Taxation in India's Digital Economy: Challenges, Reforms, and Global Alignment

Dr. Jyotirmoy Koley, WBES

Assistant Professor of Commerce, Darjeeling Government College, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India

DOI : https://dx.doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.1010000084

Received: 10 October 2025; Accepted: 16 October 2025; Published: 08 November 2025

ABSTRACT

The digital economy in India has expanded rapidly, posing significant challenges to traditional tax frameworks. This study offers a comprehensive analysis of the evolving taxation landscape within India's digital economy, focusing on direct and indirect tax measures and the country's alignment with global initiatives such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project. This research employs a descriptive and exploratory methodology, utilizing secondary data from academic sources, government documents, and industry reports. The findings indicate that India has taken proactive measures to adapt its tax system, including implementing the Goods and Services Tax (GST), introducing the Equalization Levy, establishing Significant Economic Presence (SEP) rules, and imposing withholding taxes on e-commerce transactions. Nonetheless, challenges remain in defining tax jurisdictions, preventing profit shifting, and balancing revenue generation with digital innovation. This study underscores the necessity for international cooperation and alignment with global tax initiatives, as well as the integration of advanced technologies in tax administration. Future research should focus on the long-term economic impacts of India's digital tax measures, comparative analyses with other countries' approaches, and their effects on foreign investment and local digital enterprises. As India continues to refine its digital taxation framework, policymakers must consider the principles of value creation, nexus, and fairness while engaging with global efforts to harmonize digital taxation rules.

Keywords: Digital Economy, Taxation, India, BEPS, Equalization Levy, Significant Economic Presence (SEP), Goods and Services Tax (GST), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Value Creation, Nexus, etc.

INTRODUCTION

The digital economy in India has emerged as a pivotal force driving unprecedented changes across various sectors, including commerce, finance, and communication. As digital technologies continue to permeate everyday life, they have the potential to radically transform traditional economic models. However, these advancements also present significant challenges to the existing taxation frameworks. The shift from physical to digital business modes raises pertinent questions about tax jurisdiction, enforcement, and compliance. Like many other economies around the globe, the Indian economy is grappling with the complexities presented by digital transformation. Traditional tax laws, which were crafted with conventional business models in mind, often fall short of adequately addressing the nuances of digital transactions. As businesses exploit digital platforms to operate across borders with minimal physical presence, the existing tax infrastructure struggles to capture and regulate these activities effectively (Olbert and Spengel 2017; Rathi et al. 2021). In response to these challenges, India has embarked on a journey to redefine its tax policies to better align them with the dynamics of the digital age. Notable efforts include integrating technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into the tax assessment system to enhance efficiency and accuracy in tax collection. By adopting a faceless tax assessment system powered by AI/ML, Indian authorities aim to streamline processes and mitigate issues such as tax evasion and inefficient administration (Rathi et al., 2021). Moreover, the international community's endeavours to harmonize digital taxation rules, exemplified by the OECD’s Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project, are pivotal in addressing the tax challenges posed by the digital economy. The development of value creation and nexus principles aims to ensure fair tax distribution, where profits are taxed when economic activities generate value. However, these reforms have been met with practical challenges, including compliance costs and potential trade disputes, reflecting the complexity of implementing digital tax policies (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024; Olbert and Spengel, 2017). India's approach further entails evaluating how the Goods and Services Tax (GST) can be adapted to more effectively encompass the digital landscape. Since its implementation, GST has sought to bring transparency and uniformity to the taxation system, although it continues to be refined to better suit the evolving digital marketplaces (Nayyar and Singh, 2018). In summary, the taxation of the digital economy in India is a critical area for reform and adjustment. As the country continues to embrace digital transformation, the pursuit of an equitable and efficient taxation system remains a priority for the government. Through the adoption of innovative technologies and alignment with global tax initiatives, India aims to navigate the intricacies of the digital economy while promoting sustainable growth.

The Rise of the Digital Economy in India:

The digital economy encompasses economic activities, transactions, and interactions facilitated by digital technologies. It includes various sectors and technologies, such as the Internet, mobile technology, big data, and information and communication technology (ICT) (Javaid et al., 2024). The digital economy is distinguished by its transformative impact on traditional industries and business models, relying heavily on digital technologies to foster innovation and economic growth (Pineda et al., 2024). The key characteristics of the digital economy include:

1. **Mobility of Intangibles:** Intangible assets, including data, software, and intellectual property, are pivotal to the digital economy. These assets lack a physical form and can be readily transferred and utilized across international borders and on different platforms (Crouzet et al., 2022; Crouzet and Eberly, 2019). The non-rivalrous characteristic of intangibles permits their concurrent use in diverse production processes, thereby enhancing their scalability and flexibility (Crouzet et al., 2022).
2. **Reliance on Data:** Data constitute a fundamental resource within the digital economy, underpinning a wide array of activities, including targeted marketing and applications of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning. Data collection, processing, and analysis empower organizations to extract insights, enhance decision-making, and foster innovation (Pineda et al., 2024).
3. **Network Effects:** Digital platforms and technologies frequently experience network effects, wherein the value of a product or service is enhanced as the user base expands. This phenomenon can result in market concentration and confer competitive advantages to early adopters and dominant platforms (Javaid et al., 2024).
4. **Absence of Physical Presence:** In contrast to traditional commerce, transactions within the digital economy do not necessitate a physical retail presence. Businesses can operate and engage with consumers on a global scale without the need for a physical storefront, facilitated by e-commerce platforms and digital communication tools (Ahmedov, 2020).

These attributes emphasize the distinctive nature of the digital economy, underscoring its dependence on digital technologies and its capacity to transform economic activities and industries globally.

The Challenge for Traditional Tax Rules in India:

Conventional tax regulations in India encounter substantial challenges within the digital economy, primarily because of the complexities introduced by digitalization. The following are some of the principal challenges:

1. **Absence of Physical Presence**: Digital enterprises can conduct operations in India without establishing a physical presence, complicating the application of traditional taxation principles based on physical jurisdiction (Lucas-Mas and Junquera-Varela, 2021).
2. **Defining Tax Jurisdiction**: The traditional notions of tax jurisdiction and territoriality are insufficient as digital commerce allows businesses to operate across borders with little or no physical presence (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).
3. **Profit Shifting and Tax Avoidance**: With the capability of digital platforms to operate seamlessly across various jurisdictions, companies can engage in profit-shifting and tax-avoidance strategies, which present significant challenges for regulation under traditional tax systems (Adelakun et al., 2024).

4. **Revenue Erosion**: The proliferation of tax havens facilitating aggressive tax planning intensifies global tax disparities and undermines fiscal sovereignty, thereby affecting India's capacity to effectively collect taxes from digital services (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi, 2024).
5. **Legal and Policy Frameworks**: Current legal and regulatory frameworks frequently lag behind the rapid pace of technological advancements, complicating the enforcement of tax compliance within the digital economy (Adelakun et al., 2024).
6. **International Coordination and Cooperation**: Achieving tax compliance necessitates international collaboration, as digital transactions frequently extend across multiple jurisdictions, resulting in complex enforcement challenges (Rathi et al., 2021).
7. **New Taxing Rights and Digital Services Tax**: Conventional tax systems are not structured to accommodate new taxing rights or direct digital services taxes, which are essential for capturing income generated by foreign digital suppliers lacking a physical presence in India (Schön, 2018).
8. **Data and Technology Integration**: Emerging technologies such as blockchain and artificial intelligence offer both opportunities and challenges for enhancing tax systems. Their integration necessitates substantial modifications to tax administration and enforcement (Anomah et al., 2024; Rathi et al., 2021).

In summary, the traditional tax regulations in India are challenged by the rapid expansion and complexity of the digital economy, necessitating the reassessment and modification of regulatory frameworks to ensure effective taxation in the digital era.

The Global Response

The OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project addresses significant challenges within the international taxation framework, particularly regarding multinational corporations' tax avoidance. This initiative represents a substantial advancement in reforming the global tax landscape by ensuring that profits are taxed in jurisdictions where the economic activities generating those profits occur and where value is created (Van Apeldoorn, 2016). Action 1 of this project specifically targets the complexities introduced by digital economies. The OECD Action 1 Final Report examines the challenges associated with taxing businesses operating in this digital context, such as the allocation of taxation rights based on value creation. This reform seeks to align profit taxation more closely with the location of value creation, although critics suggest that the reform's understanding of digital business models remains nascent (Olbert and Spengel, 2017). Ongoing negotiations concerning the OECD's Two-Pillar approach aim to further address these international tax issues. Pillar One endeavours to revise taxing rights to ensure that multinational enterprises are taxed in jurisdictions where they conduct substantial business activities and generate profits, involving a more equitable reallocation of taxing rights among the jurisdictions. Pillar Two proposes a global minimum tax to deter profit shifting to low- or no-tax jurisdictions (Barake and Le Pouhaër, 2024; Pistone et al., 2019).

Research Problem

Traditional tax frameworks encounter significant challenges in effectively addressing digital economic activities because of their inherently cross-border nature and limited physical presence (Digital Economy Report 2019, 2019). However, implementing tax policies that align with value-creation principles remains problematic, as businesses often exploit jurisdictional gaps to minimize their tax liabilities (Adelakun et al., 2024; Lucas-Mas and Junquera-Varela, 2021). The rapid pace of digitalization further complicates enforcement efforts, necessitating international cooperation through initiatives such as the OECD BEPS project (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024). India, in particular, faces difficulties in integrating artificial intelligence into tax administration while striving to align with global standards (Rathi et al. 2021). Addressing these issues requires international collaboration and technological advancements to ensure compliance with the law.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Numerous researchers have studied various aspects of taxation in India's digital economy. The present study considers the most relevant and recent literature, which is summarized in the following sections:

Aneja (2025) investigated digital taxation in India, focusing on the challenges and policies for taxing digital platforms and gig economy workers. The analysis examines the inadequacies of traditional tax systems in handling digital transactions, particularly income attribution and cross-border taxation issues. This study evaluates India's initiatives, such as the Equalisation Levy and Significant Economic Presence (SEP), and compares them with international practices, including the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) framework. Through case studies of companies such as Google and Uber, tax disputes and compliance challenges are illustrated. This study proposes policies to expand digital tax coverage and align India's regulations with global standards, emphasizing the need to adapt tax policies for digital business models while ensuring fairness and compliance with the tax system.

Nadeem (2021) examined India's regulatory framework for e-commerce taxation, focusing on the GST, Income Tax, and Equalization Levy. This study analyzed tax application to online sales, platform compliance requirements, and complexities in digital goods classification and valuation. Key challenges include multiple taxes, compliance burdens, and jurisdictional issues. India is working to simplify tax procedures, expand the Equalization Levy for foreign digital companies, and align with international digital tax policies to create an effective taxation system for e-commerce.

Subavarshini (2022) examined the implications of taxing the digital economy, focusing on double taxation and India's equalization levy. India introduced a 6% equalization levy in 2016 for online advertising, which expanded to 2% in 2020 for more digital services by nonresidents. The OECD proposed the following solutions: significant economic presence, withholding tax, and equalization levy. The study analyzed 200 respondents using questionnaires and statistical analysis. The results showed that respondents believed that the digital tax would cause double taxation. Male (70.83%) and female (54.53%) respondents agreed that India had expanded its levy scope. This study concludes that taxing digital businesses is necessary for government revenue post-COVID-19, noting the OECD's two-pillar proposal.

Sarvamangala and Farzana (2021) examined India's ' Equalization Levy' digital taxation. Digitalization challenges traditional taxation because corporations generate revenue without a physical presence. India introduced the levy in 2016, imposing a 6% tax on non-residents' online advertisements to Indian residents, and later adding 2% on non-resident e-commerce sales. The levy equalizes domestic and international companies, brings digital firms under taxation, and increases revenue. The challenges include the impact on start-ups, consumer tax burden, double taxation risks, and determining the jurisdiction for intangible transactions. Although digital taxation is necessary, there is a lack of international consensus.

Paul and Ramalingam (2023) analyzed India's digital taxation through the Equalization Levy. India introduced a 6% tax on online advertising in 2016 and expanded it to a 2% levy on e-commerce revenues from foreign operators without permanent establishments in 2020. The levy violates tax treaty principles and the WTO's GATS principles, causing tensions with the US. This study advocates for adopting the OECD-G20 framework, with Pillar 1 reallocating taxing rights and Pillar 2 establishing a 15% global minimum tax. While addressing digital economy taxation, the unilateral approach of the Equalization Levy creates legal disputes. The authors recommend alignment with the OECD-G20 framework for fair and equitable digital taxation.

Rawat and Arora (2024) analyze the taxation framework of India’s digital economy. The framework includes the Equalization Levy, imposing a 6% tax on online advertising and 2% on e-commerce transactions by non-residents with sales exceeding Rs. 2 crores. The Significant Economic Presence provision taxes non-residents meeting the thresholds of Rs. 20 million in revenue or 300,000 Indian users. The Goods and Services Tax (GST) requires an 18% tax on foreign digital service providers. Key cases include Google India v. CIT (2017) and Amazon v. Union of India (2021). France and the UK have implemented digital service taxes of 3% and 2%, respectively. The OECD proposed reallocating taxing rights with a 15% global minimum tax. Challenges include double taxation conflicts with DTAs, compliance burdens, and ambiguous threshold definitions.

Research Gap

There is much we do not know about how India's digital taxes, such as the Equalization Levy and Significant Economic Presence rules, will impact its economy. Further studies are needed to compare India's approach with those of other countries, especially to determine their international effectiveness and compliance. We also need more data on how these taxes affect foreign investment and local digital businesses. There are gaps in research on other tax systems, challenges in implementing them, how they interact with Double Taxation Avoidance Agreements, their effects on small businesses, and what adopting the OECD-G20 framework would mean for them. Filling these gaps would help improve the digital tax policy in India.

Scope of the Study

This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges of digital taxation in India. It examines the rise in digital transactions, which challenges India's taxation system that relies on physical presence. This study analyses how digital businesses operate across borders, complicating tax collection (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024). It will evaluate existing tax laws and policies for digital economic activities, including GST adaptations for e-commerce (Nayyar and Singh, 2018). This study compares India's approach to global efforts, particularly the OECD's BEPS project, which addresses the challenges of the digital economy (Olbert and Spengel, 2017). This study will explore technological integration in tax policy, including the potential of blockchain technology to improve digital enterprise taxation and enhance compliance (Anomah et al., 2024). This study assesses the impact of digital tax reforms on India's economic growth (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi, 2024) by identifying gaps and opportunities in India's digital taxation framework to better align with the evolving digital economy.

Research Objective

This study aims to systematically map, analyse, and evaluate the principal components of India's direct and indirect tax framework as it pertains to the digital economy.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive approach, relying exclusively on secondary data and existing literature. The secondary data have been sourced from a range of academic research publications, articles, journals, industry reports, and electronic resources. The data are presented descriptively to achieve the research objectives.

India's Evolving Tax Framework for the Digital Economy:

India's tax framework for the digital economy is evolving to address the challenges posed by the rapidly transforming digital landscape. As digital technologies redefine global commerce, traditional tax systems face unprecedented challenges in maintaining compliance and fairness. A significant measure undertaken by India is the implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST), which represents a transition from a complex indirect tax regime to a unified tax system (Deshmukh et al., 2022). Introduced on July 1, 2017, the GST aims to subsume various indirect taxes and streamline tax administration, thereby enhancing transparency and compliance (Nayyar and Singh, 2018). Technologies such as big data, AI, and cloud computing have played pivotal roles in facilitating the successful implementation of GST (Kumar et al., 2023). The transnational nature of the digital economy complicates traditional tax jurisdiction boundaries, making it imperative to address issues such as tax evasion and profit shifting. Global initiatives, such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project, aim to establish common standards for digital taxation, facilitating international cooperation to combat these challenges (Adelakun et al., 2024). Tax fairness remains a critical concern, particularly because multinational digital corporations exploit jurisdictional discrepancies to minimize tax obligations. The Indian GST reform seeks to expand the tax base and enhance revenue collection in the country. However, challenges such as a skewed GST payer base and tax evasion persist, necessitating continuous efforts to improve the tax-to-GDP ratio and foster a positive perception of the GST system (Deshmukh et al., 2022). The global rise of tax havens that enable aggressive tax planning also impacts the Indian tax framework. International organizations are addressing these issues with digital tax reforms aimed at mitigating tax base erosion and ensuring fiscal sovereignty (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi, 2024). Designing policies for the digital economy requires consideration of principles such as value creation and nexus. These principles help address profit shifting and ensure the fair taxation of digital businesses operating across borders with minimal physical presence (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024). In conclusion, India is adapting its tax framework to manage the complexities of digital economies. By leveraging technology, enhancing international coordination, and refining the GST system, the government aims to ensure a fair and sustainable tax system that aligns with global efforts to regulate digital commerce.

Direct Taxation: Expanding the Nexus:

This section of the study is organized into three subsections: The Judicial Precursor, the Significant Economic Presence (SEP) Rule, and the Equalization Levy. Each of these subsections is discussed in detail in the following sections.

Judicial Precursor

The judicial framework in India regarding the taxation of digital transactions has become increasingly intricate, as evidenced by several significant court rulings. These cases frequently focus on the taxation of software and marketing intangibles, which pose challenges to traditional tax structures.

1. **Taxation of Software**: In the seminal case of Tata Consultancy Services v. State of Andhra Pradesh, the Indian Supreme Court adjudicated that software could be categorized as "goods" under the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax Act. This ruling had profound implications for the taxation of software transactions, establishing a precedent for considering software, even when delivered electronically, as a taxable commodity. This underscores the necessity of differentiating between software as a service and as a product (Adelakun et al., 2024).
2. **Marketing Intangibles**: A significant element of digital taxation pertains to the notion of marketing intangibles, which encompasses brand value and other intangible assets generated through marketing efforts within a specific jurisdiction. The case of LG Electronics India Pvt. Ltd. v. The Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax examined whether the Indian subsidiaries of multinational corporations should receive compensation for their role in promoting and enhancing brand value, which ultimately benefits their foreign parent companies. The judgment emphasized that subsidiaries must receive appropriate compensation for the creation of marketing intangibles, highlighting the developing recognition of value creation within the digital economy.
3. **Digital Platform Services**: The emergence of digital platforms has further complicated the taxation landscape. India's implementation of an equalization levy, initially aimed at digital advertising services and subsequently extended to encompass e-commerce operators, has ignited debates concerning jurisdiction and the definition of permanent establishment. Challenges to this levy have underscored the tension between ensuring equitable taxation of digital services and adhering to global tax principles (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi, 2024).

These cases highlight India's persistent challenge of aligning its tax regulations with the dynamics of the digital economy. This alignment necessitates revisions of both legislative frameworks and judicial interpretations to effectively address the intricacies of digital transactions and value creation (Belahouaoui and Attak, 2024).

Significant Economic Presence (SEP) Rule:

1. Legislative Genesis: The Significant Economic Presence (SEP) rule in India is a component of a broader initiative aimed at addressing the tax challenges posed by the digital economy. This legislative framework was established through an amendment to the Finance Act of 2018, which revised the definition of 'business connection' under Section 9 of the Income Tax Act, 1961, by incorporating the SEP as a novel nexus rule.
2. Defining SEP: The SEP rule establishes a criterion for economic activity within India by stipulating that a non-resident will be considered to have a significant economic presence in the country. This presence is characterized by transactions surpassing a specified monetary threshold, systematic and continuous solicitation of business activities, or engagement with a designated number of users in India. This initiative seeks to broaden the definition of 'business connection' to encompass non-residents who, despite lacking a physical presence in India, generate income from the Indian market through digital means (Adelakun et

al., 2024; Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).

3. Nexus and Attribution: The SEP rule establishes a novel nexus predicated on economic presence rather than physical presence, thereby transforming the taxation framework of digital economies. This approach is consistent with international tax deliberations aimed at revising nexus rules to better accommodate digital enterprises. The allocation of income is contingent upon the establishment of a nexus through either the transaction value surpassing a designated threshold or active engagement with Indian users, thereby enabling India to levy taxes on income generated by digital businesses operating remotely within its jurisdiction (Adelakun et al., 2024; Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).
4. Equalisation Levy:
5. The Original Levy (2016): This measure was introduced in India to impose a tax on digital advertising services. It is designed to tax non-resident businesses that generate income from online advertising in India. The levy is set at 6% of the gross consideration paid for online advertisements. This measure specifically targets business-to-business (B2B) transactions in which the service provider does not have a permanent establishment in India.
6. Expanded Levy (2020): The scope has been extended to encompass a wider array of e-commerce operators, irrespective of their physical presence in India. A 2% levy was imposed on the gross receipts derived from e-commerce supply or services facilitated by non-resident entities. This measure focuses on taxing digital transactions beyond advertising, including the online sale of goods and the provision of services. It aims to address the challenges associated with taxing digital corporations that lack a traditional physical presence.
7. Critical Analysis: Taxation in the Digital Economy in India: The digital economy presents significant challenges to traditional tax frameworks, necessitating reforms to effectively capture tax revenue from virtual transactions (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi, 2024; Mpofu, 2022). India's equalization levy represents a unilateral approach to addressing the tax disparities created by digital businesses that operate across borders without establishing a permanent presence (Olbert and Spengel, 2017). Although this measure aims to create a level playing field, it may introduce compliance challenges and the risk of double taxation, potentially contravening established international tax principles (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024). Critics contend that the levy could adversely affect growth and innovation within the digital sector because of the increased tax burden imposed on digital enterprises (Ofosu-Ampong, 2024). Therefore, global cooperation and harmonization, exemplified by the OECD's BEPS initiative, are essential for effective digital taxation, thereby reducing reliance on unilateral measures such as the equalization levy (Geringer, 2020).

Indirect Taxation: The GST Regime:

This section is organized into four subsections: Taxing Online Information Database Access and Retrieval (OIDAR) Services, Reverse Charge Mechanism (RCM), E-commerce Operator Model, and Registration for Non-Residents. Each of these topics is examined in detail in the following sections.

1. **Taxing Online Information Database Access and Retrieval (OIDAR) Services**: Under the Goods and Services Tax (GST) framework in India, services rendered by entities situated outside the country to Indian consumers, such as Online Information and Database Access or Retrieval (OIDAR) services, are liable to GST. This encompasses digital services, including online advertising, cloud computing services, and database access, with the primary objective of incorporating international digital service providers into the Indian tax jurisdiction (Nayyar and Singh, 2018).
2. **Reverse Charge Mechanism (RCM)**: The Goods and Services Tax (GST) in India incorporates a reverse charge mechanism, wherein the responsibility for tax payment is assigned to the recipient of goods or services, rather than the supplier. This mechanism is particularly relevant for imported services, including those rendered by non-resident suppliers to Indian enterprises. It ensures tax compliance for transactions that might otherwise circumvent the conventional taxation framework (Nayyar and Singh, 2018).
3. **E-Commerce Operator Model**: E-commerce operators in India are required to collect taxes at the source for transactions conducted through their platforms. This mechanism ensures that the government captures tax on the extensive online transactions facilitated by e-commerce entities, thereby formalizing a significant portion of the digital economy that might otherwise remain untaxed (Nayyar and Singh, 2018).
4. **Registration for Non-Residents**: Non-resident entities that provide taxable supplies to India are mandated to register for the Goods and Services Tax (GST). This requirement ensures that foreign businesses that

contribute to the Indian economy through digital or physical supplies adhere to the Indian tax regulatory framework. This measure aligns with the broader objective of integrating global business activities into the national tax policies (Nayyar and Singh, 2018).

Withholding Tax on E-Commerce (Section 194-O):

The 1% Tax Deducted at Source (TDS) mandate under Section 194-O constitutes a strategic initiative by the Indian government aimed at broadening the tax base and enhancing tax compliance among e-commerce participants. This mandate requires e-commerce operators to deduct a 1% tax at the point of crediting payment to the seller's account concerning the sales of goods or services facilitated through their digital or electronic platforms. This requirement applies to e-commerce operators who facilitate the sale of goods or services on behalf of sellers. It represents a move towards increased transparency in e-commerce transactions and seeks to ensure that taxation aligns with the rapidly evolving digital economy. By mandating e-commerce platforms to deduct taxes, the policy captures a portion of the sales value at the source, thereby mitigating tax evasion and enhancing transaction traceability. The effectiveness of this policy is evident in both theoretical and practical settings. This ensures that tax compliance is intricately linked to technological advancements within the e-commerce sector, potentially fostering an environment where both administrative efficiency and tax compliance are improved. Moreover, the integration of e-invoicing and pre-filling systems has globally simplified tax practices, as these technologies streamline taxation tracking, thereby reducing compliance costs and alleviating administrative burdens (Hesami et al., 2024). Additionally, the role of such mandates in promoting cooperative tax compliance, rather than merely enforcing compliance, reflects a shift towards a more trust-based system that appropriately balances regulatory oversight with taxpayer cooperation (Kirchler et al., 2014). Such tax measures are essential for adapting to the expanding digital and e-commerce economies, ensuring equitable taxation, and preventing revenue leakage. By strengthening tax compliance through TDS mandates, governments can foster greater voluntary compliance, leading to fair and robust fiscal structures.

Critical Analysis and Challenges:

The following section provides a critical analysis and addresses the challenges associated with taxation in India's digital economy.

1. **Complexity of Traditional Tax Laws:** Traditional tax systems are inadequately equipped to address the complexities inherent in the digital economy. The operation of digital businesses across international borders without physical presence complicates the determination of tax liability (Nayyar and Singh, 2018).
2. **Inadequate Tax Policies:** Current legal frameworks encounter significant challenges in addressing tax evasion and profit shifting in the digital domain. As digital services extend beyond geographical boundaries, enforcing tax regulations becomes increasingly complex (Mpofu, 2022).
3. **International Cooperation Requirements:** Effective taxation of the digital economy requires international collaboration to address tax evasion and establish standardized guidelines. However, achieving a global consensus on these measures remains a significant challenge (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).
4. **Technological Integration Challenges:** Integrating advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain into tax administration presents significant challenges, primarily due to issues related to institutional and regulatory conformity. While these technologies have the potential to enhance taxation processes, they require substantial adaptation (Anomah et al., 2024).
5. **Implementing Digital Service Taxes:** The implementation of digital service taxes (DSTs) presents both opportunities and challenges. While DSTs have the potential to enhance tax revenue, inadequate design and implementation may result in adverse economic externalities (Mpofu, 2022).
6. **Achieving Fairness and Compliance:** Achieving equity in the taxation of digital enterprises presents significant challenges. Digital companies frequently exploit jurisdictional loopholes, resulting in an uneven competitive landscape and necessitating the development of innovative regulatory strategies (Igbinenikaro and Adewusi, 2024).
7. **Revenue Generation vs. Economic Growth:** Balancing the imperative of revenue generation with the promotion of economic growth remains a significant challenge. Digital tax reforms must be designed to ensure that they do not inhibit innovation while effectively capturing the appropriate tax revenue (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).

8. **Policy Design Complexity:** Formulating effective tax policies for the digital economy necessitates consideration of various factors, including nexus and value creation. Errors in policy design may lead to inefficiencies and increased compliance costs (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).

These points underscore the complex challenges India faces in modifying its taxation framework to align with the demands of a digital economy.

The Road Ahead: India and the OECD Two-Pillar Solution:

This text presents a critical analysis and identification of challenges related to Taxation in the Digital Economy in India, specifically within the framework of the OECD Two-Pillar Solution.

Pillar One: Reallocation of Taxing Rights

1. **Redistribution Impact**: The reallocation of taxing rights towards market jurisdictions under Pillar One is perceived to offer limited advantages for non-OECD countries. It may even result in reduced revenue for lower-income countries because of the uneven distribution of benefits across jurisdictions (Cobham et al., 2019).
2. **Implementation Challenges**: The implementation of Pillar One entails intricate rules and mechanisms, such as the marketing and distribution of safe harbours, which substantially affect the extent of redistribution. This complexity presents a challenge for seamless integration into existing tax frameworks (Barake and Le Pouhaër, 2024).
3. **Comparative Revenue Gains**: The relationship between Pillar One allocations and existing digital taxes remains ambiguous, with potential disparities in benefits across countriess, thereby complicating fiscal planning for policymakers (Barake and Le Pouhaër, 2024).
4. **Pillar Two: Global Minimum Tax:**
5. **Revenue Increases**: Pillar Two introduces a global minimum tax, which has the potential to substantially increase corporate income tax (CIT) revenues, with estimates reaching USD 192 billion annually. This initiative aims to mitigate profit shifting and ensure the equitable taxation of multinational enterprises (The Global Minimum Tax and the Taxation of MNE Profit, 2024).
6. **Incentive Alignment**: Large economies have incentives to implement the global minimum tax, which may exert pressure on other nations to do the same, thereby mitigating detrimental tax competition. Nonetheless, sustaining this alignment could be challenging because of the varied national interests involved (Devereux, 2023).
7. **Implementation Complexity**: The Global Minimum Tax framework necessitates complex tax designs that incorporate both legal and economic considerations. The GLOBE proposal, influenced by US tax reforms, introduces additional layers of cross-border tax dynamics that countries must navigate cautiously (Englisch and Becker, 2019).
8. **Future Outlook**
9. **International Cooperation**: The effectiveness of these reforms is significantly dependent on international cooperation. The establishment of standardized digital tax frameworks, such as the OECD's BEPS project, is crucial for achieving a harmonized global taxation system (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).
10. **Balancing Stakeholder Interests**: It is imperative to achieve a balance between revenue generation and fairness, particularly for developing economies that seek equitable tax rights without impeding economic growth (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).
11. **Adapting to the Digital Economy**: Policymakers must formulate tax systems that effectively address the complexities of digital economies. This necessitates the redefinition of tax concepts, such as nexus and territoriality, to align them with digital business models (Nembe and Idemudia, 2024).

While this overview delineates the critical components and challenges inherent in India's approach to the OECD's Two-Pillar Solution, the formulation of nuanced policies and the promotion of sustained international collaboration will be imperative for its effective implementation.

Findings of the Study:

Drawing upon the preceding discussions, the principal findings of this study concerning taxation within India's digital economy are as follows:

Traditional tax systems are struggling to effectively address digital economic activities, especially due to the cross-border nature of digital services and the minimal physical presence required by companies.

Major challenges include:

- Designing tax policies aligned with value creation principles.
- Addressing compliance issues for cross-border digital operations.
- Integrating new technologies like AI into tax administration.
- Aligning domestic digital tax policies with global standards.

India has taken several steps to adapt its tax framework:

- Implemented Goods and Services Tax (GST).
- Introduced Equalization Levy on digital advertising and e-commerce.
- Established Significant Economic Presence (SEP) rule for non-resident digital businesses.
- Imposed withholding tax requirements for e-commerce transactions.

Key issues remain:

- Complexity in defining tax jurisdiction for digital businesses.
- Challenges in preventing profit shifting and tax avoidance.
- Need for international cooperation and alignment with global initiatives like OECD BEPS.
- Balancing revenue generation with promoting digital sector growth

Research gaps identified include:

- Long-term economic impacts of India's digital tax measures.
- Comparative analysis with other countries' approaches.
- Effects on foreign investment and local digital enterprises.
- Interaction with existing tax treaties.

For the future, India needs to:

- Continue refining its digital taxation framework.
- Enhance technological integration in tax administration.
- Participate in global efforts to harmonize digital taxation rules.
- Address challenges in implementing the OECD Two-Pillar solution.

CONCLUSION

The taxation of India's digital economy presents significant challenges as traditional tax frameworks struggle to keep pace with transforming business models. India has adapted its tax system through implementing GST, the Equalization Levy on digital transactions, Significant Economic Presence rules for non-resident businesses, and withholding tax requirements on e-commerce. Key challenges include defining tax jurisdiction for cross-border digital businesses, preventing tax avoidance, and aligning with global initiatives like the OECD's BEPS project. India must refine its digital taxation framework while enhancing technological integration in tax administration through AI and blockchain for improved compliance. India's participation in global efforts to harmonize digital taxation rules, including the OECD's Two-Pillar solution, remains crucial. Further research must evaluate the economic impacts of digital tax measures and their effects on investment and local enterprises. Policymakers must consider how new policies interact with existing tax treaties. By taking a balanced approach, considering value creation and fairness, India can develop a framework that supports growth while ensuring equitable contributions from the digital economy. This requires ongoing policy refinement and adaptation to create a system that captures digital revenue while fostering innovation.

REFERENCE

1. Adelakun, B., Bakare, S., Akpuokwe, C., Nembe, J., & Oguejiofor, B. (2024). Legal Frameworks and Tax Compliance in the Digital Economy: A Finance Perspective. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3), 844–853. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i3.922
2. Ahmedov, I. (2020). The Impact of Digital Economy on International Trade. European Journal of Business and Management Research, 5(4). https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2020.5.4.389
3. Anomah, S., Ayeboafo, B., Aduamoah, M., & Agyabeng, O. (2024). Blockchain Technology Integration in Tax Policy: Navigating Challenges and Unlocking Opportunities for Improving the Taxation of Ghana's Digital Economy. Scientific African, 24, e02210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2024.e02210
4. Barake, M., & Le Pouhaër, E. (2024). Tax Revenue from Pillar One Amount A: Country-By-Country Estimates. International Tax and Public Finance, 32(3), 680–740. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-024-09859-4
5. Belahouaoui, R., & Attak, E. H. (2024). Digital Taxation, Artificial Intelligence and Tax Administration 3.0: Improving Tax Compliance Behaviour – A Systematic Literature Review Using Textometry (2016–2023). Accounting Research Journal, 37(2), 172–191. https://doi.org/10.1108/arj-12-2023-0372
6. Cobham, A., Faccio, T., & Fitzgerald, V. (2019). Global Inequalities in Taxing Rights: An Early Evaluation of the OECD Tax Reform Proposals. Center for Open Science. https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/j3p48
7. Crouzet, N., & Eberly, J. (2019). Understanding Weak Capital Investment: The Role of Market Concentration and Intangibles. National Bureau of Economic Research. https://doi.org/10.3386/w25869
8. Crouzet, N., Eisfeldt, A. L., Papanikolaou, D., & Eberly, J. C. (2022). The Economics of Intangible Capital. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 36(3), 29–52. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.36.3.29
9. Deshmukh, A. K., Mohan, A., & Mohan, I. (2022). Goods and Services Tax (GST) Implementation in India: A SAP-LAP-Twitter Analytic Perspective. Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 23(2), 165–183. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-021-00297-3
10. Devereux, M. P. (2023). International Tax Competition and Coordination with A Global Minimum Tax. National Tax Journal, 76(1), 145–166. https://doi.org/10.1086/723198
11. Digital Economy Report 2019. (2019). Un. https://doi.org/10.18356/c7dc937a-en
12. Englisch, J., & Becker, J. (Johannes). (2019). International Effective Minimum Taxation – The GLOBE Proposal. World Tax Journal, 11(4). https://doi.org/10.59403/3ds34fw
13. Geringer, S. (2020). National Digital Taxes – Lessons from Europe. South African Journal of Accounting Research, 35(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/10291954.2020.1727083
14. Hesami, S., Jenkins, H., & Jenkins, G. P. (2024). Digital Transformation of Tax Administration and Compliance: A Systematic Literature Review on E-Invoicing and Prefilled Returns. Digital Government: Research and Practice, 5(3), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1145/3643687
15. Igbinenikaro, E., & Adewusi, A. (2024). Tax Havens Re-Examined: The Impact of Global Digital Tax Reforms on International Taxation. World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 5(2), 001–012. https://doi.org/10.53346/wjast.2024.5.2.0031
16. Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Sinha, A. K. (2024). Digital Economy To Improve the Culture of Industry 4.0: A Study on Features, Implementation and Challenges. Green Technologies and Sustainability, 2(2), 100083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.grets.2024.100083
17. Kirchler, E., Muehlbacher, S., & Kogler, C. (2014). Cooperative Tax Compliance. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 23(2), 87–92. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721413516975
18. Kumar, R., Gehlot, A., Kumar, A., Malholtra, R. K., Joshi, K., & Kathuria, S. (2023). Role of Cloud Computing in Goods and Services Tax (GST) and Future Application. IEEE Xplore, 1443–1447. https://doi.org/10.1109/icscds56580.2023.10104597
19. Lucas-Mas, C. O., & Junquera-Varela, R. F. (2021). Tax Theory Applied to the Digital Economy. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1654-3
20. Mpofu, F. Y. (2022). Taxation of the Digital Economy and Direct Digital Service Taxes: Opportunities, Challenges, and Implications for African Countries. Economies, 10(9), 219. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies10090219
21. Nadeem, S. N. (2021). Taxation of E-Commerce Transactions in India: Regulatory Framework and Challenges. IJFANS International Food and Nutritional Sciences, 10(07), 170-177.

22. Nayyar, A., & Singh, I. (2018). A Comprehensive Analysis of Goods and Services Tax (GST) in India. Indian Journal of Finance, 12(2), 57. https://doi.org/10.17010/ijf/2018/v12i2/121377
23. Nembe, J., & Idemudia, C. (2024). Designing Effective Policies to Address the Challenges of Global Digital Tax Reforms. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 22(3), 1171–1183. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.3.1837
24. Ofosu-Ampong, K. (2024). New Policies, New Behaviours: How Digital Taxation Shapes Mobile Money Use in Ghana. Engineering Reports, 6(10). https://doi.org/10.1002/eng2.12860
25. Olbert, M., & Spengel, C. (2017). International Taxation in the Digital Economy: Challenge Accepted? World Tax Journal, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.59403/2y70g6v
26. Paul, A., & Ramalingam, N. (2023). OECD-G20 Framework and Indian Digital Taxation: A Study on Equalization Levy in India. Kerala Economy, 4(3), 36-54.
27. Pineda, M., Jabba, D., & Nieto-Bernal, W. (2024). Blockchain Architectures for the Digital Economy: Trends and Opportunities. Sustainability, 16(1), 442. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16010442
28. Pistone, P., Turina, A., Pinto Nogueira, J. F., & Andrade Rodríguez, B. (2019). The OECD Public Consultation Document "Global Anti-Base Erosion (GloBE) Proposal – Pillar Two": An Assessment. Bulletin for International Taxation, 74(2). https://doi.org/10.59403/3pp2jk3
29. Rathi, A. et al. (2021). A Study on Application of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Indian Taxation System. Psychology and Education Journal, 58(2), 1226–1233. https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i2.2265
30. Rawat, R., & Arora, B. (2024). Taxation of the Digital Economy in India: Challenges and Emerging Framework. Indian Journal of Law and Legal Research, VII(II), 5880-5893.
31. Sarvamangala, R., & Farzana, A. (2021). A Comprehensive Analysis of Digital Taxation in India. SJCC Management Research Review, 11(1), 137-151.
32. Schön, W. (2018). Ten Questions about Why and How to Tax the Digitalized Economy. Bulletin for International Taxation, 72(4/5). https://doi.org/10.59403/9gs0cs
33. Subavarshini, J. (2022). Taxation of the Digital Economy in India. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), 10(6), 261-268.
34. The Global Minimum Tax and the Taxation of MNE Profit. (2024). Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development. https://doi.org/10.1787/9a815d6b-en
35. Van Apeldoorn, L. (2016). BEPS, Tax Sovereignty, and Global Justice. Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy, 21(4), 478–499. https://doi.org/10.1080/13698230.2016.1220149