

Enhancing Psychotherapy Outcomes by Unifying Science and Practice: Integrating Cutting-Edge Research to Develop the Neuro-Linguistic Processing and Reprogramming (NLPR) Language Guidelines

Mary Jane Coy, PhD

Pima Community College, USA

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.8110231>

Received: 23 October 2024; Accepted: 20 November 2024; Published: 20 December 2024

ABSTRACT

Language plays a fundamental role in shaping thoughts, emotions, and behaviors, making it a potent tool in psychotherapy. The innovative methodology, Neuro-Linguistic Processing and Reprogramming (NLPR), highlights the importance of leveraging the potential of language to enhance emotional resilience and well-being. This article explores the integration of cutting-edge research into the development of NLPR, emphasizing its transformative impact on therapeutic practice. By empowering individuals to redirect their internal dialogue and external linguistic expressions, NLPR offers a proactive approach to psychological health that holds promise for revolutionizing psychotherapy.

Keywords: Neuro-Linguistic Processing and Reprogramming, NLPR, Psychotherapy, Emotional Resilience, Language, Emotional Regulation, Well-being

INTRODUCTION

Neuro-Linguistic Processing and Reprogramming (NLPR) is a comprehensive psychotherapeutic approach that utilizes language to enhance cognitive and emotional processes by engaging the brain's neurobiological mechanisms (Coy, 2024). A multidisciplinary approach that draws elements from neurology, linguistics, and psychology among others, the NLPR approach aims to shape cognitive and emotional processes in the brain centers involved in interpreting language. Examining neuro-linguistics, neuroplasticity, and the role of the Autonomic Nervous System (ANS) lays the groundwork for this novel psychotherapeutic intervention and allows for a deeper understanding of NLPR and its mechanisms.

NEUROLINGUISTICS AND NEUROPLASTICITY

Neuro-linguistics broadly explores the relationship between language and the neurological mechanisms that define the representation of linguistic cues at the biological level (Thatcher, 1980). Furthermore, the techniques and principles of applied neuro-linguistics can enhance self-awareness, confidence, communication skills, and pro-social behaviors by deliberately engaging and enhancing neural pathways. These principles correspond with conventional therapy, addressing personal development, career goals, and mental and behavioral health. While neuro-linguistics emphasizes the central role of language and its interaction with the nervous system (Gordon & Fleisher, 2011), it ultimately hinges on the structure and function of the brain's ability to perceive and respond to linguistic patterns. This phenomenon is known as neuroplasticity, as the brain adapts, learns, and recovers from trauma or changes. The brain's "plasticity" allows it to respond to intrinsic and extrinsic stimuli by reorganizing critical neuronal pathways (Cramer et al., 2011)—an aspect manipulated and exploited in NLPR. In the same way the brain responds to injuries, stroke, or trauma, neuroplasticity enables practitioners to support individuals in rewiring thought, emotion, and behavior patterns by consciously redirecting thoughts and behaviors, shifting emotional states, and reprogramming neurochemical responses by creating new, desired neural pathways, through repetition over time (Kaczmarek, 2020; Madu, 2019).

The Autonomic Nervous System and Polyvagal Theory

In psychotherapy, it is essential to recognize the role of the Autonomic Nervous System (ANS). The ANS automatically regulates body functions beyond voluntary control through its branches: the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. These systems activate during stress (“fight or flight” responses) and calm times (“rest and digest”), respectively (McCorry, 2007). As psychotherapeutic interventions routinely address stress regulatory needs, understanding the ANS without minimizing its role is crucial. Dysregulation of critical ANS functions—such as heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, and other involuntary responses moderate access to the prefrontal cortex and impacts access to executive functions—is directly linked to emotional stimulation and negatively impacts mental and behavioral health (Mulkey & du Plessis, 2019). NLPR aims to modulate ANS dysregulation by redirecting linguistic stimuli and leveraging neuroplasticity to promote ANS regulation thereby impacting emotional stability.

This discussion of the link between linguistic stimuli and the reactions of the ANS is founded on the principles of the Polyvagal Theory developed by Porges (2007,2009). The Polyvagal Theory outlines the role of the ANS when responding to stress and environmental cues. According to this theory, the ANS is not a uniform system; rather, it is a complex network with three branches: the Dorsal Vagal Complex, the Ventral Vagal Complex, and the Sympathetic Nervous System (SNS). The Dorsal Vagal Complex, associated with immobilization and disconnection, is activated during overwhelming threats by an overactivated Parasympathetic Nervous System (PNS). On the other hand, the SNS linked to fight-or-flight responses is activated for manageable threats that have been detected. The Ventral Vagal Complex promotes social connection and physiological safety from both extrinsic and intrinsic threats and can be impacted using language. NLPR leverages the Polyvagal Theory to support individuals to develop balance and release unresolved survival programming stored in the body, fostering adaptive responses and allowing healing from trauma and ANS dysregulation.

Language Processing Centers of the Brain and Their Development

The core principles on which NLPR is based concern how the brain processes language and reshapes its synapses to recognize linguistic patterns. This process is essential and is engaged throughout the lifespan. This discussion is vital for furthering the development of NLPR into a formal therapeutic intervention. By understanding and harnessing these principles, the effectiveness of NLPR and its application in various therapeutic contexts can be enhanced.

The language processing centers of the brain involve intricate and specialized regions primarily in the left hemisphere, including Broca’s area, Wernicke’s area, and their connecting pathways. These regions collaborate to enable complex functions, such as language production, comprehension, reading, and writing, to form a highly integrated network essential for communication. Broca’s area is mainly involved in speech production, but it also plays a role in recognizing grammar and syntax in spoken language (Rogalsky & Hickok, 2011). Wernicke’s area is essential for comprehending spoken and written language, focusing on the semantic aspects of communication (Binder, 2015).

The development of brain language centers is a dynamic and prolonged process influenced by genetic predispositions and environmental experiences. From prenatal stages through adolescence, the brain undergoes significant changes that shape its ability to process and produce language. This development involves neural growth, synaptic pruning, and increasing specialization and integration of language-related areas (NRC, 2000; Rosselli, et al. 2014). All these ultimately lead to sophisticated language abilities, including comprehension, production, and response to linguistic stimuli.

Brain Restructuring in Response to Language

Several mechanisms of brain restructuring have been studied in response to language. Synaptic connections, such as those in the prefrontal cortex which are associated with well-being are reinforced by positive language. In contrast, those associated with fear and anxiety, such as in the amygdala, are stimulated in response to negative language. Over time, these synapses evolve to respond spontaneously to the prevalent stimuli—including positive or negative language. This occurs because neural connections can increase or decrease the

sensitivity of connecting synapses through processes such as long-term potentiation (LTP) or long-term depression (LTD). As a result, a “preferred” cortical tract or a physiological program emerges, allowing sensory information to be processed more efficiently (Kolb & Gibb, 2011; Loonen & Ivanova, 2016).

Neurotransmitter activity also influences brain function and structure. For example, releasing dopamine and serotonin in response to positive declarations and encouragement enhances brain function (Alexander et al., 2021; Dsouza et al., 2020). Conversely, stress hormones like cortisol are released in response to negative environmental and linguistic stimuli, and their impact on brain structure is consistently negative (Kirschbaum et al., 1992). Understanding these biochemical responses is crucial for developing effective language-based therapeutic interventions concerning their influence on physiological, emotional, cognitive, and behavioral outcomes.

The Importance of the Prefrontal Cortex

The prefrontal cortex is crucial in high-order cognitive processes and behaviors and is essential for effective daily functioning and overall psychological health. The operations of the prefrontal cortex encompass executive functions, attention, behavioral regulation, social cognition, flexible thinking, goal-directed behavior, temporal organization, and aspects of language among others. These diverse roles make it a key area for understanding complex behaviors, decision-making, and personality (Kolb et al., 2012). Using supportive and appreciative language leads to greater prefrontal cortex stimulation, positively impacting psychological function. Conversely, centers like the amygdala and its activation predispose to heightened stress and anxiety (McEwen et al., 2016) and are directly affected by negative language (Laeger et al., 2012). Thus, word choice can significantly influence either healthy functioning or increased stress responses.

Positive language has been shown to promote neurogenesis (the growth of new neurons) in the hippocampus over time (Wu et al., 2021). However, this same region shrinks when exposed to stress from negative social stimuli and discouraging language, impairing memory and executive functions. Continuous activation of brain regions through language, facilitated by releasing chemical mediators, influences automatic thoughts, emotions, and behaviors. These processes shape the perception of reality and influence conduct in the physical world.

Emotional Experience and Language

Language significantly affects emotional experiences and social interactions by activating various brain regions. This interplay demonstrates that language is not merely a means of communication but shapes internal dialogue and self-perception, influencing psychological processes and emotional well-being. The link between language centers and emotional development is profound and multifaceted. It involves several key interactions that impact both psychological and social functions. Developing NLPR as an intervention requires precise gauging and identification of the associations and relations between brain centers responding to language and the resulting physiological, emotional, cognitive, and behavioral effects.

As children develop language skills, they also build a vocabulary to describe their emotions—an “emotional lexicon.” This growing lexicon enables more precise communication of feelings, improving emotional awareness and understanding (Fabes et al., 2001). Emotion labeling follows, which is linked to increased emotional regulation. Children and adults who can name their emotions are better equipped to manage and process them effectively (Poventud et al., 2015).

Additionally, the ability to construct and understand narratives helps individuals make sense of emotional experiences and fosters empathy (Madu, 2020). Various tools, including storytelling, can be powerful for emotional expression and processing. Evidence suggests that individuals can shift their emotional state by utilizing cognitive reappraisal. This strategy is employed to regulate emotions by reinterpreting situations into a positive narrative. It relies on the individual’s ability to reframe thoughts and supports the notion that people can alter emotional responses by using diverse linguistic strategies.

Emotional Dysregulation in Language Disorders

Evidence supporting the importance of language—whether negative or positive—can be found in patients with language disorders (St. Clair et al., 2019). Children with language disorders often face emotional challenges, including frustration, anxiety, and social isolation. Difficulties in expressing and understanding emotions can exacerbate these issues. Speech and language therapy and storytelling can help individuals with language disorders improve their communication skills, enhancing their emotional well-being and social functioning (Kılıç & Soydan, 2022). This highlights the transformative power of language in shaping emotional and social experiences.

The link between language centers and emotional development is intricate and vital for overall psychological and social functioning. Nurturing both language and emotional development and continuing this process from an early age is crucial to psychological well-being. This tenant is addressed by employing intentional vocabulary redirection, advanced by the NLPR Language Guidelines.

NLPR Guided Language Redirection

Nurturing linguistic and emotional development underscores NLPR as a language-focused intervention to redirect thoughts, enhance executive functions, balance the ANS, and improve emotional regulation. NLPR offers valuable insights for therapeutic practice and promoting emotional resilience. By employing NLPR techniques, individuals can cultivate more positive and empowering strategies to address challenges. This, in turn, can lead to improved health outcomes and greater overall life satisfaction.

Although NLPR is a novel approach to psychotherapy, established therapeutic techniques offer insight into why NLPR is a reasonable alternative. For example, in Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), therapists help clients reframe negative thoughts into positive ones, restructuring neural pathways over time by using affirmations to reinforce positive thinking patterns. Consciously using positive language in self-talk can gradually shift one's mindset. CBT focuses on identifying and challenging negative thought patterns and replacing them with positive, more adaptive ones (Beck, 2011). Therapists use structured linguistic strategies to help clients reframe their thoughts. For instance, turning “I always fail” into “I have succeeded in many areas and can learn from this setback.”

Another example is Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT), which teaches clients to observe their thoughts and feelings without judgment. MBCT helps reduce negative self-criticism and fosters acceptance (Sipe & Eisendrath, 2012). Phrases like “It's okay to feel this way” and “This feeling will pass” are commonly used. Therapists encourage clients to use language that keeps them anchored in the present moment, such as “Right now, I am safe” or “At this moment, I can breathe and relax.”

NLPR expounds upon established psychotherapies. For example, NLPR uses “redirection” as a progression to reframing, reappraisal, and restructuring, as is done in CBT (Denny & Ochsner, 2014; Ellis, 2003; Rozum & Malchiodi, 2003). This directional intervention distinguishes NLPR from other psychotherapeutic techniques. Advancing on MBCT, NLPR establishes an intentional direction for movement in the therapeutic process and utilizes well-defined redirection strategies toward ANS regulation, fostering access to executive functions. When the dialogue of the individual in treatment moves in a conflicting direction rather than the stated goal concerning emotional regulation, the practitioner intentionally redirects the individual with the Language Guidelines to promote emotional regulation through ANS regulation and access to executive functions (Bauer et al., 2002). Practicing this redirection over time becomes a physiological program of preferred neural circuitry in the body that creates automatic thoughts, emotions, and behaviors linked to physiological responses and adaptation through neuroplasticity (Chaplo & Fishbein, 2021).

The role of the practitioner in NLPR is to offer redirection over time that enables the individual to utilize the principles of neuroplasticity and master intentional self-redirection for access to executive functions and ANS regulation. This iterative process supports the gradual rewiring of neural pathways, fostering lasting emotional resilience and cognitive flexibility changes.

Through redirection, cognitive flexibility, positive affirmations, gratitude exercises, acceptance practices, and values-based language, individuals learn to shift their perspectives, reshape their reality, promote more balanced behavior, and enhance their overall well-being. This integration of language-focused interventions underscores the therapeutic potential of linguistics in facilitating profound personal growth and emotional stability.

The Language Guidelines: Bridging NLPR and Neuroscience

The Influence of Language on NLPR

Research suggests learning a new language can profoundly affect cognitive functions and emotional regulation. Various studies have shown that acquiring a new language increases access to executive functions (Grossmann et al., 2021; Shokrkon & Nicoladis, 2022; Zelazo, 2015), including attention, inhibition, and working memory which are crucial for regulating emotions and managing stress (Brown & Levinson, 1987). This realization has spurred the development of NLPR as an innovative therapeutic approach (Coy, 2024). NLPR utilizes Language Guidelines in therapeutic practice. These linguistic strategies are designed to promote emotional resilience by regulating the ANS and empowering individuals with the skill set to address challenges with mastery. By harnessing the power of language, NLPR offers a promising avenue for enhancing personal power and promoting emotional regulation and psychological well-being.

Within psychotherapy, understanding the role of language in shaping cognitive processes and emotional experiences has led to increased exploration of its potential for enhancing therapeutic outcomes. NLPR stands out as a groundbreaking approach, integrating neuroscience, linguistics, and psychology insights to foster ANS regulation, emotional resilience, and well-being.

The Core Linguistic Strategies of NLPR

The effectiveness of NLPR lies in its adherence to specific linguistic strategies outlined in the Language Guidelines. These strategies prompt individuals to take ownership of their idiosyncratic experiences and foster self-awareness, accountability, and acceptance (Siegel, 2020). Moreover, NLPR advocates the standard of self-compassion, redirecting language laden with negative judgment, addressing defense with safety, accurate emotion labeling, and reclaiming personal power through word choice (Ressler & Mayberg, 2007; Teper et al., 2013; Van Dam et al., 2010; Yalom, 1980). By integrating these strategies into therapy sessions and daily communication, NLPR aims to enhance self-awareness, emotional regulation, and adaptive coping skills, thereby improving psychological health outcomes for individuals in psychotherapy.

Foundational Principles of NLPR

What follows is a table exemplifying the foundational principles of the NLPR Language Guidelines. While the table offers examples of how to introduce the Language Guidelines to practitioners, it is not an exhaustive list of the Language Guidelines for promoting ANS regulation. Following the table, there will be a discussion of these Language Guidelines in greater detail.

Use 'I' Statements when addressing personal experience	When you go to the store, and you see the chips and you want some. "You" Statements separate me from my experience.	When I go to the store, and I see the chips, and I want some. "I" Statements connect me to my experience.	'I' statements allow us to take ownership of our experiences rather than disconnect from the self. When discussing my personal experience, I want to use "I", "Me", "My", and "Mine" concerning my own experience.
Redirect from 'Should' Language	I should exercise. You should drink more water.	It might be beneficial if I exercise, or I want to exercise, or I can exercise.	'Should' is negative judgment language that creates pressure, activating stress pathways, shutting down the prefrontal cortex, and keeping us stuck in threat

		You might consider drinking more water, or it might be beneficial if you drink more water, or you might think about drinking more water.	detection. Examining options allows us to activate safety pathways that open us up for exploration and discovery to make healthier choices and move forward.
Redirect from 'I Don't Know' Statements	I don't know.	I need a minute to think or Give me a second.	'I don't know' statements when discussing the self is a defense mechanism that shuts down conversation. When one answers "I don't know" to something that one is an expert in (i.e. self), it is founded in threat detection and puts distance between the self and seeing the self as capable and as the authority, who has the answers that reside inside. Slowing down, being open to exploration, connecting with the internal state, finding the answers inside, and sharing them allow one to develop safety and self-trust to take an active role in their process.
Redirect from 'Makes Me' Declarations	You make me angry. That makes me think you don't like me.	I feel angry. I think you don't like me.	"Makes me" language gives one's power away. This redirection emphasizes agency and personal responsibility for and ownership of emotions and thoughts. It acknowledges that feelings and thoughts are internal reactions, not caused by external events.
Use Feeling Words	I feel bad, or That feels bad. I feel good, or That feels good.	I feel sad, or I feel frustrated, or whatever feeling experienced that you do not like. I feel strong, or I feel optimistic, or whatever feeling experienced that you like.	Using precise feeling words based on an exploration of the feeling inside the body and accurately labeling the feeling helps one identify and express emotions authentically, leading to internal orientation, improved emotional articulation, effective communication, and increased intimacy.
Be Gentle with Self	I can't believe I messed up again.	I made a mistake, and that's okay. I can learn from this.	Being hard on the self activates stress pathways that keep one stuck in automatic behavior based in past programming. It adds weight and pressure, limiting exploration, discovery, and growth. Being gentle with the self enables one to operate in physiological safety by allowing access to the prefrontal cortex and executive functions, promoting

		willingness and flexibility. This empowers the self to take positive risks and move toward opportunities for growth and freedom rather than heaviness and stagnancy.
--	--	--

Redirecting Language Using the Language Guidelines

Owning One’s Own Experience Through "I" Statements

Developing Internal Connection: NLPR emphasizes the fundamental use of “I” statements (Gordon, 1989), encouraging individuals to take ownership of their experiences (Brown & Levinson, 1987). Rather than disconnecting from personal experiences due to threat detection, NLPR advocates redirecting “you” expressions to “I,” “me,” “my,” or “mine” when discussing personal experiences. This fosters an internal connection and a sense of ownership over the experience rather than disowning or disintegrating from it. This linguistic strategy promotes a deeper connection and integration of personal experiences (Kross & Ayduk, 2008).

Taking Ownership of Experiences: Using “I” statements is a cornerstone of NLPR’s approach to fostering emotional regulation (Siegel, 2020). Individuals develop an internal orientation and functional safety by cultivating an internal connection through “I” statements. This practice enables individuals to access executive functions and navigate challenging situations with greater clarity and resilience. As a result, individuals experience an enhanced ability to manage stress and maintain emotional balance.

Integrating “I” Statements for Emotional Regulation: Incorporating “I” statements into everyday communication and therapeutic interventions is integral to NLPR’s mission of promoting emotional regulation (Brown & Levinson, 1987). By embracing this linguistic guideline, individuals can cultivate internal safety that extends to their experiences in the external world. Redirecting language in this way empowers individuals to act with agency, leading to greater personal growth and well-being. This practice enhances self-awareness and fosters more authentic and meaningful interactions with the self and others.

Redirecting from “You” Statements: People often disconnect from themselves by using “you,” “we,” or “our” statements when discussing personal experiences. This stems from internal signals of threat detection and a resulting desire for separation from the self. Describing personal experiences from a third-person perspective alienates oneself from their own experiences. For example, when speaking about a personal experience of going to the park, instead of saying, “When you go to the park and see the swings, and you want to swing on them,” NLPR guides individuals to connect to their own experience and say, “When I go to the park, and I see the swings, I want to swing on them.” Using “I” statements allows individuals to stay connected to their body and own their experiences, whereas using “you” statements creates distance due to internal threat detection and disintegrates the individual from their experience.

From “Should” to Acceptance

Empowering Language: NLPR advocates redirecting language laden with negative judgment, particularly the term “should,” into expressions of autonomy and acceptance (Deci & Ryan, 2013; Seligman, 2011). By asserting agency over their decisions and actions, individuals can alleviate emotional intensity due to negative self-judgment associated with language rooted in negative criticism. This linguistic guideline, informed by insights from linguistics, neuroscience, and physiology, underscores the profound impact of language on emotional well-being (Ekman, 1992; Gross, 1998). This fosters a healthier self-perception and emotional resilience.

Alleviating Emotional Intensity and Negative Self-Judgment: The term “should” often carries a sense of negative judgment, triggering intense emotions like guilt or inadequacy (Ekman, 1992). NLPR recognizes the detrimental effects of language laden with “should” and offers individuals a pathway to redirect such language into expressions of strength, choice, and acceptance. By reclaiming agency over their decisions and actions,

individuals can alleviate the burden of self-imposed unrealistic expectations linked to fighting reality, resulting in a more compassionate and empowering relationship with the self and others.

Fostering Autonomy and Self-Determination: NLPR's strategy to redirect from "should" reflects its commitment to promoting autonomy and self-determination (Deci & Ryan, 2013). By empowering individuals to assert agency over their choices, NLPR enables them to make decisions aligned with their values and aspirations. This linguistic shift alleviates strong feelings including powerlessness and insecurity. It redirects from negative judgment, cultivating acceptance, empowerment, and compassion. This practice contributes to overall emotional well-being and resilience.

From "I don't know" to Safety

Disempowering Defense Mechanism: At its core, NLPR endorses the individual as the expert in their own life (Yao & Kabir, 2023). Utilizing "I don't know" when discussing internal experiences indicates internal threat detection signals and is a defense mechanism that halts the discovery process and reveals programmed avoidance behaviors. This avoidance virtually shuts down the prefrontal cortex, hindering exploration. Alternatively, redirecting to a phrase like "give me a minute to think" creates space, allowing the prefrontal cortex to re-engage. This provides an opportunity to take a positive risk, internally orient, find the answer within, and communicate more effectively.

Improving Decision-Making and Empowerment: Redirecting "I don't know" to statements like "I hadn't thought about that before, give me a minute to think" significantly enhances autonomy and mastery in self-redirecting, inhibition, and decision-making processes, fostering empowerment (Coy, 2024). Employing empowering language promotes a sense of agency. By supporting the self through threat detection and redirecting toward curiosity and an active internal search, individuals demonstrate safety and affect the ANS for emotional regulation. This shift from passivity to an active stance increases motivation to orient internally and promotes effective communication and intimacy.

Emotional Resilience and Problem-Solving: From a neurobiological perspective, the nervous system's plasticity allows adaptation to new experiences and information. Actively seeking information and considering options strengthens emerging neural connections. Research shows active problem-solving stimulates the prefrontal cortex (Arnsten et al., 2012). Encouraging individuals to redirect from "I don't know" to proactive statements benefits the immediate mental state and reinforces adaptive neural pathways, contributing to long-term cognitive and emotional resilience.

Linguistics and Safety: NLPR equips individuals with linguistic tools to transition from a defense mindset to one of curiosity and exploration (Kashdan et al., 2004). By redirecting defense as an opportunity for growth rather than a barrier, NLPR encourages individuals to approach challenges with openness and resilience to navigate threat detection with a sense of curiosity and fosters a mindset conducive to learning and adaptation.

Shifting Perspectives: Instead of succumbing to the defense mechanism and shutting down conversations with an "I don't know" statement when discussing personal experiences, NLPR advocates exploring alternatives that foster interest and open-mindedness (Siegel, 2020; Van Dam et al., 2010). By reinterpreting uncertainty as a natural part of the learning process, individuals can express their fear of internal exploration and intimacy while remaining receptive to new insights and perspectives.

From "Makes Me" to Personal Power

Maintaining Personal Power: NLPR advocates for individuals to reclaim personal power by redirecting language that implies the external causation of thoughts, emotions, and behaviors (Deci & Ryan, 2013; Yalom, 1980). Instead of attributing internal experiences to external stimuli, individuals are encouraged to acknowledge their role in interpreting and responding to stimuli. By redirecting language to express thoughts, emotions, and behaviors as personal experiences rather than externally imposed reactions, NLPR fosters greater self-awareness and autonomy.

Increasing Autonomy: NLPR emphasizes the importance of redirecting language that implies external control over thoughts, emotions, and behaviors, such as phrases like “He makes me feel insecure,” “That makes me think you don’t like me,” or “You make me do these things” (Farb et al., 2013; Ressler & Mayberg, 2007). Instead, individuals are encouraged to use language acknowledging their agency in interpreting and responding to experiences. Simply stating, “I feel insecure,” “I think you don’t like me,” or “I am doing these things” allows individuals to take back personal power over their thoughts, emotions, and behaviors and cultivates a deeper sense of self-direction and self-determination.

Enhancing Emotional Regulation through Linguistic Empowerment: Individuals can enhance their emotional regulation skills by employing the language redirection techniques advocated by NLPR (Deci & Ryan, 2013). This linguistic empowerment enables individuals to take ownership of their experiences. NLPR’s emphasis on redirecting word choice underscores its commitment to empowering individuals to navigate their emotional landscapes with confidence and self-determination. Using linguistic redirection techniques to maintain personal power, individuals can develop a more robust psychological framework and improve both mental and behavioral health.

“Feeling Words” and Emotional Articulation

The Importance of Emotion Labeling: Using authentic emotion labels, or feeling words, is crucial for accurately expressing emotions and promoting intimacy (Kircanski et al., 2012). When individuals articulate their feelings, they can communicate their emotional states and enhance their emotional awareness. Neuroscience research suggests that labeling emotions can regulate the amygdala, a critical brain region involved in processing emotions and initiating the stress response (Lieberman, 2007). By putting feelings into words, individuals can gain a sense of agency over their emotions and reduce their intensity, leading to better emotional regulation.

For instance, accurately labeling an emotion as “anxiety,” “defeated,” “optimistic,” or “hopeful” is more precise than simply using “good” or “bad.” This prompts an exploration of the emotions and body sensations associated with these labels from an internal standpoint, increasing connection with the self. This process, known as interoception (Schmitt & Schoen, 2022), creates space to move through survival programming and shift one’s worldview. Research shows that higher emotional granularity, the ability to differentiate and label emotions precisely, is linked to better emotional regulation and mental health outcomes (Barrett, 2011; Hoemann et al., 2019).

Enhancing Emotional Awareness and Regulation: Employing feeling words facilitates emotional awareness by providing a vocabulary to describe inner experiences (Lindquist et al., 2015). When individuals can accurately label their emotions, they gain insight into their psychological state, which is essential for effective self-regulation. Moreover, identifying feelings through expressive language fosters self-compassion and internal connection. By verbalizing emotions, individuals convey their internal experiences and invite understanding and support from themselves and others, contributing to emotional well-being.

Promoting Resilience and Well-Being: Articulating feelings is integral to psychological resilience and overall well-being (Lieberman, 2007). When individuals can express their emotions effectively, they are better equipped to cope with stress and navigate interpersonal relationships. Additionally, using feeling words in therapy sessions can facilitate emotional exploration and promote therapeutic progress. By prompting individuals to express their emotions verbally, practitioners can assist them in gaining insight into their programmed responses. Consequently, individuals can cultivate healthier coping strategies for self-reliance when challenged. Using feeling words empowers individuals to understand, regulate, and communicate emotions, fostering mental and behavioral health and resilience.

Being Gentle with Self

Fostering Self-Compassion in NLPR: NLPR prioritizes the cultivation of self-compassion, recognizing its pivotal role in emotional well-being (García-Campayo et al., 2023; Kabat-Zinn, 2005; Neff, 2003). By encouraging individuals to adopt a gentle and compassionate internal dialogue, NLPR can guide them toward

self-acceptance and resilience. Through self-talk rooted in stability, individuals can redirect negative inner dialogue toward words of encouragement and support, promoting ANS regulation and fostering a healthier relationship with themselves.

Advocating for Kindness in Internal Dialogue: Within NLPR, the emphasis on self-compassion extends to advocating for an affirming internal dialogue (Binder et al., 2019; Neff, 2003). By treating oneself with the same kindness extended to others, individuals can foster self-acceptance. This shift in internal dialogue redirects negative self-talk towards words of encouragement, empowering individuals to navigate challenges with greater self-assurance and emotional stability. Through repetition over time, this practice cultivates a more balanced internal state, which is crucial for long-term emotional well-being.

Self-Compassion and Resilience: Highlighting the importance of self-compassion, NLPR equips individuals with valuable tools for ANS regulation, resulting in internal safety, which fosters emotional resilience (Gilbert et al., 2017; Kabat-Zinn, 2005; Neff, 2003). By fostering a nurturing internal dialogue rooted in safety, NLPR empowers individuals to cultivate self-acceptance and respond to challenges with greater emotional strength. This approach supports individuals in managing challenges effectively and promotes a positive mindset conducive to sustained ANS regulation and emotional balance over time.

Language Guidelines and Emotional Regulation

Cultivating Resilience Through Linguistic Adaptation

NLPR's emphasis on linguistic adaptation (even in the face of threats) underscores its commitment to fostering emotional regulation and resilience (Kashdan et al., 2004). By empowering individuals to redirect their language from automatic word choice, NLPR enables them to address challenges with confidence and autonomy. This linguistic adaptation enhances their capacity to navigate challenges effectively and promotes a sense of empowerment and self-assurance (even when faced with challenges). Over time, this practice builds a more flexible and proactive mindset.

Empowering Linguistic Strategies for Internal Connection

Incorporating linguistic strategies advanced by NLPR into therapeutic interventions and daily communication can profoundly affect emotional resilience and well-being (Coy, 2024; Padesky & Mooney, 2012). By embracing the Language Guidelines, individuals take ownership of their emotions and experiences, fostering self-awareness, integration, and emotional regulation (Brown & Levinson, 1987). Practicing self-compassion, redirecting language laden with recrimination, navigating threats with curiosity, and reclaiming personal power through language can result in a regulated ANS and increases access to executive functions, leading to increased clarity and flexibility (Damasio, 1994; Deci & Ryan, 2013; Kashdan et al., 2004; Neff, 2003).

Transforming Communication for Emotional Resilience

Embracing the Language Guidelines allows individuals to express themselves authentically and assert agency over their emotional experiences (Padesky & Mooney, 2012). Cultivating greater self-awareness and emotional autonomy involves redirecting language that implies external causation of thoughts, emotions, and behaviors (Farb et al., 2013; Ressler & Mayberg, 2007; Yalom, 1980). This shift in perspective fosters a deeper understanding of one's internal processes. Navigating vulnerability with curiosity and embracing the self enables individuals to approach challenges with openness and acceptance (Kabat-Zinn, 2005; Siegel, 2020).

Harnessing Linguistic Strategies for Personal Growth: Incorporating NLPR's Language Guidelines into therapeutic interventions and everyday communication empowers individuals to foster a more intimate relationship with themselves and those around them (Coy, 2024). By embracing language redirection techniques and regulating the ANS through linguistic strategies, individuals enhance their emotional regulation skills and navigate their emotional terrain with confidence and autonomy (Padesky & Mooney, 2012). NLPR's emphasis on empowerment underscores its commitment to promoting psychological health and personal growth.

Integrating Theory and Practice

Drawing insights from neuroscience, linguistics, and physiology, NLPR provides a structured framework for psychological transformation (Coy, 2024). Through linguistic awareness and intentional, directed practice, individuals can unlock the potential for ANS regulation, leading to increased access to executive functions and profound emotional resilience and growth. By leveraging the principles of neuroplasticity, NLPR empowers individuals to reshape their cognitive and emotional responses over time. This process supports lasting changes in how individuals perceive and interact with their internal and external environments and promotes adaptive behaviors.

Incorporating NLPR into therapeutic treatment offers practitioners a powerful tool for supporting individuals on their journey toward ANS regulation and emotional resilience (Coy, 2024). By guiding people in applying the Language Guidelines, practitioners can facilitate greater self-awareness, self-compassion, and emotional regulation skills. Through linguistic exploration and redirection, individuals gain new perspectives concerning their experiences and cultivate a more empowering relationship with themselves and others.

The linguistic strategies embodied in NLPR's Language Guidelines provide a transformative approach to ANS regulation. By embracing these strategies, individuals can navigate their emotional landscape with greater awareness and efficacy, fostering a more positive and adaptive response to challenges. Individuals can unlock the potential for profound psychological movement from a place of internal safety that extends to their external world. This integrative approach fosters a deeper connection between language use and physiological processes.

Future Directions and Practice Implications

With advances in the field of psychotherapy, there is growing interest in exploring innovative approaches to enhance therapeutic outcomes. NLPR offers a novel perspective on promoting cognitive, emotional, and behavioral resilience. It represents a paradigm shift in psychotherapy by harnessing the power of language, behavior, and neurobiology to facilitate sustainable change. By empowering individuals to redirect and modify their language use, the proactive approach of NLPR fosters a sense of functional safety for resilience. It complements traditional therapeutic modalities, offering new avenues for enhancing emotional regulation.

Ongoing development and refinement of NLPR techniques will expand its reach and impact in therapeutic settings. A deeper exploration of language and its connection to ANS regulation will lead to new insights and applications, further enhancing its effectiveness. Robust research and validation across diverse populations and contexts will establish NLPR's credibility and inform best practices in psychotherapy. Integrating NLPR into mainstream therapeutic approaches and collaboration among practitioners from various disciplines is essential for maximizing its impact. By incorporating NLPR techniques into existing modalities and fostering interdisciplinary dialogue, practitioners can better address the needs of those they serve.

Pulling it all Together

Drawing from neuroscience, linguistics, and physiology, NLPR provides a structured behavioral-physiological framework for cognitive and emotional transformation. Through linguistic awareness and directed practice, individuals can unlock the potential for ANS regulation, leading to physiological safety and enhanced emotional resilience and growth. This process can improve emotional regulation and well-being (Seligman, 2011). This interdisciplinary approach underscores the importance of integrating language-based interventions into therapeutic practice as a pragmatic approach to emotional regulation fostering psychological resilience and adaptive behaviors.

By integrating NLPR techniques into therapeutic practice, practitioners can support individuals in addressing immediate concerns and develop long-term strategies for resilience (Padesky & Mooney, 2012). The application of NLPR's Language Guidelines facilitates a deeper understanding of one's emotional responses and cognitive patterns, empowering individuals to make conscious choices that promote self-regulation. Through consistent application of intentional self-direction, people can enhance their emotional intelligence

and cultivate adaptive coping strategies that strengthen their overall psychological health. This integration of NLPR into treatment underscores its role in fostering sustainable growth and resilience across various life challenges.

Moreover, the interdisciplinary nature of NLPR, drawn from neuroscience, linguistics, and psychology, underscores its comprehensive approach to emotional regulation. By leveraging insights from these fields, NLPR offers a holistic framework for addressing the intricate interplay between language, cognition, emotion, and the ANS. Through ongoing practice and reinforcement of NLPR techniques, individuals can gradually reprogram maladaptive linguistic patterns and cultivate more adaptive modes of communication that promote emotional regulation. This process enhances emotional resilience and fosters greater empowerment in navigating challenges (Neenan, 2017).

NLPR offers a proactive approach to psychological adaptation that complements traditional therapeutic modalities by emphasizing linguistic awareness and empowering individuals to redirect their internal dialogue that extends externally. This integrative approach bridges the gap between linguistic theory, neurobiology, and therapeutic practice, offering a comprehensive framework for promoting lasting emotional stability and adaptive behaviors, providing individuals with a greater sense of agency and access to executive functions that promote emotional regulation. By equipping practitioners with the knowledge and skills to effectively apply NLPR techniques, practitioners can enhance the quality of mental and behavioral health care and empower individuals for resilience.

NLPR represents a promising paradigm shift in psychotherapy, offering a novel approach to promoting emotional resilience and well-being. By harnessing the power of language and physiology, NLPR empowers individuals to transform their internal landscape and cultivate a more positive and adaptive relationship with themselves and the world around them. As research and practice continue to evolve, NLPR holds the potential to revolutionize how we conceptualize and address mental and behavioral health, paving the way for a future where emotional resilience is within reach for all.

REFERENCES

1. Alexander, R., Aragón, O. R., Bookwala, J., Cherbuin, N., Gatt, J. M., Kahrilas, I. J., Kästner, N., Lawrence, A., Lowe, L., & Morrison, R. G. (2021). The neuroscience of positive emotions and affect: Implications for cultivating happiness and wellbeing. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 121, 220-249.
2. Arnsten, A., Mazure, C. M., & Sinha, R. (2012). This is your brain in meltdown. *Scientific American*, 306(4), 48–53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0412-48>
3. Barrett, L. F. (2011). Constructing emotion. *Psihologijske teme*, 20(3), 359-380.
4. Bauer, A. M., Quas, J. A., & Boyce, W. T. (2002). Associations between physiological reactivity and children's behavior: Advantages of a multisystem approach. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics*, 23(2), 102-113.
5. Beck, J. S. (2011). Cognitive-behavioral therapy. *Clinical textbook of addictive disorders*, 491, 474-501.
6. Binder, J. R. (2015). The Wernicke area: Modern evidence and a reinterpretation. *Neurology*, 85(24), 2170-2175.
7. Binder, P. E., Dundas, I., Stige, S. H., Hjeltnes, A., Woodfin, V., & Moltu, C. (2019). Becoming Aware of Inner Self-Critique and Kinder Toward Self: A Qualitative Study of Experiences of Outcome After a Brief Self-Compassion Intervention for University Level Students. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 2728. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02728>
8. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage* (Vol. 4). Cambridge University Press.
9. Chaplo, S., & Fishbein, D. (2021). Capitalizing on Neuroplasticity Across Development to Redirect Pathways from Juvenile Justice Involvement. *Sensitive Periods of Brain Development and Preventive Interventions*, 235-254.
10. Coy, M. J. (2024). Introducing Neuro-Linguistic Processing and Reprogramming (NLPR): The Impact of Language on Emotional Regulation with Insights from Linguistics, Neuroscience, and Physiology. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 8(4), 1764-1769.

11. Cramer, S. C., Sur, M., Dobkin, B. H., O'Brien, C., Sanger, T. D., Trojanowski, J. Q., Rumsey, J. M., Hicks, R., Cameron, J., & Chen, D. (2011). Harnessing neuroplasticity for clinical applications. *Brain*, 134(6), 1591-1609.
12. Damasio, A. (1994). *Descartes error: emotion, reason, and the human brain*. New York: Avon Book. In: Inc.
13. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2013). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Springer Science & Business Media.
14. Denny, B. T., & Ochsner, K. N. (2014). Behavioral effects of longitudinal training in cognitive reappraisal. *Emotion*, 14(2), 425.
15. Dsouza, J., Chakraborty, A., & Veigas, J. (2020). Biological Connection to the Feeling of Happiness. *Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research*, 14(10).
16. Ekman, P. (1992). An argument for basic emotions. *Cognition and Emotion*, 6(3-4), 169-200.
17. Ellis, A. (2003). Cognitive restructuring of the disputing of irrational beliefs. *Cognitive behavior therapy: Applying empirically supported techniques in your practice*, 79-83.
18. Fabes, R. A., Eisenberg, N., Hanish, L. D., & Spinrad, T. L. (2001). Preschoolers' spontaneous emotion vocabulary: Relations to likability. *Early Education and Development*, 12(1), 11-27.
19. Farb, N. A., Segal, Z. V., & Anderson, A. K. (2013). Mindfulness meditation training alters cortical representations of interoceptive attention. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*, 8(1), 15-26.
20. García-Campayo, J., Barceló-Soler, A., Martínez-Rubio, D., Navarrete, J., Pérez-Aranda, A., Feliu-Soler, A., Luciano, J. V., Baer, R., Kuyken, W., & Montero-Marin, J. (2024). Exploring the Relationship Between Self-Compassion and Compassion for Others: The Role of Psychological Distress and Wellbeing. *Assessment*, 31(5), 1038–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10731911231203966>
21. Gordon, T. (1989). *Discipline that Works: Promoting Self-discipline in Children*. New York: Plume.
22. Gilbert, P., Catarino, F., & Duarte, C. et al. (2017). The development of compassionate engagement and action scales for self and others. *J of Compassionate Health Care* (4), 4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40639-017-0033-3>
23. Gordon, N. J., & Fleisher, W. L. (2011). *Effective interviewing and interrogation techniques* (3rd ed.). Elsevier Ltd.
24. Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of general psychology*, 2(3), 271-299.
25. Grossmann, J. A., Koelsch, V. M., Degirmenci, M. G., Aschenbrenner, S., Teichmann, B., & Meyer, P. (2021). Effects of foreign language learning on executive functions in healthy older adults: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *BMC geriatrics*, 21, 1-14.
26. Hoemann, K., Xu, F., & Barrett, L. F. (2019). Emotion words, emotion concepts, and emotional development in children: A constructionist hypothesis. *Developmental psychology*, 55(9), 1830.
27. Kabat-Zinn, J. (2005). *Full catastrophe living: Using the wisdom of your body and mind to face stress, pain, and illness*, 15th anniversary ed. Delta Trade Paperback/Bantam Dell.
28. Kaczmarek, B. L. (2020). Current views on neuroplasticity: what is new and what is old? *Acta neuropsychologica*, 18, 1-14.
29. Kashdan, T. B., Rose, P., & Fincham, F. D. (2004). Curiosity and exploration: Facilitating positive subjective experiences and personal growth opportunities. *Journal of personality assessment*, 82(3), 291-305.
30. Kılıç, S., & Soydan, S. B. (2022). The Effect of the Therapy Program with Stories on the Emotional Management Skills of Children with Speech and Language Disorders: Therapy Program with Stories. *Theory and Practice in Child Development*, 2(2), 1-24.
31. Kircanski, K., Lieberman, M. D., & Craske, M. G. (2012). Feelings into words: contributions of language to exposure therapy. *Psychological science*, 23(10), 1086–1091. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612443830>
32. Kirschbaum, C., Bartussek, D., & Strasburger, C. (1992). Cortisol responses to psychological stress and correlations with personality traits. *Personality and individual differences*, 13(12), 1353-1357.
33. Kolb, B., & Gibb, R. (2011). Brain plasticity and behavior in the developing brain. *Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 20(4), 265-276.
34. Kolb, B., Mychasiuk, R., Muhammad, A., Li, Y., Frost, D. O., & Gibb, R. (2012). Experience and the

- developing prefrontal cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(supplement_2), 17186-17193.
35. Kross, E., & Ayduk, O. (2008). Facilitating adaptive emotional analysis: Distinguishing distanced-analysis of depressive experiences from immersed-analysis and distraction. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(7), 924-938.
 36. Laeger, I., Dobel, C., Dannlowski, U., Kugel, H., Grotegerd, D., Kissler, J., Keuper, K., Eden, A., Zwitterlood, P., & Zwanzger, P. (2012). Amygdala responsiveness to emotional words is modulated by subclinical anxiety and depression. *Behavioural brain research*, 233(2), 508-516.
 37. Lieberman, M. D. (2007). Social cognitive neuroscience: a review of core processes. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.*, 58, 259-289.
 38. Lindquist, K. A., MacCormack, J. K., & Shablack, H. (2015). The role of language in emotion: predictions from psychological constructionism. *Frontiers in psychology*, 6, 444. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00444>
 39. Loonen, A. J., & Ivanova, S. A. (2016). Circuits regulating pleasure and happiness— Mechanisms of depression. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 10, 571.
 40. Madu, V. N. (2020). Effect of cognitive restructuring and assertive therapies on the reduction of depressive tendencies among Colleges of Education Students. *International Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Sciences*, 10(1), 1-8.
 41. Madu, V. N. (2019). Rewiring the brain for adaptive teaching and learning. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 7(9), 117-12400. Federal College of Education, Obudu, Cross River State, Nigeria.
 42. McCorry, L. K. (2007). Physiology of the autonomic nervous system. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*, 71(4).
 43. McEwen, B. S., Nasca, C., & Gray, J. D. (2016). Stress effects on neuronal structure: hippocampus, amygdala, and prefrontal cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41(1), 3-23.
 44. Mulkey, S. B., & du Plessis, A. J. (2019). Autonomic nervous system development and its impact on neuropsychiatric outcome. *Pediatric Research*, 85(2), 120-126.
 45. National Research Counsel (US) and Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Integrating the Science of Early Childhood Development. (2000). Shonkoff JP, Phillips, DA, editors. *From Neurons to Neighborhoods: The Science of Early Childhood Development*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (US).
 46. Neenan, M. (2017). *Developing resilience: A cognitive-behavioural approach*. Routledge.
 47. Neff, K. D. (2003). The development and validation of a scale to measure self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, 2(3), 223-250.
 48. Padesky, C. A., & Mooney, K. A. (2012). Strengths-based cognitive-behavioural therapy: A four-step model to build resilience. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*, 19(4), 283-290.
 49. Porges, S. W. (2007). The polyvagal perspective. *Biological psychology*, 74(2), 116-143.
 50. Porges, S. W. (2009). The polyvagal theory: new insights into adaptive reactions of the autonomic nervous system. *Cleveland Clinic journal of medicine*, 76(Suppl 2), S86.
 51. Poventud, L. S., Corbett, N. L., Daunic, A., Aydin, B., Lane, H., & Smith, S. W. (2015). Developing social-emotional vocabulary to support self-regulation for young children at risk for emotional and behavioral problems. *International Journal of School and Cognitive Psychology*, 2 (3)(1000143).
 52. Ressler, K. J., & Mayberg, H. S. (2007). Targeting abnormal neural circuits in mood and anxiety disorders: from the laboratory to the clinic. *Nature neuroscience*, 10(9), 1116-1124.
 53. Rogalsky, C., & Hickok, G. (2011). The role of Broca's area in sentence comprehension. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 23(7), 1664-1680.
 54. Rossilli, M., Ardila, A., Matute, E., Velez-Urbe, I. (2014). Language development across the life span: A neuropsychological/neuroimage perspective. *Neuroscience Journal*. Doi: 10.1155/2014585237
 55. Rozum, A. L., & Malchiodi, C. A. (2003). Cognitive-behavioral approaches. *Handbook of art therapy*, 72-81. The Guilford Press.
 56. Schmitt, C. M., & Schoen, S. (2022). Interoception: A Multi-Sensory Foundation of Participation in Daily Life. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 16, 875200. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.875200>
 57. Seligman, M. E. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Simon and Schuster.

58. Shokrkon, A., & Nicoladis, E. (2022). The directionality of the relationship between executive functions and language skills: A literature review. *Frontiers in psychology*, 13, 848696.
59. Siegel, D. J. (2020). *The developing mind: How relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are* (3rd ed.). Guilford Publications.
60. Sipe, W. E., & Eisendrath, S. J. (2012). Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy: theory and practice. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(2), 63-69.
61. St Clair, M. C., Forrest, C. L., Yew, S. G. K., & Gibson, J. L. (2019). Early risk factors and emotional difficulties in children at risk of developmental language disorder: A population cohort study. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 62(8), 2750-2771.
62. Teper, R., Segal, Z. V., & Inzlicht, M. (2013). Inside the mindful mind: How mindfulness enhances emotion regulation through improvements in executive control. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 22(6), 449-454.
63. Thatcher, R. W. (1980). Neurolinguistics: Theoretical and evolutionary perspectives. *Brain and Language*, 11(2), 235-260.
64. Van Dam, N. T., Earleywine, M., & Borders, A. (2010). Measuring mindfulness? An item response theory analysis of the Mindful Attention Awareness Scale. *Personality and individual differences*, 49(7), 805-810.
65. Wu, Z., Xiao, L., Wang, H., & Wang, G. (2021). Neurogenic hypothesis of positive psychology in stress-induced depression: Adult hippocampal neurogenesis, neuroinflammation, and stress resilience. *International Immunopharmacology*, 97, 107653.
66. Yalom, I. D. (1980). *Existential psychotherapy*. Basic Books.
67. Yao, L., & Kabir, R. (2023). *Person-Centered Therapy (Rogerian Therapy)*. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mary Jane Coy, PhD, is a Mental and Behavioral Health Practitioner in the Tucson, Arizona, USA area and is an Adjunct Faculty in the Social Sciences Department at Pima Community College, Tucson, Arizona, USA