

# The Idea of Resistance by South Africans through the Fictional Characters in *The Heart of The Country* (1977), and *Life and Times of Michael K* (1983)

Jihad Jaafar Waham<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Wan Mazlini Othman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Languages and Communication, University Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI), Tanjung Malim Perak Darul Ridzuan, Malaysia*

<sup>2</sup>*Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Languages and Communication, University Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI), Tanjung Malim Perak Darul Ridzuan, Malaysia*

**Abstract:**-Survival was their first objective; testing apartheid was their actual point. Apartheid was the Afrikaans word for apartness, which implied racial isolation and segregation authorized by the white minority on the nation's dark, hued and Asian people groups. It wasn't right and cruel, denying opportunity and hindering and crushing lives. Utilization of the word apartheid on the planet has expanded and diminished, alluding to pretty much whatever means partition. Coetzee has held the activities of modern linguistics-the printed turn in structuralism and post structuralism yet genuinely deals with good and political stress of living in, and with explicit recorded locale, that of contemporary South Africa. Coetzee was a philosophical visionary whose fiction graphically delineated breakup of decision, pragmatist fiction graphically represented breakup of decision, realist subject of colonialism yet who proposed – based upon whether the conflict has been grounded. Coetzee's obviously angled commitment encapsulate their very own motion of resistance, explicitly a resistance to the possibility that writing must enhancement – as be in thrall to – a concurred history 'out there'. Coetzee chips away at the rule that the novel ought not enhance history, however build up a place of contention with it. The play of chronicled powers nor a moral remain in the sweep for a thoughtful response to colonialism and politically-endorsed racial isolation. It is fundamentally thusly, a give examination of forming a role as resistance, creating that in the long run portrays the difference in defeat into generation line.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In "Shame", S. Rushdie describes silence as the "ancient language of defeat". Dale McKinley has described it as the "voice of complicity." (Duck, pp. 151-152) "Apartheid" truly "apartness" or distinctiveness in Afrikaans dialect and Dutch dialect, is the name which has been given to a strategy of the isolation of individuals based on their race, concerning the place where they lived, where they have been working, where they went to class, and where they passed on. The word is articulated "separated loathe" as one can hear when Dr. Hendrik Verwoerd (prime minister 1958-1966) clarified his comprehension of the strategy. This basic methodology will demonstrate helpful in exhibiting the unavoidable nearness of apartheid inside Coetzee's writings, just as his analysis to this socio-political circumstance from its commencement and

development to its aftermaths in the "new South Africa." In the midst of disarray, savagery and strife, South African writing has emerged out of a long custom of resistance and dissent. Resistance and compromise are associated after apartheid's end the waiting issue of sexual orientation and racial inquiry have prompted new types of resistance. Indeed, even as recreation is in procedure, resistance must proceed. Barbara Harlow (1987), De Shazer (1994) and others have expounded on South Africa composing as resistance writing, however their attention was dependably on composing that effectively tested the apartheid state. Be that as it may, in post-apartheid South Africa, scholars are as yet fighting abuse, yet are doing as such through an elucidation of normal and ordinary battle (Singh, pp. 1-5). Detainment, outcast, and homecoming were authoritative societal encounters in apartheid South Africa. While they worked on various dimension politically socially and mentally as representation and image, as inventive capital—the figure of the regular political detainee, out and returnee, expelled in a correctional facility and outskirts, gave the wellspring of the different significance connected to the particular conditions. They were first chief material, political encounters. In the three decades from 1960 to 1990 at least 80,000 individuals were kept without preliminary; thousand wound up political detainees; and maybe 60,000 went to banish. The stature of those influenced, the nature of the encounters and human enduring suffered, implied that the criticalness of the methods of mistreatment surpassed tight factual approximations. Past a center political implications, it is likewise important to consider the emblematic and regular capital connected to such encounters in a general public differently detainment and estranged abroad: political and nonpolitical, uncommon and standard – all over (Gready, pp. 11-25).

As indicated by Saul Dubrow in his book "Apartheid 1948-1994" said "In spite of the fact that apartheid was a hypothesis about how to treat blacks, it was in the main example a hypothesis that rose out of dialogs about the unique nature and undeniable undertakings of Afrikaners. Apartheid guaranteed "the exhaustive partition of all the volkere [ethnic nations] of South Africa into their very own national units." Over the

decades that pursued, the white South African minority accomplished the financial, political, and social dispossession of the dark greater part through a scope of laws and authority government activities, working together with a benefit hungry economy and demonstrations of constraint and fear, both legitimate and unlawful. Until the mid 1970s, and with the prominent exemption of the prompt post-Sharpeville period, whites by and large delighted in an improved economy and way of life under apartheid and NP rule. National Party authority among whites thrived until the 1980s, when the white populace, which was never solid, turned out to be progressively separated, a division that prompted an inevitable decrease in NP support<sup>1</sup>. This account of national misery and redemptive resistance to abuse suffused Afrikaner patriot historiography at the time. It was given new power from the beginning of the twentieth century as the experience of urban neediness, social fracture, and profound disarray, offered ascend to a summed up feeling of estrangement and misfortune. There are a few components in the depiction of the youthful Coetzee that add to his feeling of freedom, or, the refusal to acclimate; and this prefigures the feeling of resistance that turns into the key normal for the essayist. Coetzee figures out how to gather a decent arrangement of self-judgment into this area, the simplicity with which he invokes a stereo type of the philistine Afrikaner being especially capturing. A urgent logical power – or ideological weight – felt by Coetzee is what holds up a form of basic authenticity as the attractive standard for the novel in Africa. His resistance of 'history' ought to be viewed as a test to this agreement as opposed to a hesitance to draw in with the issue of recorded portrayal<sup>2</sup>.

It is critical due to the fact that it critiques and discerns the situation that we live in, to understand that it is a vital matter, and to see through eyes of the ones that suffer and are the most defenceless, it presents prophetic criticism of that case, and requires prophetic resistance in that case. It is a conflict between the rich and the poor, the powerful and the powerless, the oppressor and the oppressed, the beneficiaries and the victims, the ones included in circles of privilege and power and the ones that are excluded, which are denied flourishing and a meaningful life. Any reader of Coetzee soon becomes gets to know the important of resistance in his works – in the case where this proposes the resistance of the individual of predefined social patterns, or the resistance of novels and characters that are in them, when an effort is made towards interpreting them, or reducing them into familiar meaning patterns. Part of the pleasure in reading Coetzee is then the role which is played by certain textual characteristics which put readers through complicated and indeterminate reading experiences, which involves (for instance) the simultaneous anticipation and distrust of allegory. Thereby Coetzee inspires the readers in supplying a template of

meaning that has to be re-evaluated later due to its evident incompleteness or due to the complicity that it seemed to inspire.

## II. IDEA OF RESISTANCE

Coetzee's scholastic setting is the thing that helps most in understanding his books. To insinuate the most evident occasion: the political condition in South Africa is obviously logically basic to forming and examining his oeuvre. The possibility of resistance gives an essential structure to the basic venture of post colonialism. Resistance is a ceaseless referent and in any event understood locus of much postcolonial analysis and hypothesis, especially regarding the investigation of the disappointment, or deferral, of freedom in South Asia, the Caribbean, and Africa. From one perspective, this accentuation about resistance, limits the domain of (post) pioneer experience, in that it 'precludes some other type from securing life to the general population doing the standing up to (Fischer, p. 10)'. Yet, the idea of resistance plays the role of an amorphous notion in post-colonial researches, which identifies a wide variety of modes, practice, and experience of subversion, power, and struggle. Despite the centrality of the concept for the development of the field, resistance is an idea which received theoretical researching only. For some researchers, resistance represents little more than the colonial power failing in being total; being in debt to the psychoanalytic and post-structural literary theories, resistance, as representation, hybridity, or contradiction of colonial power, subverts the binary thought and essentialist identities resulted from colonial knowledge (Jefferess, p. 222).

The possibility of 'resistance' gives a system to the basic undertaking of postcolonialism. Resistance is a consistent deferral and in any event understood locus of much postcolonial analysis and hypothesis, especially as far as the investigation of the disappointment, or deferral, of freedom in South Asia, the Caribbean, and Africa. From one perspective, this accentuation upon resistance confines the domain of (post) frontier experience, as it 'precludes any sort from claiming life to general population doing the opposing. However, the idea of resistance works as a formless idea in post-colonial contemplates, distinguishing a various scope of modes, rehearses, and encounters of battle, disruption, or control. In spite of the centrality of the idea for the improvement of the field, resistance is an idea that has gotten just hypothetical examination. For some, resistance means minimal more than the disappointment of provincial capacity to be absolute; obligated to psychoanalytic and post-structural scholarly speculations, resistance, as mimicry, hybridity, or the uncertainty of frontier control, undermines the double idea and essentialist identities created by pioneer learning, For others drawing upon their hypotheses of hostile to pilgrim educated people, resistance comprises composed political and military battle against pioneer rule and the structures of the pilgrim economy. Rights awareness builds the recurrence of resistance, however changes the types of such resistance. The structures and strategies of majority rule resistance have

<sup>1</sup>Dubow, Saul. "Apartheid, 1948-1994." Oxford University Press, 2014.

<sup>2</sup>Marais, Michael. "The Hermeneutics of Empire: Coetzee's Post-colonial Metafiction." *Critical Perspectives on JM Coetzee*. Palgrave Macmillan, London, 1996. 66-81.

experienced huge changes since the late 70s. While the protester development during the 80s supported immediate and fierce strategies for resistance, a similar development in late 90s started to depend progressively on backhanded and legitimate methods (Ashcroft, p. 17).

Oppression and resistance are symbiotic—one typically never happens without the other. Even most oppressive regime, like the regime which has been portrayed in G. Orwell's 1984, failed in completely eradicating resistance. Even though resistance—which ranges from dramatic and in some cases violent confrontations with authorities to “everyday forms of resistance” might not be successful to overthrow an oppressive regime, this type of defiance acts helps in preserving individual dignity and put an end to oppressions. The ones whose works have celebrated all forms of resistance might have bolstered the faith of people in how strong the human spirit is. However, at an empirical level, the scholarship on resistance hasn't shed enough light on the actual correlation between resistance and oppression. We aren't sure, for instance, if more oppression provokes greater resistance or the other way around; we know still less concerning conditions which produce successful resistance (Perry, p. 2008).

### III. RIGHTS AND RESISTANCE

In principle, the connection among rights and resistance seems direct: people or gatherings of people feeling either qualified for as well as supplied with certain key rights might be relied upon to set up resistance when such rights are abused or saw to be damaged (Perry, pp. 1-5). The more grounded the sentiment of privilege as well as blessing, the more grounded the resistance. The more rights are asserted by people or gatherings, the almost certain resistance will be activated as government activity encroaches on them. In actuality, in any case, the meaning of rights, the level of rights cognizance and the capacity to verify rights are hazardous and dependent upon authentic, social and political settings inside which such ideas are summoned (Pei, pp. 1-10).

In fact the hyphen can be deceiving, especially on the off chance that it recommends that postcolonialism alludes to the circumstance in a general public 'after colonialism', a presumption which remains dreadingly diligent in spite of consistent counters by post-colonialists. Anne McClintock recommends that

the term postcolonial . . . is spooky by the very figure of straight advancement that it embarks to destroy. Allegorically, the term postcolonialism marks history as a progression of stages along an epochal street from 'the precolonial', to 'the pioneer', to 'the post-provincial' – an unbidden, whenever denied pledge to direct time and the possibility of advancement. In the event that a hypothetical propensity to visualize 'Third World' writing as advancing from 'challenge writing' to 'resistance writing' to 'national writing' has been reprimanded for practicing the Enlightenment figure of

speech of consecutive straight advancement, the term postcolonialism is sketchy for a similar reason. Allegorically balanced on the outskirts among old and new, end and starting, the term proclaims the finish of a world period however by summoning a similar figure of speech of direct advancement which enlivened that time. (Columpar, pp. 25-44)

In the midst of perplexity, viciousness, and struggle, South African writing has emerged out of a long convention of resistance and challenge. The huge resistance against oppressors amid the 1980s and the resulting change process that finished in the decision likewise finished the neo-pioneer routine with regards to apartheid – an arrangement of organized prejudice that inevitably turned into a dishonorable equivalent word for the nation all in all. Somewhat, the apartheid framework was without a doubt remarkable, not at least on the grounds that it appeared to speak to an actualized chronological error. Additionally there were the outrageous shameful acts of apartheid. However in spite of the uniqueness of the framework, it was nevertheless an outrageous articulation of a long and broad history of colonialism, and the shameful acts were just striking instances of an increasingly complete, widely inclusive mistreatment. The social, financial and political colonialism or neo-colonialism was joined by a social colonialism: the blacks were likewise colonized as respects the language they talked their esteem introductions, their originations of the world, and, obviously their writing (Zander, p. 25).

### IV. HEART OF THE COUNTRY (1977) AND LIFE AND TIMES OF MICHAEL K (1983)

Anybody acquainted with Coetzee's books realizes that they are testing, and slippery of translation. Coetzee's own remarks on his ethnic identity show him to be strongly mindful of the elusiveness of his position, and of the verifiable blame that interfaces colonial and postcolonial experience. Coetzee knows that his work is available to such shortsighted charges, and one of his incredible accomplishments is to work through and past that sort of investigate. In the instance of *In the Heart of the Country*, the authority of the work comes from its allusiveness. This thought, the ruler/bondsman relationship uncovers a reverse validity that approves the bondsman, is a foundation nearness all through the novel; and it addresses Coetzee's metafictional venture as much as it does to the activity of the novel. The model of decolonization that might flow from the idea of inverse authenticity is suggestive of the need for a form of literary hybridity, a mode of writing in which the post-colonizer's intellectual inheritance can be both utilized and interrogated at the same time. That intellectual inheritance is then authenticated through the process of being deployed to reveal the anterior authenticity of the oppressed other, though this may involve the uncovering of different degrees of oppression in a hierarchy of control. Such a mode

of writing, with just these effects, is precisely what Coetzee achieves in *In the Heart of the Country*<sup>3</sup>.

The tale is displayed as a first-individual monolog, or maybe as a sort of diary, wherein the speaker, Magda, rises as the representative girl of colonialism. As dependably with Coetzee, there is both a scholarly and a verifiable angle to the treatment of colonialism. A component of the novel, which adds to its internal looking character, is that the refinement among creative mind and occasion is obscured – most clearly when we read conflicting records of occasions. The philosophical shows of cognizance and its articles and of being and getting to be, which are striking highlights of "In the Heart of the Country" plan for Costello's support of what she calls "the thoughtful creative mind". The significant thing to get a handle on about Magda's precarious inside monolog is that it institutes the mental perplexities and divisions of the colonial attitude. The improvement evident in *In the Heart of the Country* is that Magda possesses a conflicted position, as both injured individual and culprit of colonialism. Magda, in a reflection on her place in the power relations that encompass her, envisions 'the law' standing 'fullgrown inside my shell', with 'its sex hanging through my opening'. She envisions the law chewing through her, leaving her 'sloughed, folded, deserted on the floor'<sup>4</sup>.

To the degree that the law is the law of language and direction, Magda both has and is controlled by it. However the exemplification of the law as male, and as a parasite eating up Magda's body, underlines the halfway colonized position of the white lady in colonial structures, obliged to help a model of capacity to which her very own identity is subjected. Magda presents this phallogentrism as a type of assault from inside. After the segments depicting Hendrik's assault of her, Magda thinks about whether he is plotting to assume control over her body by packing his edge inside hers, a dread that encapsulates Magda's troubled position as female in the looming postcolonial power battle. Coetzee's standard of organization in the novel is to put accentuation on the development of identity. As Magda puts it, 'I dream up everything all together that it will make me up'. Magda's lyricism, particularly towards the finish of the novel, is a vital component of the novel's antipastoralism. Magda's lyricism turns into a type of round sentimentality, the mode that passes on her longing to recover the old peaceful dream of white freedom. Prior in the book, be that as it may, we have been cautioned to the dangerous idea of Magda's lyricism, since it shows up in certain purple entries where it is stunning misled<sup>5</sup>.

According to the second piece of the Novel, "Life and Times of Michael K" the author demonstrates the issue of how the individual ought to be arranged in connection to

history turns into the driving worry in *Life and Times of Michael K* (1983). The title calls up an account custom, which grasps non-anecdotal modes, for example, the political diary just as the novel, in which individual commitment with social and authentic occasions is the foremost focal point. Michael K is a basic South African – the peruser construes he is non-white – exposed to the mistreatments of apartheid (upheld work, detainment, etc), while discovering methods for escaping the instruments of state control. As the novel is set during an era of fierce social breakdown, the instruments of control seem to have turned out to be heightened, but not completely compelling, creating the space for a Michael K to live in the holes. Michael K's encounters have been punctuated with scenes of state obstruction or regulation. After his mom's passing he is compelled to take a shot at a railroad work group, quickly; and he is later gotten by the authorities<sup>6</sup>.

Critics have been tremendously practiced by the evident reference to Kafka in the name Michael K, which definitely infers the hero of *The Trial*, Josef K. Teasingly, even while recognizing the impact of Kafka on his work, Coetzee has said 'there is no syndication on the letter K', however unmistakably components of Coetzee's treatment of minimization and distance are educated by Kafka<sup>7</sup>. It is the distraction with subtlety, nonetheless, that takes this sort of motivation in new ways. The epic makes the issue of elucidation focal, and gives the problem of trickiness a material political edge, despite the fact that it holds its post-structuralist undertones. There's unmistakably an undeniable parallel with Derridean thoughts of textuality in the slipperiness of Michael K; however, the manner by which the novel is established in its setting guarantees that its medicines of textuality are more than minor reflections. The issue of deciphering and understanding Michael K is likewise a formal issue in the novel, obliging us to assess the third-individual storyteller's learning of the hero. To the extent that this storyteller is a waiting show from the pragmatist novel, we are welcome to ponder about the extent to which K's story is appropriated in the account intervention<sup>8</sup>.

Nadine Gordimer, in her significant survey of *Life and Times of Michael K*, there is an all the more harming issue of political believability in the novel, stemming legitimately from Coetzee's origination of an underestimated, disappointed hero, which, she feels, demonstrates he 'doesn't perceive what the people in question, considering themselves to be unfortunate casualties never again, have done, are doing,

<sup>3</sup>Ryan, Judith. *The Novel After Theory*. Columbia University Press, 2011.

<sup>4</sup>Poyner, Jane, ed. "JM Coetzee and the Idea of the Public Intellectual." Ohio University Press, 2006.

<sup>5</sup>Warnes, Christopher. "Engendering the post-apartheid farm novel: Anne Landsman's *The Devil's chimney*." *English Academy Review* 21.1 (2004): 51-62.

<sup>6</sup>Bowman, Norah. "The nomad as peace machine: nomadism in JM Coetzee's *Life & times of Michael K* and *Waiting for the barbarians*." (2006).

<sup>7</sup>Singh, Manjeet. "JM COETZEE'S LIFE AND TIMES OF MICHAEL K: A POLITICAL ALLEGORY OF APARTHEID." *JOURNAL OF GLOBAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS* 3: 271.

<sup>8</sup>Wright, Derek. "Black earth, white myth: Coetzee's Michael K." *MFS Modern Fiction Studies* 38.2 (1992): 435-444.

and trust they should accomplish for themselves<sup>9</sup>.<sup>7</sup> K's slipperiness, be that as it may, serves to oppose endeavors to arrange him in such a straightforward casing of importance, with its arranged arrangements of restrictions: cultivating/cultivating, subsistence/aggregation. At the point when the fighters explode the ranch house, demolish the siphon and lay hold of K's harvest, the very site of the whimsical purposeful anecdote of repossession is destroyed. K holds his parcel of seeds and his most significant identity characteristic – potential cultivator – however without the site where that potential may be figured it out. Some portion of the point here is to propose that K's potential requires an alternate edge of significance, autonomous of any relationship with the Afrikaner ranch: in this perusing, the political moral story is worked through, and afterward breaks down.

The final product of such a method is to uncover the domineering presumptions in a system of translation. The cultivating/cultivating, subsistence/aggregation parallel continues with the goal that the restrictions are turned around, and after that undermined. The mythic story of Michael K and Magda, and the purposeful anecdote in Michael K the novel are both self-dropping: the novels escapes last elucidation the same amount of as its focal hero does. The last impact, nonetheless, isn't to darken meaning, however to uncover it: the peruser's interpretive suspicions are addressed as the capacity of purposeful anecdote is fundamentally inspected, in a very reluctant novel that empowers equivalent hesitance in its perusers.

#### V. COETZEE'S PERCEPTION

David Attwell (1993) established Coetzee's political seriousness by showing the level of his engagement with South African political culture specificities; in describing his work as 'situational metafiction', Attwell emphasized the self-consciously critical energies of Coetzee's writing (Attwell, pp. 1-25). Derek Attridge (2004) drew attention to how Coetzee's fiction explores the complex relationship between politics and ethics, taking his lead from the thought of Derrida and Levinas. Thanks to this work, Coetzee's reputation as a serious and responsible writer is now largely uncontested, and there is room to evaluate his significance—including his significance as a political writer—in different ways (Attridge, p. 25). Coetzee has regularly proposed that his work might be comprehended thusly, not least when he reacted to an inquiry on Samuel Beckett's 'hostile to illusionism' in the accompanying terms: 'Against illusionism is, I think, just a checking of time, a period of recovery, ever of novel. The inquiry is, what next?'. For Coetzee, this inquiry regarding the eventual fate of the novel is profoundly identified with being a writer 'after Beckett': when he began endeavoring to write in the mid 1960s Beckett's fiction emerged as the most captivating and irritating cutting edge treatment of the novel

to date, and Beckett remained his most significant scholarly impact. Coetzee has attracted regard for his obligation to Beckett on various events, portraying him as a 'reasonable effect on my writing', and underscoring that his commitment with Beckett's fiction involved genuine investigation: it was a 'cognizant procedure of assimilation' in which his worry was most importantly to find what he called the 'mystery' of his composition style—a mystery of Beckett's that I needed to make my own' (Coetzee, p. 33).

According to Hayes, Patrick (2010) Coetzee's forming should be fathomed in the broadest terms as an undertaking to move past a long digressive show—one that joins certain astounding and enduring improvements of the kind of the novel—which tries to position academic regard, or unique truth, or most all around 'culture', as predominant to, or even exceptional of, administrative issues. It has starting late been fought that this custom, for all its various qualifications of highlight, should be delineated with everything taken into account as 'metacultural talk', as its normal trademark is the sending of a 'social principle' that 'separates the political and takes up the general work genuine to it, anticipating the activity of a generous social pro'. Basically, 'metacultural talk' is an undertaking to conference properly political request from a position, much of the time named 'culture', that is believed to be somehow methodically past, or potentially prevalent to, the terms of political dialog (Hayes, p. 111).

#### VI. CONCLUSION

Coetzee is a standout amongst the most concentrated contemporary authors, broadly instructed on undergrad and postgraduate courses, and his works have been a focal point of extraordinary discussion for postcolonial faultfinders. This is incompletely because of the consuming significance of the late-colonial circumstance in South Africa until the last end of politically-sanctioned racial segregation in 1994, and the educational position of a white South African author in connection to that specific situation. In any case, it has additionally to do with the politically slanted nature of Coetzee's appearance, which has would in general gap pundits, and to disappoint those searching for an all the more obviously interventionist type of composing. Progressively, be that as it may, faultfinders have reacted positively to the unpretentious literary subtleties of Coetzee's work. Attwell is especially solid on Coetzee's scholarly sources, particularly his persuasions in post-structuralist hypothesis. His investigation of *Life and Times of Michael K* is a genuine case of how he represents these scholarly impacts while staying caution to the nearness of history as a molding effect on the fiction. He indicates 'how one may discuss K as the narratological figure of the Derridean follow'. However the tricky implying that goes with Coetzee's summoning of deconstruction in this novel does not, for Attwell, speak to a refusal to connect with the political, since Coetzee's hesitance to speak to mass resistance or to extend an idealistic future has particularly to do with his continuous cross examination of places of authority. Such bits of knowledge empower us to see

<sup>9</sup>Hewson, Kelly. "Making the Revolutionary Gesture: Nadine Gordimer, JM Coetzee and Some Variations on the Writer's Responsibility." *ARIEL: A Review of International English Literature* 19.4 (1988).

the slippery nature of such a novel as a quality of its political duty, instead of a forswearing of it. Same on account of Heart of the Country in spite of the fact that the books composed during the politically-sanctioned racial segregation period were composed to advance an uncommon sort of resistance to the weights of legislative issues, it does now appear that that weight issued in a force – and multifaceted nature of importance – that has turned out to be less clear in Coetzee's work in the post-politically-sanctioned racial segregation time. As the ideological crush on writing has been felt less, Coetzee has been opened up to treat scholarly and moral worries, without review these through the prism of colonial brutality, with the specific enunciation of individual complicity that issue had loaned to the prior books.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Ashcroft, B. G. (2013). *Post-colonial studies: The key concepts*. Routledge.
- [2]. Attridge, D. (2004). *JM Coetzee & the Ethics of Reading: Literature in the event*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [3]. Attwell, D. (1993). *JM Coetzee: South Africa and the politics of writing*. Univ of California Press.
- [4]. Clark, N. L. (2016). *South Africa: The rise and fall of apartheid*. Routledge.
- [5]. Coetzee, J. M. (1992). *Doubling the point: Essays and interviews*. Harvard University Press.
- [6]. Columpar, C. (2002). "The gaze as theoretical touchstone: The intersection of film studies, feminist theory, and postcolonial theory.". 25-44.
- [7]. Dovey, T. (1988). *The novels of JM Coetzee: Lacanian allegories*. Ad. Donker.
- [8]. Duck, L. A. (2004). *Apartheid Narratives, edited by Nahem Yousaf*. London: INTERVENTIONS-LONDON.
- [9]. Fischer, B. a. (2011). *Heinrich Von Kleist and Modernity (Studies in German Literature, Linguistics, and Culture)*. Camden House.
- [10]. Gready, P. (2003). *Writing as resistance: life stories of imprisonment, exile, and homecoming from apartheid South Africa*. Lexington Books.
- [11]. Hayes, P. (2010). *JM Coetzee and the novel: writing and politics after Beckett*. Oxford University Press.
- [12]. Jefferess, D. (2008). *Postcolonial resistance: Culture, liberation and transformation*. University of Toronto press.
- [13]. Jefferess, D. (2008). *Postcolonial resistance: Culture, liberation and transformation*. University of Toronto press.
- [14]. Pei, M. (2010). "Rights and resistance: The changing contexts of the dissident movement.". Chinese Society. Routledge.
- [15]. Perry, E. J. (2003). *Chinese society: Change, conflict and resistance*. Routledge.
- [16]. Perry, E. J. (2003). *Chinese society: Change, conflict and resistance*. Routledge.
- [17]. Singh, J. K. (2010). *Trauma, resistance, reconstruction in post-1994 South African writing*. Peter Lang.
- [18]. Zander, H. (1999). *Fact-fiction-"faction": a study of black South African literature in English*. Gunter Narr Verlag.
- [19]. Dubow, Saul. *Apartheid, 1948-1994*. Oxford University Press, 2014.
- [20]. Marais, Michael. "The Hermeneutics of Empire: Coetzee's Post-colonial Metafiction." *Critical Perspectives on JM Coetzee*. Palgrave Macmillan, London, 1996. 66-81.
- [21]. Ryan, Judith. *The Novel After Theory*. Columbia University Press, 2011.
- [22]. Poyner, Jane, ed. *JM Coetzee and the Idea of the Public Intellectual*. Ohio University Press, 2006.
- [23]. Warnes, Christopher. "Engendering the post-apartheid farm novel: Anne Landsman's The Devil's chimney." *English Academy Review* 21.1 (2004): 51-62.
- [24]. Bowman, Norah. "The nomad as peace machine: nomadism in JM Coetzee's Life & times of Michael K and Waiting for the barbarians." (2006).
- [25]. Singh, Manjeet. "JM COETZEE" S LIFE AND TIMES OF MICHAEL K: A POLITICAL ALLEGORY OF APARTHEID." *JOURNAL OF GLOBAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS* 3: 271.
- [26]. Wright, Derek. "Black earth, white myth: Coetzee's Michael K." *MFS Modern Fiction Studies* 38.2 (1992): 435-444.
- [27]. Hewson, Kelly. "Making the" Revolutionary Gesture": Nadine Gordimer, JM Coetzee and Some Variations on the Writer's Responsibility." *ARIEL: A Review of International English Literature* 19.4 (1988).