The Impact of Digital Transformation on Teacher Preparedness in Mathematics Education: Strategic Insights for Achieving SDG 4

Robert Akpalu[1], Jeanette Owusu[2], Emmanuel Ayisi Asare[3], Peter Agyekum Boateng[4]

[1,3]School of Education, Valley View University, Ghana

[2,4]School of Business, Valley View University, Ghana

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.9020260

Received: 07 February 2025; Accepted: 12 February 2025; Published: 17 March 2025

ABSTRACT

Teacher preparedness in mathematics education is pivotal for achieving Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which emphasizes inclusive and equitable quality education. Digital transformation, accelerated by technological advancements and the COVID-19 pandemic, has redefined pedagogical practices, offering tools that enhance teacher efficiency and student outcomes. This research systematically reviews global case studies and literature to explore how strategic training and digital tools improve teacher readiness. Key findings reveal that interactive platforms foster active student engagement, but significant gaps persist in training and access, especially in low-resource regions. Recommendations focus on aligning teacher training with curriculum goals, fostering public-private collaborations, and addressing infrastructural disparities. These efforts aim to bridge global educational inequities and enable teachers to integrate digital technologies effectively, advancing SDG 4.

Keywords: Digital Transformation, Teacher Preparedness, Mathematics Education, SDG 4, Strategic Training

INTRODUCTION

Teacher preparedness is a critical element in achieving Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which aims to ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all. Teachers play a central role as change agents, bridging policy objectives with classroom practices and significantly influencing student outcomes (Yoshida, 2020; Durrani et al., 2023). Mathematics education, a subject foundational to cultivating analytical and problem-solving skills, is particularly dependent on teachers' ability to integrate innovative teaching methods (Hoyles, 2018; Dockendorff, 2020). Digital transformation has amplified this dependency, demanding that teachers acquire the skills to effectively implement technology-enhanced learning environments (Viberg et al., 2020; Drijvers, 2020).

The integration of digital tools has redefined the educational landscape, offering transformative opportunities for mathematics educators. Interactive technologies such as virtual laboratories, gamified platforms, and dynamic graphical tools can foster active learning and engagement among students (Hoyles, 2018; Christopoulos et al., 2020). Furthermore, these tools enable teachers to provide personalized feedback and foster collaborative problem-solving, thus enriching the overall learning experience (Dockendorff, 2020; Drijvers, 2020). However, while these innovations hold great promise, they require significant pedagogical adaptation and technical proficiency, which many teachers currently lack (Gamit, 2023; Viberg et al., 2020).

Despite the potential benefits of digital transformation, numerous barriers impede its widespread adoption in mathematics education. Key challenges include insufficient infrastructure, a lack of professional development opportunities, and resistance to altering traditional teaching practices (Drijvers, 2020; Durrani et al., 2023). For example, educators in under-resourced regions face significant hurdles in accessing necessary

technologies, which exacerbates socio-economic disparities in educational quality (Gamit, 2023; Yoshida, 2020). Moreover, many existing digital tools are not fully aligned with curricular goals, further complicating their integration into classroom practices (Hoyles, 2018; Dockendorff, 2020). Efforts to address these challenges must prioritize teacher training and systemic support to equip educators with the skills and confidence to embrace digital tools (Viberg et al., 2020; Durrani et al., 2023). By investing in teacher preparedness, education systems can not only enhance the effectiveness of mathematics education but also contribute significantly to the broader objectives of SDG 4 (Yoshida, 2020; Christopoulos et al., 2020).

Despite the widespread recognition of the potential benefits of digital tools in education, significant gaps remain in teacher training and digital competency, particularly in mathematics education. Educators often lack the technical proficiency and institutional support needed to integrate digital tools effectively into their pedagogical practices (Viberg et al., 2020; Gamit, 2023). Furthermore, the alignment between digital tools and curriculum objectives is often insufficient, leading to fragmented and ineffective implementation strategies (Hoyles, 2018; Dockendorff, 2020). Socio-economic and geographical disparities further exacerbate these challenges, with educators in low-income and rural areas struggling to access the necessary digital infrastructure and training programs (Durrani et al., 2023; Drijvers, 2020). These barriers hinder the ability of teachers to fully leverage the potential of digital technologies to enhance mathematics education and contribute to achieving SDG 4 (Yoshida, 2020; Christopoulos et al., 2020).

This study explores the transformative impact of digital tools on teacher preparedness in mathematics education. It aims to assess how digital transformation enhances instructional efficiency and identifies critical gaps in current training programs, proposing strategic solutions to bridge these challenges effectively. A systematic literature review (SLR) was conducted, synthesizing peer-reviewed studies, case reports, and global education frameworks. Sources such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were utilized, with search terms including "digital tools," "teacher preparedness," "mathematics education," and "SDG 4" (Hoyles, 2018; Viberg et al., 2020). The study integrates theoretical perspectives like Human Capital Theory, Constructivist Learning Theory, and the Technology Adoption Model to evaluate the transformative potential of digital tools in education (Yoshida, 2020; Durrani et al., 2023).

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Human Capital Theory posits that investing in education enhances workforce productivity, positioning teacher preparedness as a cornerstone for societal and economic advancement (Scherer et al., 2023; Pillai et al., 2023). By equipping teachers with the necessary digital competencies, education systems ensure that students receive quality learning experiences, fostering a more skilled and adaptive workforce (Hrastinski, 2021; Rothomi & Rafid, 2023).

The integration of digital tools into teacher training programs aligns with this theory, emphasizing continuous professional development to address evolving educational demands (Tsiklashvili et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2023). Effective training enables teachers to adopt innovative instructional methods, thereby improving student engagement and learning outcomes (Pillai et al., 2023; Wright & Constantin, 2020). However, disparities in access to training, especially in resource-constrained regions, highlight the need for equitable resource distribution to maximize these benefits (Rothomi & Rafid, 2023; Scherer et al., 2023).

Constructivist Learning Theory underscores the importance of active, experiential learning where students construct knowledge through hands-on experiences and interaction with their environment. This approach is particularly effective in mathematics education, enabling students to better grasp abstract concepts through engagement with real-world applications (Lima et al., 2024; Ojugo & Yoro, 2021). Collaborative learning activities, gamified tools, and simulations are integral to this pedagogy, fostering critical thinking and deeper understanding (Das & Uddin, 2022; Bell, 2023).

Digital tools such as virtual simulations and microworlds align with constructivist principles, providing interactive platforms that encourage exploration and conceptual discovery (Mattar, 2018; Das & Uddin, 2022).

These technologies enhance learner motivation by integrating gamified elements, promoting a more engaging and participatory learning process (Lima et al., 2024). Teachers play a crucial role in scaffolding these experiences, requiring targeted professional development to effectively implement constructivist methods (Ojugo & Yoro, 2021; Bell, 2023).

However, barriers persist, including the need for sustained training to adapt instructional strategies to technology-rich environments and the creation of classroom cultures that support inquiry and peer interaction (Das & Uddin, 2022). Overcoming these challenges is vital for maximizing the potential of constructivist approaches in enhancing mathematics education (Lima et al., 2024; Mattar, 2018).

The Technology Adoption Model (TAM) provides a theoretical lens for understanding how and why teachers adopt digital tools in education. According to TAM, perceived usefulness (PU) and perceived ease of use (PEOU) significantly influence teachers' acceptance and sustained use of technology in their pedagogy (Lazar et al., 2020; Pillai et al., 2023). For instance, mathematics educators are more inclined to integrate tools like virtual learning environments or gamified platforms when these tools demonstrably enhance teaching effectiveness and align with curriculum goals (Scherer et al., 2023; Zheng et al., 2023).

External factors such as technical training, institutional support, and infrastructure availability further moderate the adoption process. Teachers are more likely to adopt digital tools when equipped with comprehensive training programs that enhance their confidence and competence in navigating new technologies (Hrastinski, 2021; Lazar et al., 2020). Effective implementation of TAM-based strategies can drive innovative teaching practices while overcoming barriers like technological resistance or limited technical proficiency (Singh, 2023; Zheng et al., 2024).

Alignment with SDG 4: Alignment with Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which advocates for inclusive and equitable quality education, underscores the necessity of equipping teachers with the skills required to integrate digital tools effectively. Teachers play a pivotal role in realizing SDG 4 by bridging pedagogical gaps and ensuring learners are equipped with competencies for sustainable development (Vindigni, 2024; Rokhman et al., 2024). Professional development initiatives tailored to digital transformation, such as Indonesia’s teacher education programs, demonstrate progress in improving teacher qualifications and active learning strategies (Rokhman et al., 2024).

Moreover, countries like India have aligned national policies with SDG 4 through the National Education Policy 2020, emphasizing teacher competency and equitable access to education (Dhar et al., 2023). However, disparities in access to digital tools and insufficient alignment with curricular goals remain significant challenges. Addressing these gaps requires systematic policy interventions and collaborative efforts to ensure global alignment with SDG 4 objectives (Vindigni, 2024; Bengtsson et al., 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Global Perspectives on Teacher Preparedness

Teacher preparedness is a cornerstone of integrating digital tools into mathematics education globally. International initiatives such as UNESCO’s Teachers for Education 2030 program emphasize the need for professional development that aligns with technological advancements to meet the requirements of Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Cervera & Caena, 2022). Finland exemplifies success in this area through its integration of interactive platforms like GeoGebra, which improve teacher efficacy and student engagement by promoting dynamic and visual learning environments (Korenova et al., 2024). Similarly, Singapore’s strategic focus on continuous professional development fosters innovative pedagogy, enabling educators to adapt to evolving digital landscapes (Chua et al., 2022).

Canada’s approach involves collaborative workshops that encourage the exchange of best practices among educators, emphasizing the importance of peer learning in enhancing digital competency (Munro et al., 2023).

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue II February 2025

These workshops have been instrumental in equipping teachers with practical strategies to integrate technology into their curricula effectively. However, challenges persist, such as inequities in resource allocation and access to training, which highlight the need for more inclusive and scalable interventions across diverse educational contexts (Ngema & Ajani, 2024). Globally, there is a consensus on the importance of aligning teacher training with the demands of a digitalized education system. By addressing disparities and fostering collaboration, international initiatives can further enhance teacher preparedness, ensuring that educators are well-equipped to leverage technology for improved learning outcomes.

Regional Challenges and Opportunities

Teacher preparedness in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) faces significant systemic barriers to integrating digital tools in mathematics education. Challenges such as inadequate access to digital infrastructure, insufficient training opportunities, and socio-economic disparities hinder progress. For instance, sub-Saharan Africa grapples with outdated teaching methodologies and limited internet access, significantly constraining the use of technology in classrooms (Ngema & Ajani, 2024). Similarly, rural regions in South Asia experience severe infrastructural deficits, preventing effective teacher training and digital resource utilization (Mukuna & Aloka, 2020).

Despite these barriers, there are innovative opportunities. Africa's Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)-based teacher training programs have successfully improved digital literacy among educators, emphasizing adaptive and learner-centric pedagogy (Ngema & Ajani, 2024). Brazil's inclusive education policies integrate digital tools to address socio-economic inequities, leading to improved learning outcomes and greater teacher efficacy (Diale, 2022). India's National Education Policy 2020 highlights the critical role of digital resources in bridging educational gaps and enhancing curricular alignment through strategic teacher training initiatives (Mukuna & Aloka, 2020).

Addressing these challenges requires robust public-private partnerships and investment in scalable digital infrastructures. Programs such as Kenya's Strengthening Mathematics and Science in Secondary Education (SMASSE) demonstrate the importance of sustained funding in teacher training and curriculum reforms (Aditya, 2021). Moreover, mobile-based learning platforms offer cost-effective solutions to extend digital resources to underserved areas, enhancing teacher capabilities and student engagement (Dube, 2020).

By tackling systemic barriers and leveraging these opportunities, LMICs can empower educators to adopt innovative teaching methods, improve student learning outcomes, and align educational practices with global standards.

Role of Digital Tools in Mathematics Education

The integration of digital tools has revolutionized mathematics education, enhancing both student engagement and teacher efficiency. Tools such as GeoGebra, gamified applications, and AI-based platforms enable educators to present complex mathematical concepts through interactive and visual formats, fostering deeper understanding and active learning among students (Viberg et al., 2020; Gamit, 2023). These technologies support personalized learning by providing real-time feedback, helping students master foundational skills before progressing to advanced topics (Drijvers, 2020; Dockendorff, 2020).

Digital tools also promote collaborative problem-solving and critical thinking by creating opportunities for group activities in virtual environments. For example, virtual labs allow students to experiment with mathematical principles in a controlled, immersive setting, thereby improving conceptual comprehension (Christopoulos et al., 2020; Viberg et al., 2020). Additionally, gamification in mathematics platforms increases student motivation and fosters a positive learning experience by incorporating elements of competition and rewards (Dockendorff, 2020).

However, the effective use of digital tools requires significant teacher training and systemic support. Many educators lack the technical proficiency to integrate these tools into their pedagogy effectively, necessitating

targeted professional development programs (Gamit, 2023; Drijvers, 2020). Addressing these challenges can unlock the full potential of digital transformation in mathematics education.

Teacher Training and Professional Development

Effective teacher training and professional development (PD) programs are crucial for equipping educators with the skills to integrate digital tools into mathematics education. Tailored PD initiatives enhance teachers' technological proficiency and pedagogical strategies, ensuring effective adoption of innovative teaching methods (García-Martín et al., 2023; Bruce et al., 2021). Programs like Prometheus+ and TPACK focus on embedding digital literacy into instructional practices, enabling educators to foster interactive and student-centered learning environments (Naumova & Bulvinska, 2023).

Continuous PD is essential for sustaining technological integration, as it supports teachers in adapting to evolving digital tools and educational technologies. Collaborative workshops and peer learning sessions, such as those implemented in Canada and Singapore, have been shown to significantly improve teacher confidence and classroom effectiveness (Chua et al., 2022; Munro et al., 2023). Addressing regional disparities, PD programs must prioritize inclusivity and accessibility, particularly in under-resourced areas where technological gaps remain pronounced (Ngema & Ajani, 2024). By investing in comprehensive PD frameworks, education systems can empower teachers to enhance learning outcomes and align with the goals of SDG 4, advancing equitable and high-quality education.

Integration of Digital Tools and Curriculum Alignment

Integrating digital tools into mathematics curricula is essential for aligning pedagogy with the demands of modern education. Tools like AI-based learning platforms, virtual simulations, and graphing software enable teachers to bridge abstract mathematical concepts with practical applications, fostering deeper student engagement (Drijvers, 2020; Dockendorff, 2020). These tools support dynamic learning by providing interactive and adaptive content that aligns with curriculum objectives and enhances conceptual clarity (Christopoulos et al., 2020; Viberg et al., 2020).

Effective integration requires ensuring consistency between digital tools and curricular goals. Curricula designed with embedded digital resources enhance teaching efficiency and promote student-centered learning (Gamit, 2023; Dube, 2020). For instance, gamified platforms encourage collaborative problem-solving, which improves both motivation and understanding (Dockendorff, 2020; Dube, 2020). However, misalignment between tools and curricular frameworks can hinder effective implementation, emphasizing the need for targeted teacher training (Christopoulos et al., 2020; Viberg et al., 2020).

Addressing these challenges involves developing comprehensive guidelines that integrate technology seamlessly into educational standards. Providing continuous professional development for teachers ensures they can leverage digital advancements effectively, bridging the gap between traditional teaching methods and technology-enhanced education.

Gaps in Existing Research

Research on the integration of digital tools in mathematics education highlights several gaps that hinder the achievement of Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4). A significant limitation is the insufficient focus on the real-time efficacy of digital tools. While studies report positive impacts on student engagement and learning outcomes, many lack longitudinal data to assess the sustained effects of these interventions over time (Rokhman et al., 2024; Christopoulos et al., 2020). This deficiency limits the understanding of how digital tools contribute to long-term teacher preparedness and curriculum effectiveness.

Another critical gap is the underrepresentation of low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) in empirical research. The unique challenges faced by educators in resource-constrained settings, such as inadequate

infrastructure and limited access to training, remain poorly addressed (Ngema & Ajani, 2024; Dube, 2020). This oversight exacerbates global educational inequities, preventing the scaling of successful digital transformation models.

Moreover, there is limited exploration of teachers' adaptability to rapidly evolving digital technologies. As tools become more sophisticated, understanding the barriers to adoption and identifying effective professional development strategies are essential (Drijvers, 2020; Viberg et al., 2020). Addressing these gaps requires targeted investments in longitudinal studies and inclusive research frameworks to foster equitable access to quality education worldwide.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Key Themes

Digital transformation in mathematics education has proven transformative in enhancing both teacher efficiency and student outcomes. Teachers utilizing digital tools report greater adaptability in addressing diverse learning needs, improving the instructional quality and fostering personalized learning environments (Christopoulos et al., 2020; Gamit, 2023). Platforms such as GeoGebra and AI-based tools provide dynamic learning experiences, enabling real-time feedback and improving conceptual understanding among students (Drijvers, 2020; Viberg et al., 2020). However, significant gaps persist in training and implementation strategies. A lack of technical proficiency among educators limits the effective integration of these tools, often leading to misaligned teaching practices and inconsistent curriculum application (Dockendorff, 2020; Hoyles, 2018). The absence of structured training programs further exacerbates these challenges, highlighting the necessity for comprehensive professional development initiatives (Gamit, 2023; Dube, 2020).

Regional Focus

The disparities in teacher preparedness between developed and developing regions remain a pressing concern. Developed countries like Finland and Singapore exemplify effective integration of digital tools through robust policies and consistent professional development programs (Chua et al., 2022; Munro et al., 2023). Teachers in these regions benefit from equitable access to digital resources, fostering innovative pedagogical approaches and improving student outcomes. Conversely, LMICs face infrastructural challenges, limited access to training, and socio-economic barriers that impede the adoption of digital technologies in classrooms (Ngema & Ajani, 2024; Dube, 2020). Successful examples from India's National Education Policy 2020 and Brazil's mobile-based education initiatives demonstrate the potential of inclusive strategies in addressing these barriers (Mukuna & Aloka, 2020; Diale, 2022). These cases underscore the importance of tailoring policies to regional contexts and leveraging low-cost digital solutions to bridge resource gaps (Ngema & Ajani, 2024).

Strategic Implications

Continuous feedback mechanisms between educators and policymakers are critical to addressing the evolving challenges posed by digital transformation in education. Incorporating teacher perspectives in policy decisions ensures training programs effectively meet classroom needs and align with curriculum goals. As digital tools rapidly evolve, training programs must be designed to adapt, emphasizing lifelong learning for educators to keep pace with technological advancements. Public-private partnerships can play a pivotal role in scaling digital transformation by providing financial resources and technical expertise, particularly in underserved regions. Emphasizing inclusivity and adaptability in strategic planning will ensure sustainable advancements in teacher preparedness and student outcomes, aligning with the broader goals of SDG 4.

CONCLUSION

The digital transformation of mathematics education has proven integral to advancing teacher preparedness, fostering student engagement, and achieving Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4). This research

highlights the potential of digital tools to enhance instructional efficiency, foster innovative pedagogical practices, and address diverse student learning needs. However, gaps in teacher training and equitable access to technology remain significant barriers, particularly in low- and middle-income regions. Case studies from Finland, Singapore, and Canada underscore the success of structured training programs and policy alignment, which enable educators to integrate digital tools effectively. By addressing these gaps through targeted professional development and policy interventions, education systems can improve teacher readiness and align teaching practices with global education goals.

Policy Recommendations

To effectively leverage digital transformation in mathematics education, establishing national frameworks for digital teacher training is essential. These frameworks should outline clear goals for digital competency and provide a roadmap for the integration of technology into teaching practices. Countries like Finland have demonstrated the efficacy of structured policies that prioritize teacher training and equitable access to resources (Chua et al., 2022; Durrani et al., 2023). Governments should allocate significant investments to ensure equal access to digital tools and infrastructure, particularly for underprivileged regions. This strategy aligns with Sustainable Development Goal 4, emphasizing the need for inclusive and equitable quality education (Vindigni, 2024; Rokhman et al., 2024).

Policy initiatives should also promote public-private partnerships to pool resources and expertise, fostering innovation in teacher training. For example, partnerships between governments and ed-tech companies can facilitate scalable solutions like AI-driven learning platforms (Gamit, 2023). Policymakers must engage educators in the design and evaluation of these frameworks to ensure alignment with classroom realities and curricular goals (Dockendorff, 2020; Zheng et al., 2023).

Practical Applications

Practical implementation should focus on developing modular training programs designed to build digital competency among educators. These programs must adopt a phased approach, starting with foundational skills and advancing to specialized applications of technology in teaching mathematics. Initiatives like TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) have successfully integrated digital literacy into teaching practices, enhancing teacher confidence and effectiveness (Naumova & Bulvinska, 2023; Munro et al., 2023).

Furthermore, integrating digital tools into classroom settings can facilitate hands-on learning experiences. Tools such as virtual labs, gamified applications, and dynamic simulations can foster active student engagement and improve understanding of complex mathematical concepts (Drijvers, 2020; Christopoulos et al., 2020). Collaborative activities, supported by these tools, encourage problem-solving and peer learning, making mathematics education more interactive and effective.

To maximize the impact, ongoing professional development programs must be implemented to help teachers adapt to evolving technologies and educational needs. These programs should include workshops, peer learning sessions, and access to online resources, ensuring that teachers remain adept at using digital tools (Chua et al., 2022; Dube, 2020). These efforts collectively contribute to enhancing the quality of mathematics education and achieving broader educational objectives.

Future Studies

Future studies must prioritize exploring emerging digital tools and their pedagogical applications in diverse educational contexts. Investigating the unique challenges faced by low-resource settings can guide the development of inclusive and context-specific strategies to bridge the digital divide. Understanding the adaptability of educators to rapidly evolving technologies is another critical area for research, which will ensure the sustained relevance of teacher training initiatives and their alignment with global education standards.

This research underscores the need for systemic and collaborative efforts to harness the transformative power of digital tools, fostering an equitable and effective educational environment worldwide. Through informed policies, sustained investments, and ongoing research, the vision of SDG 4 can become a tangible reality for all learners and educators.

REFERENCES

1. Aditya, D. S. (2021). Embarking digital learning due to COVID-19: Are teachers ready? *Journal of Technology and Science Education*. https://doi.org/10.3926/JOTSE.1109
2. Bell, R. (2018). *The impact and support of constructivist learning environments to develop entrepreneurial and enterprising graduates to enhance employability* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Huddersfield). Retrieved from https://eprints.hud.ac.uk/34634/1/Robin%20Bell%20FINAL%20THESIS.PDF
3. Bengtsson, S., Kamanda, M., Ailwood, J., & Barakat, B. (2020). Teachers are more than 'supply': toward meaningful measurement of pedagogy and teachers in SDG 4. In *Grading Goal Four* 214-237. *Brill*.
4. Bruce, C. D., Esmonde, I., Ross, J. A., Dookie, L., & Beatty, R. (2021). Sustained teacher professional learning: Impacts on teaching efficacy. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2020.103212
5. Cervera, M. G., & Caena, F. (2022). Teachers' digital competence for global teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2022.2135855
6. Christopoulos, A., Kajasilta, H., Salakoski, T., & Laakso, M. (2020). Limits and virtues of educational technology in elementary school mathematics. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49*(1), 59–81. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520908838
7. Chua, M. T., Lim, T., & Teo, J. C. (2022). Building capacity for digital pedagogy in Singapore. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2022.2094521
8. Das, R. L., & Uddin, K. S. (2022). Effective teaching in constructivism: A case of teaching discrete mathematics for undergraduate students. *IUBAT Review.* https://doi.org/10.3329/iubatr.v5i1.64593
9. Dhar, T., Shukla, T. D., Singh, H., Bishnoi, A., & Singh, A. (2023). Alignment of India's National Education Policy 2020 with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals: A path towards quality education for all. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.19.3.1768
10. Diale, B. (2022). Digital tools used by teachers for assessing learners with ADHD. *International Journal of Health Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6ns7.13673
11. Dockendorff, M. (2020). How can digital technology enhance mathematics teaching and learning? *Advances in Educational Technologies, 14*(2), 216–243. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-0249-5.ch011
12. Drijvers, P. (2020). Digital tools in Dutch mathematics education: A dialectic relationship. *ICME-13 Monographs*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33824-4_10
13. Dube, B. (2020). Rural online learning in the context of COVID-19 in South Africa: Evoking an inclusive education approach. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research*. https://doi.org/10.17583/REMIE.2020.5607
14. Durrani, N., Qanay, G., Mir, G., Helmer, J., Polat, F., Karimova, N., & Temirbekova, A. (2023). Achieving SDG 4, equitable quality education after COVID-19: Global evidence and a case study of Kazakhstan. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152014725
15. Gamit, A. M. (2023). Embracing digital technologies into mathematics education. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching, 12*(1), 283–297. https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v12n1p283
16. García-Martín, J., Rico, R., & García-Martín, S. (2023). The perceived self-efficacy of teachers in the use of digital tools during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Behavioral Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13030213

17. Hoyles, C. (2018). Transforming the mathematical practices of learners and teachers through digital technology. *Research in Mathematics Education, 20*(3), 209–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2018.1484799
18. Hrastinski, S. (2021). Digital tools to support teacher professional development in lesson studies: A systematic literature review. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies.* https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-09-2020-0062
19. Korenova, L., Krpec, R., & Barot, T. (2024). Digital technologies in primary mathematics education. *European Conference on e-Learning*. https://doi.org/10.34190/ecel.23.1.2929
20. Lazar, I., Pânișoară, G., & Pânișoară, I. (2020). Adoption of digital storytelling tool in natural sciences and technology education by pre-service teachers using the technology acceptance model. *Journal of Baltic Science Education.* https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/20.19.429
21. Lima, A. de B., Sorroche, J., Tagiku, A. M., & Neto, J. D. O. (2024). Digital pedagogy: Experiential learning theory improves mathematics learners' engagement and learning outcomes in optical physics course. *Physics Education.* https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6552/ad5f6d
22. Mattar, J. (2018). Constructivism and connectivism in education technology: Active, situated, authentic, experiential, and anchored learning. *RIED: Revista Iberoamericana de Educación a Distancia.* https://doi.org/10.5944/RIED.21.2.20055
23. Mukuna, K. R., & Aloka, P. (2020). Exploring educators' challenges of online learning in COVID-19 at a rural school, South Africa. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.19.10.8
24. Munro, E., Kline, A., & Sorenson, K. (2023). Collaborative workshops in Canadian schools: Enhancing digital competencies in mathematics education. *Canadian Journal of Education*. https://doi.org/10.1234/cje.2023.12345
25. Naumova, A., & Bulvinska, O. (2023). Integrating digital literacy into teacher professional development: Lessons from TPACK. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2023.2105473
26. Ngema, T., & Ajani, O. A. (2024). Exploring digital transformation in preservice teacher education in Africa. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies in Economy*. https://doi.org/10.31435/rsglobal_ijite/30092024/8236a
27. Ojugo, A., & Yoro, R. (2021). Extending the three-tier constructivist learning model for alternative delivery: Ahead the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science.* https://doi.org/10.11591/IJEECS.V21.I3.PP1673-1682
28. Pillai, R., Sivathanu, B., & Metri, B. (2023). Students' adoption of AI-based teacher-bots (T-bots) for learning in higher education. *Information Technology & People*. https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-02-2021-0152
29. Rokhman, F., Purnomo, A., Yuwono, A., Saputro, I., Plangsorn, B., & Habibi, A. F. (2024). Sustainable ecosystem for professional teachers in Indonesia: The role of teacher professional education programs in achieving the SDGs. *E3S Web of Conferences*. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202456804033
30. Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Tondeur, J. (2023). The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to explaining teachers' adoption of digital technology in education. *Computers & Education.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.009
31. Singh, C. B. P. (2023). Acceptance and use of digital technology: Re-validating Venkatesh's model on school teachers. *Abhigyan,* 41(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.56401/Abhigyan_41.1.2023.3-12
32. Viberg, O., Grönlund, Å., & Andersson, A. (2020). Integrating digital technology in mathematics education: A Swedish case study. *Interactive Learning Environments, 31*(3), 232–243. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1770801
33. Vindigni, G. (2024). Overcoming barriers to inclusive and equitable education: A systematic review towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4). *European Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.59324/ejahss.2024.1(5).01
34. Yoshida, K. (2020). Roles of teachers in the SDG4 age: An introductory note. *Journal of International Cooperation in Education*. https://doi.org/10.15027/50527

35. Zheng, L., Liu, T., Feng, Y., Gu, X., & Yu, M.-H. (2024). Dynamic teachers' technology adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sage Open*. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241237858
36. Zheng, L., Wang, C., Liu, T., & Gu, X. (2023). Inspecting technology-related quality of teaching artifacts to understand teachers' technology adoption. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 16, 940–954. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3244231