

# The Impact of Rental Values on Real Estate Commercial Properties in Port Harcourt

Stanley Chika Nwaogu<sup>1</sup>, Charles .O. Esiovwa<sup>2</sup>, Christopher .O. Esiovwa<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Estate Management, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus, Enugu, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Delta Development and Property Authority, Asaba, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Estate Management, Bells University of Technology, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

**Abstract:** The study of performance of real estate investment, whether residential or commercial, is very important at this time when emphasis is on investment performance analysis in many parts of the world. This is important in Nigeria due to the impact of the ongoing changes in the global and local economy on the performance of commercial properties which requires careful consideration in investment decision making process. In order to increasing the confidence of major key players in real estate investment, this study examined the impact of rental values on real estate commercial properties in Port Harcourt. There are about 1,124 commercial properties in Port Harcourt obtained from GIS. Systematic random sampling approach and descriptive statistics was adopted. The research tools used are weighted mean, coefficient of variation, rental index and ANOVA. The study revealed that rent review pattern for investment properties in the study area is 3 years; with average rental growth rate that follows a similar trend and pattern and the implication of these is that, investment on commercial properties are likely to generate higher returns. However; the study recommends that proper maintenance and management by real estate professionals can sustain consistency in the trend and pattern of investment return.

**Keywords:** Rental value, Location Attributes, Real Estate Investment, Commercial Property investment.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The real estate market is a major receptacle of foreign direct investment and the extent of its development determines the extent to which it can contribute and keep afloat a nation's economy. The Nigerian real estate market with its potential, like similar markets in several emerging economies in Africa, has not benefitted from internationalized property investment and remains poorly researched (Babawale, 2019).

Jones Lang LaSalle (2017) stated that with surging rents, significant housing deficits, and over-regulation, the Nigerian real estate market is a matrix of opportunity and unique challenges, which only optimistic investors would be able to successfully convert into viable, long-term profits. He further stated that having already attained titles of being Africa's largest economy and most populous nation with about 178 million people, Nigeria seems bound to also top the list for Africa's most expensive location for commercial properties. With two of its major cities, Abuja and Lagos, following closely behind Angola with average annual rental charges of ₦600,000 per square metre of prime office space which

should come as a surprise since there is growing interest in the lucrative prospects of up to ₦146 billion in real estate opportunities (Jones Lang LaSalle, 2017).

The study of performance of real estate investment, whether residential or commercial, is very important at this time when emphasis is on investment performance analysis in many parts of the world. This is even more important in Nigeria where only few studies have been carried out on the level of performance achieved by property investments. Moreover the impact of the ongoing changes in the global and local economy on the performance of property market is serving to highlight the need for its careful consideration in the investment decision making process (Hermans, 2018).

Lately, the demand for commercial properties has risen astronomically in most urban centers in the country. This is as a result of the economic recession which compelled the unemployed and public servants to explore trading activities in addition to their normal jobs. The investors' reaction to this development has been to increase the number of commercial properties at the expense of residential property development. Therefore in many towns and cities of Nigeria, open spaces within the vicinity of public institutions have been irrationally converted to accommodate shops and other commercial outlets. The situation is further compounded with better performance than residential property investment. However, the investors can no longer base their decision on intuitive grasp of the market which was considered inadequate for success in property ventures perceived notion among Nigerian property investors (Ajayi, 2016).

The investors base their investments mainly in commercial properties but there is no basis for their decision apart from the fact that many of the investors believe that tenant risk in terms of security of income is lower in commercial property investment than in residential property while some merely focus on the rental trend of the properties. Whilst opportunities are present in the market, there are also an abundance of obstacles, which may deter progress for investors in the Nigerian property market.

## II. EMPIRICAL REVIEW

Crompton (2005) examined factors affecting rental values commercial properties and identified the following causes: age,

location, size, neighbourhood characteristics, economic activity, population, transport etc.

Ring and Dasso (1981) stated that real estate market activity involves many types of properties, many buyers and sellers, and many specialists who interact under appropriate influence to fix prices for the market transactions. Thus, market participants who buy and sell real property rights are consumers and/or occupiers and investors and/or producers. Consequently, occupiers demand real estate products either as consumer goods (e.g. housing accommodation) or as producer goods (e.g. a shop, office, factory, farm) and sometimes as both. As a consumer good, real estate is required for the satisfaction its occupier enjoys and as a producer good; the demand is derived from the contribution a particular real estate product makes to production of goods and services. The real estate market has many submarkets each of which constitutes an investment medium. These media also form the basis for the classification of the real estate market, basically by use, nature and ownership characteristics. Commercial property investment in Port Harcourt is almost entirely controlled by the private sector while consisting of shops, offices, shopping centres, restaurants, hotels, petrol stations and mixed uses. Individual commercial properties situate on major streets in Port Harcourt while mixed commercial/residential uses are found in medium business areas. The need to assess the attributes that influence rental values of commercial real estate has led to many researches like those conducted by Kiel and Zabel (2008), Owusu-Ansah (2012), Babawale and Adewunmi (2019), Tse and Love (2000) amongst others. Tse and Love (2000) identified four groups of attributes that determines rental values of commercial real estate which includes structural, physical, neighborhood characteristics and environment. Aluko (2017) observed different attributes that influence rental values of commercial real estate in different study areas and notable amongst them are locational, structural and neighborhood characteristics. Cebula (2009) observed that in the city of Owusu-Ansah (2012) describes structural attributes of a building to include the size of a building, structural improvements, age and condition of the building. Studies of Selim (2008), Olayinka, Funsho and Ayotunde (2013) and Ajibola, Ebikefe and Awodiran (2014) viewed that the structure of a commercial building and its neighborhood is of paramount importance to the determination of rental values of commercial properties. Selim (2016) observed that the type of building, number of shops and office space, size of the building, age of building and others were the most important variables that influenced rental values of commercial real estate while Hammer, Booth and Love (2000) and Johnson, Davies and Shapiro (2005) opined that good neighborhood infrastructure affects commercial property rental values.

### III. METHODOLOGY

The research approaches adopted for this work were both qualitative and quantitative techniques. To cover the study area effectively, a field survey were conducted with structured

questionnaires for the major study population and anchored with semi-structured interviews for the secondary population and other relevant secondary data. The rental values of the selected commercial real estate investments were collected from principal partners and branch managers of Estate Surveying and Valuation firms' in Port Harcourt because Estate Surveyors and Valuers are the only professionals empowered in Nigeria by Decree No24 of 1975 to determine the values of properties and their interest. The principal partners and branch managers are considered appropriate due to the level of their experience in practice and to ensure the authenticity of the information obtained. The total population for the study is Ninety-Nine (99). Hence, 99 questionnaires were administered to the firms. However, 83 questionnaires were properly filled and returned for collation, this represents 83.8% response rate. This response rate was considered enough by the researcher.

The average rental growth rate and coefficient of variation for commercial property investments in Lagos for the period, 2009 – 2018 collected from Estate Surveying and valuation firms were calculated. The average rental growth rate for the period, 2009 – 2018 were calculated using geometric mean rental growth rate and is determined as follows: -

$$X = \sqrt[n]{X_1 X_2 X_3 X \dots X_n}$$

Where X = geometric mean

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are rental growth rate for each year

n = total number of years within the period

The choice of geometric mean for the calculation of the average rental growth rates for the properties for the period, 2011 – 2020 is based on the fact that each annual rental growth rate accumulated over each year, thereby creating a compounding process for the entire period. The geometric mean reasonably approximates the exponential characteristics of this compounding process (Hargitay and Yu, 2019). The standard deviation is given by: -

$$\text{Standard Deviation} = \sqrt{\sum \left( \frac{x - \bar{x}}{N-1} \right)^2}$$

Where  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{x}$  and N are as defined above. The coefficient of variation is the ratio of standard deviation of rental growth rates to the average rental growth rate and is determined as follows: -

$$\text{Coefficient of variation} = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation of Rental Growth Rate}}{\text{Average Rental Growth Rate}}$$

In order to determine whether increase in rental values of commercial properties in Port Harcourt is due to rise in inflation, correlation analysis were adopted. However, annual rental growth in the properties under study was carried out using the Pearson's Product Moment Correlation Model. The Pearson's Correlation Coefficient is denoted by r and is computed with the expression: -

$$r = \frac{N \sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{\sqrt{[N \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2][N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2]}}$$

where X = Inflation Rate

Y = Annual Rental growth Rate

XY = Product of Inflation and Annual Rental Growth Rates

N = Number of pairs

r = Correlation Coefficient

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, an analysis of the distribution and collection of questionnaires distributed.

Table 1: Distribution and Return of Questionnaire Administered on Practicing Estate Surveyors and Valuers.

Class of Respondent	Sample Size/ Number Distributed	Number returned / retrieved	Number not returned or improperly filled	Percentage of total number distributed and returned/retrieved	Percentage not returned or improperly filled	Total
Practicing Estate Surveyors and Valuers	99	83	16	83.8%	16.2%	100%
Total	99	83	16	83.8%	16.2%	100%

The Table 1 above showed questionnaire distribution and retrieval from practicing Estate Surveyors and Valuers in

respect of the return characteristic of commercial property market in Port Harcourt. The population sample size which was the total number of questionnaire distributed was 99 out of which only 83 were returned/retrieved, representing 83.8% of the total number distributed while 16 questionnaires representing 16.2% were not returned by Practicing Estate Surveyors and Valuers. Therefore, out of the total of 99 questionnaires distributed, a total of 83 were returned/retrieved, representing 83.8% of the number distributed.

Table 2: Amount of Commercial Properties in Property Portfolio

Description	Frequency	Percentage
1-10	7	8.4
11-20	32	38.6
21-30	24	28.9
31 and Above	20	24.1
Total	83	100

As shown in the Table 2 above, 7 respondents representing 8.4% of the total population were of the opinion that the amount of commercial properties in their property portfolio are between 1-10, 32 respondents representing 38.6% of the total population said that they have between 11-20, 24 respondents representing 28.9% of the total population said theirs are between 21-30, while 20 respondents representing 24.1% of the total respondents said they have between 31 and above. From the table, it can be deduced from the table that the amount of commercial properties in most respondents' property portfolio is between 11 and above.

Table 3: Ranking lease structure often used for commercial properties in your area

Rank	Always	Sometimes	Seldom	Not Used	N	FX	$\bar{x}$	Ranking
	X	X	X	X				
Weekly	0	0	3	80	83	86	1.04	4
Monthly	45	38	0	0	83	294	3.54	2
Biannual (Half yearly)	0	71	9	3	83	234	2.82	3
Annually (Yearly)	80	3	0	0	83	329	3.96	1
Biennial (Every two years)	0	0	1	82	83	84	1.01	5
Total							2.47	

The above Table showed the mean mark calculated from the response of the respondents on ranking lease structure often used for commercial properties. Annually (yearly) was rated highest with a weighted mean score of 3.96 while Biennial

(Every two years) on the other hand was the least rated by the respondents having a weighted mean score of 1.01. This result confirms that annually (yearly) is the major lease structure used for commercial properties in the study area.

Table 4: Quality of Construction for the Physical Characteristics of Commercial Properties



2016	8.33	10.53
2017	3.84	4.76
2018	11.11	18.18
2019	6.67	3.85
2020	9.38	3.70

Average Rental Growth and Coefficient of Variation for Commercial Property Investments in the Study Area, 2010 – 2019.

The average rental growth rate and coefficient of variation for commercial property investments in the study areas for the period, 2010 – 2019 were calculated from data in Tables 8. These are summarised in Table 9. The average rental growth rate for the period, 2011 – 2020 is the geometric mean rental growth rate and is determined as follows: -

$$X = \sqrt[n]{X_1 x X_2 x X_3 x \dots x X_n}$$

Where X = geometric mean

X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ... X<sub>n</sub> are rental growth rate for each year

n = total number of years within the period

The choice of geometric mean for the calculation of the average rental growth rates for the properties for the period, 2010 – 2019 is based on the fact that each annual rental growth rate accumulated over each year, thereby creating a compounding process for the entire period. The geometric mean reasonably approximates the exponential characteristics of this compounding process (Hargitay and Yu, 2018). The standard deviation is given by: -

$$\text{Standard Deviation} = \sqrt{\sum \left(\frac{x - \bar{x}}{N-1}\right)^2}$$

Where x,  $\bar{x}$  and N are as defined above. The coefficient of variation is the ratio of standard deviation of rental growth rates to the average rental growth rate and is determined as follows: -

$$\text{Coefficient of variation} = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation of Rental Growth Rate}}{\text{Average Rental Growth Rate}}$$

For example, the average rental growth rate, standard deviation and coefficient of variation for office in the study areas for the period, 2010 – 2019 is calculated as follows: -

Table 9: Average Rental Growth Rates for Office in the study Area

Year	X	( $\bar{x} - x$ )	( $\bar{x} - x$ ) <sup>2</sup>
2011	-	-9.06	82.08
2012	20	10.94	119.68
2013	2.78	-6.28	39.44
2014	5.41	-3.65	13.32
2015	23.07	14.01	196.28
2016	8.33	-0.76	0.58
2017	3.84	-5.22	27.25

2018	11.11	2.05	4.20
2019	6.67	-2.39	5.71
2020	9.38	0.32	0.10

Table 10: Average Rental Growth Rates for Shop in the study Area

Year	X	( $\bar{x} - \bar{x}$ )	( $\bar{x} - x$ ) <sup>2</sup>
2011	-	-9.09	82.63
2012	8.33	-0.76	0.58
2013	7.69	-1.4	1.96
2014	7.14	-1.95	3.80
2015	26.67	17.58	309.06
2016	10.53	1.44	2.07
2017	4.76	-4.33	18.75
2018	18.18	9.09	82.63
2019	3.85	-5.24	27.46
2020	3.70	-5.39	29.05

The same procedure was adopted for the calculation of average rental growth rate, standard deviation and coefficient of variation for each type of property under study for the period, 2011 – 2020. These are summarised in Table 11 as follows:-

Table 11: Average Rental Growth Rates, Standard Deviation and Coefficient of Variation for Office and Shop in the study areas, 2011 – 2020.

Type Of Commercial Property	Rental Growth Rate, 2011 – 2020	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Office	90.59	7.3684	0.8133
Shop	90.85	7.8739	0.8662

Generally, the average rental growth rate are for commercial properties in the study area for the period, 2011 – 2020 is phenomenal as summarised in Table 11. The table shows that all the study commercial properties have very high rental growth rates and the implication of these is that, investors in commercial property investments in the study areas will get high returns if they invest in such properties.

Rental Index for Office and Shop in the Study Areas, 2011 – 2020.

Using 2011 as the base year, rental index was constructed for the commercial properties (office) for the period 2011 – 2020, rental index was calculated as follows: -

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \frac{20}{100} x 100) \text{ (Rental Index for the base year)} \\ & = [1 + 0.20] X 100 \\ & = [1.20] X 100 \\ & = 120 \end{aligned}$$

The same procedure was adopted for the calculation of rental index for all the study commercial property in the study area

using 2011 as the base year. These are summarised in Tables 12 as follows:-

Table 12: Rental Index for Office and Shop in the study area, using 2011 as the base year

Year	Rental Index	
	Office	Shop
2011	100	100
2012	120	108.33
2013	102.78	107.69
2014	105.41	107.14
2015	123.07	126.67
2016	108.33	110.53
2017	103.84	104.76
2018	111.11	118.18
2019	106.67	103.85
2020	109.38	103.70

The Table 12 above shows rental index for commercial properties in the study area for a period of ten years. Results of the rental index analysis in the study area maintained higher upward trends in rental values. These upward trends are due to annual growth in inflation, among other factors.

Table 13: ANOVA

Year	Annual Rental Growth Rates for Office and Shop	
	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>
2011	-	-
2012	20	8.33
2013	2.78	7.69
2014	5.41	7.14
2015	23.07	26.67
2016	8.33	10.53
2017	3.84	4.76
2018	11.11	18.18
2019	6.67	3.85
2020	9.38	3.70
Σ	90.59	90.85

From Table 13

X<sub>1</sub> = Annual Rental Growth Rates for Office

X<sub>2</sub> = Annual Rental Growth Rates for Shop

Table 14: ANOVA

Year	Square of Annual Rental Growth Rates for Office and Shop				
	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>1</sub> <sup>2</sup>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	ΣX
2011	-	-	-	-	-
2012	20	400	8.33	69.39	24.44
2013	2.78	7.73	7.69	59.14	40.82
2014	5.41	29.27	7.14	50.98	14.56
2015	23.07	532.22	26.67	711.29	16.17
2016	8.33	69.39	10.53	110.88	29.48
2017	3.84	14.75	4.76	22.66	38.21
2018	11.11	123.43	18.18	330.51	38.3
2019	6.67	44.49	3.85	14.82	75.53
2020	9.38	87.98	3.70	13.69	46.66
Σ	90.59	1309.26	90.85	1383.36	324.17

The Analysis of Variance calculation is summarised in Table 15 as follows:-

Table 15: Analysis of Variance of rental value used for commercial properties

Source of variance	Sum of squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F – ratio
Within groups	1469.35	9	163.26	0.8999
Between groups	15.6	1	15.6	0.0951
Error (residual)	1632.79	9	181.42	
Total	3117.74	19	164.09	

The critical (table) value of F at degree of freedom of 1 and 8 at 0.05 level of significance is 0.8999. This is greater than the calculated F ratio. Since the calculated F – ratio of 0.0951 is less than the critical F – value of 0.8341, showing that the annual rental growth rates for commercial property investments in the study area do not differ significantly. It also implies that although rental values of commercial properties in the study area, comprising office and shop respectively increased at a phenomenal rate within the period, 2011 – 2021, differences in the rates of such increases within and between the various types of commercial properties in the property market are not statistically significant and hence, rental growth in commercial properties in the study area follows a similar trend and pattern.

#### V. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, IMPLICATION AND CONCLUSION

The study examined the phenomenal increase in rental values of commercial property which covers the period of 2009 – 2018.

The findings from the study shows the increase in rental value is due to rise in inflation, population pressure on available housing stock and shortage of accommodation for the low-income earners. However, It was established that rental trend in commercial properties in Port Harcourt is increasing at high average annual rental growth rate and rise in rental index caused by rental growth in commercial properties in the city.

Moreover, Valuers in Port Harcourt are not responding to current trends in the property market especially the higher upward trends in rental values due to annual growth in inflation, among other factors that were reflected in the income multiplier for market valuation. The rent review pattern for investment properties in the study area is 3 years; the study also shows that commercial properties in the study area have an average rental growth rate that follows a similar trend and pattern and the implication of these is that, investment on commercial properties in the study area is likely to generate higher returns. Furthermore, the study also shows that increase in rental values of all the study properties may be due to other factors, other than inflation. However; the study recommends that the consistency in the trend and pattern of investment return for commercial property should be maintained and sustained through proper maintenance and management by real estate professionals.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ajayi, C. A. (2016). *Property market dynamics and paradigm shifts in property investment valuation methodology*. Ile-Ife, Nigeria: Obafemi Awolowo University Press Limited.
- [2] Aluko, R. (2015). *A study of valuation and pricing methods in the residential property market in Lagos metropolis*. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria: Department of Estate Management Publication.
- [3] Babawale, G. K. (2011). *An evaluation of factors influencing inaccuracy in residential property valuation in Lagos metropolis Nigeria*. Lagos, Nigeria: An Unpublished Ph.d Thesis.
- [4] Cebula, S. (2009). Discounted cash flow discounted: Further implications for the valuation
- [5] Surveyor arising from the over-rented property debate. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 13(2), 5-15.
- [6] Crompton, D. (2005). Discounted cash flow discounted: Further implications for the valuation
- [7] Surveyor arising from the over-rented property debate. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 13(2), 5-15
- [8] Herman, A. (2018). *Property valuation methods: Interim report*. London: Royal Institution of
- [9] Chartered Surveyors and Polytechnic of the South Bank.
- [10] Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated (2017). *Global real estate transparency index 2020 rankings*. <https://www.us.jll.com/en/trends-and-insights/research/global-real-estate-transparency-index/greti-global-rankingsand-methodology>
- [11] Selim, A. (2016). *Property investment principles and practice of portfolio management*. London Longman.
- [12] Wyatt, P. (2007). *Property investment valuation and analysis*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.