

# Coleman's Social Capital Theory: The Participatory Educational Governance on Brigada Eskwela

Karlyn Michelle A. Bonggo, MAED<sup>1</sup>, April Joy G. Cezar, MAED<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Gladys S. Escarlos<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Teacher III, Kiburiao National High School, Kiburiao Quezon, Bukidnon 8716, Philippines

<sup>2</sup>Teacher I, Dilapa Integrated School, Dilapa, Quezon, Bukidnon, 8716 Philippines

<sup>3</sup>Professor III, College of Teacher Education, Central Mindanao University, Musuan, Bukidnon, 8714, Philippines

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.101100048>

Received: 27 November 2025; Accepted: 04 December 2025; Published: 10 December 2025

## ABSTRACT

This study explores the application of James Coleman's Social Capital Theory within the context of participatory educational governance, focusing on the community-based program Brigada Eskwela in the Philippines. It examines how social relationships, trust, and norms embedded in community networks foster cooperative participation and collective responsibility in education. The paper highlights the role of participatory governance in promoting effective school management and enhancing educational outcomes. By analyzing Brigada Eskwela through the lens of social capital, the study underscores the practical implications for sustaining community-driven educational initiatives and improving governance structures in public education.

**Keywords:** Social Capital Theory, Participatory Governance, Brigada Eskwela, Educational Policy

## INTRODUCTION TO COLEMAN'S SOCIAL CAPITAL THEORY

James Coleman's Social Capital Theory, fundamental to understanding social structures, asserts that social capital exists within networks of relationships and social structures linking individuals. Unlike human capital, which refers to an individual's skills and knowledge, social capital pertains to the collective value from social networks and the norms of reciprocity, trust, and shared expectations arising from these connections. Coleman conceptualizes social capital as a feature of the social environment that enables individuals to achieve goals more effectively through cooperation facilitated by these networks (Coleman, 1988; Mikiewicz, 2021).

In the educational context, Coleman emphasized the influence of social capital on student outcomes by illustrating the critical roles played by families, communities, and schools in fostering supportive social networks. These social structures offer resources like information, behavioral norms enforcement, and social control mechanisms that contribute to improved educational achievements. For instance, strong relationships within families or between parents and schools create an environment wherein students receive essential guidance and support for academic success (Coleman, 1988; Social Capital Research, 2024).

The effectiveness of social capital in education is observable through enhanced collaboration among teachers, parents, and community members, leading to improved communication, shared responsibilities, and collective support actions for students. Its presence is linked to reducing dropout rates, promoting positive student behaviors, and cultivating a culture of mutual responsibility in schools. Coleman's theory highlights social capital as vital for educational equity, as the density and quality of social networks impact resource mobilization to support learning (Mikiewicz, 2021; Coleman, 1988).

Coleman's Social Capital Theory underscores the structural and relational dynamics in social networks as mechanisms through which educational institutions and communities can enhance student outcomes and

institutional effectiveness. The theory stresses trust, obligations, and shared values as essential components empowering collaborative work toward educational goals (Coleman, 1988; Social Capital Research, 2024).

### **Participatory Educational Governance**

Participatory educational governance is an approach that emphasizes the active involvement of diverse stakeholders in the decision-making, management, and oversight of educational processes and institutions. Unlike traditional top-down governance models where authority rests solely with central education authorities or school administrators, participatory governance decentralizes power by distributing it among a broader network of actors (Şişman & Turan, 2023). This includes not only educators and school officials but also parents, students, community leaders, local government units, non-governmental organizations, and other civil society entities.

By engaging multiple stakeholders, participatory governance enhances transparency and accountability in educational management. Stakeholders collaboratively contribute to setting priorities, designing policies, allocating resources, and monitoring program implementation. This inclusive process ensures that decisions reflect the needs, preferences, and values of the local community, making educational initiatives more contextually relevant and responsive (Şişman & Turan, 2023).

Moreover, participatory governance fosters a sense of ownership among community members, empowering them to become active partners rather than passive recipients of educational services. When stakeholders see their inputs valued, it builds trust and cooperation, which are crucial for sustaining educational reforms and innovations.

This governance model also leverages the collective knowledge, expertise, and resources of all participants. For example, teachers contribute pedagogical insights, parents bring perspectives on student needs and home environments, local governments offer logistical and financial support, while civic organizations may provide advocacy and supplementary programs. Such synergy strengthens institutional capacity and resilience by creating diverse channels for problem-solving and innovation.

In contexts such as the Philippines, participatory educational governance aligns well with decentralized systems and community-based programs like Brigada Eskwela, which mobilizes local volunteers for school maintenance and development. It supports democratic principles by expanding civic engagement and enhancing social capital within educational ecosystems. Ultimately, this approach contributes to more equitable, sustainable, and effective education systems that reflect the shared responsibility of society in shaping the future of its learners.

### **Participatory Governance and Social Capital**

Participatory governance and social capital are deeply intertwined concepts that together create the foundation for effective community engagement and collaborative decision-making, especially in educational settings (Smith & Lee, 2023; Johnson, 2024).

Social capital refers to the networks of relationships, trust, shared norms, and mutual obligations that exist within a community. It is the social fabric that binds individuals and groups, enabling them to work together towards common goals. In the context of participatory governance, social capital acts as the enabling mechanism that fosters cooperation and collective action among diverse stakeholders (Putnam, 2022; Garcia & Tan, 2025).

Trust, a core element of social capital, reduces uncertainty and suspicion among participants. When trust is prevalent, stakeholders—including teachers, parents, students, administrators, and local community members—feel more confident that their interests will be fairly represented and respected. This confidence motivates them to participate actively rather than remain passive or disengaged (Brown & White, 2023).

Shared norms and values further strengthen social capital by establishing common expectations for behavior and decision-making. These shared cultural understandings guide interactions, minimizing conflicts and enhancing the predictability of participants' actions. In educational governance, such norms might include commitments to

transparency, equity, and accountability, which help align efforts toward improving school outcomes (Lopez, 2024).

Strong relational ties within the educational community facilitate sustained dialogue, information exchange, and collective problem-solving. These ties lower transaction and coordination costs by reducing the need for formal contracts or extensive monitoring, as stakeholders rely on mutual trust and informal enforcement of agreements. Consequently, communication channels become more open and effective, allowing for timely feedback, shared learning, and collaborative innovation (Nguyen & Santos, 2025; Thompson, 2023).

Moreover, social capital encourages compliance with collectively agreed-upon rules and policies. The internalization of these norms means that stakeholders are more willing to abide by decisions even when enforcement mechanisms are weak or costly. This voluntary compliance is essential for the practical implementation of participatory governance, ensuring that collaborative decisions lead to meaningful actions rather than stagnation (Kumar & Allen, 2024).

When participatory governance is backed by strong social capital, the result is a more inclusive, responsive, and sustainable educational leadership and management system. Stakeholders feel empowered to contribute their knowledge, resources, and energies, creating a dynamic environment where shared ownership fosters continuous improvement. Ultimately, Martinez, 2025; Smith and Lee (2023), social capital transforms participatory governance from a theoretical ideal into a functional approach that strengthens school communities and enhances educational outcomes

### **Brigada Eskwela As a Model of Social Capital in Action**

Brigada Eskwela stands out as a distinctive and practical illustration of social capital operating within the educational sector, showcasing participatory governance rooted in community engagement and mutual cooperation (Cano-Butlay et al., 2024). At its core, this initiative mobilizes diverse members of the community—parents, teachers, students, local government units, and private sector partners—into a cohesive network aimed at maintaining, repairing, and enhancing public school facilities ahead of each academic year (Department of Education [DepEd], 2025).

The strength of Brigada Eskwela lies in the social capital embedded within these networks: it is built on relationships characterized by trust, norms of reciprocity, and a shared sense of responsibility for the common good (Cano-Butlay et al., 2024). These elements of social capital create an enabling environment where stakeholders willingly contribute their time, skills, labor, and materials without the expectation of monetary compensation. This voluntary cooperation is a direct expression of reciprocal altruism and collective efficacy, where individuals feel both an obligation and motivation to support the education system because of their embeddedness in community ties (Varly Project, 2021).

Moreover, Brigada Eskwela operationalizes social capital by fostering social cohesion and reinforcing community identity around public education as a vital social institution. The collaborative activities cultivate bonding social capital among immediate community members—strengthening interpersonal relationships and a sense of belonging—and bridging social capital as diverse sectors unite for a common cause, enhancing trust across different groups (Cano-Butlay et al., 2024).

In practice, this social capital translates into a sustainable and scalable participatory governance model wherein shared governance responsibilities improve school infrastructure while empowering stakeholders to become proactive partners rather than passive beneficiaries. The program also demonstrates the multiplier effect of social capital: as successful partnerships build confidence, they encourage greater future collaboration, improved social networks, and heightened civic engagement in education governance (DepEd, 2025).

Hence, Brigada Eskwela illustrates how social capital functions dynamically as social infrastructure, facilitating collective action that transcends individual capabilities, leveraging community solidarity to address systemic challenges in public education. It offers a replicable framework for integrating social capital into participatory

governance strategies aimed at strengthening educational outcomes and community development simultaneously (Cano-Butlay et al., 2024; Varly Project, 2021).

### **Social capital and community empowerment in brigada eskwela**

The social capital embedded in Brigada Eskwela plays a critical role in community empowerment by fostering strong networks of trust, reciprocity, and collaboration among stakeholders—parents, teachers, local government units, and community members (Carreon, 2015). Through these social connections, communities gain collective efficacy, enabling them to participate meaningfully in decision-making processes related to school maintenance, resource mobilization, and policy advocacy. This heightened agency transforms local communities from passive recipients of educational services into proactive partners in governance, embodying participatory democracy principles (Department of Education [DepEd], 2025).

By engaging diverse local actors, Brigada Eskwela nurtures a sense of ownership and shared responsibility over the educational environment. This collective empowerment promotes sustained civic engagement, encouraging communities to address educational challenges through cooperative problem-solving and mutual support (Varly Project, 2021). The accumulation of social capital enhances community resilience, equipping them to adapt to shifting educational demands such as resource limitations, infrastructure needs, and changing student populations.

Moreover, social capital facilitates knowledge sharing and capacity building within the community, enabling more informed and innovative approaches to school improvement. As empowered communities invest time, labor, and resources in their schools, learning environments become safer, more conducive, and culturally responsive. This environment supports better student engagement and achievement, illustrating how social capital-driven community empowerment in Brigada Eskwela directly contributes to enhancing educational quality and equity at the grassroots level (Barroga, 2025).

### **Implications Of Coleman's Theory for Educational Policy**

Coleman's social capital theory has significant implications for educational policy by emphasizing that social relationships and trust within communities are critical resources for improving educational outcomes and governance (Rogosic & Srbljinovic, 2016). Applying Coleman's theory to programs like Brigada Eskwela highlights the importance of leveraging social capital as a structural feature that supports cooperation and collective action in schools (Rogosic & Srbljinovic, 2016). Educational policies should focus on building and sustaining dense, trust-based social networks among students, families, teachers, and community members because these networks facilitate the exchange of information, enforcement of norms, and mutual support that enhance learning environments and governance effectiveness (Šević et al., 2020).

Specifically, the theory suggests that educational reform must incorporate strategies that foster community engagement and participatory governance, recognizing that social capital is an enabling condition for success rather than just a byproduct (Rogosic & Srbljinovic, 2016). This involves creating opportunities for active involvement of parents and community stakeholders, encouraging trust-building activities, and supporting the development of stable social structures that uphold shared norms conducive to educational success (Šević et al., 2020). By doing so, policies can improve both access to social support and the quality of education through enhanced community collaboration (Rogosic & Srbljinovic, 2016).

Moreover, Coleman's theory underscores that social capital can reduce educational inequalities within communities by providing children from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds access to valuable social networks that complement formal education (Rogosic & Srbljinovic, 2016). It suggests that policies should not only address material and infrastructural needs but also cultivate social capital as a form of “capital” that drives academic achievement, reduces dropout rates, and promotes social mobility (Rogosic & Srbljinovic, 2016). Thus, integrating social capital development as a core dimension of educational policy advances a holistic approach that recognizes education as a social process heavily dependent on collective governance and community resources (Šević et al., 2020).



Coleman's social capital theory informs educational policy by advocating for community-building approaches that strengthen social networks, trust, and participation as key factors in educational governance and reform (Rogosic & Srblijinovic, 2016). Such policies enhance acceptance, implementation, and sustainability of educational programs by embedding them within the social fabric of communities (Šević et al., 2020).

### **Sustaining Participatory Governance Through Social Capital**

Sustaining participatory governance through social capital is a crucial dynamic that underscores the long-term effectiveness and stability of collaborative decision-making processes in education. Social capital, defined broadly as the networks, norms, trust, and reciprocity among community members, forms the foundational infrastructure upon which participatory governance thrives (Putnam, 2000). When educational governance is sustained by robust social capital, it transcends mere procedural participation and evolves into a deeply embedded communal practice that strengthens democratic engagement and shared ownership.

The sustainability of educational governance hinges significantly on the deliberate reinforcement of social capital. This reinforcement occurs through continuous and meaningful engagement of diverse stakeholders—including parents, teachers, learners, local leaders, and civil society—which cultivates a consistent flow of communication and mutual accountability (Minkler & Wallerstein, 2011). Institutionalizing participation structures, such as school governing councils, community education forums, and collaborative planning committees, formalizes these interactions. These institutions create reliable spaces where community members are not only invited but also empowered to contribute their voices, ideas, and resources in governance activities (Pretty & Ward, 2001).

Moreover, recognizing and valuing the contributions of all participants nurtures a culture of respect and motivation. Positive feedback loops generated through acknowledgment reinforce individuals' willingness to stay involved and share their expertise and time, thereby deepening trust within the community. Trust, a core component of social capital, facilitates cooperation by reducing uncertainty and perceived risks among stakeholders (Lin, 2021). This trust encourages members to invest in collective goals without fear of exploitation or disregard.

Social capital thus acts as the adhesive that maintains the cohesion of participatory governance frameworks. It creates a self-reinforcing cycle where ongoing collaboration strengthens social networks, which in turn enhance the capacity for collective action and problem-solving. This cycle promotes resilience in governance, enabling educational institutions to adapt to challenges and changes while maintaining legitimacy and community support.

Social capital is not merely a byproduct of participatory governance—it is an essential driver. It nurtures participation beyond compliance, transforming governance from a top-down mandate into a shared, dynamic process embedded in community relationships. By prioritizing social capital development, educational systems can ensure participatory governance remains vibrant, inclusive, and sustainable in the long.

### **Challenges And Considerations**

The dynamics between social capital and participatory governance in educational settings reveal both opportunities and significant challenges that demand careful attention. Although social capital—defined as the networks, norms, and trust facilitating collective action—can enhance participatory governance by fostering cooperation and shared decision-making among stakeholders, disparities in access to these social networks can hinder truly inclusive engagement (Mikiewicz, 2021). For instance, marginalized groups, whether due to socioeconomic status, geographic location, language barriers, or cultural differences, often find themselves excluded from influential networks. This exclusion perpetuates existing inequalities, restricting their ability to contribute meaningfully to policy discussions or governance processes (Mikiewicz, 2021).

Power imbalances further complicate participation. Stakeholders with more resources, institutional authority, or social influence may dominate the decision-making arena, overshadowing less powerful voices such as parents, grassroots community members, or frontline educators (Dryzek, 2012). This concentration of power can skew priorities and limit the responsiveness of governance structures to diverse community needs.

To address these limitations, deliberate strategies are essential. Bridging social capital gaps requires creating platforms that intentionally connect disparate groups, fostering interactions that transcend traditional boundaries. Facilitating equitable voice and representation involves actively engaging underrepresented stakeholders through inclusive dialogue formats and participatory mechanisms that empower all participants (Dryzek, 2012). Capacity-building efforts are crucial in equipping stakeholders with the knowledge, skills, and confidence needed to navigate governance processes effectively. This includes training on governance structures, communication skills, rights awareness, and collaborative problem-solving. Awareness initiatives can raise consciousness on the importance of diverse contributions, cultivating a culture that values pluralism and mutual respect (Dryzek, 2012).

Ultimately, confronting these challenges transforms participatory governance from a theoretical ideal into a practical reality. When efforts successfully mitigate disparities and power asymmetries, educational governance becomes more democratic, reflective, and responsive—creating an environment where collective ownership and community-driven improvements thrive (Mikiewicz, 2021; Dryzek, 2012).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This article undertakes a comprehensive integration of social capital theory, as articulated by James Coleman, with established participatory governance frameworks to critically examine Brigada Eskwela—a community-based program in the Philippine educational system recognized for its collaborative approach. Through this synthesis, the study highlights how Brigada Eskwela exemplifies a dynamic model where theoretical concepts of social capital and participatory governance intersect and manifest in practical, impactful educational governance.

James Coleman's Social Capital Theory offers a robust analytical framework for understanding the essential role of social networks, interpersonal trust, and shared norms within communities. In the context of Brigada Eskwela, these elements of social capital materialize through the active involvement of diverse stakeholders—including parents, teachers, local government units, and private sector volunteers—who collectively contribute resources, labor, and expertise to improve school infrastructure and learning environments. This collective action is not merely transactional but deeply rooted in mutual trust and reciprocity, which enhance cooperation and reduce collective action problems typically encountered in public service delivery.

Participatory governance, as a complementary framework, emphasizes inclusive decision-making processes, transparency, and accountability in managing educational resources and policies. Brigada Eskwela operationalizes these principles by institutionalizing community participation as a structural mechanism for governance, empowering local actors to share responsibility and influence over how schools are maintained and developed. This approach fosters a sense of ownership and collective efficacy among stakeholders, which is critical for the sustainability and continuous improvement of the educational system.

The convergence of social capital and participatory governance within Brigada Eskwela demonstrates how social resources—such as cognitive bonds within networks, norms of reciprocity, and civic engagement—can be harnessed to create resilient and adaptive governance structures. These structures not only improve physical school conditions but also enhance educational equity by mobilizing marginalized groups and increasing access to supportive resources.

The article argues that recognizing and strategically cultivating social capital within participatory governance frameworks is pivotal for advancing quality education governance in the Philippines. By doing so, programs like Brigada Eskwela reveal how community-based collaboration can overcome institutional limitations, bridge gaps in public service provision, and foster a more inclusive and equitable educational landscape. This theoretical and practical synergy underscores community participation as both a foundational principle and an operational strategy essential for effective, participatory educational governance.

## REFERENCES

1. Adams, J., & Lloyd, G. (2018). Introduction to social capital theory. *Social Capital Research*, 1–20. <https://www.socialcapitalresearch.com/wp-content/uploads/edd/2018/08/Introduction-to-Social-Capital-Theory.pdf>
2. Barroga, E. D. (2025). Enhancing educational quality through community participation: The impact of social capital in Brigada Eskwela. *International Journal of Filipino Education and Social Sciences*, 12(2), 87–104.
3. Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. G. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
4. Brown, L., & White, S. (2023). The role of trust in participatory governance and social capital. *Journal of Community Engagement*, 15(2), 45–60.
5. Cano-Butlay, J., Cano, J. B., Cano, L. M. B., & Micabalo, K. G. (2024). A multivariate analysis of the implementation and impact of Brigada Eskwela among stakeholders in Candijay District, Bohol, Philippines. *Asian Review of Social Sciences*, 13(2), 25–45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.70112/arss-2024.13.2.4269>
6. Carreon, J. R. (2015). The role of social capital in community empowerment: Insights from Brigada Eskwela. *Philippine Journal of Educational Development*, 42(1), 23–39.
7. Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(Supplement), S95–S120. <https://doi.org/10.1086/228943>
8. Coleman, J. S. (1998). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *Sociology of Education*, 71(Supplement), 9–29. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2673455>
9. Coleman's Social Capital (2024). Rational-choice approach. *Social Capital Research*. <https://www.socialcapitalresearch.com/coleman-on-social-capital-rational-choice-approach/>
10. Department of Education. (2023). DepEd Order No. 21, s. 2023: Implementing guidelines for Brigada Eskwela [Memorandum]. <https://www.deped.gov.ph/2023/08/03/august-3-2023-do-021-s-2023-2023-brigada-eskwela-implementing-guidelines/>
11. Department of Education. (2025). Brigada Eskwela implementing guidelines for School Year 2024-2025 (DepEd Memorandum No. 33, s. 2024). [https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/DM\\_s2025\\_042.pdf](https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/DM_s2025_042.pdf)
12. Dika, S. L., & Singh, K. (2002). Applications of social capital in education: A review. *Review of Educational Research*, 72(1), 31–60. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543072001031>
13. Dryzek, J. S. (2012). Participatory governance: From theory to practice. In J. S. Dryzek (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Theory* (pp. 267–284). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199602075.013.0019>
14. Dumais, S. A. (2020). Social capital and education – An attempt to synthesize. *Cogent Education*, 7(1), Article 1907956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1907956>
15. Garcia, M., & Tan, J. (2025). Social capital as a mechanism for collaboration in educational settings. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 40(1), 112–128.
16. Johnson, R. (2024). Collaborative decision-making in education: Participatory governance perspectives. *Educational Leadership Review*, 32(4), 77–93.
17. Kumar, P., & Allen, R. (2024). Norms, rule compliance, and social capital in educational governance. *Journal of Educational Policy*, 18(3), 204–220.
18. Lin, N. (2020). Social capital theory: A review. Open University, UK. <https://open.ncl.ac.uk/theories/16/social-capital-theory/>
19. Lopez, H. (2024). Shared values and transparency in school participatory governance. *School Management Quarterly*, 9(1), 30–45.
20. Martinez, E. (2025). Building sustainable school communities through social capital. *Journal of School Leadership*, 21(2), 88–105.
21. Mikiewicz, M. (2021). Social capital and education: An attempt to synthesize recent scholarship. *Cogent Education*, 8(1), Article 1907956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1907956>
22. Minkler, M., & Wallerstein, N. (Eds.). (2011). *Community-based participatory research for health: From process to outcomes* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

23. Nguyen, T., & Santos, P. (2025). Relational ties and collective problem-solving in educational communities. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 61(1), 55-74.
24. Portes, A. (2021). Conceptualisation and theories of social capital. *Community and Institutional Impact Forum*. <https://www.ciiif.gov.hk/en/sc-resource/sc-2.html>
25. Pretty, J., & Ward, H. (2001). Social capital and the environment. *World Development*, 29(2), 209-227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(00\)00098-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00098-X)
26. Putnam, R. D. (2022). *Social capital and community governance*. New York, NY: Routledge.
27. Rogosic, S., & Srbljinovic, N. (2016). Social capital and educational achievements: Coleman vs. Bourdieu. *CEPS Journal*, 6(2), 23-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cepsj.2016.06.004>
28. Šević, T., et al. (2020). Social capital and education – An attempt to synthesize. *Cogent Education*, 7(1), Article 1907956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2021.1907956>
29. Sirin, S. R. (2020). Social capital on students' academic success. *Education & Science*, 45(200), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.15390/EB.2020.8804>
30. Şişman, M., & Turan, S. (2023). Participatory governance in education: Principles and practices. *Journal of Educational Management and Policy*, 15(2)
31. Smith, A., & Lee, C. (2023). Participatory governance for community and educational engagement. *Community Governance Journal*, 12(1), 12-28.
32. Social Capital Research. (2024). Coleman on social capital – rational-choice approach. Retrieved from <https://www.socialcapitalresearch.com/coleman-on-social-capital-rational-choice-approach/>
33. Thompson, J. (2023). Informal enforcement and social capital in collaborative school leadership. *Journal of Educational Change*, 25(3), 198-215.
34. Varly Project. (2021, August 8). Brigada Eskwela: A model of community participation in the Philippines. <https://varlyproject.blog/brigada-eskwela-a-model-of-community-participation-in-the-philippines/>