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#### Abstract

This study analyzed perceptions of students and teachers difficult contents in the Junior Secondary Mathematics Curriculum (JSMC) in Obio/Akpor local government area of Rivers State, Nigeria. The study adopted the analytical survey research design with a population of 7,534 students and 20 Mathematics teachers of junior secondary class three students from the 20 public junior secondary schools. Simple random sampling technique was used to select 380 students while census was used to select 20 Mathematics teachers for the study. The Mathematics Content Difficulty Assessment Questionnaire (MCDAQ) was the instrument used for data collection. The mean $(\bar{x})$ criterion cut-off point of $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ was used for decision making. The test-retest method and Pearson's Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) were used to obtain the reliability coefficient of 0.86 for MCDAQ. Data obtained were analysed using mean, standard deviation, simple percentage and independent sample $t$-test to answer the five research questions and test the two null hypotheses. Findings of the study revealed that students and teachers perceived the JSMC contents difficult to learn and teach respectively. Also, there was a significant difference between student and teacher perception of difficult contents in the JSMC but there was no significant difference on the gender perception of students. The study recommended among others that Mathematics teachers should demystify Mathematics learning through effective use of innovative instructional strategies and materials and encourage students to change their perception of Mathematics as difficult and develop positive attitudes and interest towards learning Mathematics to reduce their difficulty level.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is one of the core subjects in the basic and post-basic school curriculum in Nigeria. The inclusion of Mathematics as a compulsory subject at the primary and secondary level of education justifies the recognition of Mathematics as being essential for national development. The contributions of Mathematics to the technological, economical, medical and scientific advancement of a nation cannot be disputed. The classification of any nation into developed, developing and under-developed could be measured by the statistics of mathematicians, physicists,
engineers, pharmacists, medical doctors, agriculturist and scientists among other key development indices and Mathematics is the gateway to these professions. Mathematics is the nucleus of Science, Technology, Engineering and Medicine (STEM). According to Zalmon, Efet and Ogunsola (2017), Mathematics education is the intersection subject of the study fields of science, technology, engineering, humanities, business, trade and entrepreneurship. In other words, for any nation to achieve their goal of advancing in Science Technology, Engineering, Mathematics and Medicine (STEMM) and other mathematical disciplines, conscious efforts should be made to improve the teaching and learning of Mathematics at all levels of the educational system. However, the standard of Mathematics education in the Nigerian educational system has been very low.

Available empirical evidence indicates that students achievement in Mathematics in senior secondary certificate examinations have been very discouraging (Zalmon \& Wonu, 2017; Charles-Ogan, 2004). Several variables have been associated with students' abysmal performance in Mathematics which includes poor parental support (Wonu \& Zalmon, 2019), negative student-teacher relationship (Zalmon\& Charles-Ogan, 2020), social stratification and motivation (Daso, Zalmon \& Obediah, 2020), lecturer, student and parental factors (George, Zalmon \& Okafor, 2020), instructional strategies (George \& Zalmon, 2019) and content difficulties resulting from non-preparedness of Mathematics teachers to handle the teaching of the curriculum contents as well as insufficient time to teach the overloaded curriculum contents (Iji \& Omenka, 2015).Perceived curriculum content difficulty is one of the identifiable factors to students' underperformance in Mathematics. Perception is the ability to see, hear, or become aware of something through senses. Perception is the organization, identification and interpretation of sensory information in order to represent and understand the presented information. Perception involves signals that go through the nervous system, which in turn results from physical or chemical stimulation of the sensory system. The self-perception theory states that individual's perception about a thing, concept, idea or knowledge affect his or her action
(Bem, 1972). The negative attitude of students towards learning Mathematics is a reflection of their perception of the subject. The common parlance of most students is that Mathematics is difficult. This negative perception of students about Mathematics is adversely affecting the teaching and learning of Mathematics at the secondary education level. There are two levels of secondary education in Nigeria; the junior and the senior secondary levels of education (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2014). Mathematics instruction at both levels of secondary education is guided by the curriculum.

The curriculum is a well-planned, designed and developed programme of instruction in subject specifics. Tamer and Tamer (1975) as cited in Achuonye and Ajoku (2013) defined curriculum as the planned and guided learning experiences and intended learning outcomes formulated through the systematic reconstruction of knowledge and experience under the auspices of the school, for the learners continuous and wilful growth in personal-social competence. Curriculum is a blueprint of an instructional guide while the Mathematics curriculum is a blue print of an instructional guide in Mathematics (Zalmon, Daso \& Uranta, 2020). Every school curriculum is designed to achieve the subject-based objectives. The junior secondary Mathematics curriculum is focused on giving learners the opportunity to: acquire mathematical literacy necessary to function in an information age; cultivate the understanding and application of Mathematics skills and concepts necessary to thrive in the ever changing technological world; develop the essential element of problem solving, communication, reasoning and communication within the study of Mathematics; take advantage of the numerous career opportunities provided by Mathematics and become prepared for further studies in Mathematics and other related fields (Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council, 2012). There are several components of the Mathematics curriculum. According to Zalmon, Daso and Uranta (2020), the Mathematics curriculum is a booklet produced by the Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council (NERDC) which contain a plan of Mathematics learning experiences consisting of objectives of teaching Mathematics, themes, sub-themes, topics, performance objectives, content, teacher and learner activities, learning materials and evaluation guide.There are five themes or broad contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum: number and numeration, basic operations, algebraic processes, mensuration and geometry and everyday statistics (NERDC, 2012). This study analyses student and teacher perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary education Mathematics curriculum.

## Statement of the Problem

Several factors have been associated with the high failure rate of students in Mathematics examinations. Some of the identifiable factors are poor motivation, negative attitudes, poor quality of instruction, use of ineffective instructional techniques, lack of instructional materials, student-teacher ratio, student-teacher relationship and many more. The
perception of students and teachers of Mathematics content difficulty can influence their attitudes and actions towards learning and teaching Mathematics respectively. Therefore, this study shall find out the perception of students and teachers about the difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.

## II. AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study is to comparatively analysestudent and teacher perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary education Mathematics curriculum. Specifically, this study shall:

1. Identify difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum as perceived by students.
2. Find out difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum as perceived by teachers.
3. Determine the male and the female students' perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.
4. Ascertain students' and teachers' percentage perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.
5. Find out the male and the female students' percentage perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.

## Research Questions

The following research questions guided the study:

1. What are the difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum as perceived by the students?
2. Which contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum do teachers perceive difficult to teach?
3. What are the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum?
4. What percentage of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents do students and teachers perceived difficult?
5. What percentage of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents do the male and the female students perceived difficult to learn?

## Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses were tested at 0.05 level of significance to guide the study:

1. There is no significant difference between the students' and teachers' perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.
2. There is no significant difference between the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.

## III. METHODOLOGY

The study adopted the analytical survey research design with a population of 7,534 ( 3,655 male; 3,879 female)students and 20 Mathematics teachers of junior secondary class three students from the 20 public junior secondary schools in Obio/Akpor local government area of Rivers State (Rivers State Universal Basic Education Board, 2019). Taro Yamane formula was used to obtain a sample size of 380 students while all the 20 teachers were used for the study. Simple random sampling technique was used to select the 380 students with 19 students from each class while census sampling technique was used to select the teachers. The instrument used to collect data for the study was the Mathematics Content Difficulty Assessment Questionnaire (MCDAQ) constructed by the researchers. The questionnaire consisted of sections A and B. Section A was used to elicit demographic information from the respondents while section B contained 39 items of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents with a four Likert-like rating scale of

Very Difficult (VD), 4 point, Difficult (D), 3 point, Easy (E), 2 point and Very Easy (VE), 1 point. The mean ( $\bar{x}$ ) criterion cut-off point of 2.50 was used for decision making as follows: difficult content; $\bar{x} \geq 2.50$ and easy content; $\bar{x}<2.50$. Three experts in Mathematics Education validated the instrument.The test-retest method was used to establish the reliability of the instrument. MCDAQ was administered to 20 students who were not part of the sample of the study, as pretest and post-test. The two scores were correlated using Pearson's Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) and the reliability coefficient of 0.86 was obtained. Data obtained were analysed using mean, standard deviation, simple percentage and independent sample t-test to answer the research questions and test the hypotheses.

## IV. RESULTS

Research Question 1: What are the difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum as perceived by the students?

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation on the difficult contents in the Junior Secondary Mathematics Curriculum (JSMC) as perceived by the students

| S/N | Contents | VD | D | $\frac{\mathrm{n}=380}{\mathrm{E}}$ | VE | Mean | SD | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number and Numeration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Whole number | 197 | 96 | 30 | 37 | 3.26 | 0.99 | Difficult |
| 2 | LCM | 200 | 120 | 21 | 19 | 3.39 | 0.82 | Difficult |
| 3 | HCF | 168 | 149 | 32 | 11 | 3.32 | 0.76 | Difficult |
| 4 | Counting in base 2 | 180 | 139 | 32 | 9 | 3.36 | 0.75 | Difficult |
| 5 | Conversion of base 10 numerals to binary numbers | 167 | 168 | 16 | 9 | 3.37 | 0.69 | Difficult |
| 6 | Fractions | 194 | 136 | 24 | 6 | 3.44 | 0.69 | Difficult |
| 7 | Rational and non-rational numbers | 164 | 148 | 34 | 14 | 3.28 | 0.79 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 3.35 | 0.52 | Difficult |
| Basic Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Addition and subtraction | 171 | 145 | 26 | 18 | 3.30 | 0.81 | Difficult |
| 9 | Addition and subtraction of fraction | 151 | 151 | 44 | 14 | 3.22 | 0.81 | Difficult |
| 10 | Multiplication and division of fraction | 194 | 140 | 19 | 7 | 3.45 | 0.69 | Difficult |
| 11 | Estimation | 189 | 143 | 18 | 10 | 3.42 | 0.72 | Difficult |
| 12 | Approximation | 150 | 168 | 40 | 2 | 3.29 | 0.68 | Difficult |
| 13 | Addition of numbers in base 2 numerals | 165 | 137 | 44 | 14 | 3.26 | 0.82 | Difficult |
| 14 | Transactions in the homes and offices | 169 | 141 | 41 | 9 | 3.31 | 0.77 | Difficult |
| 15 | Multiplication and division of directed numbers | 186 | 126 | 35 | 13 | 3.35 | 0.80 | Difficult |
| 16 | Subtraction of numbers in base 2 numerals | 206 | 143 | 8 | 3 | 3.53 | 0.59 | Difficult |
| 17 | Multiplication of numbers in base 2 numerals | 160 | 155 | 23 | 22 | 3.26 | 0.83 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 3.34 | 0.55 | Difficult |
|  | Algebraic Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Uses of symbols | 186 | 126 | 25 | 23 | 3.32 | 0.86 | Difficult |
| 19 | Simplification of algebraic expression | 109 | 109 | 80 | 62 | 2.74 | 1.07 | Difficult |


| 20 | Simple equations | 137 | 146 | 44 | 33 | 3.08 | 0.93 | Difficult |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | Algebraic expressions | 111 | 127 | 79 | 43 | 2.85 | 0.99 | Difficult |
| 22 | Linear inequalities | 98 | 156 | 69 | 37 | 2.88 | 0.93 | Difficult |
| 23 | Graphs | 83 | 118 | 106 | 53 | 2.64 | 0.99 | Difficult |
| 24 | Factorization | 96 | 123 | 88 | 53 | 2.73 | 1.01 | Difficult |
| 25 | Simple equations involving fractions | 118 | 135 | 55 | 52 | 2.89 | 1.02 | Difficult |
| 26 | Simultaneous linear equation | 129 | 131 | 62 | 38 | 2.98 | 0.98 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 2.90 | 0.68 | Difficult |
|  | Mensuration and Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Plane shapes | 159 | 153 | 20 | 28 | 3.23 | 0.87 | Difficult |
| 28 | Three dimensional figures | 168 | 96 | 68 | 28 | 3.12 | 0.98 | Difficult |
| 29 | Construction | 156 | 122 | 61 | 21 | 3.15 | 0.90 | Difficult |
| 30 | Angles | 137 | 131 | 76 | 16 | 3.08 | 0.87 | Difficult |
| 31 | Bearing | 129 | 134 | 81 | 16 | 3.04 | 0.87 | Difficult |
| 32 | Construction | 113 | 110 | 112 | 25 | 2.86 | 0.94 | Difficult |
| 33 | Similar shapes | 115 | 131 | 81 | 33 | 2.91 | 0.95 | Difficult |
| 34 | Trigonometry | 110 | 154 | 69 | 27 | 2.96 | 0.89 | Difficult |
| 35 | Area of plane shapes | 115 | 179 | 32 | 34 | 3.04 | 0.89 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 3.05 | 0.62 | Difficult |
| 36 | Data collection and presentation | 103 | 129 | 89 | 39 | 2.82 | 0.97 | Difficult |
| 37 | Probability | 102 | 127 | 75 | 56 | 2.76 | 1.03 | Difficult |
| 38 | Measure of central tendency | 121 | 146 | 58 | 35 | 2.98 | 0.94 | Difficult |
| 39 | Need of statistics | 141 | 117 | 74 | 28 | 3.03 | 0.95 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 2.91 | 0.81 | Difficult |
|  | Total Grand Mean |  |  |  |  | 3.09 | 0.42 | Difficult |

Data in table 1 shows that students perceived the contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum difficult to learn ( $\mathrm{M}=3.09$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.42$ ) with specific content difficulties in number and numeration ( $\mathrm{M}=3.35$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.52$ ), basic operations ( $\mathrm{M}=3.34$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.55$ ), algebraic processes $(\mathrm{M}=2.90 ; \mathrm{SD}=0.68)$,
mensuration and geometry ( $\mathrm{M}=3.05$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.62$ ) and everyday statistics $(\mathrm{M}=2.91 ; \mathrm{SD}=0.81)$.
Research Question 2: Which contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum do teachers perceive difficult to teach?

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation on the JSMC contents teachers perceive difficult

|  |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=20$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S/N | Contents | VD | D | E | VE | Mean | SD | Remark |
|  | Number and Numeration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Whole number | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 2.55 | 1.15 | Difficult |
| 2 | LCM | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2.45 | 1.10 | Easy |
| 3 | HCF | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2.80 | 1.06 | Difficult |
| 4 | Counting in base 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2.50 | 1.00 | Difficult |
| 5 | Conversion of base 10 numerals to binary numbers | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2.85 | 1.09 | Difficult |
| 6 | Fractions | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2.80 | 1.01 | Difficult |
| 7 | Rational and non-rational numbers | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3.00 | 1.03 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 2.71 | 0.59 | Difficult |


| Basic Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Addition and subtraction | 3 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2.45 | 1.00 | Easy |
| 9 | Addition and subtraction of fraction | 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2.65 | 0.99 | Difficult |
| 10 | Multiplication and division of fraction | 6 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 2.95 | 0.89 | Difficult |
| 11 | Estimation | 3 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 2.65 | 0.88 | Difficult |
| 12 | Approximation | 4 | 8 | 8 |  | 2.80 | 0.77 | Difficult |
| 13 | Addition of numbers in base 2 numerals | 5 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2.65 | 0.99 | Difficult |
| 14 | Transactions in the homes and offices | 7 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2.90 | 0.97 | Difficult |
| 15 | Multiplication and division of directed numbers | 8 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2.85 | 1.14 | Difficult |
| 16 | Subtraction of numbers in base 2 numerals | 10 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3.30 | 0.86 | Difficult |
| 17 | Multiplication of numbers in base 2 numerals | 4 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 2.45 | 1.15 | Easy |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 2.74 | 0.59 | Difficult |
|  | Algebraic Processes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Uses of symbols | 2 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 2.05 | 1.00 | Easy |
| 19 | Simplification of algebraic expression | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 1.95 | 0.94 | Easy |
| 20 | Simple equations | 7 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2.65 | 1.23 | Difficult |
| 21 | Algebraic expressions | 5 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 2.60 | 1.05 | Difficult |
| 22 | Linear inequalities | 6 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2.55 | 1.28 | Difficult |
| 23 | Graphs | 1 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 2.25 | 1.02 | Easy |
| 24 | Factorization | 2 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 2.30 | 1.08 | Easy |
| 25 | Simple equations involving fractions | 11 | 5 | 4 |  | 2.35 | 0.81 | Easy |
| 26 | Simultaneous linear equation | 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3.15 | 0.99 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 2.43 | 0.59 | Easy |
|  | Mensuration and Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Plane shapes | 5 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2.75 | 1.07 | Difficult |
| 28 | Three dimensional figures | 8 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2.90 | 1.07 | Difficult |
| 29 | Construction | 8 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3.25 | 0.79 | Difficult |
| 30 | Angles | 7 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2.95 | 1.00 | Difficult |
| 31 | Bearing | 5 | 10 | 5 |  | 3.00 | 0.73 | Difficult |
| 32 | Construction | 9 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 3.05 | 1.00 | Difficult |
| 33 | Similar shapes | 7 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3.00 | 0.92 | Difficult |
| 34 | Trigonometry | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3.15 | 0.99 | Difficult |
| 35 | Area of plane shapes | 11 | 7 | 2 |  | 3.45 | 0.69 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 3.06 | 0.50 | Difficult |
|  | Everyday Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Data collection and presentation | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2.90 | 1.07 | Easy |
| 37 | Probability | 6 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2.55 | 1.15 | Difficult |
| 38 | Measure of central tendency | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2.45 | 1.15 | Easy |
| 39 | Need of statistics | 9 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2.85 | 1.23 | Difficult |
|  | Grand mean |  |  |  |  | 2.69 | 0.96 | Difficult |
|  | Total Grand Mean |  |  |  |  | 2.70 | 0.26 | Difficult |

Data in table 2 shows that teachers perceived the contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum difficult to teach
( $\mathrm{M}=2.70$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.26$ ) with specific content difficulties in number and numeration ( $\mathrm{M}=2.71$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.59$ ), basic operations
$(\mathrm{M}=2.74 ; \mathrm{SD}=0.59)$, algebraic processes $(\mathrm{M}=2.43$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.59)$, mensuration and geometry ( $\mathrm{M}=3.06$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.50$ ) and everyday statistics ( $\mathrm{M}=2.69 ; \mathrm{SD}=0.96$ ).

Research Question 3: What are the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum?

Table 3: Mean and standard deviation on the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the JSMC

|  |  | Male <br> students, <br> $\mathrm{n}=160$ |  | Female <br> students, <br> $\mathrm{n}=200$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ | Contents | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| 1 | Number and <br> numeration | 3.35 | 0.50 | 3.35 | 0.53 |
| 2 | Basic operations | 3.31 | 0.63 | 3.34 | 0.63 |
| 3 | Algebraic processes | 3.13 | 0.59 | 3.12 | 0.57 |
| 4 | Mensuration and <br> geometry | 3.01 | 0.63 | 3.07 | 0.61 |
| 5 | Everyday statistics | 2.81 | 0.87 | 2.97 | 0.75 |
|  | Grand mean | 3.06 | 0.42 | 3.11 | 0.42 |

Data in table 3 shows that the female students perceived the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum content to be more difficult to learn ( $\mathrm{M}=3.11$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.42$ ) than the male students ( $\mathrm{M}=3.06$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.42$ ).

Research Question 4: What percentage of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents do students and teachers perceived difficult?

Table 4: Frequency and percentage distribution of the JSMC contents students and teachers perceived difficult

|  | Teachers, <br> $\mathrm{n}=20$ |  | Students, <br> $\mathrm{n}=360$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perception | n | $\%$ | n | $\%$ |
| Difficult | 16 | 80.00 | 330 | 91.70 |
| Easy | 4 | 20.00 | 30 | 8.30 |
| Total | 20 | 100 | 360 | 100 |

Data in table 4 shows the frequency and percentage distribution of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents students and teachers perceived difficult. It shows that $16(80 \%)$ of the teachers perceived the Mathematics curriculum content to be difficult while $4(20 \%)$ perceived it to be easy. Table 4 also shows that $330(91.70 \%$ ) of the students perceived the content to be difficult while $30(8.3 \%$ ) perceived the content to be easy.

Research Question 5: What percentage of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents do the male and the female students perceived difficult to learn?

Table 5: Frequency and percentage distribution of the JSMC contents the male and the female students perceived difficult to learn

|  | Male, <br> $\mathrm{n}=160$ |  | Female, <br> $\mathrm{n}=200$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perception | n | $\%$ | n | $\%$ |
| Difficult | 146 | 91.30 | 184 | 92.00 |
| Easy | 14 | 8.80 | 16 | 8.00 |
| Total | 160 | 100 | 200 | 100 |

Data in table 5 shows the frequency and percentage distribution of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents the male and female students perceived difficult to learn. Table 5 shows that $146(91.3 \%)$ of the male students perceived the curriculum content to be difficult while $14(8.8 \%)$ perceived it to be easy. Table 5 also shows that $184(92.0 \%)$ of the female students perceived the content to be difficult while $16(8.0 \%)$ perceived it to be simple.
$H_{01}$ : There is no significant difference between the students' and teachers' perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.

Table 6: Mean, standard deviation and independent sample $t$-test on the difference between the students' and teachers' perception of difficult contents in the JSMC

|  |  | Teachers, <br> $\mathrm{N}=20$ |  | Students, <br> $\mathrm{N}=360$ |  | $\mathrm{df}=378$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N}$ | Contents | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | t | $p-$ <br> value |
| 1 | Number and <br> numeration | 2.71 | 0.59 | 3.35 | 0.52 | - <br> 5.32 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Basic <br> operations | 2.68 | 0.70 | 3.32 | 0.63 | - <br> 4.37 | 0.00 |
| 3 | Algebraic <br> processes | 2.62 | 0.54 | 3.12 | 0.58 | - <br> 4.33 | 0.00 |
| 4 | Mensuration <br> and | 3.06 | 0.50 | 3.05 | 0.62 | 0.07 | 0.94 |
| 5 | Eveometry <br> statistics | 2.69 | 0.96 | 2.90 | 0.81 | - | 0.13 |
|  | Grand mean | 2.70 | 0.26 | 3.09 | 0.42 | -- | 0.00 |

Table 6 shows that there is a significant difference between the students' and teachers' perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum $\left(t_{(378,0.05)}=\right.$ -4.00; $p<.05$ ).Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected at 0.05 alpha level.
$H_{02}$ : There is no significant difference between the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum.

Table 7: Mean, standard deviation and independent sample $t$-test on the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the JSMC

|  |  | Male, <br> $\mathrm{n}=160$ |  | Female, <br> $\mathrm{n}=200$ |  | $\mathrm{df}=358$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S/ <br> N | Contents | Mean | SD | Mea <br> n | S <br> D | t | $p$-value |
| 1 | Number and <br> Numeration | 3.35 | 0.50 | 3.35 | 0.53 | 0.23 | 0.8 <br> 2 |
| 2 | Basic Operations | 3.34 | 0.56 | 3.34 | 0.55 | 0.31 | 0.7 <br> 6 |


| 3 | Algebraic <br> Processes | 2.90 | 0.68 | 2.89 | 0.67 | 0.13 | 0.9 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Mensuration and <br> Geometry | 3.01 | 0.63 | 3.07 | 0.61 | 0.96 | 0.3 <br> 4 |
| 5 | Everyday <br> Statistics | 2.81 | 0.87 | 2.97 | 0.75 | 1.83 | 0.0 <br> 7 |
|  | Grand mean | 3.06 | 0.42 | 3.11 | 0.42 | 1.15 | 0.2 <br> 5 |

Data in table 7 shows that there is no significant difference between the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum $\left(t_{(358,0.05)}=1.15 ; p>.05\right)$. Hence, the null hypothesis was retained at 0.05 alpha level.

## V. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum as perceived by the students
Data in table 1 shows that students perceived the contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum difficult to learn with specific content difficulties in number and numeration, basic operations, algebraic processes, mensuration and geometry and everyday statistics. Zalmon, Efet and Ogunsola (2017) reported that students perceived $33 \%$ of the senior secondary Mathematics curriculum content difficult to learn with specific difficulties in geometry and introductory calculus while number and numeration, algebraic processes and statistics were easy contents. Zalmon and George (2020)found out that students perceived $88.20 \%$ of the Further Mathematics Curriculum (FMC) content difficult to learn with learning difficulties in all theFMC themes of pure mathematics, coordinate geometry, statistics, mechanics and operations research.

Difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum as perceived by the teachers

Data in table 2 shows that teachers perceived the contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum difficult to teach with specific content difficulties in number and numeration, basic operations, algebraic processes, mensuration and geometry and everyday statistics. Zalmon and George (2018) indicated that Mathematics teachers perceived $14 \%$ of the senior secondary Mathematics curriculum content difficult to teach with specific content difficulty in introductory calculus.

The male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum

Data in table 3 shows that the female students perceived the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum content to be more difficult to learn than the male students. Data in table 5 shows the frequency and percentage distribution of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents the male and female students perceived difficult to learn. Table 5 shows that $191.3 \%$ of the male students perceived the curriculum content to be difficult while $8.8 \%$ perceived it to be easy. Table 5 also shows that $92.0 \%$ of the female students perceived the content to be difficult while $8.0 \%$ perceived it to be simple. Data in table 7 shows that there is no significant
difference between the male and the female students' perception of difficult content in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum. Zalmon, Efet and Ogunsola (2017) indicated that students perceived $33 \%$ of the senior secondary Mathematics curriculum content difficult with content difficulty higher in the female ( $31 \%$ ) category than their male ( $30 \%$ ) counterparts. Algebraic processes and number and numeration were the easiest themes of the curriculum content for the male ( $83 \%$ ) and the female ( $84 \%$ ) students respectively. Zalmon, Efet and Ogunsola(2017) also found out that there was no significant difference between the male and the female students' perception of the difficult contents in the senior secondary Mathematics curriculum.

Difference between the students' and teachers' perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum

Data in table 1 shows that students perceived the contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum difficult to learn. Data in table 2 shows that teachers perceived the contents of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum difficult to teach. Data in table 4 shows the frequency and percentage distribution of the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum contents students and teachers perceived difficult. It shows that $80 \%$ of the teachers perceived the Mathematics curriculum content to be difficult while $20 \%$ perceived it to be easy. Table 4 also shows that $91.70 \%$ of the students perceived the content to be difficult while $8.3 \%$ perceived the content to be easy. Table 6 shows that there isa significant difference between the students' and teachers' perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum. Zalmon and George (2018) revealed that students and Mathematics teachers perceived $33 \%$ and $14 \%$ of the Senior Secondary Mathematics Curriculum (SSMC) content difficult respectively with a significant difference between student and teacher perception of content difficulty in the SSMC.

## VI. CONCLUSION

Analysis of student and teacher perception of difficult contents in the Nigerian Junior Secondary Mathematics Curriculum (JSMC) revealed that students and teachers perceived the JSMC contents difficult to learn and teach respectively. There was a significant difference between student and teacher perception of difficult contents in the junior secondary Mathematics curriculum but there was no significant difference on the gender perception of students.

## VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

The study recommended that:

1. Mathematics teachers should demystify Mathematics learning through effective use of innovative instructional strategies and materials and encourage students to change their perception of Mathematics as difficult and develop positive attitudes and interest towards learning Mathematics to reduce their difficulty level.
2. Teachers of Mathematics without proper qualification should be trained and re-trained on the contents and pedagogy of Mathematics to mitigate their content difficulties.
3. Teachers should be committed to effectively teaching Mathematics to the understanding of the students using appropriate mathematical pedagogies.
4. Teachers should encourage the female and the male students to perceive Mathematics as a learner-friendly subject.
5. Proprietors of schools should engage qualified Mathematics teachers for the teaching of Mathematics in junior secondary schools.
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