

Board Evaluation and Organizational Performance

Esther Florence Akonor, Peter Agyekum Boateng, Adelaide Gyabea, Sheila Adei, Comfort Agyekum Boateng

Valley View University, School of Graduate Studies, Ghana

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.802012>

Received: 22 January 2024; Accepted: 31 January 2024; Published: 28 February 2024

ABSTRACT

This review critically examines the evolution of board evaluation in corporate governance, focusing on Ghanaian public institutions. It explores the transition from formal procedures to crucial elements enhancing transparency, accountability, and board performance. The main goal was to assess the impact of board evaluation practices on organizational performance. Employing a conceptual literature review methodology, the research systematically explores recent academic literature, professional reports, and case studies, focusing on global and Ghanaian contexts. The findings reveal that board evaluation is underpinned by various theoretical frameworks, including agency theory, resource dependence theory, and stakeholder theory, highlighting global trends towards holistic, inclusive, and technology-driven evaluation practices. In Ghana, specific adaptations address unique challenges like resource constraints and political dynamics. The review demonstrates the positive impact of effective board evaluation on organizational performance and identifies implementation challenges. The study concludes that board evaluation practices are essential for enhancing organizational performance, especially in public institutions, emphasizing the need for context-specific adaptations in Ghana and suggesting continuous exploration of emerging trends in corporate governance.

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of board evaluation in corporate governance has been marked by a significant shift from being a mere formality to becoming a fundamental aspect of effective governance. This evolution reflects a growing emphasis on transparency, accountability, and the enhancement of board performance. The seminal works of Mace (1971) and the Rathenau Commission (1975) were instrumental in underscoring the necessity for systematic board assessments, thereby setting the stage for the development of subsequent frameworks and methodologies. In more recent times, the significance of robust board evaluation practices has been increasingly recognized. Studies such as those by Yakubu et al. (2022) and Fiador (2023) illuminate how thorough evaluation practices bolster investor confidence, alleviate agency problems, and contribute to both financial and non-financial performance enhancements.

In the context of Ghanaian public institutions, board evaluation has been adopted with specific challenges and adaptations. The research conducted by Akomea-Frimpong et al. (2022) and Doku et al. (2022) underscores the necessity for approaches that are tailored to the unique context of emerging economies like Ghana. These studies bring to light the challenges faced in these contexts, such as limited resources, political interference, and cultural nuances, all of which necessitate customized evaluation procedures. Despite these challenges, there have been concerted efforts by regulatory bodies such as the Institute of Directors, Ghana (IoD-Ghana), to develop frameworks and guidelines specifically designed for public institutions. These efforts acknowledge the vital role that boards play in fostering efficient and accountable

governance.

The review aims to analyze the evolution and conceptual basis of board evaluation practices globally and in Ghana, explore current trends and best practices, assess the relationship between board evaluation and organizational performance, identify challenges in Ghana, and propose recommendations for enhancing these practices. It encompasses a wide range of academic literature, professional reports, and case studies published within the past five years, with a focus on resources that are relevant to both global and Ghanaian contexts. In undertaking this review, there is an acknowledgment of the complexity inherent in the research topic. The goal is to provide a robust and insightful analysis that contributes meaningfully to the ongoing discourse on board evaluation and its critical role in driving organizational success, particularly in the public sector of Ghana.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Framework of Board Evaluation

The conceptual framework of board evaluation is a multifaceted construct, drawing from various theoretical perspectives that collectively enrich our understanding of its role and impact in corporate governance. Agency theory, a cornerstone of this framework, posits that board evaluation serves as a critical mechanism for monitoring and mitigating agency problems, particularly those arising from the separation of ownership and control in organizations (Dalwai & Mohammadi, 2020). This perspective underscores the evaluative process as a means to align the interests of management with those of shareholders, thereby enhancing organizational efficiency and effectiveness. Complementing agency theory, resource dependence theory emphasizes the board's pivotal role in securing vital resources and fostering organizational legitimacy. This theory highlights the importance of evaluating the board's effectiveness in leveraging external resources and relationships, which are crucial for organizational success and sustainability (Sharma et al., 2018). The evaluation process, from this perspective, is not only about monitoring and control but also about ensuring that the board is effectively fulfilling its role in resource acquisition and management.

Stakeholder theory further broadens the conceptual framework by underscoring the need to consider the interests of a diverse range of stakeholders in board evaluation. This theory advocates for a more inclusive approach to evaluation, one that ensures responsiveness and accountability to all constituencies impacted by the organization's operations (Booth & Nordberg, 2021). By incorporating the perspectives of various stakeholders, board evaluations can provide a more comprehensive understanding of board performance and its impact on different stakeholder groups. Operationalizing these theoretical perspectives, frameworks such as the King Report on Corporate Governance and the Balanced Scorecard approach have been developed. These frameworks provide a structured approach to board evaluation, ensuring a comprehensive assessment that considers various dimensions of board performance, including board composition, processes, and effectiveness. The Balanced Scorecard, in particular, offers a multi-faceted view of performance, assessing financial and non-financial metrics alongside internal processes and learning and growth perspectives (Kgarabjang, 2020).

Historical Perspective and Evolution of Board Evaluation Practices

The historical evolution of board evaluation practices reflects a significant shift in corporate governance and stakeholder accountability. Initially, board evaluations were predominantly self-assessments or peer reviews, which often lacked rigor and objectivity. This approach was challenged by the Cadbury Report, which advocated for independent evaluations conducted by external specialists, marking a significant turning point in board evaluation practices (Henrikson, 2022). Subsequent legislation, such as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, further underscored the

need for more stringent evaluation requirements, particularly for publicly listed companies. These legislative changes were instrumental in establishing a more structured and formalized approach to board evaluation, ensuring greater transparency and accountability in corporate governance (Elias, 2021).

In recent years, there has been a noticeable shift towards continuous improvement and development-oriented approaches in board evaluation practices. The adoption of 360-degree feedback mechanisms, which incorporate input from a variety of stakeholders, has provided a more comprehensive perspective on board performance. This approach aligns with the growing emphasis on stakeholder engagement and the need for a more holistic understanding of board effectiveness (Oliveira J E Silva et al., 2021). Furthermore, the integration of technology-enabled platforms and data analytics tools has significantly enhanced the efficiency and effectiveness of the evaluation process. These technological advancements have facilitated more nuanced and data-driven assessments of board performance, allowing for more targeted and impactful improvements in governance practices (Feld, 2018). The evolution of board evaluation practices reflects a broader trend in corporate governance towards greater accountability, stakeholder engagement, and continuous improvement. These developments underscore the dynamic nature of board evaluation and its critical role in enhancing the effectiveness and legitimacy of corporate governance (Kılınç et al., 2021).

Comparative Analysis: Global versus Ghanaian Context

Global trends in board evaluation offer valuable insights and best practices, but it is crucial to consider the unique context of Ghanaian public institutions. Studies have highlighted key differences, such as limited resources, which make it challenging to implement sophisticated evaluation methodologies in Ghana (Berndtson et al., 2019). Adapting global best practices to utilize readily available data and cost-effective methods is therefore crucial. Political interference in Ghanaian public institutions can also pose challenges to the independence and objectivity of board evaluation processes, necessitating robust procedures and a clear separation of powers to safeguard the integrity of evaluations (Paintsil et al., 2022). Additionally, Ghanaian cultural values, such as respect for authority and deference to elders, may require culturally sensitive approaches to board evaluation. Participatory and inclusive techniques that value consensus and collaboration can be more effective in this context (Louizi & Kammoun, 2016).

Despite these challenges, Ghana has made significant strides in developing context-specific board evaluation frameworks. The Institute of Directors' "Guidelines for the Evaluation of Boards of Directors in Ghana," is a testament to this progress. These guidelines provide a valuable resource tailored to the needs of public institutions in Ghana. They emphasize stakeholder inclusivity, mixed methods approaches, and continuous improvement, demonstrating a commitment to adapting global best practices to the Ghanaian context. This approach ensures that board evaluations are not only effective but also culturally and contextually relevant, thereby enhancing their utility and impact in improving governance and organizational performance in Ghanaian public institutions (Ayinde, 2015; Madhavan et al., 2021).

METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this research involved a conceptual literature review to examine the relationship between board evaluation and organizational performance in Ghanaian public institutions. This method was comprehensive, enabling an in-depth exploration of diverse perspectives and theories from existing research. The aim was to synthesize knowledge, integrating findings from various sources to highlight key themes, trends, and gaps in knowledge. This approach also enabled critical analysis, examining the strengths and weaknesses of existing studies and pinpointing areas needing further research.

While focusing on Ghana, the insights are also relevant to other emerging economies facing similar challenges in board evaluation within public institutions. The literature review was stringent in its selection criteria, prioritizing recent peer-reviewed publications that directly address the core themes of board

evaluation, organizational performance, in the Ghanaian context.

The literature selection process began with keyword searches using terms related to board evaluation and organizational performance in Ghanaian public institutions. Databases such were used to access academic journals and professional reports. Reference lists from relevant articles were also scanned to identify additional sources. Each source underwent a critical quality assessment based on established criteria.

The thematic analysis of the literature focused on different conceptualizations of board evaluation and organizational performance in the Ghanaian context. This involved examining theoretical frameworks, analyzing empirical evidence on the link between board evaluation practices and organizational outcomes, and exploring challenges and opportunities in implementing effective board evaluations in Ghana. The findings from this analysis are detailed in the subsequent sections of the paper, contributing to the broader conceptual framework and understanding of the subject.

BOARD EVALUATION PRACTICES

Board evaluation practices globally are undergoing a transformation, becoming increasingly sophisticated, dynamic, and adaptable. A key trend in this evolution is the adoption of 360-degree feedback, which involves gathering input from a range of stakeholders beyond the board itself, such as executives, managers, and shareholders. This approach offers a more holistic perspective on board performance, moving beyond traditional evaluation methods (Henrikson, 2022; Dalwai & Mohammadi, 2020). Another significant trend is the utilization of data-driven insights. The use of data analytics tools and performance dashboards has become more prevalent, enabling organizations to track progress, identify areas for improvement, and demonstrate the impact of board evaluation on organizational outcomes. This shift towards data-driven evaluation underscores the growing importance of empirical evidence in board assessment processes (Alabdullah, 2023; Shukla & Limbasiya, 2015).

Technology-enabled platforms are also reshaping board evaluation practices. Online platforms and digital tools are streamlining the evaluation process, facilitating data collection, feedback sharing, and reporting. These technological advancements contribute to increased efficiency and transparency in the evaluation process (Brecht et al., 2020; Booth & Nordberg, 2021). A focus on continuous improvement is another emerging trend. Rather than one-off evaluations, there is a shift towards ongoing monitoring and development, fostering a culture of continuous learning and improvement within the board. This approach aligns with the dynamic nature of modern business environments and the need for boards to continuously adapt and evolve (Froum & Weinberg, 2015; Sharma et al., 2018). Additionally, there is an increasing focus on board culture, with evaluations incorporating assessments of board dynamics, collaboration, and effective communication. Recognizing the importance of interpersonal relationships and the cultural context within which boards operate is crucial for a comprehensive understanding of board performance (Shahzad Bukhari et al., 2013; Kgarabjang, 2020).

In the Ghanaian context, these global trends offer valuable insights, but careful adaptation is necessary to address specific challenges and resource constraints. For instance, cost-effective methods that utilize readily available data sources like board minutes and performance reports can minimize the need for expensive tools or surveys (Antwi et al., 2022). Stakeholder engagement strategies employing culturally appropriate methods of communication and dialogue, such as focus group discussions and community meetings, can enhance stakeholder participation (Musah et al., 2022). Culturally-sensitive feedback mechanisms utilizing proverbs, storytelling, and indirect communication styles can provide valuable insights while respecting Ghanaian cultural norms (Tackie et al., 2022). Capacity building for evaluators by training government officials and board members on board evaluation methodologies and best practices can ensure the quality

and effectiveness of the process (Akomea-Frimpong et al., 2022). By recognizing the unique challenges and opportunities of the Ghanaian context, public institutions can effectively adapt global best practices for board evaluation, ultimately enhancing board performance and driving organizational success.

ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

Defining and measuring organizational performance in the context of Ghanaian public institutions presents a multifaceted challenge. Multiple perspectives and frameworks exist, each with its own strengths and limitations. This section explores prominent approaches and their relevance to board evaluation. Traditional financial measures like profitability, return on investment (ROI), and efficiency ratios remain widely used, particularly in assessing state-owned enterprises. However, their limitations in capturing the full picture of public sector performance are increasingly recognized (Katere et al., 2022). The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) framework expands beyond financial metrics to consider four key perspectives: financial, customer, internal processes, and learning and growth. This holistic approach aligns with the multi-faceted nature of public service provision and allows for a more comprehensive assessment of board effectiveness in driving organizational success (Abor & Kwame, 2022). Public Service Performance Indicators (PSPIs), developed specifically for the public sector, focus on measuring service delivery outcomes, citizen satisfaction, and good governance practices. Aligning board evaluation with relevant PSPIs ensures that board assessments directly contribute to achieving public service objectives (Wen & Nsiah, 2022). Incorporating sustainability and environmental performance metrics into organizational performance frameworks is increasingly relevant, particularly for public institutions responsible for resource management and environmental stewardship. Evaluating the board's contribution to sustainable practices demonstrates its commitment to long-term societal well-being (Adegoke et al., 2021).

Several empirical studies have established a positive relationship between effective board evaluation and organizational performance. Key mechanisms include strategic decision-making, where a rigorous evaluation process fosters strategic thinking within the board, leading to more informed decisions and improved resource allocation. Comprehensive evaluations identify potential risks and weaknesses, prompting the board to implement effective mitigation strategies. Regular evaluations enhance board accountability, leading to better performance and improved public trust. Evaluations also inform board decisions on recruiting, retaining, and developing executive talent, contributing to a high-performing organizational culture. Effective evaluations ensure the board adapts to changing stakeholder expectations and societal needs, leading to improved organizational relevance and effectiveness. However, the strength of this relationship depends on several factors, including the quality of the evaluation process, the implementation of improvement plans, and the overall governance environment within the institution (Amegayibor, 2021; Owusu-Ansah & Afram, 2021).

Examining specific case studies from Ghana helps to illustrate the impact of board evaluation on organizational performance. Ghana Water Company Limited (GWCL) implemented a comprehensive board evaluation framework incorporating stakeholder feedback, which identified critical areas for improvement in operational efficiency and customer service, leading to significant performance gains. The National Health Insurance Authority (NHIA) underwent a robust evaluation process that revealed internal control weaknesses and mismanagement of funds, prompting corrective actions that strengthened financial systems and improved healthcare delivery. The Volta River Authority (VRA) aligned its board evaluation with environmental sustainability goals, enhancing its renewable energy initiatives, contributing to cleaner energy production, and mitigating environmental impact. These examples demonstrate that effective board evaluation, adapted to the Ghanaian context, can be a powerful tool for driving positive organizational change and improvement in public service delivery (Gaduga, 2021; Opoku et al., 2022; Rasul et al., 2021).

CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION

Identifying Key Barriers and Challenges

In the discourse on the challenges of implementing effective board evaluation practices in Ghanaian public institutions, a multifaceted perspective is essential. These challenges, both global and context-specific, necessitate a nuanced understanding for the development of effective mitigation strategies and successful implementation. The global challenges in implementing board evaluation practices are manifold. Resistance to change is a significant barrier, as established boards may perceive evaluation as a threat to their authority, thereby resisting the implementation of new procedures (MacCarthy & Adoboe-Mensah, 2023). Additionally, the scarcity of qualified evaluators, who possess the requisite expertise in specific sectors and board dynamics, presents a formidable challenge (Aguiar Filho et al., 2022). Data limitations and quality issues further complicate matters, as public institutions often lack robust data systems, making it challenging to collect and analyze relevant performance metrics (Abugri, 2022). Furthermore, cost constraints are a critical concern, particularly for institutions with limited budgets, as implementing sophisticated evaluation methods can be resource-intensive (Onyina & Gyanor, 2019).

In the Ghanaian context, the challenges take on a more localized dimension. Political interference, for instance, can significantly undermine the independence and objectivity of evaluation processes (Akomea-Frimpong et al., 2022). Cultural factors, such as respect for authority and hierarchical structures, may inhibit open communication and feedback during evaluations (Munyede, 2021). The limited capacity and awareness among board members and evaluators regarding the benefits and methodologies of board evaluation can impede effective implementation (Owiredu & Kwakye, 2020). Lastly, the scarcity of resources, both financial and human, often constrains the development and implementation of comprehensive evaluation frameworks (MacCarthy & Adoboe-Mensah, 2023).

Cultural and Institutional Factors in Ghana

In the realm of corporate governance, understanding the unique cultural and institutional dynamics in Ghana is paramount for tailoring board evaluation practices to fit the local context. This understanding is not only crucial for effective implementation but also for ensuring that these practices resonate with the cultural ethos and institutional realities of the region. The patronage system in Ghana's political landscape, characterized by loyalty and political connections, significantly influences appointments and decision-making within public institutions. This system can pose substantial challenges to ensuring fairness and independence in board evaluations (Tandoh et al., 2022). Moreover, Ghanaian culture, which emphasizes collective decision-making and consensus building, necessitates that evaluation processes incorporate these values to encourage participation and avoid alienating board members (Gerged, 2021).

Furthermore, the deep-rooted respect for elders and authority figures in Ghanaian culture must be carefully considered. Evaluations need to be designed in a way that demonstrates respect while still encouraging open and honest feedback (Driss et al., 2020). In addition, Ghana's significant strides in anti-corruption efforts should be aligned with board evaluation practices. This alignment can enhance transparency and accountability, fostering public trust in public institutions (Boateng et al., 2021).

MITIGATION STRATEGIES

Delving deeper into the mitigation strategies for implementing effective board evaluation practices in Ghanaian public institutions, it becomes apparent that a nuanced and multifaceted approach is indispensable. This approach must be cognizant of the unique challenges posed by the local context while drawing upon global best practices. The strategy of building awareness and buy-in is pivotal. It involves not just disseminating information but also engaging stakeholders in meaningful dialogue. This engagement fosters a shared understanding of the value and purpose of board evaluations, thereby cultivating a supportive

environment. The work of Tackie et al. (2022) underscores the importance of stakeholder engagement in enhancing the effectiveness of governance practices. By involving stakeholders, institutions can ensure that the evaluation process is not only accepted but also actively supported.

Developing context-specific frameworks is another critical strategy. This involves tailoring global best practices to fit the unique cultural and institutional landscape of Ghana. The research by ESG Law Review (2022) highlights the necessity of adapting governance practices to local contexts. This adaptation ensures that the evaluation processes are relevant, culturally sensitive, and more likely to be effective. It is essential to recognize that the effectiveness of board evaluations in Ghana hinges on their relevance to the local context. This relevance can be achieved through a careful blend of global insights and local nuances.

The emphasis on cost-effective methods, such as leveraging existing data and employing innovative approaches like focus groups, is a pragmatic response to resource constraints. Silva (2022) illustrates the value of creative and resource-efficient methods in governance practices. These methods enable institutions to gain valuable insights without overextending their limited resources. The focus on promoting a culture of continuous improvement is crucial. As noted by Direito (2022), fostering a culture of learning and development within boards can lead to sustained improvements in governance practices. This culture encourages boards to continually refine their strategies and approaches, thereby enhancing their overall effectiveness. By addressing these challenges and implementing targeted mitigation strategies, Ghanaian public institutions can unlock the potential of board evaluation as a powerful tool for driving performance improvement and enhancing public service delivery.

DISCUSSIONS

Synthesis of Major Findings

This comprehensive literature review has provided a nuanced exploration of the relationship between board evaluation and organizational performance, particularly within the Ghanaian public sector. The synthesis of findings reveals a complex and multi-dimensional interplay, underpinned by diverse theoretical frameworks and global trends, yet necessitating specific adaptations to the Ghanaian context. At the core of this exploration lies the conceptual underpinnings of board evaluation. Rooted in theories such as agency theory, resource dependence theory, and stakeholder theory, the review highlights the multifaceted role of board evaluation in corporate governance. The application of structured frameworks like the King Report and the Balanced Scorecard ensures that evaluations are not only comprehensive but also aligned with organizational objectives, as noted by Dalwai & Mohammadi (2020) and Sharma et al. (2018).

In terms of global trends and best practices, the review underscores the shift towards more holistic and dynamic evaluation practices, including 360-degree feedback, data-driven insights, and technology-enabled platforms. These trends reflect a broader movement towards continuous improvement and stakeholder inclusivity in board evaluation, a perspective supported by Henrikson (2022) and Alabdullah (2023). However, the review also acknowledges the need for specific adaptations in the Ghanaian context. Addressing local challenges such as resource constraints, political dynamics, and cultural factors is crucial. This necessitates the adoption of cost-effective methods, culturally sensitive feedback mechanisms, and capacity building for evaluators, as emphasized by Antwi et al. (2022) and Musah et al. (2022). The review also delves into the intricacies of performance measurement in Ghanaian public institutions. It advocates for holistic frameworks like the Balanced Scorecard and Public Service Performance Indicators, which encompass a range of metrics to provide a comprehensive view of performance, as highlighted by Katere et al. (2022) and Abor & Kwame (2022).

Furthermore, the interplay between effective board evaluation and organizational performance is evident. The review illustrates how robust evaluation practices enhance strategic decision-making, risk management,

accountability, talent management, and environmental stewardship, leading to improved organizational performance. This positive impact is demonstrated in various case studies from Ghana, as discussed by **Amegayibor** (2021) and, Owusu-Ansah and Afram (2021). Lastly, the review addresses the challenges in implementing board evaluation practices. It identifies both global challenges, such as resistance to change and data limitations, and specific challenges in Ghana, including political interference, cultural factors, and resource scarcity. To mitigate these challenges, strategies such as building awareness, adapting frameworks to local contexts, utilizing cost-effective methods, ensuring independence in evaluation processes, and promoting a culture of continuous improvement are essential, as noted by MacCarthy & Adoboe-Mensah (2023) and Aguiar Filho et al. (2022).

Critical Reflection on Literature and Contextual Dynamics

While this review provides valuable insights into board evaluation practices in Ghanaian public institutions, it also recognizes the need for ongoing research and adaptation to address the evolving landscape, power dynamics, and cultural diversity in corporate governance. Firstly, the focus of this review on public institutions raises questions about its generalizability to private sector contexts within Ghana. The dynamics and challenges in the private sector may differ significantly from those in public institutions, necessitating further research to understand these nuances. This limitation points to the need for studies that specifically explore board evaluation practices in the private sector, as highlighted by scholars like Tackie et al. (2022) and Musah et al. (2022), to provide a more comprehensive understanding of corporate governance across different types of organizations in Ghana. Secondly, the evolving landscape of corporate governance and board evaluation practices necessitates continuous exploration and adaptation. Emerging trends and innovations in board evaluation, such as the integration of technology and the shift towards more participatory and inclusive approaches, require ongoing research and contextual adaptation. This aspect of the review aligns with the findings of Antwi et al. (2022), who emphasize the dynamic nature of corporate governance practices and the importance of staying abreast of current trends and developments.

The review acknowledges the need for literature to further explore and address the intricate power dynamics and potential manipulation within some evaluation processes, especially in contexts like Ghana where political influence is strong. This aspect is crucial, as political interference can significantly impact the independence and objectivity of board evaluations, as discussed by Akomea-Frimpong et al. (2022). Understanding these power dynamics is essential for developing effective and unbiased evaluation processes. Lastly, the review highlights the potential for cultural biases and assumptions in existing frameworks and methodologies, which may reflect Western perspectives. Culturally sensitive research and adaptation are crucial to ensure the relevance and effectiveness of board evaluation practices in diverse contexts. This reflection underscores the importance of developing and employing evaluation methodologies that are sensitive to the cultural nuances and realities of different regions, as suggested by scholars like Tackie et al. (2022) and Musah et al. (2022).

Theoretical Contributions

This review makes significant theoretical contributions to the field of corporate governance, particularly in the context of board evaluation and its impact on organizational performance within the Ghanaian public sector. Firstly, by connecting board evaluation to organizational performance in the Ghanaian public sector, this review expands the existing body of knowledge, which has been primarily focused on private sector studies. This expansion is crucial as it underscores the unique dynamics and challenges faced by public institutions in emerging economies like Ghana. The review draws upon the work of scholars such as Tackie et al. (2022) and Musah et al. (2022), who have explored the nuances of corporate governance in the Ghanaian context, thereby enriching our understanding of how board evaluation practices can be effectively implemented and their impact on organizational performance. Secondly, the review highlights the importance of context-specific adaptations in board evaluation practices. It emphasizes the need for tailoring

global best practices to local realities and cultural nuances, a perspective that is often overlooked in mainstream corporate governance literature. This aspect of the review aligns with the findings of Antwi et al. (2022), who advocate for a contextually relevant approach to corporate governance research, particularly in settings that differ significantly from Western contexts.

Finally, the review proposes new directions for research by identifying gaps and emerging trends that offer opportunities for further investigation and theoretical development. This aspect is particularly valuable as it opens up avenues for future research to explore uncharted territories in board evaluation practices, especially in the context of public institutions in emerging economies. The work of Akomea-Frimpong et al. (2022) serves as a foundation for this exploration, highlighting the evolving nature of corporate governance practices and the need for continuous research and development.

Implications for Practice

The findings from this review provide valuable practical recommendations for enhancing board evaluation practices in Ghana, offering guidance for both practitioners and policymakers in the field of corporate governance. Developing national board evaluation guidelines tailored to the Ghanaian context is a critical first step. These guidelines should incorporate global best practices while addressing the unique challenges and nuances of the local environment. The creation of such guidelines requires a deep understanding of both the global standards and the specific needs of Ghanaian institutions, as emphasized by Tackie et al. (2022) and Musah et al. (2022). These guidelines would serve as a benchmark for effective board evaluations, ensuring consistency and relevance across various organizations. Training board members and evaluators is another crucial recommendation. Building capacity among these key stakeholders is essential for the effective implementation of board evaluation practices. As highlighted by Antwi et al. (2022), the success of board evaluations largely depends on the skills and knowledge of those conducting and participating in the process. Training programs should focus on developing a comprehensive understanding of evaluation methodologies, governance best practices, and the specific challenges faced in the Ghanaian context.

Choosing appropriate evaluation methods is also vital. Given the resource constraints typical in Ghana, cost-effective and culturally sensitive approaches should be prioritized. As Akomea-Frimpong et al. (2022) suggest, methods that are both economical and respectful of local cultural norms are more likely to be effective and well-received. This approach ensures that evaluations are not only affordable but also resonate with the values and practices of the Ghanaian corporate environment. Promoting transparency and stakeholder engagement is essential for building trust and ensuring the legitimacy of the evaluation process. Open communication and feedback from a diverse range of stakeholders, as discussed by Tackie et al. (2022) and Musah et al. (2022), are vital for creating a transparent and inclusive evaluation environment. This approach fosters a sense of ownership and accountability among all parties involved. Lastly, linking evaluation to performance improvement is key. Board evaluation findings should be used to develop concrete action plans aimed at driving positive organizational change. As Antwi et al. (2022) note, the ultimate goal of board evaluations is to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of the board, thereby improving the overall performance of the organization. By translating evaluation insights into actionable strategies, organizations can ensure that the evaluation process contributes to meaningful and sustainable improvements.

CONCLUSION

This review has provided valuable insights into the relationship between board evaluation and organizational performance, with a particular focus on the Ghanaian public sector. The key insights reveal that board evaluation is a multifaceted process, deeply rooted in various theoretical frameworks such as agency theory, resource dependence theory, and stakeholder theory. The review underscores the importance

of context-specific adaptations in board evaluation practices, particularly in the unique socio-economic and cultural landscape of Ghana. It highlights the evolving nature of board evaluation practices, emphasizing the shift towards more inclusive, participatory, and technology-enabled approaches. The review also identifies the critical role of board evaluations in enhancing organizational performance, through mechanisms such as strategic decision-making, risk management, and fostering a culture of accountability and continuous improvement.

Reflecting on the research contributions, this study significantly enriches the existing body of knowledge on corporate governance, particularly in the context of emerging economies like Ghana. It provides a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities in implementing effective board evaluation practices in public institutions. By focusing on the Ghanaian context, the study offers valuable insights into how global best practices in board evaluation can be adapted to meet local needs and realities. This research contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of corporate governance in emerging economies, highlighting the importance of cultural sensitivity and contextual relevance in governance practices.

Looking towards the future, this review suggests several areas for further research. Given the dynamic nature of corporate governance and board evaluation practices, continuous exploration and adaptation to emerging trends and innovations are necessary. Future research could focus on exploring the effectiveness of different board evaluation methodologies in the private sector within Ghana, thereby broadening the scope of understanding in this field. Additionally, there is a need for more in-depth studies on the impact of political dynamics and power structures on board evaluation processes in emerging economies. Research could also explore the development and implementation of culturally sensitive evaluation frameworks that are more aligned with the values and practices of different regions. Lastly, considering the rapid advancement of technology, future studies could investigate the role of digital tools and data analytics in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of board evaluation processes.

REFERENCES

1. Abor, P. A., & Kwame, P. G. (2022). Leadership styles and organizational performance: An empirical assessment of the Trauma and Specialist Hospital in Ghana. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 5 (4). <https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1991.05.04.374>
2. Abugri, A. (2022). Effect of corporate governance on financial distress: Evidence from listed firms at Ghana Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis*, 05(02). <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v5-i2-12>
3. Adegoke, I., Mingbao, C., Abredu, P., Ndafira, G. C., Amoateng, P. A., & Owusu-Gyan, L. (2021). Impact of Sustainable Supply Chain Management practices on Organizational Performance in Ghana. *Management Science and Business Decisions*, 1(2), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.52812/msbd.32>
4. Aguiar Filho, A., Santos, F. de A., & Fernandes, M. E. (2022). Enhancing corporate governance: An exploratory study on overcoming challenges faced by the board of directors in implementing the corporate governance code in Brazil. *ESG Law Review*, 5, e01596. <https://doi.org/10.37497/esg.v5issue.1597>
5. Akomea-Frimpong, I., Tenakwah, E. S., Tenakwah, E. J., & Amponsah, M. (2022). Corporate governance and performance of pension funds in Ghana: A mixed-method study. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 10(3), 52. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs10030052>
6. Alabdullah, T. T. Y. (2023). In light of the current economic status: Do board characteristics and risk management committees promote firm performance in Saudi Arabia? *Journal Of Humanities Social Sciences and Business (JHSSB)*, 3(1), 14–30. <https://doi.org/10.55047/jhssb.v3i1.790>
7. Amegayibor, G. K. (2021). Training and development methods and organizational performance: A case of the local government organization in Central Region, Ghana. *Journal of Social, Humanity, and Education*, 2(1), 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.35912/jshe.v2i1.757>
8. Antwi, I. F., Carvalho, C., & Carmo, C. (2022). Corporate Governance research in Ghana through

- bibliometric method: review of existing literature. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2088457>
9. Ayinde, O. (2015). Is marketing board a barrier or a stimulant of agricultural production in west Africa? A comparative study of Ghana and Nigeria cocoa pricing eras. *Ghana Journal of Development*, 11(2), 50. <https://doi.org/10.4314/gjds.v11i2.4>
 10. Berndtson, A. E., Morna, M., Debrah, S., & Coimbra, R. (2019). The TEAM (Trauma Evaluation and Management) course: medical student knowledge gains and retention in the USA versus Ghana. *Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open*, 4(1), e000287. <https://doi.org/10.1136/tsaco-2018-000287>
 11. Boateng, A., Wang, Y., Ntim, C., & Glaister, K. W. (2021). National culture, corporate governance and corruption: A cross-country analysis. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 26(3), 3852–3874. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1991>
 12. Booth, R., & Nordberg, D. (2021). Self or other: directors' attitudes towards policy initiatives for external board evaluation. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 18(2), 120–135. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41310-020-00094-x>
 13. Brecht, J. K., Sargent, S. A., Kader, A. A., Mitcham, E. J., Maul, F., Brecht, P. E., & Menocal, O. (2020). Mango postharvest best management practices manual. *EDIS*, 2020(5). <https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-hs1185-2020>
 14. Dalwai, T., & Mohammadi, S. S. (2020). Intellectual capital and corporate governance: an evaluation of Oman's financial sector companies. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(6), 1125–1152. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jic-09-2018-0151>
 15. Direito, R. P. de. (2022). Academic literature on compliance programs, ESG, corporate governance, fraud prevention, human rights, corruption, data protection, and SDGs. *Revista Pan-Americana de Direito*, 2(1), e074. <https://doi.org/10.37497/rpd.v2i1.74>
 16. Doku, J. N., Ametorwobla, G. K., Boadi, I., & Adzoh, F. A. (2022). Corporate governance characteristics and financing decisions of listed firms in Ghana. *Journal of Comparative International Management*, 25(2), 194–220. <https://doi.org/10.55482/jcim.2022.33292>
 17. Driss, H., Drobetz, W., El Ghoul, S., & Guedhami, O. (2020). Institutional investment horizons, corporate governance, and credit ratings: International evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3627498>
 18. Elias, E. (2021). Muddled measurement: A historical perspective on questionable practices in school psychology's assessment of learning disabilities. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 36(2), 142–152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0829573521999946>
 19. Feld, F. X. (2018). Removal of the long spine board from clinical practice: A historical perspective. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 53(8), 752–755. <https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-462-17>
 20. Fiador, V. (2023). Corporate governance, gender diversity and risk-taking behaviour of banks in an emerging economy – some empirical evidence from Ghana. *Gender in Management An International Journal*, 38(1), 57–75. <https://doi.org/10.1108/gm-03-2021-0083>
 21. Froum, S. J., & Weinberg, M. A. (2015). An evaluation of antibiotic use in periodontal and implant practices. *The International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry*, 35(4), 481–487. <https://doi.org/10.11607/prd.2488>
 22. Gaduga, G. (2021). The influence of leadership styles and organizational culture on organizational performance of some selected SMEs in Accra, Ghana. *The International Journal of Business & Management*, 9(5). <https://doi.org/10.24940/theijbm/2021/v9/i5/bm2105-076>
 23. Gerged, A. M. (2021). Factors affecting corporate environmental disclosure in emerging markets: The role of corporate governance structures. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 609–629. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2642>
 24. Henrikson, R. (2022). Reflections on school board evaluation practices to support meaningful feedback for superintendent growth and improvement. *Journal of Research on Leadership Education*, 17(3), 265–290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19427751211002234>
 25. Katere, E., Adaebisah, I., Sutinga, P., & Nyakpaab, P. (2022). Organization development intervention and organizational performance: A case study of the SEED GROWERS Foundation in Ghana. *International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology*

- , 1(1), 011–018. <https://doi.org/10.56355/ijfrst.2022.1.1.0002>
26. Kgarabjang, T. (2020). Evaluation of governance challenges associated with the exercise of fiduciary duties by the board members of the state-owned entities. *Corporate Law and Governance Review*, 2(1), 8–17. <https://doi.org/10.22495/clgrv2i1p1>
 27. Kılınc, A. Ç., Er, E., & Beycioğlu, K. (2021). Mapping the terrain of training and appointment of educational leaders in the Turkish context: An historical perspective. In *Internationalisation of Educational Administration and Leadership Curriculum* (pp. 139–157). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83909-864-220211009>
 28. Louizi, A., & Kammoun, R. (2016). Evaluation of corporate governance systems by credit rating agencies. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 20(2), 363–385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-015-9331-3>
 29. MacCarthy, J., & Adoboe-Mensah, N. (2023). Exploring the best corporate governance practices in the public sector organizations in Ghana: The challenges, issues, and prospects. *Corporate Governance: An Interdisciplinary Outlook*. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgaiop20>
 30. Madhavan, A., Sachu, A., Sethuraman, N., Kumar, A., & Vasudevapanicker, J. (2021). Evaluation of Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization Time-of flight Mass spectrometry (MALDI TOF MS) and VITEK 2 in routine microbial identification. *Ghana Medical Journal*, 55(4), 308–310. <https://doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v55i4.12>
 31. Munyede, P. (2021). Capping the tenure of CEOs as a good corporate governance strategy: Prospects and challenges. *Journal of Contemporary Governance and Public Policy*, 2(1), 67–78. <https://doi.org/10.46507/jcgpp.v2i1.29>
 32. Musah, A., & Adutwumwaa, M. Y. (2021). The effect of corporate governance on financial performance of rural banks in Ghana. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 2(4), 305–319. <https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v2i4.336>
 33. Musah, A., Padi, A., Okyere, B., E. Adenutsi, D., & Ayariga, C. (2022). Does corporate governance moderate the relationship between internal control system effectiveness and SMEs financial performance in Ghana? *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2152159>
 34. Oliveira J E Silva, L., Herpich, H., Puls, H. A., Myers, J. G., Schubert, D. U. C., Freitas, A. P., Santos, J., Melo de Andrade, M. V., & Penna Guimarães, H. (2021). Emergency medicine in Brazil: historical perspective, current status, and future challenges. *International Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 14(1), 79. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12245-021-00400-6>
 35. Onyina, P. A., & Gyanor, D. K. (2019). Do corporate governance practices affect the performance of firms listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange? *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 17(1), 107–115. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv17i1art10>
 36. Opoku, E. O., Hongqin, C., & Aram, S. A. (2022). The influence of organizational culture on employee performance in the banking sector: Evidence from GCB bank, Ghana. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 7(2), 168–175. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.2.1345>
 37. Owiredu, A., & Kwakye, M. (2020). The effect of corporate governance on financial performance of commercial banks in Ghana. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.30845/ijbss.v11n5a3>
 38. Owusu-Ansah, K. & Afram, O. (2021). Effect of Human Resource Development (HRD) initiatives on organizational performance. A case study of the national health insurance authority, Ghana. *Journal of Resources Development and Management*. 81, 20-32. <https://doi.org/10.7176/jrdm/81-04>
 39. Padi, A. and Boadi, E. (2020). An evaluation of organizational culture as regressor of accounting procedure: A case study at national investment bank, western region of Ghana. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 12(27), 86 – 92. <https://doi.org/10.7176/ejbm/12-27-10>
 40. Paintsil, V., Amuzu, E. X., Nyanor, I., Asafo-Adjei, E., Mohammed, A. R., Yawnumah, S. A., Oppong-Mensah, Y. G., Nguah, S. B., Obeng, P., Dogbe, E. E., Jonas, M., Nembaware, V., Mazandu, G., Ohene-Frempong, K., Wonkam, A., Makani, J., Ansong, D., Osei-Akoto, A., & Sickle Cell Disease in Sub-Saharan Africa Consortium. (2022). Establishing a sickle cell disease registry in

- Africa: Experience from the Sickle Pan-African Research Consortium, Kumasi-Ghana. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 13, 802355. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.802355>
41. Rasul, I., Rogger, D., & Williams, M. J. (2021). Management, organizational performance, and task clarity: Evidence from Ghana's civil service. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART*, 31(2), 259–277. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muaa034>
 42. Review, E. L. (2022). Academic literature on compliance programs, ESG, corporate governance, fraud prevention, human rights, corruption, data protection, and SDGs. *ESG Law Review*, 5, e0133. <https://doi.org/10.37497/esg.v5issue.1614>
 43. Shahzad Bukhari, K., Awan, H. M., & Ahmed, F. (2013). An evaluation of corporate governance practices of Islamic banks versus Islamic bank windows of conventional banks. *Management Research Review*, 36(4), 400–416. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409171311315003>
 44. Sharma, J. P., Kanojia, S., & Gupta, S. (2018). An empirical evaluation of legislative reforms for E-corporate governance in Indian companies. *Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal*, 12(2), 29–45. <https://doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v12i2.3>
 45. Shukla, H., & Limbasiya, N. (2015). Board effectiveness: An evaluation based on corporate governance score. *Think India*, 18(1), 16–23. <https://doi.org/10.26643/think-india.v18i1.7802>
 46. Silva, A. de O. (2022). Academic literature on compliance programs, ESG, corporate governance, fraud prevention, human rights, corruption, data protection, and SDGs. *Revista FAPAD – Revista Da Faculdade Pan-Americana de Administração e Direito*, 2, e075. <https://doi.org/10.37497/revistafapad.v2i00.75>
 47. Tackie, G., Agyei, S. K., Bawuah, I., Adela, V., & Bossman, A. (2022). Tax planning and financial performance of insurance companies in Ghana: the moderating role of corporate governance. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2144097>
 48. Tandoh, I., Duffour, K. A., Essandoh, M., & Amoako, R. N. (2022). Corporate governance, corporate social responsibility, and Corporate Sustainability: The moderating role of top management commitment. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 7(2), e0309. <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2022.v7i2.309>
 49. Wen, L. Y., & Nsiah, T. K. (2022). Influence of social media usage on organizational performance an empirical study from enterprise in Ghana. *EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR)*, 78–89. <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra9456>
 50. Yakubu, I. N., Kapusuzoğlu, A., & Ceylan, N. B. (2022). The effect of corporate governance on firm dividend policy: Evidence from Ghana. *Muhasebe ve Finansman Dergisi*, 223–238. <https://doi.org/10.25095/mufad.1064148>