afiouni, F., Karam, C. M., Metcalfe, B. D., & Afiouni, F. (2018). Gender and governance in developing economies. *Business Ethics a European Review*, 27(4), 287–293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12193>
6. Kisler, R., & Kisler, R. (2023). Silenced Heritage: Israel's Heritage Plan Vis-à-Vis Non-Jewish history. *Nationalism and Ethnic Politics*, 30(2), 252–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13537113.2023.2254040>
7. Olson, K. G., & Olson, K. G. (2020). Disciplinary Futures and Reorienting Research: A reply to Jobson and Rosenzweig on Doing Anthropology in the age of COVID. *American Anthropologist*, 123(1), 170–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aman.13526>
8. Puchalski, C., & Romer, A. L. (2000). Taking a spiritual history allows clinicians to understand patients more fully. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 3(1), 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2000.3.129>
9. Rosen, N. (2023). Conference Report: Asian/American Jazz: Past, Present, Future. *American Music*, 41(1), 72–81. <https://doi.org/10.5406/19452349.41.1.07>
10. Sjoberg, L. (2018). The ethics of silence: an interdisciplinary case analysis approach. *International Affairs*, 94(6), 1447–1448. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iyy180>
11. Sundar, P., & Mukherjee, D. (2022a). Editors' introduction. *Feminist Media Histories*, 8(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1525/fmh.2022.8.1.1>
12. Farhan, R. N. (2025). Postcolonial feminism, subaltern voices and literary silences: A new paradigm. *International Journal of Language, Literature and Culture*, 5(4), 91-108. Neliti
13. Mushtaq, S. (2025). The condition of women in silence and memory: A narratological and sociocritical study of Shashi Deshpande's *That Long Silence* and Tahar Ben Jelloun's *Sacred Night*. *Shodh Sagar Journal of Language, Arts, Culture & Film*, 2(3), 18-31. <https://doi.org/10.36676/jlacf.v2.i3.41> jlacf.shodhsagar.org
14. MacLure, M., et al. (2022). Silences & Silencings: An exploratory study on feminist and queer scholars and activists' experiences of and responses to silencing. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 28(7), 953-969.