

Teacher's Burnout and Perceived Self-Efficacy as Predictors to Classroom Management: A Quantitative Inquiry

Pilos, Irish kaye H¹., Cañete, Juralyn Jean A¹., Calugas, Yahweh F¹., Ecbat, Joven V¹., Gozon, Nathaniel R¹., Jamito, AJ N¹., Nobleza, Gian Carlo E¹., Oca, Kurt O¹., Pelandas, Jesraem A¹., Retolla, Sheenah Nicole F.¹, Tandoc, Matthew L¹., Versano, Naro Jr. S¹., Krystal Joy M. Clamares, PhD²., Anna Marie O. Pelandas, MAEd²

¹Department of Education, Senior High School Students, Philippines

²Department of Education, Senior High School Teachers, Division of Davao de Oro, Philippines

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.804261>

Received: 27 April 2024; Revised: 14 May 2024; Accepted: 18 May 2024; Published: 23 May 2024

ABSTRACT

This study dealt with the influence of Teacher's Burnout and Perceived Self-Efficacy to Classroom Management among High School Teachers in Mawab, Davao de Oro. The primary goal of this study was to determine the level of teacher's burnout and perceived self-efficacy to classroom management in terms of their respected indicators, the significant difference between burnout and perceived self-efficacy to classroom management, and what domains of burnout and perceived self-efficacy substantially influence classroom management. Also this study utilized a quantitative-correlational design with 113 respondents among teachers in three chosen High Schools' in Mawab, Davao de Oro. The average weighted mean, Pearson-R, and Multiple Regression analysis were the statistical tools used in this study. Along with this, result showed a low level of burnout among teachers in terms of coping with job-related stress, attitude towards students, and perceived administrative support, and very low level in terms of career satisfaction. In another independent variable which was perceived self-efficacy, it showed a very high level in terms of instructional self-efficacy, efficacy to create positive school climate, and efficacy to enlist parental involvement, while efficacy to influence decision making, and disciplinary self-efficacy got a high level. Likewise, the result showed a very high levels in every indicator of classroom management. Additionally, only perceived self-efficacy had moderate correlation towards classroom management, while teacher's burnout got a negligible correlation. Hence, this led to the rejection of null hypothesis in terms of perceived self-efficacy and not rejecting the null hypothesis in terms of teacher's burnout. Also all domains of teacher's burnout had low ratings and perceived self-efficacy as most perceived by teachers. This meant that teachers were showing lower levels of burnout and higher levels of perceived self-efficacy which led them to have an effective classroom management. This result led the teachers to be aware that having a self-efficacy is very important to lessen their burnout in managing their classrooms.

Keywords: GAS, Teacher's Burnout, Perceived Self-Efficacy, Classroom Management, Philippines

INTRODUCTION

In Chile, teachers were facing problems about dealing with the negative behaviors of students particularly in situation of aggression towards the teacher which raises conflict in classroom management (Sepulveda-vallejos et al, 2023). Therefore, classroom management strategies play a crucial role for teachers to impose an effective teaching and decrease negative behaviors of students, effective classroom management established an organized and positive classroom environment which is good for both teacher and students (Stueber, 2019).

In Philippines particularly in Bulacan, regression analysis found that the mental health status and stress level or burnout of teachers impacts their learning resource development (Jimenez, 2021). The mental health and stress level of teachers are two important factors that allow them to become holistic classroom managers and leaders as they are the front lines of the Department of Education in delivering its curriculum, services, and skills mastery to the learners (Asio and Bayucca, 2021).

In addition, the effectiveness of teachers also depends from their perceived self-efficacy, as what a study conducted from Filipino teachers, teacher's efficacy beliefs are related to their behavior in classroom and their amount of effort invest in teaching, this beliefs lead them on how to interact and work effectively with their students in the classroom, there is a significant relationship between what a teacher believes and how they manage and communicate with their students in the classroom, selfefficacy beliefs produce their effects through cognitive, motivational, affective, selective processes (Dalanon and Matsuka, 2017).

In Davao Region it has been observed that most of the teachers complained about how could they manage their classrooms, most of them said that how the students behave inside the classroom depends on their attributes and how they interact with their students, and their self-efficacy beliefs (Suico, 2021).

Research Objectives

1. To determine the level of teacher's burnout in terms of:
 - 1.1 attitude towards students;
 - 1.2 career satisfaction;
 - 1.3 coping with job-related stress; and
 - 1.4 perceived administrative support.
2. To determine the level of teacher's perceived self-efficacy in terms of:
 - 2.1 disciplinary self-efficacy;
 - 2.2 efficacy to create positive school climate;
 - 2.3 efficacy to enlist parental involvement;
 - 2.4 efficacy to influence decision making; and
 - 2.5 instructional self-efficacy.
3. To determine the level of classroom management in terms of:
 - 3.1 organization of the lesson;
 - 3.2 interaction during lesson; and
 - 3.3 teacher-student personal communication.
4. To determine the significant relationship between teacher's burnout and classroom management among High school teachers.
5. To determine the significant relationship between teacher's perceived self-efficacy and classroom management among High school teachers.
6. To determine which of the domains in teacher's burnout influences classroom management.
7. To investigate which of the domains in teacher's perceived self-efficacy influences classroom management.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed quantitative non-experimental research design that uses descriptive correlational technique to describe the hypothetical existence of a relationship between two defined variables and to determine the direction and degree of that relationship if one exists. When the purpose was to describe the condition of the situation as it existed at the time of the study to investigate the causes of a particular phenomenon, the descriptive correlation method was considered appropriate. Correlational research design investigates relationships between variables without the researcher controlling or manipulating any of them.

A correlation reflects the strength and direction of the relationship between two or more variables (Bhandari, 2021). Correlational research was the best quantitative method of research in which you have two or more quantitative variables from the same group of subjects (Gay et al., 2006).

Population and Sample

The subjects of the study were the 158 different High school Teachers in Mawab, Davao de Oro. Moreover, the study employed stratified random simple random sampling which enabled the researchers to obtain a sample population that best represents the entire population being studied, making sure that each subgroup of interest was represented. The teachers were randomly selected among the three chosen High Schools in Mawab, Davao de Oro.

According to Mohapatra and Chamola (2020), a sample size of 100 - 200 respondents was considered medium. In the case of Teachers in Mawab, out of total population of 158 individuals, a random sample of 113 of respondents were selected. The sample size was computed using Raosoft sample size calculator (Raosoft, 2004).

Statistical Tool

The following statistical tools were utilized for the data analysis and interpretation.

Mean. This statistical tool was used to determine the level of teacher's burnout, perceived self-efficacy to the classroom management of the teachers in Mawab, Davao de Oro.

Pearson (r). This statistical tool was employed to determine the significance on the relationship between the teacher's burnout, perceived self-efficacy to the classroom management of the teachers in Mawab, Davao de Oro.

Multiple Regression Analysis. This statistical tool were used to determine the influence of teacher's burnout, and perceived self-efficacy to the classroom management of the teachers in Mawab, Davao de Oro.

RESULTS

Level of Teacher's Burnout

Table 1 shows the level of burnout among teachers in terms of career satisfaction, coping with job-related stress, attitude towards students, and perceived administrative support. The overall mean is 2.02 described as low, with a standard deviation of 0.58. Among all of the four indicators, attitude towards students got the highest mean of 2.55 which is low, and a standard deviation of 0.50, followed by coping with job-related stress with a mean of 2.23 which is also low, with a standard deviation of 0.52. Perceived administrative support got a mean of 1.94 which is low, and a standard deviation of 0.82, and finally career satisfaction which attained a mean score of 1.38 which means very low and got a standard deviation of 0.47. All indicators have a total descriptive equivalent of low. This further indicates that burnout is less manifested among teachers.

Table 1. Level of Teacher's Burnout

Indicators	Mean	SD	Descriptive Equivalent
Career Satisfaction	1.38	0.47	Very Low
Coping with Job-related Stress	2.23	0.52	Low
Attitude Towards Students	2.55	0.50	Low
Perceived Administrative Support	1.94	0.82	Low

Overall	2.02	0.58	Low
---------	------	------	-----

Level of Perceived Self-Efficacy

Table 2 presents the level of perceived self-efficacy by the teachers in terms of efficacy to influence decision making, instructional self-efficacy, disciplinary self-efficacy, efficacy to create positive school climate, and efficacy in enlisting parental involvement. The overall mean is 4.22 with a verbal equivalent of very high, and a standard deviation of 0.64. This indicates that perceived self-efficacy is very much manifested among teachers.

Among the five indicators, efficacy to enlist parental involvement got the highest mean of 4.41 and is described as very high with a standard deviation of 0.45. This is followed by efficacy to create positive school climate with a mean of 4.29 with an equivalent description of very high, and a standard deviation of 0.58. Next is instructional self-efficacy with a mean of 4.24 which is considered very high, with a standard deviation of 0.59. Disciplinary self-efficacy got 4.18 which is high, with a standard deviation of 0.64 and lastly, efficacy to influence decision making got 3.98 which is high, with a standard deviation of 0.92.

Table 2. Level of Perceived Self-Efficacy

Indicators	Mean	SD	Descriptive Equivalent
Efficacy to Influence Decision-Making	3.98	0.92	High
Instructional Self-Efficacy	4.24	0.59	Very High
Disciplinary Self-Efficacy	4.18	0.64	High
Efficacy to Create Positive School Climate	4.29	0.58	Very High
Efficacy to Enlist Parental Involvement	4.41	0.45	Very High
Overall	4.22	0.64	Very High

Level of Classroom Management

Presented in Table 3 is the level of classroom management as perceived by the teachers in terms of organization of lesson, interaction during lesson, and teachers-student personal communication. The overall mean is 4.43 described as very high, and a standard deviation of 0.50. This indicates that classroom management are very much felt among teachers. Among all the indicators, organization of lesson got the highest mean of 4.46 with a standard deviation of 0.49, followed by teacher-students personal communication with a mean of 4.44 and standard deviation of 0.47, and interaction during lesson got a mean score of 4.41, and standard deviation of 0.53. All of the indicators have descriptive equivalent of very high.

Table 3. Level of Classroom Management

Indicators	Mean	SD	Descriptive Equivalent
Organization of Lessons	4.46	0.49	Very High
Interaction During Lessons	4.41	0.53	Very High
Teacher-Student Personal Communication	4.44	0.47	Very High
Overall	4.43	0.50	Very High

Significance on the Relationship Between Teacher’s Burnout and Classroom Management

Presented in Table 4 reveals that there is no significant relationship between Burnout and Classroom

Management among Teacher's. The R-value is -0.131 and got a p-value of 0.166 which is greater than $p < 0.05$. This means that teacher's burnout and classroom management shows a negligible correlation.

Since the table shows that burnout and classroom management have a probability level of 0.166 which is greater than the level of significance at 0.05, the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant relationship between burnout and classroom management among teachers is not rejected. The negligible correlation of the variables shows that almost all indicators have no significant relationship to classroom management.

Significant Relationship Between Perceived Self-Efficacy and Classroom Management

Shown in Table 5 is a moderate correlation between the level of Perceived Self-Efficacy and Classroom Management among Teachers. The R-value between Perceived Self-Efficacy and Classroom Management is 0.526 with a p-value of < 0.001 which shows a moderate correlation. This means that perceived self-efficacy and classroom management has significant relationship.

Table shows that Perceived Self-Efficacy and Classroom Management have the probability level of < 0.001 which is obviously less than the level of significance at 0.05. Thus, the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant relationship between Perceived Self-Efficacy and Classroom Management among Teachers is rejected. The strong interdependence of the variables shows that all indicators; efficacy to influence decision making, instructional self-efficacy, disciplinary self-efficacy, efficacy to create positive school climate, and efficacy to enlist parental involvement have significant relationship towards effective Classroom Management.

Multiple Regression Analysis on the Influence of Teachers' Burnout on Classroom Management

The result reveals the F-value of 1.969 and a p-value of 0.104 which is greater than the 0.05 level of significance. This enables the researcher to not reject the null hypothesis which states that there is no domain of Burnout that significantly predicts Classroom Management among teachers.

The coefficient of determination (R^2) which is 0.068 connotes that 6.80% of the variation in the level of burnout experienced by the teachers could be attributed to the level of classroom management experienced by teachers. The remaining 93.20% is a chance variation which suggests that other factors beyond the scope of this study may also be attributed to classroom management among teachers.

Multiple Regression Analysis of the Influence of Perceived Self-Efficacy on Classroom Management

The table shows the F-value of 18.728 and a p-value of < 0.001 which is evidently less than the 0.05 level of significance. This enables the researcher to reject the null hypothesis which states that there is no domain of perceived self-efficacy that significantly predicts classroom management among teachers.

The coefficient determination (R^2) which is 0.467 connotes that 46.70% of the variation in the level of perceived self-efficacy by teachers could be attributed to the level of classroom management experienced by the teachers. The remaining 53.30% is the chance variation which suggests that other factors beyond the scope of this study may also be attributed to classroom management among teachers.

DISCUSSIONS

Level of Teachers' Burnout

Presented in the previous chapter was the result of the level of burnout among teachers. It was found that the level of teacher's burnout was described as low which further indicates that burnout was less manifested

among teachers.

This findings concurred to the proposition of Scott PhD, (2022), that burnout refers to psychological condition with physical, emotional, and mental dimensions, burnout often associated with the feeling of exhaustion, long term fatigue, negative self-concept, despair or hopelessness, frustration, and lack of productivity at work. In accordance also with the statement of Billingsley and Bettini (2019), that burnout also affects how teachers likely to stay in their job since their stress lead them to be unproductive. With this, teachers with high level of burnout affect students experience and outcomes (Madigan and Kim, 2020). Correspond to this, the notion of Tikkanen et al, (2017) also aligned in this study which states that consistent and discrepant burnout profiles can be found among teaching professionals, consistent profiles where characterized by systematically high, moderate, or low levels of all burnout symptom, whereas teachers with discrepant profiles reported increased or high levels of one or two burnout symptoms.

The result also showed that the levels of career satisfaction, coping with job-related stress, attitude towards students, and perceived administrative support were described as low and very low. Thus, this means that this four indicators was less manifested and never manifested among teachers. The teacher's burnout in terms of career satisfaction was described as very low and was interpreted as never manifested among teachers. This means that most of the teachers are satisfied with their career since this indicator means that they're stress because they're not satisfied with their job. This result substantiates to the assertion of Baluyos et al, (2019), that career satisfaction is requirement for the work performance of the teachers, career satisfaction plays an important role in the overall commitment to the organization, the career satisfaction of teachers significantly influenced their commitment in the organization, teachers who are satisfied with their job are also committed to work. In aligned also to the notion of Toropova et al, (2020) that career satisfaction is not only related to teacher retention, but it also contribute towards the well-being of teachers and students, clearly speaking, school cohesion enhanced the status of teaching profession.

Level of Perceived Self-Efficacy

The level of perceived self-efficacy was presented in previous chapter. It revealed that perceived self-efficacy is very high. All five indicators for this variable were also described as very high and high. It implies that perceived self-efficacy is always manifested and often-times manifested among teachers.

This findings accompanied to the proposition of Watt and Richardson (2020), that teacher's self-efficacy for classroom management is an important component of teachers identity with implications for their teaching quality. This also further supported Barni et al, (2019), that teacher's self-efficacy is the teachers beliefs in their ability to handle the task, obligations, and challenges related to their professional activity which influenced the important academic outcomes and well-being in the working environment. Further, In concomitant with Wray et al, (2022), teaching experience, context of the teaching, understanding of educational policies about inclusive education, pre-service teachers education, and experiential learning opportunities for teachers impact teachers self-efficacy.

Level of Classroom Management

The level of classroom management was presented in previous chapter. It revealed that classroom management got a mean of very high. It implies that classroom management was always manifested among teachers. Similarly, it aligned with the conclusion of Ahmed et al, (2018) that classroom management in general, includes all tools and techniques that teacher utilized during their stay in the classroom, different classroom management strategies found are; communication skills, student management skills, time management skills, and pedagogical skills. This findings attest also to the standpoint of Stueber (2019) that effective classroom management is an important teaching skill which enhances the learning of all students, classroom management not only increase academic proficiency and decrease negative behaviors, it also establishes an organized and positive classroom environment.

Classroom management in terms of organization of lesson yielded a result of very high and interpreted as always manifested among teachers. This results coexisted with the findings of Lyness (2022) that organization skills are essential particularly in school, this skills will help individual to make their lifestyle to be better, everything is easier when things were organized. Correspond to the statement, it aligned with the proposition of Roksa et al, (2017) stating that organization of lessons highly affect the motivation of students, also their performance and persistence. Further, it conforms also to the notion of Anderson (2023) that teachers need to organize their lessons so that they could not waste their time, a disorganized teacher will waste a lot of time which lead to the entire topic to be lost.

Significant Relationship Between Teacher's Burnout and Classroom Management

The result of the study revealed that there is no significant relationship between burnout and classroom management among teachers. The computed R-value indicated a negligible correlation implicated that even if the level of burnout of teachers is low it cannot affect their classroom management or their classroom management still goes high as burnout has nothing to do with classroom management as long as they have a high level of perceived self-efficacy.

With this findings, it negates to the anchored theory of Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory which posits that the relationship between teacher's burnout and self- efficacy in having classroom management can be described as a reciprocal and interdependent process. He stated that a teacher with low self - efficacy in managing their classroom may struggle to effectively handle challenging behaviors, establish appropriate routines, and create a positive learning environment. As a result, they may experience high levels of stress and frustration, leading to burnout. In contrary, this study upheld to the proposition of Mahmoodi et al, (2022) that there is a negative correlation between burnout and classroom management, the correlation between emotional exhaustion and depersonalization which are the two subcomponent of burnout, and student's English learning were found to be negative. The findings indicated that teacher's perceived self-efficacy was the strongest predictor of learners English learning. In other words, teachers with higher levels of perceived self-efficacy in classroom management are less likely to experienced burnout. Burnout has negligible relationship towards classroom management as long as the teachers achieved a higher levels of perceived self-efficacy in managing their classroom.

Significant Relationship Between Perceived Self-Efficacy and Classroom Management

The result of the study revealed that there was a significant relationship between perceived self-efficacy to classroom management among teachers. The computed R-value indicated a moderate correlation between this two variables. The moderate correlation implies that as perceived self-efficacy goes high, classroom management also become high and burnout can be lessen.

This findings reaffirmed to the anchored theory of Bandura's Self-Efficacy Theory which assert that perceived self-efficacy affects the teacher's choices of activities, effort, and persistence. Teacher's who have a low sense of efficacy for accomplishing a task may avoid it; those who believe they are capable should participate readily. Individuals who feel efficacious are hypothesized, to work harder and persist longer when they encounter difficulties than those who doubt their capabilities.

Multiple Regression Analysis on the Influence of the Domains of Teacher's Burnout on Classroom Management

The regression analysis on the influence of the domains of burnout to classroom management among teachers revealed that one out of four domains namely; career satisfaction has significant influence on classroom management among teachers. Other domains found to be not significant in influencing classroom management. Though career satisfaction was less felt by the teachers, surprisingly it can still influence classroom management.

In aligned with the statement of Lazarides et al, (2020), a teachers who are unsatisfied with their career can have an influence on classroom management, contextual influences such as job demands and resources play a role in the development of teacher self-efficacy for classroom management. This suggest that when a teacher face excessive demands in their career, their self-efficacy for classroom management may be affected, which in turn can impact their classroom management. Therefore, an unsatisfied career can potentially lead to challenges in classroom management.

However, coping with job-related stress did not shows influence on classroom management. This finding affirmed to the notion of McCarthy et al, (2022), that when the teacher perceived that the demands of classroom exceed their coping resources, they experience stress. This stress can lead to job dissatisfaction, emotional exhaustion, and burnout, which can ultimately result in teacher leaving the profession. High level of stress can also reduce a teacher's motivation, leading to alienation from workplace, absenteeism, and attrition.

Multiple Regression Analysis on the Influence of Perceived Self-Efficacy on Classroom Management

The regression analysis on the influence of the domains of perceived self-efficacy to classroom management among teachers revealed that efficacy to influence decision-making, instructional self-efficacy, disciplinary self-efficacy, efficacy to create positive school climate, and efficacy to enlist parental involvement have significant influence to classroom management. Among the five domains, efficacy to influence decision-making and efficacy to enlist parental involvement has the most influence, followed by disciplinary self-efficacy, next is efficacy to create positive school climate, and the last one is instructional self-efficacy. All of this have an influences on classroom management.

In terms of efficacy to influence decision-making, Chatterjee (2021) assert that teacher play an important role in assisting students in decision making and weighing the benefits and drawbacks of various career options, this give a significant impact on and influences the choices the students makes, so the teacher plays an important role in shaping the students as who they want to be. In relation also wirh McCarty et al, (2021), teacher influenced decision making of students with the used of various factors including teacher characteristics, context factors, planning decision and implementation decisions. Also, Prenger and Schildkamp, (2018) propose that decision making can help students increase academic achievement.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the result of the study revealed that the first independent variable which is the teacher's burnout got an overall level of low, within its indicators; coping with job-related stress, and attitude towards students, and perceived administrative support got a low levels, followed by career satisfaction, which got a very low level. In contrary, the second independent variable which is perceived self-efficacy got an overall level of very high, within its indicators; instructional self-efficacy, efficacy to create positive school climate, and efficacy to enlist parental involvement all got a very high levels, whilst efficacy to influence decision-making, and disciplinary self-efficacy all got a highlevels. On the other hand, the dependent variable; classroom management got a level of very high, with its indicators; organization of lessons, interaction during lessons, and teacher-student communication all got a very high levels.

In addition, there is a negligible correlation between teacher's burnout and classroom management, whilst perceived self-efficacy and classroom management shows a moderate correlation. The regression analysis also showed that in terms of teacher's burnout, only career satisfaction significantly influenced classroom management, while coping with job-related stress, attitude towards students, and perceived administrative support were all not significantly influencing classroom management. In terms of perceived self-efficacy, all its indicators; efficacy to influence decision making, instructional self-efficacy, disciplinary self-efficacy,

efficacy to create positive school climate, and efficacy to enlist parental involvement were all significantly influencing classroom management.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Base on the preceding, and conclusions, the following recommendations are made; Department of Education officials may take the following supportive actions such as provide teachers with opportunities to improve their skills and knowledge through training programs, workshops, and seminars. These programs can focus on teaching strategies, classroom management, and other areas that teachers may need support in. Recognize teachers for their hard work and dedication. This can be done through formal recognition programs or simply by providing positive feedback and support. By implementing these supportive actions, the Department of Education can help teachers feel more confident in their abilities and better equipped to handle the challenges of teaching. This, in turn, can help reduce burnout and improve job satisfaction among teachers. Similarly, school administrators may create an open door policy where teachers feel comfortable sharing their concerns and ideas. Also, they should actively listen to their feedback and work towards addressing their needs and create a supportive school environment that values teachers and encourages collaboration. This can include providing teachers with access to resources, supporting professional development, and fostering a sense of community within the school. Likewise, it is better for a teacher to perceived self-efficacy in managing their classroom as this can help them to avoid stress that lead to burnout, being able to deal with students with perceived self-efficacy, teachers may able to provide effective learning outcomes to students which improve classroom management.

Additionally, teachers should communicate regularly with parents about their child's progress and any concerns they may have. This can help build a positive relationship with parents and reduce stress. Teachers also should set boundaries with their students and parents, this includes establishing clear expectations for behavior and communication, and maintaining a healthy work-life balance, by implementing these strategies, teachers can improve their well-being and perceived self-efficacy, which can lead to more effective classroom management and reduced burnout. Parents can also support their child's teacher by communicating openly, respecting boundaries, and supporting school policies and procedures. Lastly, it may offer future researchers a starting point for expanding the scope of the research in terms of variables mentioned in the study.

REFERENCES

1. Abdullah, N. (2020). Comparative study of classroom management strategies employed by Public and private school English Language Teachers. *Journals of Education and Educational Development* 7(1), 7-86. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22555/joed.v7i1.2642>
2. Ahmed, M., Ambreen, M., & Hussain, I. (2018). Gender differentials among teachers classroom management strategies in Pakistan context. *Journal of Education and Educational Development*, 5(2),178-193
3. Anderson J. (2023). Why organization is important for Teachers. <https://thehighlyeffectiveteacher.com>
4. Ansori, Y., (2020). Strengthening Student Discipline with character Trough the Role of teachers in Elementary School Social Education FKIP UNM, 6(1),177-186
5. Baluyos, G., Rivera, H. and Baluyos, E. (2019) Teachers' Job Satisfaction and Work Performance. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 7, 206-221. doi: 10.4236/jss.2019.78015.
6. Banerjee, A., Banerji R., James B., Duflo E., Kannan H., Shobhini M., Shotland M., and Walton M., (2017). From Proof of Concept to Scalable Policies: Challenges and Solutions, with an Application. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31 (4): 73-102. DOI: 10.1257/jep.31.4.73
7. Barni D, Danioni F and Benevene P (2019) Teachers' Self-Efficacy: The Role of Personal Values and Motivations for Teaching. *Front. Psychol.* 10:1645. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01645

8. Better Health (2023). Work-related stress. <https://www.betterhealth.vic.gov.au/health/healthyliving/work-related->
9. Bezrukova A. (2017). The role of school in parental Involvement in the USA. studies in comparative Education-no 3-4 Dol: <https://doi.org/10.31499/2306-5532.3-4.2017-/35825/>
10. Bhandari, P. (2023). Correlational Research | When &How to Use. Scribbr. Retrieved November 8, <https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/correlational-research/>
11. Billingsley, B. S., & Bettini, E. (2019). Special education teacher attrition research: A systematic literature review. *Review of Educational Research*, 89(5), 697-744-<https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654319862495>
12. Birt, J. (2023). 8 Qualities of a Good Teacher (Plus ways to improve). Career guide. <https://www.indeed.com/career-advice/career-development/qualities-of-a-good-teacher>
13. Chatterjee N. (2021). Influence of teachers on students:"How and why? Codevidhya. <https://codevidhya.com/influence-teachers-students/>
14. Cherry, K. MEd. (2023). Self-Efficacy and Why Believing Yourself Matters. Theories, Personality Psychology. Very well mind <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-self-efficacy-2795954>
15. Dalanon, J. & Matsuka Y. PhD, (2017). Filipino Teachers Sense of Efficacy in Inclusion Classes. *Asia Pacific Journal of Research*, Vol. 1, 2347-4493
16. Del Arco, I., Silva, P., & Flores, O. (2021). University teaching in times of confinement: the light and shadows of compulsory online learning *Sustainability*, 13(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010375>.
17. Delos Reyes, R. D. G., and Torio, V. A. G. (2020). The relationship of expert teacher–learner rapport and learner autonomy in the CVIF-dynamic learning program. *Asia-Pacific Educ. Res.* 2020, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s40299-020-00532-y
18. Dexter, C., & Wall M., (2021). Reflective functioning and teacher burnout the mediating role of self-efficacy. *International and Multidisciplinary perspectives*. Vol 22, bric pp 753-765 001: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2021.19488>
19. Diniatulhaq, R., Okarta, A., Abbas, A. (2020). Classroom Management Strategies in English Language Teaching: A Perspective of English Teachers. *Journal of English Education and Development*. Vol. 3, Iss: 2, pp 105-113. <https://doi.org/10.31605/eduvelop.v3i2.604>
20. Dor A. (2022). The Individualized Education Program: Do Teachers and Parents Collaborate?. *Educational Practice and theory* - Vol 44, ks: 2pp-45-34
21. Durand T Secakusuma M. (2019). Negotiating the boundaries of parental school engagement: the role of social space and symbolic capital in urban teaching perspectives. *Teacher College Record* - Vol. 121, 1st: 2, pp 1-40
22. Evertson, C. M., & Weinstein, C. S. (2006). Classroom management as a complex instinctive skill: Teacher actions and consequent student engagement. *Theory into Practice*, 45(4), 318– 327.
23. Erturk, R. (2021). The relationship between school administrator Supportive behaviors and teachers Job satisfaction and subjective well-being. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research*, 8(4), 184-195 <https://doi.org/10.33200/ijcer.956667>
24. Frisby, B. N. (2019). The influence of emotional contagion on student perceptions of instructor rapport, emotional support, emotion work, valence, and cognitive learning. *Community. Stud.* 70, 492–506. doi: 10.1080/10510974.2019.1622584
25. Gagnon, D. (2019). 10 Qualities of a Good Teacher. <https://www.snhu.edu/aboutus/newsroom/education/qualities-of-a-good-teacher>
26. Gay, L., Mills, G., & Airasian, P., (2006). *Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
27. Gilmour, A. PhD, Sandilos, L. PhD, Pilny, W. MEd, Schwarts, S. MEd, Wehby, J. PhD, (2022). Teaching Students with Emotional/ Behavioral Disorders: Teachers' Burnout Profiles and Classroom Management. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*. Vol. 30(1) 16-28. DOI: 10.1177/10634266211020258

28. Glasser, W. (1998). *Choice theory in the classroom*. New York: Harper Collins.
29. Goldman, Z. W., Goodboy, A. K., and Weber, K. (2017). College students' psychological needs and intrinsic motivation to learn: An examination of self-determination theory. *Community. Q.* 65, 167–191. doi: 10.1080/01463373.2016.1215338
30. Hamilton D. (2017). *Examining Behavioral Techniques, Encouragement, and Consistency in Classroom Management Development in Review Simulation and Experimental Learning*. Vol 44, 2017 pp 23770
31. Hartini, S. (2017) Student Discipline Character Education in the Modern Era of Parent and Teacher Synergy. at MTs Negeri klaten Regency Al-Assasiyya *The Journal Basic Education*
32. Haskins, N. H., Appling, B. (2017). Relational-Cultural Theory and Reality Therapy: A Cultural Responsive Integrative Framework. *Journal of Counseling and Development*. Vol, 95, Iss 1, pp 87-99. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12120>
33. Holzberger, D. & Prestele, E. (2021) teacher Self-efficacy and self-reported cognitive activation and class room management: A multilevel perspective on the role of school characteristics. *Learning and Instruction*. Vol. 76, 1075, 1334 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2021.161513>
34. Hosford, S., & O'Sullivan, S., (2015). A climate for self-efficacy: The relationship between school climate and teacher efficacy for inclusion. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. Vol. 20, Iss6,. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116>
35. Hough, H., Kalogrides, D., Loeb, S. (2017). *Using Surveys of Students Social-Emotional Learning and School Climate for Accountability and Continuous Improvement*. Policy Analysis for California Education. ED574847
36. Howe, C., Hennessy, S., Mercer, N., Vrikki, M., & Wheatley, L. (2019). Teacher–student dialogue during classroom teaching: does it really impact on student outcomes?. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 28(4–5), 462–512. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2019.157373>.
37. Indeed (2023) *Organizational Skills for teachers: Ways to Implement them*.
38. <https://www.indeed.com/career-advice/resumes-cover-letters/organizational-skills-for-teachers>
39. Ingersoll, R.M, Sirinides, P. and Dougherty, P. (2013). Leadership Matters. teachers Role in school Decision Making and School Performance. *American Educator* 42(1) PP-13
40. Jha, A. K. (2022). Why Parent-teacher Communication is Important?. <https://leadschool.in/blog/why-parent-teacher-communication-is-important/>
41. Jimenez, E. (2021). Impact of Mental Health and Stress Level of Teachers to Learning Resource Development. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, Vol. 9(2), pp.1-11. <https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v9i2.3702>. <https://leadschool.in/blog/why-parent-teacher-communication-is-important/>
42. Johari, F. S. (2020). Work-Related Stress and Coping Strategies: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(6), 1016–1032. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v10-i6/7469>
43. Kamarulzman, KA, Zulkifly S. S., & Hasan N.H. (2017) - Occupational stress among of cancer hospital nurses. *Human Factors and Ergonomics Journal*, 2(3), 12-20.
44. Katsantonis, I. G. (2020). Teacher's self-efficacy, perceived administrative support and positive attitude toward students: Their effect on coping with job-related stress. *Hellenic Journal of Psychology*, Vol. 17 (4207 pp. 001-014
45. Kelly, L. M., Cordeiro, M. (2020). Three Principle of progation for Research on Organizational Process. Vol, 13, Iss:2. <https://doi.org/10.1177/205979912093724>
46. Kharisma, C. Suyatno. (2018). The Role of Teachers in Instilling Discipline Characteristics of Students in the Bleber 1 Public Elementary School, Prambanan, Sleman. *Basic Education Fundamentals*, 1(2), 131-139.
47. Krischler, M., and Pit-ten Cate, I. M. (2019). Pre-and in-service teachers' attitudes toward students with learning difficulties and challenging behavior. *Front. Psychology*. 10, 327. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00327

48. Kumschlag, K. (2017). Teacher Burnout: A Quantitative Analysis of emotional Exhaustion, Personal Accomplishment, and Depersonalization. *International Management Review (American scholar prevv Inc.)* - Vol. 13, kr 1, pp 22
49. Lazarides R., Watt H. & Richardson P. (2020). Teachers Classroom Management self-efficacy, perceived classroom Management and teaching context nom beginning until mid-career. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2020-101346> no
50. Lee, J. S. (2019). Teacher as change agent: attitude change toward varieties of English through teaching English as an international language. *Asian Engl.* 21, 87–102. doi: 10.1080/13488678.2018.1434396
51. Lehman J (2018) parental Involvement: anNsue for Smo primary school teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching* - Vol. 44, Irv: 3, pp 296-308
52. Lester, R., Allison, R., Bolton, P., Charles, E. (2017). Routines are the Foundation of Classroom Management. *Eric V137,n 4*, pp 398-412. <https://www.projectinnovative.biz/education.html/>
53. Li, L., & Yang, S. (2021). Exploring the influence of teacher-student interaction on university students' self-efficacy in the flipped classroom. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 10(2), 84–90. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v10n2p84>
54. Lyness, D., PhD (2022). Organizing your School Work. Numerous Children Health.<https://kidshealth.org/en/teens/focused.html>
55. Madigan, D., & Kim L. (2020). Door teacher burnout affect shocking. A systematic review of its avocation with academic achievement and widen reported outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 10/194 1554 1876-538 X D61 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101714ND> CONTONY WN in the pardon of them 12
56. MacCallum, R., Zhang, S., Preacher, K., Rucker, D., (2002). On the Practice of Dichotomization of Quantitative Variables. *Psychological Methods*. Vol. 7, No. 1, 19-40. 10.1037/108.2-989X. 7.1.19
57. Mahfoodh O HA (2017) I feel disappointed. *EFL University Students' emotional responses towards teacher written feedback Assess. Wnt* 31, 53-72 doi 10.1016/j. asw 2016 07. 001
58. Mahmoodi, M. Hosseiniyars., & Samouch N. (2022), *EFL Teachers Classroom Management orientation, Self-efficacy, Burnout, and students L2 Achievement. Profiles in Teachers Professional Development* - Vol. 24, ks 1, pp 29-44
59. Maimon R M Bocos MD (2018) Education, Reflection, Development On parents and Teacher's Attitude towards parental involvement in school. *The European Proceedings of social and Behavioral Sciences* ISSN 2357-1330 <https://dx.doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2018.06th>
60. Magnano P. Platania S, Ramaci T, Santisi G., Di Nuovo S (2017) Validation of the Italian version of the mindfulness organizing scale (MOS) in organizational context TPM Test. *Psychom Methodol Appl Psychol* 24 45-64. 10.4473/TPM24.13 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
61. Maslach, C., & Leiter, M.P. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. *Journal of Occupational Behavior*, 2(2), 99-113
62. McCarthy C.. Redmond P. Peel K., (2021). Teacher decision-making in the Classroom the influence of: cognitive load and teacher effect. *Journal Education For teaching* Vol.47, Iss: 4 pp 540-541
63. McCarthy, C., Mosely, K., Dillard, J., (2022). Teacher Stress and Classroom Management. *Handbook of Classroom Management*. (22) 9781003275312
64. Mendoza, R. D. Jr. (2019), Verbal English fluency, workplace stress and coping mechanism of Secondary school faculty in Sulu. *The Avian EFL Journal*, 21(2), 78-100
65. Mercer, S. & Dornyei, Z., (2020). Engaging Language Learners in Contemporary Classrooms. *Research Gate*. 10.1017/9701009024663
66. Mohapatra, S. C., & Chamola, S. K., (2020). Sampling in Research Series 1: Basic Concepts in Estimating Sample Size. *Journal of Advanced Research in Medical Science and Technology*. Vol. 7 No. 1. <https://orcid.org/0000.0002.9605.0867>
67. Muhammed, H. J. (2019) Development of the tolerance regale: A study of validity and reliability. Master Thesis, Yuzuncu Yil University Institute of Educational sciences, van.

68. Mumford C. (n.d). 9 Stress Management Strategies Every teacher Needs to Know. Hey Teach. <https://www.wgu.edu/heyteach/article/9-stress-management-strategies-every-teacher-needs-know1612.html>
69. Ojo, O.J. PhD. (2018). Teachers Professional Attitudes and students' Academic Performance in Secondary Schools in Ilorin Metropolis of kwara State, e Journal of Education Policy.
70. Oomen, N. M. (2021). Classroom Management Essentials for Enriching Mental well-being and Human values. Journal of Emerging Technologies and innovations. Innovative Research Vol. 8, Iss: 5 ISSN: -2349-5162
71. Olsen, A., & Huang, F. (2019). Teacher job satisfaction by principal support and teacher cooperation: Results from the Schools and Staffing Survey. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 27, 11. <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.27.4174>
72. Palaming, N. A (2012). Effective English Language Teaching Strategies and Instructions: A Classroom Vision for teachers. Asian Journal of multidisciplinary Study - Vol. 5, Iss: 2
73. Paramansyah, A Rahayu S., Harahap, R., Saragih M.G (2021). The Essence of Classroom Management for teachers 10.41.08./eai 11-10-2021. 23195772
74. Payne, A., PhD (2018) Creating and Sustaining a comparative and Communal School Climate Contemporary Research Present Obstacles, and Future Directions. National Institute of Justice, NCJ 250209
75. Pennings, H J., Brekelmans, M. Sadler, P., Claessens, L C., van der Want, A C., & van Tartwijk, J. (2018). Interpersonal adaptation in teacher-student interaction. Learning and Instruction, 55, 41-57 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaminstruc.2017.09.005>
76. Poysa, S., Vasalampi, K., Muotka, J., Lerkkanen, M. K., Poikkeus, A. M., & Nurmi, J. E. (2019) Teacher-student interaction and lower secondary school students situational engagement. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 89(2), 374-392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12244>
77. Praetorius, A. K. (2020). Teaching, motivation, and well-being during COVID-19 from the perspective of university students and lecturers. Zenodo Initial from Report, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348851320_Teaching_motivation_and_well-being_during_COVID-19_from_the_perspective_of
78. Prenger R. Schildkamp K., (2018). Data-based decision making for teacher and student learning: a psychological perspectives on the role of teacher. Educational Psychology. Vol. 38, Iss:6, pp 754-752
79. Prothero, A. (2020). The Essential Traits of a positive School Climate. Educational Week. <https://www.edweek.org/leadership/the-essential-traits-of-a-positive-school-climate/2020/10>
80. Pyhalto, K., Pietarinen J. (2020). Teachers burnout profiles and proactive strategies. European Journal of Psychology of Education, 34, 219-242 B
81. Raosoft (2004) Raosoft Sample Size Calculator. Raosoft, Inc., Seattle. <http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html>
82. Sandoval D. A. (2023). Effective Communication: the transformative Effect on Students Academic Performance. Linked <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/effective-communication-thetransformative-effect-d-aaron-sandoval>
83. Sanford, K., (2017). The phenomenon of teacher burnout. Mitigating its Influence on New teacher. Gradual Morten Then, Capstones, and Culminating projects.243.1 <https://doi.org/10.33013/dominican.edu/2017-edu.04>
84. Saleem, A., Muhammad, Y., Masood, S., (2020). Classroom Management Challenges and Administrative Support in Elementary Schools: Experience of Novice Public School Teachers. UMT Educational Review. Vol. 3 No. 2, Iss. 2. <https://doi.org/10.32350/uer32.02>
85. Santhanam, V. (2023). Classroom Management. Current Perspectives in Educational Psychology. (ICCPEP-2013)
86. Sarkar, S., & Kundu, P. (2021). Self-Efficacy of Teachers in Managing Inclusive Classroom Behavior: An Analysis. Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies, 15(1), 46-53. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2021/v15i130370>

87. Scott, E. PhD (2022) How to Recognize Burnout ab Symptoms. Very well mind.<https://www.verywellmind.com/stress-and-burnout-symptoms-and-causes-3144516>
88. Sepulveda-Vallejos, S., Muñoz, C., Contreras, C., Monzzalve, M., (2023). What constitutes a Classroom problems for today's Teacher?: An Exploratory Study. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*. Vol. 9, Iss 1, pp: 63- 73. [10.12973/ijem.9.1.63](https://doi.org/10.12973/ijem.9.1.63)
89. Shah, D. B., Bhattarai P.C, (2023). Factors Contributing to Teachers' Self-Efficacy: A Case of Nepal Education Sciences. *13(1):91*.<https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci130100>
90. Sims, S. (2017). Working conditions, teacher job satisfaction and retention (Department for Education Statistical Working Paper). London: Department of Education. [Google Scholar]
91. Sohail F., Sohail M. Batool A., Sarwar S., toufleg S., Aasharp, Farhat H., (2023). Classroom management: Observing key components of pre- service teacher during Lesson plan practical. Vol 11 NO. 7. <https://doi.org/10.52783/clj.v11175.1261>
92. Starchan, A., (2020). An exploration of how teachers attitude to global learning can be used to inform primary science education. *International Journal of and Education Global Learning*. 12(2), 121-Development B2.<https://doi.org/10.12324/IJDEGL.12.2.04>
93. Strelow, A., Dort, A., Schwinges, M., Christiansen, H., (2020). Influence on Pre-service Teachers' Intention towards Classroom Management Strategies for Students with ADHD: A model analysis. *International Journal of Educational Research*. Vol. 103, 2020; 101627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101627>
94. Stuber. A A (2019). Research-bowed Effective Classroom Management techniques: A Review of the Literature Masters their. Bethel University. spark Repository <https://spark.bethel.edu/etd/614>
95. Subiarto, &Wakhudin (2021). The role of teachers in improving the Discipline character of students. *13 Open 13 (2)* <https://doi.org/10.30595/Dinamika/v>
96. Suico, C., (2021). Teachers Attribute and Self-Efficacy as Predictors of Classroom Management. *International Journal of Asian Education*, Vol. 2, no. 2. <https://doi.org/10.46966/ijae.v2i2.176>
97. Supeno S., Prihandono I, Mahardika K. (2022). Improving the Instructional Abilities of selected Teacher Candidate? through Lavon. *Journal Penelitian Penclidikan IPA)- Vol-wilss:6, pp 2778-2784* 10.05
98. Tang Y., and Hu J. (2022). The impact of teacher attitude and teaching approaches on student demotivation: Disappointment as a mediator. *Front. Psychol.* 13:985859. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.985859
99. Tikkanen, L., Pyhältö, K., Pietarinen, J., &Soini, T. (2017). Interrelations between principals' risk of burnout profiles and proactive self-regulation strategies. *Social Psychology of Education*, 20(2), 259–274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-017-9379-9>.
100. Toropova A., Myrberg E. and Johansson A. (2020). Teacher Job satisfaction: the importance of school working conditions and teacher characteristics. *213 Vol. 173, 10u - 1, pp:71-97* V <https://doi.org/10.1080/0031911.2019.1705247>
101. Umi, Z., Mujiyatun M., & Muslihatuzzahro F. (2021). Classroom Management in improving the quality of student learning at Madrasah Aliyah Hidayatul at! Mubtadiinsidoharjo, Sub- ditre, south Lampung regency Vol. 7 ho. 02
- Usher, E., & Morris, D., (2023). Self-Efficacy. *Encyclopedia of Mental Health, Third Edition*. Pp 117-124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91497-0.00085-0>
102. Väisänen, S., Pietarinen, J., Pyhältö, K., Toom, A., & Soini, T. (2018). Student teachers' proactive strategies for avoiding study-related burnout during teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 42(3), 301–317. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2018.1448777>
103. Vantieghem, W., Roose, I., Gheysens, E., Griful-Freixenet, J., Keppens, K. Vanderlinde, R., Struyven, K., & Van Avermaet, P. (2020). Professional vision of inclusive classrooms: a validation of teachers' reasoning on differentiated instruction and teacher-student interactions. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 67, 100912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.st>
104. Wahl, H. W., Hoppmann, C. A., Ram, N., & Gerstorf, D. (2021). Healthy aging-relevant goals: the role of person-context co-construction. *The Journals of Gerontology*,76(Supplement_2),S181S190.<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbab089>.

105. Watson, J. H. (2021). Administrative Support what teachers say they Need. Retrieved from [https // scholar commons. so.edu/etc/685 4](https://scholarcommons.so.edu/etc/6854)
106. Wendt, J. L., and Courduff, J. (2018). The relationship between teacher immediacy, perceptions of learning, and computer-mediated graduate course outcomes among primarily. Asian international students enrolled in an U.S. university. *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.* 15, 1–15.
107. Wettstein, A., Ramseier, E. & Scherzinger, M. (2021). Classroom and subject teachers' self-efficacy and emotional stability and students' perceptions of the teacher–student relationship, classroom management, and classroom disruptions. *BMC Psychology* 9, 103 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-021-00606-6>
108. Will M. (2022). Teacher Job satisfaction Hits an All-time Low Education Week. <https://www.edweek.org/teaching-learning/teacher-job-satisfaction-hits-an-all-time-low/2022/04>
109. Williams K., Pierron L., (2022). Teacher Organization Ideas. Social Science Courses. We know. <https://study.com/learn/lesson/teacher-organization-overview-tips-ideas.html>
110. Williamson, B., (2023). Teaching Responsible Decision Making Skills. <https://everfi.com/blog/k-12/responsible-decision-making/>
111. World Health Organization. (2020). Global action plan on physical activity 2018-2030: more active people for a healthier world. Geneva: World Health Organization 2018
112. Wray, E., Sharma, U., Subban, P. (2022). Factors influencing teacher self-efficacy for inclusive education: A systematic literature review. *Research Gate* 117(2): 103800 10.1016/j.tate 2022.103 000
113. Xhelilaj, L., Petani, R., Ntalla, M., (2021). Relationship Between Teacher's Burnout, Occupational Stress, Coping, Gender and Age. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 11(4), 266. <https://doi.org/10.36941/jesr-2021-0094>
114. Yurtseven N., (2021). Are Teachers Happy? Illuminating Insights into teacher's Instructional Practice. *Journal of Education*. (SAGE) publication CA: Los Angeles, CA) pp 002205 742110164
115. Zhan, Z., Wu, Q., Lin, Z., & Cai, J. (2021). Smart classroom environments affect teacher-student interaction: evidence from a behavioral sequence analysis. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(2), 96–109. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.6523>