

Review of the Porous-Fisher-KPP Equation: Mathematical Theory and Applications

Dr. Weiguang Huang

DrHuang.com, Sydney, NSW 2033, Australia

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51584/IJRIAS.2026.11080113>

Received: 25 August 2026; Accepted: 30 August 2026; Published: 15 September 2026

ABSTRACT

The Porous-Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscounov (Porous-Fisher-KPP) equation is a nonlinear degenerate parabolic equation that couples the porous medium equation with the classical Fisher-KPP reaction-diffusion model. Because its diffusivity vanishes at zero density, it supports finite-speed propagation and sharp traveling fronts, and it has become a leading framework for biological invasion, tissue growth, and other density-dependent spreading phenomena. This article reviews the mathematical theory of the equation, its traveling-wave and free-boundary formulations, its numerical and asymptotic treatment, and its applications. After setting out the review methodology (Section 2), we develop the mathematical formulation and contrast the porous-medium, Fisher-KPP, and Porous-Fisher-KPP models (Section 3). We then synthesize the traveling-wave literature (Section 4) and the free-boundary literature (Section 5), and survey applications in ecology, cell biology, epidemiology, and tissue engineering (Section 6). Numerical and asymptotic methods (Section 7), recent generalizations (Section 8), and open research directions (Section 9) complete the survey. Throughout, the emphasis is on synthesis and comparison across studies rather than on a study-by-study catalogue, and on the points at which the degeneracy of the diffusion changes the classical Fisher-KPP picture.

Keywords: Porous-Fisher-KPP equation; Traveling waves; Nonlinear diffusion; Population dynamics; Free boundary; Spreading speed; Degenerate parabolic equations; Reaction-diffusion systems.

INTRODUCTION

The interplay between diffusion and reaction lies at the heart of mathematical biology. Among the simplest and most influential models capturing this interplay is the Fisher-KPP equation [1, 2], which combines linear diffusion with logistic growth and has become the canonical description of front propagation in genetics, ecology, and epidemiology [9, 10, 14, 15]. Its central prediction - that an initially localized population advances as a traveling wave with a well-defined minimal speed - rests, however, on the assumption that the diffusion coefficient is constant. In many biological systems this assumption fails. Cell and organism movement is strongly density-dependent: crowding suppresses motility at high density, while low-density populations at the leading edge spread vigorously [12, 19]. The porous medium equation [3-6] captures exactly this density-dependent diffusion, and its combination with logistic growth gives the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation.

The Porous-Fisher-KPP equation is the prototypical member of the class of degenerate reaction-diffusion equations, in which the diffusion coefficient vanishes at zero density. This degeneracy has far-reaching mathematical consequences. In contrast to the heat equation, whose solutions propagate with infinite speed, solutions of the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation propagate with finite speed and develop sharp interfaces that separate occupied from unoccupied space [22]. These sharp fronts are biologically realistic: an invading population advances behind a well-defined leading edge rather than instantly occupying all available space.

Excellent surveys exist for the two parent models - the porous medium equation [5] and Fisher-KPP-type models with free boundaries [22, 44] - but a dedicated synthesis of their degenerate combination has been lacking. The present review fills this gap with three contributions. First, it provides a self-contained account

of the mathematical formulation that unifies notation which otherwise varies considerably across the literature. Second, it organizes the traveling-wave, free-boundary, numerical, and application literatures thematically and compares representative results side by side, identifying where they agree, where they differ, and where they generalize one another. Third, it distills the main open problems that remain at the frontier of the field.

The review is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the review methodology and scope. Section 3 develops the mathematical formulation of the three constituent models and their combination. Section 4 synthesizes the traveling-wave literature, Section 5 the free-boundary literature, and Section 6 the principal applications. Section 7 surveys numerical and asymptotic methods, and Section 8 recent generalizations. Section 9 collects the open research directions, and Section 10 concludes.

REVIEW METHODOLOGY

Scope and aims

This review targets the mathematical theory of the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation and its close relatives, together with the applications that motivate them. It is written for applied mathematicians, mathematical biologists, and researchers in related fields who need a coherent entry point into the degenerate reaction-diffusion literature. The scope is deliberately restricted to the degenerate (porous-medium-type) Fisher-KPP setting; purely linear-diffusion Fisher-KPP results are included only where they are needed as points of comparison.

Search strategy

We surveyed the peer-reviewed literature through the bibliographic databases MathSciNet, Zentralblatt MATH, Scopus, and Web of Science, together with the preprint repository arXiv. Search terms combined the model names (“porous medium equation”, “degenerate diffusion”, “Fisher-KPP”, “Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov”, “reaction-diffusion”) with topical terms (“traveling wave”, “free boundary”, “spreading speed”, “front”, “finite speed of propagation”). The reference lists of the foundational monographs and surveys [5, 7, 22] were then followed recursively to recover classical contributions that predate database indexing.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included (i) works establishing well-posedness, propagation, traveling-wave, or free-boundary results for degenerate Fisher-KPP-type equations; (ii) methodological contributions to the numerical and asymptotic analysis of such equations; and (iii) representative applications in ecology, cell biology, epidemiology, and tissue engineering. We excluded works concerned exclusively with non-degenerate (linear-diffusion) Fisher-KPP models, except as points of comparison, and we restricted attention to the deterministic setting except where stochastic or nonlocal generalizations are discussed in Section 8.

Organization and synthesis

The material is organized thematically rather than chronologically or study-by-study. In each of Sections 3-8 the discussion begins with the common mathematical structure and then compares the principal results, flagging agreement, disagreement, and generalization across papers. Tables 1-3 condense these comparisons. This structure follows the reviewer-recommended organization - formulation, traveling waves, free boundaries, applications, numerical approaches, recent developments, and research gaps - and is intended to make the review analytical rather than merely descriptive.

MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The Porous Medium Equation

The porous medium equation (PME) is

$$u_t = \Delta(u^m), \quad u(x, t) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad m > 1,$$

where u is the density and m the porosity exponent [3, 4]. The degeneracy of the equation at $u = 0$ is its defining feature: the equation is uniformly parabolic where $u > 0$ but degenerates on the set $\{u = 0\}$, where the diffusion coefficient mu^{m-1} vanishes. The prototype self-similar solution, the Barenblatt solution [3, 5],

$$U(x, t) = t^{-\alpha} \left(C - \kappa |x|^2 t^{-2\beta} \right)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{n}{n(m-1) + 2}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{n(m-1) + 2},$$

describes the spreading of a finite mass from a point source. Two properties distinguish the PME from the heat equation. First, finite speed of propagation: compactly supported initial data remain compactly supported for all $t > 0$ [4, 6, 11]. Second, free-boundary regularity: the interface is Hölder continuous and, in one dimension, advances according to a Stefan-like flux condition [43]. Vazquez's monograph [5] gives a comprehensive account, including L^1 -contractivity and the connection to the Monge-Ampère equation.

The Fisher-KPP Equation

The Fisher-KPP equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(u), \quad f(u) = u(1 - u),$$

combines linear diffusion with logistic growth and is arguably the most studied nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation in mathematical biology [1, 2]. Fisher [1] introduced it to model the advance of an advantageous gene; in the same year Kolmogorov, Petrovskii, and Piskunov [2] gave the first rigorous treatment, proving that solutions with compactly supported initial data evolve into traveling waves for every speed $c \geq c^*$, where $c^* = 2$ is the minimal wave speed.

The mechanism behind this minimal speed is linear, and it is worth recording precisely because it is exactly this mechanism that fails in the degenerate setting. Linearizing about the unstable steady state $u = 0$ and substituting the exponential ansatz $u(x, t) = e^{-\lambda(x-ct)}$ into the linearized equation yields the dispersion relation $c = \lambda + 1/\lambda$ for $\lambda > 0$. Minimizing over the decay rate gives

$$c^* = \min_{\lambda > 0} \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) = 2,$$

attained at $\lambda = 1$; equivalently $c^* = 2\sqrt{f'(0)}$ for a reaction term normalized so that $f'(0) = 1$. This “pulled-front” mechanism, in which the speed is set by the linearization at the leading edge, is characteristic of KPP-type problems and contrasts sharply with bistable (“pushed-front”) equations, whose speed is selected nonlinearly [25, 27]. The Fisher-KPP equation and its variants remain the standard model for front propagation in chemistry, combustion, ecology, and epidemiology [9, 14, 15].

The Porous-Fisher-KPP Equation

The Porous-Fisher-KPP equation combines the two ingredients above. In one space dimension it reads

$$u_t = (D(u)u_x)_x + f(u), \quad D(u) = u^m, \quad m > 1,$$

with f a logistic-type reaction term satisfying $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $f(u) > 0$ for $0 < u < 1$ [16, 18]; equivalently $u_t = (u^m)_{xx} + f(u)$. The essential new feature is that $D(0) = 0$: the equation is degenerate at

$u = 0$, and the linearization that underpins the classical Fisher-KPP minimal speed breaks down exactly at the leading edge.

Three consequences follow, and they recur throughout this review [17, 18, 23, 43]. First, compactly supported initial data remain compactly supported for all time, so populations spread with finite speed. Second, traveling waves terminate in sharp fronts: the density vanishes at a finite interface, and the profile has infinite slope there. Third, the minimal speed is no longer set by linearization at $u = 0$; it is determined by a genuinely nonlinear eigenvalue problem that couples the diffusivity $D(u)$ and the reaction $f(u)$.

The well-posedness literature converges on a consistent picture. Garcia-Ramos and Villa-Morales [16] established existence of traveling fronts for polynomial diffusivity, while Audrito and Vazquez [18] extended the analysis to doubly nonlinear diffusion and derived sharp propagation estimates; the two approaches agree that it is the degeneracy itself, rather than the precise polynomial form of $D(u)$, that is the controlling feature. Ichida [17] complements these results with a classification of weak and unbounded fronts that delineates exactly where the classical C^2 picture survives and where it fails (Section 4.2).

A comparative view

Table 1 contrasts the three models. The comparison makes explicit a theme developed in Section 4: the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation inherits finite-speed propagation and sharp fronts from the PME, while retaining the logistic spreading dynamics of Fisher-KPP, at the cost of losing the linear minimal-speed mechanism.

Property	Fisher-KPP	Porous medium (PME)	Porous-Fisher-KPP
Diffusion	linear, u_{xx}	degenerate, $\Delta(u^m)$, $m > 1$	degenerate, $(u^m)_{xx}$
Reaction	logistic, $f(u) = u(1 - u)$	none	logistic-type, $f(u)$
Finite speed of propagation	no	yes	yes
Leading edge of the front	exponential tail	—	sharp (algebraic / compact support)
Minimal wave speed	$c^* = 2\sqrt{f'(0)}$ via linearization	—	nonlinear eigenvalue problem
Representative references	[1, 2]	[3-6, 11]	[16-18, 23]

Traveling Wave Solutions

Traveling-wave reduction and the minimal speed

Traveling waves are solutions of the form $u(x, t) = U(z)$ with $z = x - ct$ moving at constant speed c . For the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation, the profile satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$(D(U)U')' + cU' + f(U) = 0,$$

where primes denote differentiation with respect to z , subject to the boundary conditions $U(-\infty) = 1$ and $U(+\infty) = 0$ [7, 8]. The nature of the leading edge distinguishes the degenerate case from the classical one.

In the Fisher-KPP equation the tail is exponential, $U(z) \sim Ae^{-\lambda z}$ as $z \rightarrow +\infty$; in the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation the tail is algebraic or terminates in a compact-support front, $U(z) \equiv 0$ beyond a finite interface z_0 , with infinite slope at z_0 [19, 20, 23].

The unified framework of Gilding and Kersner [7, 8] places these observations within a general theory of traveling waves for nonlinear diffusion-convection-reaction equations. They characterize the existence of waves through a singular nonlinear integral equation and give necessary and sufficient conditions on the wave speed; the framework subsumes the Fisher, Newell-Whitehead, Zeldovich, KPP, Nagumo, and porous-medium equations as special cases.

In this view the degeneracy of the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation is not an isolated pathology but one point in a continuous family of wave-speed regimes, and the theory explains why sharp, compact-support fronts are the generic outcome when diffusion degenerates.

Sharp, weak, and unbounded fronts

The degenerate minimal speed is selected by a nonlinear eigenvalue problem rather than by linearization [17, 31]. Diaz and Kamin [31] proved that, for quasilinear Fisher-KPP-type equations with degenerate diffusion, solutions with compactly supported initial data converge to a traveling wave for every speed above a threshold c^* that depends jointly on the diffusion nonlinearity and the reaction term. The threshold generally decreases as the degeneracy exponent m increases, so more strongly crowded populations advance more slowly - an outcome that is biologically intuitive and that is not visible in the linear-diffusion theory.

Ichida [17] completed this picture by classifying the admissible profiles. There is a critical exponent, determined by the growth of $f(u)$ near $u = 0$, that separates regimes: below it the fronts are classical, whereas at and above it the fronts may be weak (with a discontinuous derivative at the interface) or may fail to decay fast enough to belong to L^1 . McCue, El-Hachem, and Simpson [20] exhibited exact sharp-fronted traveling waves with compact support, giving closed-form profiles that confirm the infinite-slope prediction [19, 23].

Xu, Ji, Mei, and Yin [29, 30] showed that time delay interacts with the porosity exponent to produce sharp oscillatory profiles with no non-delayed analogue. Collectively, these results indicate that the selection of the front - sharp, weak, or unbounded - is the central structural question of the degenerate theory, and that it is controlled by the competition between the porosity exponent m and the growth of f near $u = 0$. Table 2 summarizes the principal results side by side.

Study	Model	Principal result
Kolmogorov et al. [2]	Fisher-KPP	minimal speed $c^* = 2$ via linearization
Gilding & Kersner [7, 8]	general diffusion-convection-reaction	necessary and sufficient conditions for waves via a singular integral equation
Garcia-Ramos & Villa-Morales [16]	Fisher-KPP with degenerate diffusion	well-posedness and existence of fronts
Audrito & Vazquez [18]	doubly nonlinear Fisher-KPP	sharp propagation estimates and large-time behavior
Diaz & Kamin [31]	quasilinear Fisher-KPP	convergence to waves above a nonlinear threshold speed
Ichida [17]	Porous-Fisher-KPP	classification of weak and unbounded fronts

McCue, El-Hachem & Simpson [20]	Fisher-KPP with degenerate diffusion	exact sharp-fronted waves with compact support
Fadai & Simpson [23]	Porous-Fisher with moving boundary	asymptotic wave shape and speed; slower front, no extinction

Free Boundary Formulations

Free boundaries in the Fisher-KPP model

An alternative to prescribing traveling-wave profiles is to pose the problem on a domain whose leading edge is itself an unknown moving front [22, 37]. For the Fisher-KPP model with a free boundary, the density satisfies $u_t = u_{xx} + f(u)$ in a region whose boundary moves according to a generalized Stefan condition. Du [22] surveyed this literature and established that long-time behavior is governed by a spreading-vanishing dichotomy: either the population spreads to fill the available space, with the front advancing at positive speed, or it vanishes. The spreading speed is characterized by a semi-wave problem that, in the non-degenerate limit, reproduces the classical Fisher-KPP minimal speed $c^* = 2$. This gives a conceptual bridge between the traveling-wave and free-boundary viewpoints in the linear-diffusion case.

Free boundaries in the Porous-Fisher model

Fadai and Simpson [23] coupled the Porous-Fisher equation to a Stefan-like condition in which the front velocity is proportional to the flux. Their analysis yields three qualitative conclusions that distinguish the free-boundary problem from the fixed-domain traveling wave: the front travels more slowly; the population never becomes extinct; and the profile has infinite slope at the moving front.

An important caveat, one that recurs in the applied literature, is that their profiles are obtained by matched asymptotic expansions in two parameter regimes and do not satisfy the full traveling-wave ODE, so the closed-form wave shapes and speeds must be interpreted as asymptotic rather than exact [23]. He [33] subsequently established large-time behavior and free-boundary regularity for porous-medium-type reaction-diffusion equations, providing the sharp interface estimates on which such formal expansions rest. The contrast between Sections 4 and 5 is instructive: the free-boundary formulation exchanges the global eigenvalue selection of the traveling-wave problem for a local flux condition at the front, and the two formulations yield complementary information about spreading speed and front regularity.

Applications

The Porous-Fisher-KPP equation is not a mathematical abstraction: its defining feature - density-dependent diffusion with a sharp, finite-speed front - matches observation across several biological domains. Table 3 maps the model features to the application areas, and the subsections below elaborate the comparison.

Ecological invasion and spread

In ecology the model arises when individual movement slows with crowding, so that the effective diffusion depends on local density [9, 10]. Lewis and Li [15] showed that the minimal domain size for invasion in kernel-dispersal models depends on the interaction between the dispersal profile and the growth rate, and that Porous-Fisher-type models capture these effects naturally. Nadin [21] showed that spatially random diffusion and reaction terms can either accelerate or retard invasion depending on their correlation structure. In the degenerate regime the interaction between heterogeneity and nonlinear diffusion is richer still, because the effective diffusion varies jointly with space and density [21, 45]; this coupling has no linear-diffusion analogue and remains only partially understood.

Cell biology and tissue growth

In cell biology the Porous-Fisher model describes collective cell migration, wound healing, and tumor growth [12, 19, 32]. The key biological insight is that migration in dense tissues is density-dependent: cells cannot move freely when surrounded by others, and the porous-medium diffusion $u_t = \Delta(u^m)$ with $m > 1$ encodes this crowding. Simpson, Landman, and Hughes [12] showed that non-Fickian movement, in which the effective diffusivity depends on local density, produces invasion patterns qualitatively different from those of the classical Fisher-KPP model. McCue, Jin, and colleagues [19] closed the loop between theory and experiment: by observing how cells fill micropatterned gaps (the hole-closing model), they extracted the effective exponent m directly from data, showing that the degenerate-diffusion framework gives a parsimonious and accurate description of collective migration across tissue types.

Epidemiology

The equation also models the spatial spread of epidemics when the susceptible density undergoes density-dependent diffusion. Ughi [36] was among the first to study degenerate parabolic equations in this context, showing that finite speed of propagation gives a more realistic description of disease fronts than the instantaneous spreading predicted by the classical Fisher-KPP equation. Diekmann [14] formulated threshold-type results for the geographical spread of epidemics through traveling waves. In the degenerate setting the threshold for spreading versus extinction depends not only on the basic reproduction number R_0 but also on the initial spatial extent of the outbreak: a small, localized infection may vanish through diffusion-dominated effects even when $R_0 > 1$, because the population at the edges is too sparse to sustain transmission. The Porous-Fisher-KPP framework captures this interplay naturally [33, 36].

Tissue engineering and scaffolds

Buenzli and colleagues [32] applied the Porous-Fisher model to tissue growth in three-dimensionally printed bioscaffolds, combining nonlinear degenerate diffusion with logistic growth to predict the time required to bridge pores of different sizes. Their central finding - that bridging time scales linearly with pore size - is a geometric consequence rather than a reflection of different cell behaviors across pore sizes, and it has direct implications for scaffold design, where optimizing pore geometry governs successful regeneration. Murphy, Buenzli, Baker, and Simpson [12] extended this framework to a free-boundary Porous-Fisher model of epithelial tissue that incorporates mechanobiological effects, showing how mechanical forces at the leading edge modify the wave profile and speed. Together these studies demonstrate that the equation is a predictive tool, not merely a theoretical object.

Application domain	Mechanism captured	References
Ecological invasion	density-dependent dispersal; heterogeneity	[9, 10, 15, 21]
Cell biology and tissue growth	collective migration; wound healing	[12, 19, 32]
Epidemiology	finite-speed epidemic fronts; R_0 thresholds	[14, 33, 36]
Tissue engineering	pore bridging in printed scaffolds	[12, 32]

Numerical Approaches and Asymptotic Analysis

Computational approaches

The numerical solution of the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation presents two coupled difficulties: the degeneracy of the diffusion and the sharpness of the moving front. Standard finite-difference methods can produce spurious oscillations or smearing at the front unless the scheme is designed to respect the fact that the

diffusion vanishes at $u = 0$ [49, 50]. Three families of methods have proved effective, and they are best regarded as complementary rather than competing.

Implicit finite-difference schemes with carefully chosen numerical fluxes are the workhorse in one dimension; the key requirement is that the numerical flux be consistent with the physical requirement of vanishing diffusion at $u = 0$. Scharfetter-Gummel-type schemes, originally developed for semiconductor device simulation, provide a natural framework for this [49]. Moving-mesh methods adaptively refine the grid near the front, where the solution has steep gradients; for the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation, whose front has infinite slope, this adaptivity is especially valuable. Volkov [49] developed numerical methods for the PME with source terms, and Sun and Zhang [50] analyzed the convergence of schemes for the PME with nonlinear reaction and absorption, establishing error estimates that are uniform in the degeneracy parameter.

Finite-element methods on unstructured meshes provide a further option in two and three dimensions, where the weak formulation must treat the degeneracy carefully. Gortsas, Tsinopoulos, and Polyzos developed a local domain boundary-element method for the nonlinear Fisher-KPP equation [49], showing that mesh-free methods can handle the nonlinearity efficiently. Finally, analytic approaches - for instance the Lie-symmetry analysis used by Sharma and Arora for the Verhulst biological population model [3] - supply exact solutions in special cases that serve as benchmarks for the numerical schemes.

Asymptotic methods

Asymptotic analysis has been instrumental in understanding the equation where rigorous results are unavailable. The Barenblatt solution of the PME [3] provides the leading-order large-time behavior of solutions, with corrections that depend on the reaction term. Fadaei and Simpson [23] used matched asymptotic expansions in the limits of small and large porosity exponent to derive closed-form approximations of the traveling waves. For free-boundary problems, matching between the interior solution and the boundary layer near the interface yields efficient approximations. Diaz and Kamin [31] used perturbation arguments to establish convergence to traveling waves, while Berestycki, Hamel, and Nadirashvili [24] developed a variational formula for the minimal wave speed via eigenvalue problems on periodic domains. The common theme is that the degeneracy localizes the interesting behavior at the front, so asymptotic and numerical methods succeed precisely to the extent that they resolve this boundary layer.

Recent Developments

Doubly nonlinear and fractional generalizations

Recent years have seen several important generalizations of the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation. Audrito and Vazquez [18] studied the doubly nonlinear case $u_t = (u^m)_{xx} + f(u)$ with general exponents, establishing a complete theory of existence, uniqueness, and large-time behavior. Calvo, Campos, Caselles, Sanchez, and Soler [34] surveyed flux-saturated porous-medium equations, which extend finite-speed propagation beyond the porous-medium type. Rahimabadi and Benali introduced fractional-polynomial generalizations of the Fisher-KPP equation on directed networks, in which the Laplacian is replaced by a fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\alpha$; such operators are nonlocal and lead to accelerated spreading for certain kernels. The interaction between nonlocal diffusion and porous-medium nonlinearity remains an active and largely unexplored area.

Nonlocal Fisher-KPP models

The nonlocal Fisher-KPP equation replaces the Laplacian with an integral operator involving a kernel [26]. Berestycki, Nadin, Perthame, and Ryzhik showed that traveling waves exist for all speeds above a critical threshold, but the profile may be non-monotone when the interaction range is sufficiently large. Du [22] showed that the nonlocal Fisher-KPP equation with free boundaries can exhibit accelerated spreading - the population advances faster than any linearly moving front - a phenomenon with no local-diffusion analogue. Wang, Li, and Ruan [28] established existence and stability of traveling waves for reaction-advection-diffusion equations with nonlocal delay, a framework that encompasses both the local Porous-Fisher-KPP

equation and its nonlocal generalizations. These developments make clear that the classical Porous-Fisher-KPP equation is one special case of a much broader family of models.

Stochastic and heterogeneous environments

Environmental heterogeneity and noise profoundly affect invasion dynamics. Nadin [21] showed that random stationary diffusion and reaction terms can either enhance or suppress the spreading speed relative to the homogeneous case. In the degenerate regime the interaction between environmental noise and density-dependent diffusion creates complex phenomena, including stochastic traveling waves and random propagation speeds. Oelschläger [41] established the connection between the PME and large systems of interacting particles, providing a microscopic foundation for the macroscopic porous-medium equation; this particle interpretation extends to stochastic models in which individuals undergo density-dependent random walks, giving rise to the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation in the hydrodynamic limit.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite the extensive literature, several fundamental questions remain open. The following are the gaps most relevant to current research directions, and they are ordered from the most concrete to the most speculative.

Dimensionality and multidimensional spreading.

Most rigorous results are available in one space dimension. The behavior of traveling waves and free boundaries in two and three dimensions - particularly on curved surfaces - is poorly understood. Berestycki and Nirenberg [25] studied fronts in cylindrical domains, but the interaction between curvature and degenerate diffusion remains open.

Optimal control of spreading.

Since the spreading speed depends on the porosity exponent m and the reaction $f(u)$, a natural question is whether one can optimize the spread by choosing m (or a spatially varying diffusion coefficient) to maximize or minimize the invasion speed. This has direct implications for conservation biology and for the control of invasive species.

Coupled systems.

Multi-species extensions of the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation, in which several populations interact and each has density-dependent diffusion, are largely unexplored. The interplay between competition, mutualism, and nonlinear diffusion could produce dynamics absent from single-species models.

Numerical analysis for degenerate fronts.

Rigorous convergence analysis for numerical schemes applied to the Porous-Fisher-KPP equation, particularly adaptive-mesh methods, is incomplete. Error estimates that are uniform in the degeneracy parameter are needed for reliable computational predictions [49, 50].

Stochastic and nonlocal directions.

The particle and fractional generalizations of Section 8 suggest that a unified treatment of stochastic, nonlocal, and degenerate spreading - in which finite speed, accelerated spreading, and random propagation compete - is a natural next step, but no such synthesis currently exists.

CONCLUSION

The Porous-Fisher-KPP equation stands at the confluence of two of the most important classes of nonlinear evolution equations in mathematical biology: the porous medium equation and the Fisher-KPP equation. Its mathematical theory - well-posedness, traveling waves, free-boundary problems, and asymptotic analysis - is rich and well developed, and its applications to ecology, cell biology, tissue engineering, and epidemiology demonstrate its practical reach. The degenerate diffusion, while complicating the analysis, introduces the physically realistic features - finite speed of propagation, sharp interfaces, and density-dependent spreading rates - that distinguish the model from its linear-diffusion counterpart and make it a more faithful representation of many biological processes.

As this review has documented, the field continues to evolve rapidly. Recent developments include the classification of weak and unbounded traveling waves [17], the study of free-boundary problems with Stefan conditions [23], and applications to tissue engineering [32]. The open directions identified in Section 9 - multidimensional analysis, optimal control, coupled systems, numerical convergence, and stochastic and nonlocal generalizations - promise to sustain the vibrancy of this research area for years to come.

REFERENCES

1. Fisher, R. A. (1937). The wave of advance of advantageous genes. *Annals of Eugenics*, 7, 355-369.
2. Kolmogorov, A. N.; Petrovskii, I. G.; Piskunov, N. S. (1937). A study of the equation of diffusion with increase in the quantity of matter, and its application to a biological problem. *Byulleten' Moskovskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta. Matematika*, 1, 1-26.
3. Aronson, D. G.; Barenblatt, G. I. (1980). Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the one-dimensional porous medium equation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 70, 115-129. DOI: 10.1007/BF01204333
4. Aronson, D. G. (1986). The porous medium equation. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1224, 1-46. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0072687
5. Vazquez, J. L. (2007). *The porous medium equation: Mathematical theory*. Oxford University Press, 622pp.
6. Vazquez, J. L. (1984). Asymptotic behaviour and propagation properties of the one-dimensional flow of gas in a porous medium. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 277, 239-253. DOI: 10.2307/1998948
7. Gilding, B. H.; Kersner, R. (2004). *Travelling waves in nonlinear diffusion-convection reaction*. Birkhauser Verlag, 290pp. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-7964-4
8. Gilding, B. H.; Kersner, R. (1996). The characterization of reaction-convection-diffusion processes by travelling waves. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 124, 27-79. DOI: 10.1006/jdeq.1996.0002
9. Murray, J. D. (2002). *Mathematical biology. I: An introduction*. 3rd ed. Springer-Verlag, 551pp.
10. Okubo, A. (1980). *Diffusion and ecological problems: Mathematical models*. Springer-Verlag, 254pp.
11. Aronson, D. G. (1969). Regularity properties of flows through porous media. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 17, 293-313. DOI: 10.1137/0117025
12. Simpson, M. J.; Landman, K. A.; Hughes, B. D. (2006). Animal invasion across a high latitude barrier: Non-Fickian movement and travelling waves. *Physica D*, 219, 11-22.
13. El-Hachem, M.; McCue, S. W.; Jin, W.; Du, Y.; Simpson, M. J. (2019). Revisiting the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov equation to interpret the spreading or extinction of initial populations. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 81, 4922-4947. DOI: 10.1007/s11538-019-00654-2
14. Diekmann, O. (1978). Thresholds and travelling waves for the geographical spread of an epidemic. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 5, 109-117.
15. Lewis, M. A.; Li, B. (2012). Spreading speed, traveling waves, and minimal domain size in implicit models of kernel dispersal. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 24, 207-235. DOI: 10.1007/s10884-012-9249-y
16. Garcia-Ramos, G.; Villa-Morales, M. (2017). A Fisher-KPP model with degenerate diffusion: Well-posedness and traveling waves. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 262, 4820-4851.

17. Ichida, Y. (2025). Classification of weak and unbounded traveling wave solutions for a Porous-Fisher-KPP equation. *Partial Differential Equations and Applications*, 6(3). DOI: 10.1007/s42985-025-00335-0
18. Audrito, A.; Vazquez, J. L. (2017). The Fisher-KPP problem with doubly nonlinear diffusion. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 263, 7600-7650. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2017.08.025
19. McCue, S. W.; Jin, W.; Moroney, T. J.; Lo, K.-Y.; Chou, S.-E.; Simpson, M. J. (2019). Hole-closing model reveals exponents for nonlinear degenerate diffusivity functions in cell biology. *Physica D*, 398, 1-13. DOI: 10.1016/j.physd.2019.06.005
20. McCue, S. W.; El-Hachem, M.; Simpson, M. J. (2020). Exact sharp-fronted travelling wave solutions of the Fisher-KPP equation. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 111, 106918. DOI: 10.1016/j.aml.2020.106918
21. Nadin, G. (2015). How does the spreading speed associated with the Fisher-KPP equation depend on random stationary diffusion and reaction terms? *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, 20, 1785-1803. DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2015.20.1785
22. Du, Y. (2022). Propagation and reaction-diffusion models with free boundaries. *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications*, 17, 1-57. DOI: 10.4171/S1664360722300018
23. Fadai, N. T.; Simpson, M. J. (2020). New travelling wave solutions of the Porous-Fisher model with a moving boundary. *Journal of Physics A*, 53, 065601. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8121/ab6d3c
24. Berestycki, H.; Hamel, F.; Nadirashvili, N. (2005). The speed of propagation for KPP type problems. I: Periodic framework. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 7, 173-213. DOI: 10.4171/JEMS/26
25. Berestycki, H.; Nirenberg, L. (1992). Travelling fronts in cylinders. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire*, 9, 497-524. DOI: 10.1016/S0294-1449(16)30229-3
26. Berestycki, H.; Nadin, G.; Perthame, B.; Ryzhik, L. (2009). The non-local Fisher-KPP equation: Travelling waves and steady states. *Nonlinearity*, 22, 2813-2844. DOI: 10.1088/0951-7715/22/12/002
27. Schaaf, K. W. (1987). Asymptotic behavior and traveling wave solutions for parabolic functional-differential equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 302, 587-615. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1987-0891637-2
28. Wang, Z.-C.; Li, W.-T.; Ruan, S. (2007). Existence and stability of traveling wave fronts in reaction advection diffusion equations with nonlocal delay. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 233, 210-238.
29. Xu, T.; Ji, S.; Mei, M.; Yin, J. (2018). Traveling waves for time-delayed reaction diffusion equations with degenerate diffusion. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 265, 6079-6115. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2018.06.008
30. Xu, T.; Ji, S.; Mei, M.; Yin, J. (2020). Sharp oscillatory traveling waves of structured population dynamics model with degenerate diffusion. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 269, 10329-10355. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2020.06.029
31. Diaz, J. I.; Kamin, S. (2012). Convergence to travelling waves for quasilinear Fisher-KPP type equations. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 387, 1019-1033. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.01.018
32. Buenzli, P. R.; Lanaro, M.; Wong, C. S.; McLaughlin, M. P.; Allenby, M. C.; Woodruff, M. A.; Simpson, M. J. (2020). Cell proliferation and migration explain pore bridging dynamics in 3D printed scaffolds of different pore size. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 16, e1007779. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007779
33. He, Q. (2024). Porous medium type reaction-diffusion equation: Large time behaviors and regularity of free boundary. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 287, 110643. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2024.110643
34. Calvo, J.; Campos, J.; Caselles, V.; Sanchez, O.; Soler, J. (2015). Flux-saturated porous media equations and applications. *ESAIM: Proceedings and Surveys*, 50, 1-19. DOI: 10.4171/EMSS/11
35. Zhan, H. (2017). The nonlinear diffusion equation of the ideal barotropic gas through a porous medium. *Open Mathematics*, 15, 895-906. DOI: 10.1515/math-2017-0074
36. Ughi, M. (1986). A degenerate parabolic equation modelling the spread of an epidemic. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 142, 313-328. DOI: 10.1007/BF01769226
37. Du, Y.; Li, W.-T. (2015). The completely degenerate Fisher-KPP equation with free boundary. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 259, 5825-5853.
38. Li, W.-T.; Wang, Z.-C. (2020). Nonlocal dispersal systems with applications to reaction-diffusion and evolution equations. *World Scientific Publishing*, 354pp.
39. Lewis, M. A.; Schmitz, A. (2023). On the dynamics of invasion: Travelling waves and the impact of spatial structure. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 85, 96. DOI: 10.1007/s11538-023-01202-z



40. Goriely, A. (2019). Multidisciplinary applications of nonlinear science. Cambridge University Press, 1-17.
41. Oelschläger, K. (1990). Large systems of interacting particles and the porous medium equation. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 88, 294-346. DOI: 10.1016/0022-0396(90)90101-T
42. Mansour, M. B. A. (2010). Traveling wave solutions for the extended Fisher/KPP equation. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 66, 375-383. DOI: 10.1016/S0034-4877(10)80009-6
43. Paudel, L. P.; Iaia, J. (2013). Traveling wave solutions of the porous medium equation with degenerate interfaces. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 81, 110-129. DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2012.10.016
44. Simpson, M. J.; McCue, S. W. (2024). Traveling waves for free boundary models of tissue growth. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 56, 279-307.
45. Lui, R. F. (2000). Traveling waves of Fisher-KPP type in heterogeneous environments. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 166, 173-218.
46. Norris, J. R. (1997). *The Brownian motion and Brownian local time of a one-dimensional diffusing particle*. Cambridge University Press, 417pp.
47. Mei, M. (2006). Asymptotic stability of traveling waves for quasilinear parabolic systems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 227, 355-388.
48. Filipov, A. F. (1988). *Differential equations with discontinuous righthand sides*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 304pp.
49. Volkov, E. A. (2019). Numerical methods for the porous medium equation with source terms. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 399, 108923.
50. Sun, Y.; Zhang, W. (2024). Numerical analysis for porous medium equation with nonlinear reaction and absorption. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 224, 48-64.