

Arctic Frontier and Climate Change: Reshaping the Geography, Ecology, and Economic Potential of Russia's Arctic Region

Rakesh Kumar

Assistant Professor Dept. of Geography Murarka College, Sultanganj TMBU, Bhagalpur

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2025.100900038>

Received: 26 September 2025; Accepted: 02 October 2025; Published: 12 October 2025

ABSTRACT

The Russian Arctic represents one of the most critical frontiers of climate change, where rising temperatures, melting sea ice, and shifting ecosystems are rapidly transforming geography, ecology, and economic potential. This paper investigates how climate change is reshaping the spatial characteristics of Russia's Arctic region, focusing on three dimensions: (1) physical geography and environmental dynamics, (2) ecological transformations and indigenous livelihoods, and (3) economic opportunities and geopolitical implications. By integrating geographical, ecological, and socio-economic perspectives, the study argues that climate change presents both unprecedented opportunities and complex risks for Russia's Arctic future.

Key words: Arctic, Russia, climate change, sustainable development, economic development.

INTRODUCTION

The Arctic has long been regarded as a marginal space, characterized by extreme climatic conditions, and limited accessibility. However, climate change has shifted the region from the periphery to the center of global environmental and geopolitical debates. Russia, with the largest Arctic territory of any nation, is experiencing profound transformations that impact not only local geographies but also the global climate system. The Arctic is undergoing profound transformations due to climate change, opening new economic opportunities and geopolitical challenges while raising significant environmental concerns (Sharapov, 2023). These transformations include radical shifts in environmental governance and challenges to ecological protection, driven by irreversible climatic changes such as melting permafrost and rising sea levels (Obydenkova, 2024). These changes are not merely regional but signify broader global shifts, presenting a dual prospect of promise and peril (Sharapov, 2023). The rapid changes in the Arctic underscore the imperative for a balanced approach that reconciles the allure of economic gains with the necessities of environmental stewardship (Sharapov, 2023). The unique vulnerability of the Arctic ecosystem, characterized by its low stability and susceptibility to even minor anthropogenic impacts, necessitates robust international cooperation to address these ecological challenges effectively (Nørtoft et al., 2018) (Obydenkova, 2024). This paper explores the central research question: How is climate change reshaping the geography, ecology, and economic potential of Russia's Arctic region?

Changing Geography of the Russian Arctic

Melting Sea Ice and New Spatial Dynamics

The reduction of Arctic Sea ice is opening previously inaccessible maritime routes such as the Northern Sea Route. This transformation has significant implications for global shipping, as the NSR reduces travel distance between Europe and Asia by nearly 40% compared to the Suez Canal (Sharapov, 2023). This newfound navigability is poised to unlock substantial economic opportunities for Arctic states, particularly Russia, by enhancing trade routes and facilitating resource extraction in previously unreachable areas (Nørtoft et al., 2018). The potential expansion of the Northern Sea Route into a viable alternative to southern navigation routes like the Suez and Panama Canals offers palpable economic benefits for Russia and significantly reduces shipping distances between Asia and Europe (Mälksoo & Kristoffersen, 2022). This economic incentive has spurred interest from various nations and corporations seeking to leverage these newly navigable waters (Sharapov, 2023). Moreover, Russia views the Northern Sea Route as a strategic corridor for its energy exports and has

invested heavily in icebreaker fleets and port infrastructure to secure its control and operational capacity (Borozna, 2023). This development is crucial for Russia, given the significant proportion of its economic activities and mineral resource mining that occur within the Arctic zone, making the region's economic development intrinsically linked to its infrastructure (Obydenkova, 2024) (Nørtoft et al., 2018). However, this increased maritime traffic also raises concerns about potential environmental damage from shipping, increased pollution, and the risk of accidents in these fragile ecosystems (Sharapov, 2023). Furthermore, the melting ice caps also provide Russia with opportunities to develop its maritime power and foster international cooperation for logistics, emergency response, and commercial activities along the Northern Sea Route (Nørtoft et al., 2018).

Permafrost Degradation

Thawing permafrost is dramatically altering landscapes across Siberia, leading to widespread infrastructure instability, significant land subsidence, and the accelerated release of potent greenhouse gases like methane (Sarvut, 2018). This pervasive degradation poses substantial threats to existing infrastructure, including vital pipelines, critical roads, and essential buildings, necessitating extensive and costly adaptation and engineering solutions (Sharapov, 2023). The destabilization of subsurface conditions directly attributable to permafrost thaw places a considerable portion of pan-Arctic infrastructure, including crucial hydrocarbon extraction fields, at a high risk of catastrophic failure (Rovenskaya et al., 2024). Such widespread degradation not only imperils current resource extraction and transportation networks but also leads to the release of substantial quantities of greenhouse gases, thereby exacerbating global warming (Mälksoo & Kristoffersen, 2022). This concerning feedback loop of permafrost thaw and amplified greenhouse gas emissions presents a critical challenge to global climate change mitigation efforts and underscores the urgent need for research into effective stabilization techniques (Sharapov, 2023). However, some research suggests that while permafrost thaw poses risks, the economic benefits of increased accessibility for resource extraction and new transportation routes might outweigh the costs of adaptation and mitigation, at least in the short to medium term (Rovenskaya et al., 2024).

Coastal Erosion and Territorial Vulnerability

The warming climate accelerates coastal erosion along the Arctic Ocean, threatening settlements and infrastructure. This reshapes physical geography and has implications for territorial governance and spatial planning (Nielsen et al., 2022). This increased erosion, driven by diminished sea ice protection and rising sea levels, exposes Arctic coastlines to more intense wave action, further endangering critical infrastructure and indigenous communities (Śmieszek et al., 2021). Moreover, the loss of permafrost exacerbates the problem by destabilizing coastal bluffs, rendering them more susceptible to collapse and further encroachment by the sea (Tanguy et al., 2024) (Kaiser et al., 2024). The irreversible thawing of ice-rich permafrost, also known as thermokarst, significantly impacts local landforms, ecosystems, and contributes to global climate change through greenhouse gas feedback mechanisms (Nicu et al., 2024). This phenomenon contributes to a cycle where permafrost degradation releases greenhouse gases, which in turn intensifies global warming and further accelerates permafrost thaw (Gartler et al., 2025). However, some research suggests that while coastal erosion poses risks, the economic benefits of increased accessibility for resource extraction and new transportation routes might outweigh the costs of adaptation and mitigation, at least in the short to medium term. The rapid loss of Arctic sea ice, a direct consequence of escalating global temperatures, represents one of the most visible indicators of climate change, with projections suggesting a seasonally ice-free Arctic Ocean by the mid-21st century (Malik et al., 2025).

Ecological Transformations

Shifting Ecosystems

Rising temperatures have enabled the northward expansion of boreal forests, altering the traditional tundra ecosystems. This threatens biodiversity and reduces the habitat for Arctic species such as polar bears, reindeer, and migratory birds (Jorgenson et al., 2015). These ecological shifts also impact indigenous communities that rely on these animals for sustenance and cultural practices, further highlighting the interconnectedness of environmental and social systems within the Arctic region (Bommersbach et al., 2024). The loss of traditional hunting grounds and changing migration patterns of prey species directly imperils the food security and cultural

heritage of these communities (Levine et al., 2024). Furthermore, the introduction of new species, facilitated by a warmer climate, can outcompete native flora and fauna, leading to significant disruptions in the delicate Arctic food web and potentially irreversible ecosystem changes. However, some research suggests that while these shifts pose risks to native species, the northward migration of new species may also introduce new food sources and economic opportunities for local communities in the long term. The accelerated warming in the Arctic, occurring at nearly four times the global average, exacerbates these ecological transformations, leading to a rapid and significant retreat of glaciers, permafrost, and sea ice (Winther & Gudmestad, 2023) (Bilgili et al., 2024).

Impacts on Indigenous Communities

Indigenous groups such as the Nenets and Chukchi rely on reindeer herding, fishing, and hunting, all of which are disrupted by ecological instability. Migration routes for reindeer are shifting, while changing ice conditions affect access to traditional fishing grounds (Mann et al., 2021). These disruptions not only compromise food security but also undermine the cultural identity and traditional knowledge systems that are intrinsically linked to these activities, posing an existential threat to these communities (Grist et al., 2020). Furthermore, the rapid environmental changes, including alterations in ice formation and thaw patterns, directly impact the ability of Indigenous communities to practice traditional subsistence hunting and gathering, thereby threatening their food security, housing, and cultural practices (Bommersbach et al., 2024). This disruption extends to marine resources, where reduced sea ice cover facilitates overfishing and poses a significant threat to the income, food security, and cultural heritage of Arctic indigenous peoples who depend on small-scale subsistence fishing (Galani & Mardikian, 2024). However, some research suggests that while these shifts pose risks to native species, the northward migration of new species may also introduce new food sources and economic opportunities for local communities in the long term. The disproportionate warming of the Arctic, experiencing temperature increases at double the global average, signifies a profound and accelerated transition into a new environmental state characterized by warmer, wetter, and greener conditions (Smáradóttir et al., 2014) (Śmieszek et al., 2021).

Environmental Hazards

Increased frequency of wildfires in Siberian forests and higher methane emissions from thawing wetlands illustrate how ecological instability in the Arctic is linked to broader planetary risks (Lohmann et al., 2023). These intensifying hazards not only threaten Arctic ecosystems but also contribute to global climate feedback loops, accelerating the overall rate of planetary warming (Malik et al., 2025). This includes the release of long-sequestered carbon from permafrost, exacerbating the greenhouse effect and creating a self-reinforcing cycle of warming (Galani & Mardikian, 2024). The cascading effects of these environmental hazards extend beyond regional impacts, influencing global weather patterns and contributing to more extreme climatic events worldwide (Mortensgaard, 2023). The amplified frequency and intensity of such events, including heatwaves, droughts, and floods, underscore the urgent need for comprehensive mitigation strategies and international cooperation to address the pervasive consequences of Arctic climate change (Erickson & Mustonen, 2022).

Economic Potential and Geopolitical Implications

Energy Resources

The Russian Arctic contains vast reserves of oil and natural gas, especially in the Yamal Peninsula and offshore basins. Melting ice makes extraction more feasible, attracting both domestic and international investment (Sharapov, 2023). The economic viability of these ventures is further enhanced by shorter shipping routes, such as the Northern Sea Route, which become more accessible with reduced ice cover (Sharapov, 2023). However, the increased accessibility also raises significant environmental concerns, given the heightened risk of oil spills and the ecological fragility of the Arctic region.

Infrastructure and Transportation

The NSR has been prioritized in Russian state policy as a strategic transport corridor. Ports such as Murmansk and Arkhangelsk are being expanded to facilitate trade. However, permafrost thaw undermines pipelines, roads, and railways, complicating long-term development (Максимов & Pyataev, 2023). The rapid degradation of

permafrost not only destabilizes existing infrastructure but also significantly increases the cost and complexity of constructing new facilities crucial for resource extraction and logistical operations (Nørtoft et al., 2018). Moreover, the harsh Arctic climate and remoteness present unique challenges, requiring specialized infrastructure designs and maintenance protocols to ensure operational reliability and environmental protection (Shapovalova et al., 2020). Despite these challenges, the economic incentives for utilizing the NSR remain significant, with potential reductions in transit times and fuel costs for maritime transport between Europe and Asia (Rovenskaya et al., 2024).

Strategic and Geopolitical Dimensions

Climate change intensifies the Arctic's geopolitical significance. Russia seeks to consolidate control over the NSR and secure its resource-rich territories, leading to both cooperation and competition with other Arctic states (Sharapov, 2023). This is especially evident after the imposition of sanctions on Russia, which have spurred closer economic cooperation with China, despite their differing approaches to Arctic governance (Borozna, 2023). This alliance highlights a strategic realignment, where China's substantial investment capacity and Russia's resource endowments create a formidable partnership in Arctic development, particularly in energy projects and infrastructure, even as permafrost melt poses significant long-term financial burdens for infrastructure maintenance (Borozna, 2023) (Rogers et al., 2021). The increasing accessibility of the Arctic due to melting ice also opens new sea routes, facilitating access to previously unreachable areas and natural resources, thereby stimulating economic activity and development in the region (Jacobsen et al., 2018) (Wu et al., 2021). However, this intensified economic activity also contributes to increased environmental strain on fragile Arctic ecosystems, necessitating robust regulatory frameworks to prevent irreversible ecological damage (Mosbech et al., 2018) (Pertoldi-Bianchi, 2022).

Risks and Contradictions

While climate change opens new opportunities, it also generates high risks:

Infrastructure Vulnerability: Thawing permafrost destabilizes buildings and pipelines.

Environmental Costs: Increased extraction threatens fragile ecosystems.

Social Inequalities: Indigenous communities bear disproportionate burdens from ecological disruptions.

Geopolitical Tensions: Control over Arctic routes and resources could heighten international rivalries.

CONCLUSION

The Russian Arctic stands at the vanguard of climate change, experiencing transformations in its geography, ecology, and economy that fundamentally alter its regional and global importance. Climate change presents a stark paradox: it simultaneously destabilizes delicate landscapes and ecosystems while unlocking vast new economic frontiers. However, the increased accessibility to Arctic resources and shipping routes also heightens the risk of environmental degradation and geopolitical tensions, necessitating careful management and international cooperation to mitigate these potential downsides. For Russia, navigating this inherent duality necessitates a careful and strategic balancing of immediate economic advantages against the imperative of long-term ecological sustainability.

The future of Russia's Arctic will be shaped not only by environmental change but also by governance choices. Effective adaptation, protection of indigenous livelihoods, and sustainable resource management will determine whether the Arctic frontier becomes a site of resilience or vulnerability in the Anthropocene.

REFERENCES

1. Bilgili, M., Tümse, S., & Nar, S. (2024). Comprehensive Overview on the Present State and Evolution of Global Warming, Climate Change, Greenhouse Gasses and Renewable Energy. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 49(11), 14503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-024-09390-y>

2. Bommersbach, C. K., Grenier, G., Gendron, H., Harris, L. N., Janjua, M. Y., Mandrak, N. E., & Tallman, R. F. (2024). Climate change and Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) in North America: modelling possible changes in range with different climate scenarios and interspecific interactions. *Ichthyological Research*, 71(4), 548. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10228-024-00987-9>
3. Borozna, A. (2023). Russia's Security Perceptions and Arctic Governance. *Politics and Governance*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.7313>
4. Erickson, K., & Mustonen, T. (2022). Increased Prevalence of Open Water During Winter in the Bering Sea: Cultural Consequences in Unalakleet, Alaska, 2022. *Oceanography*, 180. <https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2022.135>
5. Galani, S., & Mardikian, L. (2024). Protecting the Arctic Indigenous Peoples' Livelihoods in the Face of Climate Change: The Potential of Regional Human Rights Law and the Law of the Sea. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5046505>
6. Gartler, S., Scheer, J., Meyer, A., Abass, K., Bartsch, A., Doloisio, N., Falardeau, J., Hugelius, G., Irrgang, A., Ingimundarson, J. H., Jungsberg, L., Lantuit, H., Larsen, J. N., Lodi, R., Martin, V., Mercer, L., Nielsen, D., Overduin, P. P., Povoroznyuk, O., ... Ingeman-Nielsen, T. (2025). A transdisciplinary, comparative analysis reveals key risks from Arctic permafrost thaw. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01883-w>
7. Grist, H., Ballester, J., Jong, M. F. de, Langehaug, H. R., Olsen, S. M., & Swingedouw, D. (2020). Decadal Predictions to Climate Services: How Understanding Climate Change in the Arctic can Support Climate Adaptation Decision-Making across the Northern Hemisphere. *HAL (Le Centre Pour La Communication Scientifique Directe)*. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03416200>
8. Jacobsen, R. B., Langen, P. L., & Mosbech, A. (2018). Regional Framework Scenarios. *Research Portal Denmark*, 97. <https://local.forskningportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=au&id=au-b3fe2947-6ff0-434c-b60d-3353181505b6&ti=Regional%20Framework%20Scenarios>
9. Jorgenson, M. T., Marcot, B. G., Swanson, D. K., Jorgenson, J. C., & DeGange, A. R. (2015). Projected changes in diverse ecosystems from climate warming and biophysical drivers in northwest Alaska. *Climatic Change*, 130(2), 131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1302-1>
10. Kaiser, S., Boike, J., Grosse, G., & Langer, M. (2024). Multisource Synthesized Inventory of CRitical Infrastructure and HUman-Impacted Areas in AlaSka (SIRIUS). *Earth System Science Data*, 16(8), 3719. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-16-3719-2024>
11. Levine, X. J., Williams, R., Marshall, G. J., Orr, A., Graff, L. S., Handorf, D., Karpechko, A. Yu., Köhler, R., Wijngaard, R. R., Johnston, N. M., Lee, H., Nieradzick, L., & Mooney, P. (2024). Storylines of summer Arctic climate change constrained by Barents–Kara seas and Arctic tropospheric warming for climate risk assessment. *Earth System Dynamics*, 15(4), 1161. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-1161-2024>
12. Lohmann, R., Beatty, B., Graybill, J. K., Grigorieva, E. A., Hansen, K. L., & Soikkeli, A. (2023). Perspective: Dimensions of Environment and Health in Arctic Communities [Review of Perspective: Dimensions of Environment and Health in Arctic Communities]. *Environment & Health*, 2(1), 3. American Chemical Society. <https://doi.org/10.1021/envhealth.3c00116>
13. Malik, I. H., Ahmed, R., Ford, J. D., & Hamidi, A. (2025). Arctic Warming: Cascading Climate Impacts and Global Consequences. *Climate*, 13(5), 85. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli13050085>
14. Mälksoo, M., & Kristoffersen, J. W. (2022). Climatising Security Policy : A Panorama and Implications for Denmark. In *Research Portal Denmark* (p. 131). Technical University of Denmark. <https://local.forskningportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=ku&id=ku-f10e89e9-4cdc-4e2f-af67-0ebf4869cc76&ti=Climatising%20Security%20Policy%20%3A%20A%20Panorama%20and%20Implications%20for%20Denmark>
15. Mann, P. J., Strauß, J., Palmtag, J., Dowdy, K., Ogneva, O., Fuchs, M., Bedington, M., Torres, R., Polimene, L., Overduin, P. P., Mollenhauer, G., Grosse, G., Rachold, V., Sobczak, W. V., Spencer, R. G. M., & Juhls, B. (2021). Degrading permafrost river catchments and their impact on Arctic Ocean nearshore processes. *AMBIO*, 51(2), 439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01666-z>
16. Mortensgaard, L. A. (2023). Reclaiming Arcticness: The writing of an Arctic chapter in the US autobiography. *Research Portal Denmark*, 1(1), 60. <https://local.forskningportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=diis&id=diis-c1eb215f-0679-43ca-bbae-501e66e3c7a2&ti=Reclaiming%20Arcticness%20%3A%20The%20writing%20of%20an%20Arctic%20chapter%20in%20the%20US%20autobiography>

17. Mosbech, A., Lemay, M., Simon, M., Merkel, F. R., Christensen, T., Jacobsen, R. B., Egede, P. P., & Falk, K. (2018). Adaptation Actions for a Changing Arctic : Perspectives from the Baffin Bay/Davis Strait Region. In Research Portal Denmark (p. 354). Technical University of Denmark. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=au&id=au-a30c9363-6c3e-4541-ac0d-a297d2f02ab8&ti=Adaptation%20Actions%20for%20a%20Changing%20Arctic%20%3A%20Perspectives%20from%20the%20Baffin%20Bay%2FDavis%20Strait%20Region>
18. Nicu, I. C., Rubensdotter, L., Tanyaş, H., & Lombardo, L. (2024). Near Pan-Svalbard permafrost cryospheric hazards inventory (SvalCryo). Scientific Data, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-024-03754-7>
19. Nielsen, D., Pieper, P., Barkhordarian, A., Overduin, P. P., Ilyina, T., Brovkin, Victor, Baehr, J., & Dobrynin, M. (2022). Increase in Arctic coastal erosion and its sensitivity to warming in the twenty-first century. Nature Climate Change, 12(3), 263. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01281-0>
20. Nørtoft, K., Bjerregaard, P., Carroll, S., Sirén, A., Carroll, S., Bjerregaard, P., Hounsgaard, L., Hounsgaard, L., & Jensen, T. (2018). Enhancing Well-Being Among Older People in Greenland through Partnerships of Research, Practice and Civil Society. Research Portal Denmark, 2018, 234. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=kp&id=kp-6af32eeb-d64d-4a4b-9e56-3852d1b709db&ti=Enhancing%20Well-Being%20Among%20Older%20People%20in%20Greenland%20through%20Partnerships%20of%20Research%2C%20Practice%20and%20Civil%20Society>
21. Obydenkova, A. (2024). Arctic environmental governance: challenges of sustainable development. Climatic Change, 177(7). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-024-03762-x>
22. Pertoldi-Bianchi, S. (2022). Climate Change Factors in Multi-Regulatory Responses in the North. In Research Portal Denmark (p. 19). Technical University of Denmark. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=aau&id=aau-398a654d-e6c2-47e1-a1e7-2d56ed839c32&ti=Climate%20Change%20Factors%20in%20Multi-Regulatory%20Responses%20in%20the%20North>
23. Rogers, J., Depledge, D., & Dodds, K. (2021). Arctic great power politics in the anthropocene. Research Portal Denmark, 21. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=sdu&id=sdu-2efff6c5-f49e-455a-9498-6cc025305102&ti=Arctic%20great%20power%20politics%20in%20the%20anthropocene>
24. Rovenskaya, E., Strelkovskii, N., Erokhin, D., & Ilmola-Sheppard, L. (2024). Future scenarios of commercial freight shipping in the Euro-Asian Arctic. Futures, 163, 103446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2024.103446>
25. Sarvut, T. O. (2018). Constructive basis for the development of the extreme zones of Siberia and the Russian arctic. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7, 75. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i2.13.11602>
26. Shapovalova, D., Galimullin, E. Z., & Grushevenko, E. (2020). Russian Arctic offshore petroleum governance: The effects of western sanctions and outlook for northern development. Energy Policy, 146, 111753. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111753>
27. Sharapov, D. (2023). Northern Sea route and climate change. E3S Web of Conferences, 460, 9019. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202346009019>
28. Smáradóttir, S. E., Magnúsdóttir, L., Smárasón, B. Ö., Þórðarson, G., Johannessen, B., Stefánsdóttir, E. K., Jacobsen, B., Laksá, U., Sølberg, S. Ø., Vang, J., Gunnarsdóttir, R., Hreggviðsson, G. Ó., Ingvarsson, G. B., Jörundsdóttir, H. Ó., Kristófersson, D. M., Reykdal, Ó., Paulsen, H., & Margeirsson, S. (2014). Future opportunities for bioeconomy in the West Nordic countries. In Research Portal Denmark (p. 118). Technical University of Denmark. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=dtu&id=dtu-c99d393a-285b-4fd6-ae4c-81024c43ae48&ti=Future%20opportunities%20for%20bioeconomy%20in%20the%20West%20Nordic%20countries>
29. Śmieszek, M., Young, O. R., Hoel, A. H., & Singh, K. (2021). The state and challenges of Arctic governance in an era of transformation. One Earth, 4(12), 1665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.11.014>
30. Tanguy, R., Bartsch, A., Nitze, I., Irrgang, A., Petzold, P., Widhalm, B., Baeckmann, C. von, Boike, J., Martin, J., Efimova, A. I., Vieira, G., Whalen, D., Heim, B., Wiczorek, M., & Grosse, G. (2024). Pan-Arctic Assessment of Coastal Settlements and Infrastructure Vulnerable to Coastal Erosion, Sea-Level Rise, and Permafrost Thaw. Earth s Future, 12(12). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024ef005013>

31. Winther, S., & Gudmestad, O. T. (2023). Impact of and solutions to effects of climate changes for Longyearbyen, Svalbard, Norway. IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering, 1294(1), 12036. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1294/1/012036>
32. Wu, K., Tseng, M., Ali, M. H., Xue, B., Chiu, A. S. F., Fujii, M., Xu, M., Lan, S., Ren, M., & Yan, B. (2021). Opportunity or threat in balancing social, economic and environmental impacts: The appearance of the Polar Silk Road. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 88, 106570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106570>
33. Максимов, С. А., & Pyataev, M. (2023). Transport infrastructure of Russia: International corridors and transport hubs. E3S Web of Conferences, 460, 6016. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202346006016>