

Reinterpreting Guy Martin's African Political Thought in the Contemporary Frame

"A Critical Review and Reassessment of Case Studies in Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, and South Africa"

Kerry Muhati¹, Dr. Reginald Nalugala, PhD²

¹Postgraduate PhD Student, Social Transformation (Security and Sustainable Development)

²Lecturer, School of Arts and Social Sciences, Tangaza University

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100600167>

Received: 20 May 2026; Accepted: 25 May 2026; Published: 19 June 2026

ABSTRACT

This article undertakes a systematic review and reevaluation of the Pan-African political economy framework developed by Guy Martin in *African Political Thought* (2012), focusing on Chapters 4, 6, and 8, which address Pan-Africanism and African Unity, the socialist-populist ideologies of Nkrumah, Nyerere, Sékou Touré, and Modibo Kéita, and the Africanist-populist perspectives of Claude Ake and Daniel Osabu-Kle respectively. Employing a qualitative document analysis grounded in a decolonial-critical approach informed by Paulo Freire's pedagogy of critical consciousness and dependency theory, the article pursues three objectives: to evaluate the continuing relevance of structural dependency as an explanatory framework for underdevelopment across five case-study countries; to assess the historical legacy and contemporary policy resonance of African socialist experiments; and to examine the state of Pan-African continental economic integration since Martin's writing. Drawing on empirical evidence from Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, and South Africa including recent macroeconomic data, AfCFTA implementation statistics, digital economy indicators, and youth demographic trends the study confirms that Pan-Africanism as imagined by Martin retains significant intellectual vitality while revealing interpretive limitations in the context of a more multipolar, digitally networked, and institutionally complex Africa of the 2020s. The article extends Martin's framework to incorporate Chinese economic engagement as a new axis of dependency, digital extractivism as an emergent form of structural extraction, intra-African distributional conflicts, and the epistemic dimensions of development failure identified by recent decolonial scholars. The article concludes with three Freirean policy recommendations directed at policymakers, academics, and civil society advocates in pursuit of genuine African economic sovereignty.

Keywords: *Pan-Africanism, African political economy, dependency theory, African socialism, decolonial theory, Guy Martin, Freire, AfCFTA, digital economy, youth movements, Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, South Africa*

INTRODUCTION

Africa enters the mid-twenty-first century carrying the intellectual heritage of its most ambitious political visions alongside the material weight of an economic order shaped by colonial extraction and subsequently deepened by structural adjustment conditionalities. Guy Martin's *African Political Thought* (2012) remains one of the most systematic Anglophone efforts to recover, interpret, and republish the ideas of African leaders and thinkers who strove for economic and political self-determination in the post-independence period. This article focuses on Chapters 4, 6, and 8 of that work, which address Pan-Africanism and continental unity, the socialist-populist ideology of Nkrumah, Nyerere, Touré and Kéita, and the Africanist-populist perspectives of Claude Ake and Daniel Osabu-Kle respectively.

In Chapter 4, Martin traces the debate between Pan-Africanist radicals under Kwame Nkrumah and gradualist-functionalists under Félix Houphouët-Boigny, arguing that the triumph of gradualism at the founding of the Organization of African Unity (OAU) in 1963 constituted a structural defeat from which the continent has never fully recovered. In Chapter 6, Martin evaluates the political economies of Nkrumah's Ghana, Touré's Guinea, Kéita's Mali, and Nyerere's Tanzania as serious socialist-populist experiments deserving rigorous assessment on their own terms, free from the dismissive lens of Cold War anti-communism or neoliberal developmentalism. In Chapter 8, Martin draws on the Africanist-populist scholarship of Claude Ake and Daniel Osabu-Kle, who insist that African problems demand internally generated African solutions, independent of Western capitalism and orthodox Marxism alike.

Martin wrote, however, within a specific historical moment: the early 2010s, when structural adjustment memories were still intellectually fresh, the African Union's Agenda 2063 had not yet been fully elaborated, the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) remained aspirational, and the global commodity super-cycle had not yet exposed its structural fragilities. More than a decade later, the economic realities of the five countries at the centre of his analysis have evolved dramatically. Ghana experienced a severe debt crisis requiring a US\$3 billion IMF Extended Credit Facility in 2022–2023 (IMF, 2023). Tanzania adopted an increasingly statist development orientation under successive governments. Senegal's discovery of offshore oil and gas deposits has triggered the classic resource-curse dynamic of debt accumulation and external creditor involvement. Guinea's September 2021 military coup exposed the persistent failure to translate exceptional mineral endowment into broad-based development. South Africa continues to face deindustrialisation, structural unemployment above 32 percent, and deepening social inequality (Statistics South Africa, 2023).

Beyond these macroeconomic shifts, the 2020s have introduced new dimensions entirely absent from Martin's 2012 framework: a rapidly growing youth population of over 840 million Africans under 35 years of age (African Development Bank, 2022), an expanding digital economy projected to contribute US\$180 billion to the continent's GDP by 2025 (IFC, 2020), fintech and mobile money ecosystems that are reshaping financial inclusion, and an emerging digital extractivism through which African data flows disproportionately enrich platform corporations headquartered in the United States and China.

These developments make a scholarly reassessment of Martin's framework both intellectually necessary and practically urgent. This article fulfils that purpose by analysing Martin's core arguments across the three chapters, subjecting them to the lens of current empirical evidence from the five case-study countries, and re-reading them through the combined prism of recent decolonial scholarship and Paulo Freire's critical pedagogy. In *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, Freire argued that genuine liberation requires not only material change but a transformation of consciousness what he termed *conscientização* through which oppressed people come to identify the structures restricting their freedom and build the collective will to transform those structures through dialogue and praxis (Freire, 2018). Applied to the African political economy, this Freirean lens poses the central question: can African states, intellectuals, and citizens build the critical awareness necessary to become active architects of their economic destinies rather than passive actors within the global economy?

KEY OBJECTIVES

This article pursues three interconnected objectives:

- i. To evaluate the continuing relevance of dependency theory and colonial legacy as explanatory frameworks for economic underdevelopment in Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, and South Africa the five case-study countries at the heart of Martin's analysis with particular attention to new empirical evidence from the 2020s.
- ii. To assess the historical legacy and contemporary resonance of the African socialist experiments analysed by Martin in Chapter 6, including their applicability to current development debates concerning human capital investment, resource sovereignty, and digital economic governance.
- iii. To examine the state of Pan-African continental economic integration since Martin's writing particularly through the African Union, AfCFTA, ECOWAS, EAC, and SADC and to assess whether integration has

moved from aspiration to practical instrument of economic sovereignty, including within the emerging digital economy.

KEY RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The article addresses three research questions:

- i. Do the structural conditions of dependency that Martin identifies colonial extraction, neocolonial trade relations, and financial subordination continue to define the economic trajectories of Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, and South Africa in the mid-2020s, and how have new actors including China and digital platform corporations extended or modified these dependency structures?
- ii. What concrete lessons do the African socialist experiments analysed in Chapter 6 offer for contemporary African development policy particularly regarding investment in human capital, resource governance, youth employment, and technological capacity and how have those countries' post-independence economic choices shaped their present circumstances?
- iii. To what extent has Pan-African continental integration particularly through the African Union and AfCFTA advanced beyond the aspirational stage that Martin describes in Chapter 4 to become a practical instrument of economic sovereignty, including in the domains of digital economy governance and youth-inclusive development?

METHODOLOGY

This paper employs qualitative document analysis as its primary methodological approach. Document analysis enables the systematic study of primary texts including Martin's African Political Thought itself, African Union policy documents and statistical reports, United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) data, World Bank and IMF country assessments, International Finance Corporation digital economy projections, and AfCFTA Secretariat progress reports alongside secondary scholarly literature, to produce interpretive arguments grounded in textual and empirical evidence. The comparative-historical approach traces each of the five case-study nations from independence to the present, identifying patterns, divergences, and continuities across decades of post-colonial political economy.

The analytical framework integrates two theoretical perspectives. The first is the decolonial lens advanced by Sabelo Ndlovu-Gatsheni and Achille Mbembe, who demonstrate that colonial power relations persist in epistemic, economic, and political formations that conventional development theory cannot adequately describe (Mbembe, 2017; Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2020). This perspective directs analytical attention not only to material economic structures but to the knowledge and institutional systems through which African development is conceptualised, measured, and managed. The second is Paulo Freire's pedagogy of critical consciousness, which proposes that oppressed people can achieve liberation only through a revolution of consciousness: becoming aware of the systems that oppress them and taking collective action to transform those systems through dialogue and praxis (Freire, 2018). Applied to African political economy, the Freirean lens poses the question of whether African states and peoples can build the critical awareness and collective will necessary to resist and transform dependency structures.

The interpretive process proceeds in three steps: first, identifying Martin's core argument as it pertains to each research question in the relevant chapters; second, compiling current empirical data from the five case-study countries, with specific attention to macroeconomic indicators, digital economy statistics, youth demographic data, and regional integration performance metrics; and third, assessing the degree to which this contemporary evidence supports, qualifies, or challenges Martin's positions. This process produces the findings and analysis presented in Sections 5, 6, and 7.

RESEARCH QUESTION ONE: THE PERSISTENCE OF STRUCTURAL DEPENDENCY

5.1 Findings

Chapters 4 and 6 of Martin's *African Political Thought* establish that the African political economy cannot be understood without accounting for the structural imprint of colonialism. Drawing on Walter Rodney's *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa* and Samir Amin's theory of unequal exchange, Martin demonstrates how colonial administrations purposefully structured African economies to export raw materials and import manufactured goods a configuration that systematically precluded industrialisation and technological development. He argues that this structure survived formal independence through the mechanisms of neocolonialism: foreign ownership of strategic industries, debt dependency, conditional aid, and trade obligations that preserved commodity-export specialisation. In Chapter 4, Martin identifies the deliberate fragmentation of French West African federations into small, economically unsustainable states as a structuring act of ongoing dependency serving French and Western interests rather than African peoples.

Current empirical evidence from the five case-study countries substantially validates this argument while revealing new dimensions of considerable significance.

In Ghana, commodity dependency has persisted throughout more than six decades of independence. Gold, cocoa, and oil (since 2010) remain the dominant foreign exchange earners, leaving fiscal balances acutely vulnerable to global commodity price fluctuations. When commodity prices declined in the mid-2010s, Ghana's fiscal position deteriorated sharply. By 2022–2023, Ghana faced its most severe post-independence debt crisis, requiring a US\$3 billion Extended Credit Facility from the IMF under stringent conditionality (IMF, 2023). Ghana's public debt reached approximately 93 percent of GDP in 2022, with over 70 percent of government revenue consumed by debt servicing (World Bank, 2023). The echoes of the structural adjustment conditionalities Ghana accepted in the 1980s were unmistakable: external creditors once again demanded cuts to social spending and state investment as conditions of financial rescue. Intra-African trade accounted for only 14 percent of Ghana's total trade in 2022, compared to 42 percent with the European Union and 18 percent with China (UNECA, 2023), illustrating the persistence of colonial-era trade orientation.

Tanzania presents a more complex case. Under former President John Magufuli (2015–2021), aggressive resource nationalism included heavy state control of strategic sectors and the renegotiation of mining contracts, most notably compelling Barrick Gold's subsidiary Acacia Mining to accept a revenue-sharing arrangement yielding considerably larger fiscal returns for the Tanzanian state. This approach broadly aligns with the economic sovereignty claims that Martin associates with Nyerere's Ujamaa project. However, Tanzania remains structurally dependent on gold, tourism, and agriculture, all highly susceptible to external shocks. The COVID-19 pandemic caused tourism revenues to collapse by approximately 74 percent in 2020 (World Bank, 2021), demonstrating that contract-based resource nationalism cannot insulate an economy against structural vulnerability to global demand fluctuations. Tanzania's exports remain concentrated in primary commodities, with manufactured goods accounting for less than 12 percent of export value (UNCTAD, 2022).

Senegal's discovery of offshore oil and gas in the early 2010s triggered a debt-accumulation cycle based on anticipated production revenues. Successive governments borrowed extensively against projected receipts, increasing the debt-to-GDP ratio from approximately 46 percent in 2014 to 73 percent in 2022 (IMF, 2022). The IMF raised debt sustainability concerns as early as 2019, and fiscal pressures worsened when production revenues were delayed beyond initial projections. When the Sangomar oil field finally commenced production in 2024, the revenue benefits were substantially pre-committed to external creditors. Senegal's experience illustrates how resource discovery can paradoxically deepen the dependency dynamics Martin describes, by incentivising debt accumulation that increases rather than reduces external creditor influence over domestic policy.

In Guinea, structural dependency and poverty coexist in their most acute form. Guinea holds approximately one-third of the world's known bauxite reserves the ore from which aluminium is refined yet consistently ranks among the lowest in the world on the Human Development Index (UNDP, 2022). Bauxite exports accounted for approximately 80 percent of Guinea's export revenues in 2021–2022, yet the sector employs fewer than 20,000 workers in a labour force of over 5 million (Guinea Ministry of Mines, 2022). Multinational mining corporations including Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinée (CBG), the Winning Consortium, and Rio Tinto generate substantial fiscal revenues for the state while providing minimal backward linkages to local industries and leaving large regions of the country without reliable electricity, clean water, or adequate infrastructure

(Carmody, 2020). The September 2021 military coup that overthrew President Alpha Condé was a direct expression of popular frustration at the persistent failure of exceptional resource wealth to deliver broad-based development exactly the outcome that Martin's dependency framework anticipates, even if his 2012 analysis could not document it empirically.

South Africa presents a structurally distinct yet equally deep-rooted dependency dynamic. The post-apartheid ANC government inherited an economy controlled by a small number of white-owned conglomerates with strong international ties. Rather than restructuring these patterns of ownership, the ANC pursued Black Economic Empowerment policies that created a Black economic elite without fundamentally reorganising the structure of monopoly capital. The analysis of neopatrimonialism in African political economies by Mkandawire (2015) illuminates how this dynamic produced a configuration in which political and economic elites mutually reinforced each other's power at the expense of structural transformation. South Africa's unemployment rate reached 32.9 percent in 2023, with youth unemployment (aged 15–24) standing at 59.6 percent among the highest in the world (Statistics South Africa, 2023). Inequality as measured by the Gini coefficient reached 0.67, making South Africa one of the most unequal societies on earth. The deterioration of Eskom, the state power utility, and the resulting load shedding crisis which cost the South African economy an estimated R890 billion in lost output between 2018 and 2023 exemplify the institutional decay that results from the combination of structural dependency and the political economy of elite capture.

5.2 Analysis of Findings

Martin's dependency framework retains substantial explanatory power, but a contemporary reinterpretation requires four significant refinements that the current evidence demands.

The first refinement is the diversification of dependency agents. Martin's framework centres primarily on Western neocolonial powers the United States, France, and Britain and the Bretton Woods institutions they control. By the mid-2020s, China has emerged as a major creditor and investor across all five case-study countries. Chinese state-owned enterprises have invested heavily in infrastructure development in Ghana, Tanzania, Guinea, and Senegal, while Chinese firms dominate bauxite extraction in Guinea and hold significant mineral sector interests in Tanzania (Carmody, 2020). Between 2000 and 2020, Chinese loans to African countries totaled over US\$160 billion, with Guinea, Senegal, and Tanzania among the top recipients (Johns Hopkins China-Africa Research Initiative, 2022). This does not invalidate Martin's framework Chinese economic engagement replicates most of the structural features of dependency he identifies, including commodity extraction, absence of local processing, and debt creation but it requires that the conventional North-South axis be extended to encompass South-South dimensions of power. The dependency relationship has become more multipolar and therefore more difficult for individual African states to navigate.

The second refinement concerns the epistemic dimension of dependency. Mbembe's Critique of Black Reason (2017) deepens Martin's economic analysis by demonstrating that the relationship between colonialism and the economy is fundamentally also an epistemic one. African states do not merely face external economic pressure; they operate within an environment in which the very indicators used to evaluate development GDP growth rates, sovereign credit ratings, ease-of-doing-business scores are designed and managed by Western capital. This epistemic dependence means that African governments tend to pursue development models that promote external interests even when they believe they are exercising autonomous judgment. Obeng-Odoom (2021) reinforces this analysis by showing that the legal and institutional frameworks governing land, resource extraction, and investment were architected during the colonial era to facilitate easy extraction and have not been radically reformed since independence.

The third refinement addresses digital extractivism. African data, generated by an increasingly networked population of over 600 million internet users (GSMA, 2023), flows predominantly to digital platforms owned by American and Chinese corporations Google, Meta, Alibaba, Tencent and generates value that is captured offshore. Africa's digital economy is projected to reach US\$180 billion by 2025, yet the vast majority of this value accrues to foreign platform owners rather than African workers, entrepreneurs, or states (IFC, 2020). African fintech has seen rapid growth mobile money transactions in sub-Saharan Africa exceeded US\$832 billion in 2022 (GSMA, 2023) but the underlying infrastructure, data storage, and payment processing

architecture remain predominantly foreign-owned. Martin could not have anticipated this dimension in 2012, but it fits precisely within his dependency framework and constitutes an essential contemporary extension of his central thesis.

The fourth refinement concerns the intersection of structural dependency and the youth bulge. Africa's population under 25 years of age is projected to double to over 1 billion by 2050 (UNFPA, 2023). In all five case-study countries, youth unemployment rates significantly exceed adult unemployment: in South Africa, 59.6 percent; in Guinea, approximately 45 percent; in Senegal, 37 percent; in Ghana, 34 percent; and in Tanzania, 27 percent (ILO, 2023). Structural dependency through commodity concentration, limited manufacturing capacity, and digital extractivism directly limits the creation of the formal employment opportunities that this demographic requires. The failure to harness the youth dividend through industrialisation and digital economy development constitutes both a structural consequence of dependency and an increasingly volatile political risk, as the Guinea coup and South Africa's recurrent social unrest demonstrate.

5.3 Summary

Evidence from all five case-study countries confirms that structural dependency in both its material and epistemic dimensions remains a fundamental constraint on economic sovereignty and development outcomes. Ghana's debt crisis (93% debt-to-GDP ratio, 70% of revenue consumed by debt service), Guinea's bauxite paradox (80% of export revenue, fewer than 20,000 workers employed, bottom-tier HDI), Senegal's resource-anticipation debt trap, Tanzania's qualified resource nationalism, and South Africa's racial capitalism all represent structural variations on the dependency theme that Martin identifies. The critical revisions required are: extension of the dependency framework to encompass Chinese engagement as a new axis; incorporation of digital extractivism as an emergent form of structural extraction; recognition of epistemic dependency alongside economic dependency; and integration of the youth employment crisis as a structural consequence of commodity-dependent economic models. Martin's fundamental diagnosis remains valid and in the contemporary evidence is, if anything, stronger than when he wrote it.

RESEARCH QUESTION TWO: THE LEGACY OF AFRICAN SOCIALIST EXPERIMENTS

6.1 Findings

Chapter 6 of Martin's *African Political Thought* rehabilitates the socialist-populist ideology as exemplified by the rule of Nkrumah in Ghana, Sékou Touré in Guinea, Modibo Kéita in Mali, and Julius Nyerere in Tanzania. Martin evaluates these experiments as serious intellectual and political endeavours deserving rigorous assessment on their own terms, rather than dismissal through the rhetoric of Cold War anti-communism or neoliberal developmentalism. He analyses how each leader drew on distinct intellectual sources: Nkrumah on scientific socialism and Pan-Africanism, Nyerere on humanistic Ujamaa, Touré on a synthesis of African communaucacy and Leninist party organisation, and Kéita on an agrarian socialism adapted to Mali's conditions—and highlights the creative tension between African cultural values, socialist economic structures, and anti-colonial political ambition. Contemporary evidence permits a more nuanced evaluation of what these experiments achieved and what they bequeathed to the present.

In Ghana, Nkrumah's scientific socialism (1957–1966) centred on state enterprise development, large-scale investments in infrastructure and education, and continental Pan-African politics. The Volta River Project and the creation of the Tema industrial city represented an ambitious vision of state-directed structural transformation, while the University of Ghana and the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology embodied the educational dimension of this vision. The primary school enrolment rate in Ghana rose from approximately 30 percent at independence to 70 percent by 1966 (World Bank Historical Data, 2022). Nkrumah's dictum that without political independence, economic independence is impossible encoded the sequence of liberation that Martin recovers as a legitimate intellectual project. The experiment accumulated significant debt, produced inefficient state enterprises, and ended in an externally supported coup in February 1966. Its most enduring positive contribution, however, lies in the institutional infrastructure it created: Ghana possesses one of West Africa's strongest university systems, a relatively independent judiciary, and an active

civil society, all tracing their origins to independence-era institutional investments. These institutions have supported consecutive democratic transitions in 2000, 2008, 2016, and 2020 that have distinguished Ghana from many regional neighbours.

Nyerere's Ujamaa (1967–1985) constituted the most ambitious social reform programme attempted by any post-independence African state: the voluntary (later compelled) resettlement of rural populations into communal villages, nationalisation of large-scale industries, and the introduction of universal primary education and primary healthcare programmes. Ujamaa-era investments raised Tanzania's adult literacy rate from approximately 10 percent at independence to over 90 percent by the mid-1980s (Lal, 2015). Life expectancy increased from 40 years in 1960 to 52 years by 1985 (World Bank Historical Data, 2022), reflecting the impact of expanded primary healthcare. Primary school enrolment rose from 25 percent in 1961 to 98 percent by 1981 (UNESCO, 2021). These social outcomes, achieved under conditions of deliberate socialist development planning, demonstrate that a policy orientation prioritising social results over short-term economic efficiency indicators can produce durable human capital endowments. Tanzania's relatively strong national cohesion in contrast to the ethnic and regional fragmentation that has destabilised many of its neighbours is widely attributed to Nyerere's investment in Swahili-language national education and a deliberately cultivated sense of shared national identity.

In Senegal, Senghor's African socialism (1960–1980) was more cultural than structural in character, grounded in the Négritude intellectual movement that Martin traces to the cultural dimension of Pan-Africanism in Chapter 4. Senegal under Senghor maintained close economic ties with France and the CFA franc zone, producing relative macroeconomic stability at the cost of structural transformation. The limitations of this approach are reflected in Senegal's persistent economic vulnerabilities: commodity price exposure, dependence on remittances (constituting approximately 11 percent of GDP in 2022), and significant external public debt. However, the intellectual and cultural legacy of Senghor's Négritude project has contributed to a distinctive Senegalese cultural economy in music, film, fine art, and literature that continues to generate creative industry revenues and cultural soft power. Dakar's growing tech and creative sector, concentrated around organisations such as CTIC Dakar and the Dakar Digital Hub, arguably draws on the cultural confidence that Négritude bequeathed, demonstrating how cultural sovereignty and innovation capacity can be interconnected.

Guinea's experience under Sékou Touré (1958–1984) represents the most complete break with the colonial order attempted by any West African state. Martin credits Touré's 1958 "No" vote in De Gaulle's constitutional referendum which prompted France to withdraw all aid and destroy administrative records as an act of authentic anti-colonial resistance that demonstrated the possibility of refusing neocolonial dependency even at great cost. Chapter 6 simultaneously acknowledges that Touré's rule became increasingly repressive and economically dysfunctional: estimates suggest that between 50,000 and 100,000 people were imprisoned or killed during his 26 years in power (Human Rights Watch, 2022). Guinea's political culture of militarism and instability manifested in successive coups in 1984, 2008, 2021 is in part a legacy of the autocratic personalisation of power that Touré's governance model institutionalised. Critically, Guinea's failure to build diversified economic institutions during the Touré era left it structurally dependent on bauxite extraction without the industrial or human capital base required to transform mineral revenue into broad-based development a failure that directly contributed to the popular grievances underlying the 2021 coup.

South Africa experienced no African socialist experiment under apartheid, the ANC having operated in exile throughout. However, the Freedom Charter of 1955 and the Reconstruction and Development Programme of 1994 both embodied a socialist-inspired vision of structural transformation. The strategic shift to the Growth, Employment and Redistribution (GEAR) macro-economic framework in 1996 which prioritised fiscal austerity and investor confidence over structural change constituted what Mkandawire (2015) analyses as a strategic concession to external pressure and technocratic neopatrimonialism. The current economic crisis in South Africa deepening structural unemployment, collapsing public infrastructure, persistent inequality, and a youth unemployment rate of 59.6 percent (Statistics South Africa, 2023) is substantially the product of this strategic withdrawal from the vision of structural transformation. This confirms Claude Ake's argument in Chapter 8 that the political conditions under which development occurs can be its greatest obstacle: the absence of political will to pursue structural economic change has rendered South Africa's formal democracy economically inadequate for the majority of its citizens.

6.2 Analysis of Findings

Martin's balanced and sympathetic evaluation of the African socialist experiments is vindicated by the contemporary evidence, though a modern reinterpretation must go beyond his framework to extract policy lessons relevant to the digital era and the youth employment challenge.

The first lesson is the enduring primacy of human capital investment. The most durable positive legacies of the socialist experiments across all five countries are located in education, health, and literacy outcomes not in specific economic policy instruments. Tanzania's literacy achievement under Nyerere (10 percent to 90 percent), Ghana's university infrastructure under Nkrumah, and the early mass education campaigns in Guinea all created human capital endowments that have persisted beyond the policy regimes that generated them. Nôguïwa Thiong'o's (2017) analysis of African intellectual traditions demonstrates that cultural and educational sovereignty are inseparable from economic sovereignty. The implication for contemporary African development policy is clear: universal quality education and public health must be regarded as non-negotiable development priorities irrespective of ideological orientation or fiscal constraint. In the contemporary context, this human capital imperative must be extended to digital literacy, STEM education, and technical and vocational training specifically designed to equip Africa's youth for the digital economy.

A compelling contemporary illustration of this principle is provided by Rwanda, which though not among Martin's five case-study countries has invested systematically in ICT infrastructure, science education, and digital governance since the early 2000s. By 2023, Rwanda's ICT sector contributed 6.2 percent of GDP, its secondary school enrolment rate had risen to 42 percent (from 12 percent in 2000), and Kigali had established itself as one of Africa's leading technology hubs (World Bank, 2023). The Rwandan case suggests that the human capital investment logic of the African socialist experiments remains valid in the digital era, even when implemented through a very different institutional framework.

The second lesson concerns resource sovereignty. The African socialist experiments were fundamentally attempts to reclaim African resources from colonial extractive arrangements. Those countries and governments that have pursued activist resource nationalism Botswana's state partnership model with De Beers in diamond governance, Tanzania's renegotiation of mining contracts under Magufuli, and more recently Namibia's stringent local content requirements for its offshore oil developments have generated substantially higher revenue returns than those that have maintained colonial-era extractive arrangements. The policy implication is not the rejection of foreign investment but the use of institutional capacity to negotiate contracts that ensure an equitable share of resource value is retained for domestic development investment (Tandon, 2015). In the digital era, this principle extends to data governance: African states need sovereign data frameworks, local data storage requirements, and digital taxation regimes that capture a share of the value generated by the digital activities of African citizens.

The third lesson concerns the acute danger of conflating socialist economic policy with personal authoritarianism. Touré in Guinea and, to a lesser but significant extent, Nkrumah in Ghana demonstrate how the concentration of economic decision-making within a personalist state apparatus produces both systematic corruption and catastrophic policy failure. Freire's pedagogy addresses this danger most directly: the concept of dialogue the proposition that genuine development emerges from an open, democratic process between leaders and the people they govern applies precisely to the failures of socialist governance in Africa (Freire, 2018). Nyerere's forced villagisation programme (Operation Vijiji), despite its impressive educational achievements, violated this Freirean principle by imposing collective living arrangements on rural communities without their genuine consent, generating rural opposition that undermined agricultural production and ultimately precipitated Ujamaa's economic collapse.

In the contemporary context, the fourth lesson is the necessity of developing a digitally enabled youth economy. Africa's youth population 840 million people under 35 years represents both the continent's greatest development asset and its most urgent governance challenge. Youth-led technology movements have generated remarkable innovation across the continent: M-Pesa in Kenya transformed financial inclusion for millions of previously unbanked citizens; Flutterwave and Paystack have built pan-African payment infrastructure; startups in Rwanda, Nigeria, South Africa, and Egypt are demonstrating that African digital entrepreneurship can develop

globally competitive products. African tech startups raised US\$4.84 billion in venture capital funding in 2022, with fintech, health-tech, and ed-tech leading the sectors (Partech Africa, 2023). Martin's framework of African intellectual and economic self-determination finds powerful contemporary expression in these youth-led digital movements, even if the institutional context differs radically from the state-directed socialism he analyses in Chapter 6.

6.3 Summary

The African socialist experiments produced durable human capital legacies and important precedents in resource sovereignty, while struggling with structural economic dependency and the corrosive effects of personal authoritarianism. Four lessons for contemporary African development policy emerge from this history: universal quality education and digital literacy must anchor any development strategy; resource sovereignty must be pursued through institutional discipline and transparent contractual frameworks rather than personalist command-and-control; participatory governance of the Freirean kind grounded in dialogue rather than decree is both normatively correct and practically necessary for policy durability; and youth-inclusive digital economy development must be integrated into the Pan-Africanist development vision as its twenty-first-century expression. Martin's intellectual rehabilitation of these experiments is justified; their successes and failures must be read by a contemporary audience as honestly as Martin's own sympathetic presentation requires.

RESEARCH QUESTION THREE: PAN-AFRICAN CONTINENTAL INTEGRATION

7.1 Findings

In Chapter 4 of his *African Political Thought*, Martin traces the trajectory of Pan-African unity from Nkrumah's radical vision of immediate political and economic integration a United States of Africa, the triumph of the functionalist-gradualist approach embodied in the OAU. He approaches the African Union with cautious optimism as a vehicle for continental integration while acknowledging the gap between the aspirational language of its founding documents and the competitive economic orientations of African member states. Martin also reviews proposals for restructuring the African political map, including Cheikh Anta Diop's federal African state, Marc-Louis Ropiva's nuclear federalism, and the Federation of African States that Martin co-designed with Mueni wa Muiui a five-superstate federation with a federal capital. All of these proposals share Nkrumah's foundational premise: that the individual African state is too small, too commodity-dependent, and too financially constrained to negotiate on equal terms with global capital.

Continental integration has experienced major institutional advances and substantial practical limitations in the years since Martin wrote. The most significant institutional development has been the entry into force of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) in January 2021. The AfCFTA encompasses all 55 AU member states, a combined GDP of approximately US\$3.4 trillion, and a population of 1.3 billion, making it the world's largest free trade area by number of participating countries (African Union Commission, 2021). The AfCFTA's Agenda 2063 framework projects that successful implementation could increase intra-African trade by 52 percent above 2010 levels and lift an estimated 30 million people out of extreme poverty by 2035 (UNECA, 2020).

However, the gap between the AfCFTA's institutional architecture and its economic impact remains substantial. Intra-African trade as a proportion of total African trade stood at approximately 15 percent in 2022, compared to 67 percent intra-European trade and 59 percent intra-Asian trade (UNECA, 2023). The AfCFTA Secretariat reported in 2023 that fewer than 20 percent of tariff lines had been fully liberalised in practice, with implementation proceeding slower than the original schedule envisaged (AfCFTA Secretariat, 2023). Multiple structural barriers persist: inadequate cross-border infrastructure (Africa's road network density is approximately one-sixth of Europe's); non-tariff barriers including complex customs procedures and divergent product standards; currency fragmentation across 42 African currencies; and the competitive preference of many African states for their preferential trade arrangements with former colonial powers under EU Economic Partnership Agreements (EPAs). The annual cost of non-tariff barriers within Africa is estimated at US\$20 billion, substantially exceeding the costs of formal tariff barriers (African Development Bank, 2022).

Among the five case-study countries, AfCFTA engagement varies considerably. Ghana has been the most enthusiastic advocate, hosting the AfCFTA Secretariat in Accra, though this institutional commitment has not insulated Ghana from its own fiscal crisis. Tanzania adopted a cautious stance during initial AfCFTA negotiations under Magufuli's presidency, citing concerns that liberalisation would disadvantage Tanzanian manufacturers against more industrially developed competitors particularly South Africa. Tanzania eventually acceded with reservations on liberalisation timelines. South Africa's engagement is tempered by labour union concerns that accelerated trade liberalisation will compound deindustrialisation: South Africa has lost approximately 300,000 manufacturing jobs since 2010 (Statistics South Africa, 2023). Senegal has participated actively as part of a broader aspiration to establish itself as West Africa's regional economic hub, benefiting from its strategic location, port infrastructure, and growing financial services sector. Guinea's participation has been severely constrained by political instability since the 2021 coup.

At the sub-regional level, the five case-study countries participate in three major regional economic communities: Ghana and Senegal in ECOWAS, Tanzania in the East African Community (EAC), and South Africa in SADC, while Guinea belongs to ECOWAS. The ECOWAS common external tariff and the EAC's common market framework both pre-date AfCFTA and represent deeper integration than AfCFTA's current state. The ECOWAS Eco currency intended to replace the CFA franc for the eight non-CFA ECOWAS members and potentially extend to the six CFA franc states has been repeatedly postponed, most recently to 2027, due to French opposition and member state macroeconomic divergences. The EAC has made measurable progress in customs union consolidation and the launch of the East African Payment System, which processed over US\$14 billion in intra-regional transactions in 2022 (EAC Secretariat, 2023). SADC's free trade area, in force since 2008, has achieved limited trade diversion from extra-African to intra-African partners, with intra-SADC trade growing from 18 percent to 25 percent of member states' total trade between 2010 and 2022 (SADC Secretariat, 2023).

A critical and largely unaddressed dimension of continental integration concerns digital economy governance. The digital economy is growing at approximately 20 percent per annum across the continent, faster than any other sector (World Economic Forum, 2023). Yet the AfCFTA's digital trade protocol covering e-commerce, data flows, digital payments, and intellectual property in the digital space remains among its least advanced components. African states have yet to establish a common framework for cross-border data governance, digital taxation, or the regulation of foreign platform corporations that dominate African digital markets. The absence of such a framework means that the digital economy's rapid growth is likely to reproduce and intensify the dependency patterns Martin describes, with value generated in Africa captured by externally headquartered corporations, unless deliberate regulatory action intervenes.

Youth movements across the five case-study countries have increasingly engaged with continental integration, both as an aspiration and as a practical arena of economic activity. Young African entrepreneurs are among the most enthusiastic practitioners of AfCFTA-style pan-African commerce, with digital platforms enabling cross-border trade in goods, services, and creative products that formal institutional channels cannot yet facilitate. In Ghana, the "Beyond the Return" initiative has mobilised diaspora youth engagement with domestic economic development. In Senegal, youth-led tech startups are leveraging ECOWAS market access. In South Africa, young activists within the Economic Freedom Fighters and related movements have articulated demands for structural economic transformation that echo Martin's own prescriptions. These youth movements represent a form of bottom-up Pan-Africanism that Martin's framework, focused primarily on state actors and intellectual elites, does not fully theorise.

7.2 Analysis of Findings

Martin's structural argument for continental integration as the systemic answer to dependency retains logical force. The creation of AfCFTA as a functioning institutional architecture represents tangible progress towards the collective agency he envisages. However, the implementation challenges AfCFTA confronts illuminate tensions that Martin identifies within his framework but does not fully resolve.

The first tension is between Pan-African solidarity and national economic interest. The five case-study countries entered AfCFTA with very different industrial capacities, trade partner dependencies, and vulnerability profiles.

South Africa's fear that its manufactured goods will displace Tanzanian industry reflects a genuine distributional conflict between integration as a collective long-term good and short-term losses for specific industries and workers. Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2020) argues that this tension reflects not only an economic conflict of interest but an epistemic divide: African countries have internalised different development models from their colonial experiences (French centralised planning in Senegal and Guinea, British commodity-export-led growth in Ghana and Tanzania, the apartheid industrial model in South Africa) that make genuine solidarity difficult even when the structural interests of integration are clear.

The second tension concerns the coexistence of colonial-era preferential trade agreements with AfCFTA. Tandon's *Trade is War* (2015) demonstrates that EU Economic Partnership Agreements function as structural barriers to continental integration, locking individual African countries and regional blocs into unequal trade relationships with Europe that frequently conflict with their AfCFTA commitments. The inability of African states to resolve the priority conflict between EPA and AfCFTA obligations institutionalises a legal uncertainty that systematically privileges the former colonial power over the continental integration project.

The third tension is monetary. Martin argues, following Nkrumah, that economic sovereignty is impossible without monetary independence. The persistence of the CFA franc zone under which the BCEAO and BEAC maintain formal currency convertibility arrangements with the French Treasury that give France residual influence over member states' monetary policy remains the most visible institutional expression of monetary colonialism in Africa. The CFA franc zone encompasses Senegal and seven of its ECOWAS neighbours, representing approximately 140 million people and US\$250 billion in combined GDP. The repeated postponement of the ECOWAS Eco currency, and the dilution of the ECO proposal to initially exclude CFA zone members, illustrates how monetary colonialism actively obstructs the continental integration project. Without monetary integration, the trade creation benefits of AfCFTA are partially offset by transaction costs and exchange rate volatility attributable to currency fragmentation.

The fourth tension absent from Martin's framework but identified by the contemporary evidence concerns digital economy governance and integration. The rapid growth of Africa's digital economy presents both the greatest opportunity and the greatest risk for continental integration. The opportunity lies in the capacity of digital platforms to enable cross-border trade, payment, and entrepreneurship that physical infrastructure gaps have historically prevented M-Pesa's expansion across East Africa being the paradigmatic example. The risk is that without a common continental framework for digital trade governance, data sovereignty, and platform regulation, the digital economy will reproduce the dependency architecture at continental scale, with value flowing outward to foreign platform corporations rather than circulating within the African digital economy.

7.3 Summary

Institutionally advanced continental integration has not yet translated into economic sovereignty due to structural barriers: intra-African infrastructure gaps (road network density one-sixth of Europe's), EPA-AfCFTA legal conflicts, currency fragmentation (42 currencies, CFA franc monetary colonialism), and competing national economic interests. The five case-study countries engage with AfCFTA from very different structural positions shaped by distinct colonial inheritances and post-independence development trajectories. The digital economy governance deficit the absence of a continental framework for cross-border data governance, digital taxation, and platform regulation represents an emergent structural risk that could reproduce dependency patterns in the twenty-first century's dominant economic domain. Martin's structural argument for integration as the solution to dependency retains its logical force, but genuine integration requires the political will, redistributive mechanisms, monetary sovereignty, and in the Freirean sense critical popular consciousness that the current institutional project has not yet generated.

DISCUSSION

8.1 Arguments For Martin's Framework

The Pan-African political economy model advanced in Chapters 4, 6, and 8 of Martin's *African Political Thought* rests on three analytical pillars that have demonstrated considerable durability: the structural diagnosis of

underdevelopment as colonial inheritance, the intellectual rehabilitation of African socialist thought, and the advocacy for continental integration as the structural alternative to dependency.

The evidence of structural dependency across all five case-study countries confirms the fundamental validity of Martin's diagnosis. The structural conditions he identifies—colonial legacies, commodity export dependence, financial subordination—have not dissipated across decades of formal sovereignty, democratic governance, or market liberalisation. As Obeng-Odoom (2021) demonstrates, the institutional structure of dependency is self-reinforcing: property laws, investment frameworks, trade agreements, and intellectual property regimes mutually support one another and are not automatically dismantled by electoral democracy or market reform. Ghana's 2022–2023 debt crisis can be read almost verbatim from Martin's structural analysis: a commodity-dependent economy finances its development aspirations on international capital markets, experiences commodity price decline, loses market access, and returns to the IMF under conditionality-based austerity.

Martin's intellectual rehabilitation of African socialist thought is retrospectively justified by the empirical record. Tanzania's Ujamaa-era literacy achievement (10 to 90 percent), Ghana's Nkrumah-era university infrastructure, and Senegal's Senghorian cultural economy all demonstrate development legacies that deserve serious scholarly attention. The dismissal of African socialism as a Cold War aberration which became standard in neoliberal development discourse from the 1990s onward foreclosed any serious engagement with the lessons these experiments offer for contemporary policy. Martin is correct that they deserve intellectual seriousness, and Claude Ake's argument in Chapter 8 that African development must be reconceived as a people-centred process has become even more pertinent in the context of the youth unemployment crisis and the democratic deficits of the post-independence period.

Martin would find the creation of AfCFTA as a functioning institutional architecture a retrospective vindication of his integration argument. The fact that 55 African states could negotiate and ratify a continental free trade agreement demonstrates the political viability of the collective agency that Martin envisions. The Agenda 2063 framework and the institutionalisation of regional economic communities all suggest that the more integrated continental economic space Martin advocates is structurally achievable, even if the path is longer and more difficult than he projected in 2012.

8.2 Limitations of Martin's Framework

Nonetheless, Martin's framework presents several significant analytical limitations that the contemporary evidence makes impossible to overlook.

The first is Martin's tendency to romanticise the African socialist experiments. While he acknowledges their failures, his sympathetic presentation at times obscures the magnitude of the damage they caused. Nyerere's forced villagisation displaced millions of Tanzanian farmers, disrupted agricultural production for a generation, and caused food insecurity that materially damaged Tanzania's economic performance throughout the 1970s and 1980s (Lal, 2015). Touré's Guinea operated a single-party repressive state that imprisoned or killed between 50,000 and 100,000 people during his 26-year rule (Human Rights Watch, 2022). Nkrumah's government, for all its institutional achievements and continental ambitions, centralised power, silenced political opposition, and institutionalised a personalist governance model whose negative effects on state capacity outlasted the ideological experiment itself. A fully analytical framework must calibrate achievements and costs without allowing sympathetic intellectual recovery to become apologetics.

The second limitation is that Martin's structural focus does not adequately account for domestic agency within the constraints of the global system. By emphasising structural dependency, Martin risks producing an analysis that leaves insufficient room for the choices good and bad that African governments make within the available policy space. Ghana's debt crisis was not purely a consequence of structural dependency: it also reflected specific domestic policy choices including high energy sector subsidies, an inflated public sector wage bill, and the use of commercial-rate borrowing to finance recurrent expenditure. South Africa's failure to transform its economic structure since 1994 reflects not only global capital pressure but specific ANC governance choices about which interests to serve and which structural reforms to implement or abandon. A complete analytical framework must integrate structural constraints and domestic agency.

The third limitation concerns Martin's insufficient attention to intra-African inequalities and conflicts of interest. The AfCFTA negotiations made plain that the economic interests of South Africa, Nigeria, Kenya, Ethiopia, and Senegal are not automatically aligned by virtue of common continental identity. Continental integration requires addressing real distributional conflicts between African states: who bears the adjustment costs of trade liberalisation, who benefits from manufacturing expansion, and who risks being relegated to commodity supplier status within a continental economic hierarchy. Martin's framework, oriented towards Africa as a collective subject facing external threats, is analytically ill-equipped to address these intra-African dynamics.

The fourth limitation is Martin's insufficient engagement with the digital economy and technological transformation as both a development opportunity and a new dependency risk. The rapid growth of Africa's digital economy projected at US\$180 billion by 2025 and the continent's extraordinary fintech ecosystem (mobile money transactions exceeding US\$832 billion in 2022) represent structural transformation opportunities that did not exist when Martin wrote. Simultaneously, the emergence of digital extractivism through which African data flows disproportionately enrich foreign platform corporations constitutes a new axis of dependency that his 2012 framework could not have anticipated. A contemporary Pan-African political economy must integrate digital sovereignty as a central concern alongside territorial sovereignty, monetary sovereignty, and resource sovereignty.

8.3 Independent Assessment

The most productive engagement with Martin's Pan-African political economy is neither wholesale endorsement nor wholesale rejection, but a dialectical engagement that takes his core insights seriously and extends them rigorously to the realities of the 2020s.

Martin is correct in identifying the structural sources of African underdevelopment and in arguing that individual African states cannot escape these structures unilaterally. He correctly rehabilitates the intellectual seriousness of the African socialist experiments and correctly identifies continental integration as an essential component of any transformative development strategy. The contemporary evidence from Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, and South Africa reinforces rather than undermines his core argument on each of these counts.

A contemporary reinterpretation must, however, go beyond Martin in five directions. First, it must incorporate China, digital platform corporations, sovereign wealth funds, and private creditors as new actors that have fundamentally altered the structure of the African political economy since Martin wrote. Second, it must take intra-African inequalities and conflicts of interest more seriously, recognising that Pan-Africanist solidarity must be built through deliberate redistribution and institutional design, not assumed as a natural consequence of common colonial history. Third, it must integrate domestic governance failures alongside external structural pressures as co-determinants of development outcomes. Fourth, it must place digital economy governance including data sovereignty, digital taxation, fintech regulation, and the cultivation of African platform ecosystems at the centre of the Pan-African economic sovereignty project. Fifth, it must theorise youth movements and digital entrepreneurship as agents of African self-determination, extending Martin's Freirean insight that genuine transformation must be driven by the critical consciousness and collective action of African people themselves, not only by their leaders and intellectuals.

The most significant contribution of Martin's work is his insistence on African intellectual self-determination. A contemporary understanding must strengthen this emphasis: African universities, research institutions, and policy communities must develop the capacity to generate original development knowledge rooted in African empirical experience and responsive to African practical needs. This is where the political economy of Pan-Africanism and Freire's pedagogy of the oppressed converge most productively with the insights of contemporary decolonial theory.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis of Pan-African political economy advanced by Guy Martin across Chapters 4, 6, and 8 of African Political Thought remains an important intellectual resource for engaging with Africa's contemporary development challenges. His structural dependency analysis, his rehabilitation of African socialist thought, and

his advocacy for continental integration all retain significant analytical validity in the 2020s. The empirical evidence from Ghana, Tanzania, Senegal, Guinea, and South Africa confirms the persistence of the structural conditions Martin identifies and introduces new empirical dimensions Chinese economic engagement as a new dependency axis, digital extractivism, intra-African distributional conflicts, and the youth employment crisis that a contemporary framework must incorporate.

A contemporary reinterpretation of Martin must hold together the intellectual courage of the Pan-Africanist vision with sober acknowledgement of the practical complexities of achieving it in a world that is more multipolar, more digitally networked, and more institutionally constrained than the 1960s world of independence. The five case-study countries have each followed distinct trajectories since independence, and none is fully accommodated by a single theoretical framework. What they share is the common inheritance of colonialism, the enabling and constraining consequences of post-independence political economy experiments, and the aspiration however complicated in execution for genuine economic sovereignty.

The following three recommendations draw on Freire's pedagogy of critical consciousness. Freire argued that the process of development is fundamentally political: a collective naming of the world through critical analysis in which oppressed peoples come to recognise the mechanisms restricting their freedom and build the will and capacity to transform those mechanisms through dialogue, solidarity, and praxis (Freire, 2018). In the context of the African political economy, the following concrete recommendations emerge from this Freirean imperative.

Recommendation One: For Policymakers—Build Institutions of Economic and Digital Sovereignty

African governments must move beyond rhetorical Pan-Africanism to invest in the institutional infrastructure that AfCFTA requires to function effectively. This means: investing in intra-African transport, energy, and digital connectivity infrastructure that links African economies to one another rather than exclusively to external export markets; developing interoperable payment systems that reduce dependence on the US dollar and euro in intra-African transactions; advancing the ECOWAS Eco currency project as a step towards monetary sovereignty; and negotiating collectively rather than individually in EPA reform discussions with the European Union.

In the digital economy domain, African governments must act urgently to establish sovereign data governance frameworks, including local data storage requirements, data taxation regimes that capture a share of the value generated by foreign platforms from African citizens' digital activity, and investment in African-owned cloud infrastructure and platform ecosystems. The AfCFTA's digital trade protocol must be accelerated, with robust provisions for data sovereignty, intellectual property protection, and digital enterprise development. Resource contract negotiations, whether for mineral extraction, infrastructure development, or digital spectrum allocation must be conducted with full transparency and genuine accountability to the communities that bear the costs of resource exploitation.

The Freirean praxis dimension of this recommendation is that institutional building must be a political project accountable to the people who bear the costs of dependency, not a technocratic exercise managed by international experts. Policymakers should create genuine participatory spaces involving farmers, workers, traders, women's organisations, and youth movements in the design of trade policy, resource governance frameworks, and industrial policy structures.

Recommendation Two: For Academia—Decolonise the Political Economy Curriculum and Centre Digital and Youth Studies

African universities should complete Martin's intellectual project by building political economy, development studies, and international relations curricula centred on African intellectual traditions, African empirical experience, and African analytical methodologies. This means moving beyond dependency theory as a counter-narrative to neoliberal orthodoxy and towards the generation of original theoretical frameworks grounded in African empirical realities and responsive to African practical challenges. The Africanist-populist scholars of Chapter 8 Ake's people-centred development paradigm and Osabu-Kle's concept of compatible cultural democracy should be treated as living intellectual resources for curriculum design rather than historical artefacts.

In response to the digital economy's transformative impact, African universities must develop dedicated research programmes on the political economy of African digital transformation: examining platform capitalism and digital extractivism, African fintech ecosystems and financial sovereignty, digital infrastructure governance, and the labour market implications of artificial intelligence for African workers. Such programmes must generate the knowledge base required for sovereign policymaking rather than relying on analyses produced by the IMF, World Bank, or overseas academic centres whose interests may not align with African development priorities.

The pedagogy of these programmes must be grounded in the Freirean tradition of popular education: learning rooted in the lived experience of learners, directed towards practical transformation rather than the reproduction of social hierarchies. Youth movements—both their activism and their entrepreneurship should be integrated into academic research and curriculum as both objects of study and sources of knowledge about contemporary African development.

Recommendation Three: For Advocates—Build Continental Civil Society Networks Centred on Youth, Women, and the Digital Economy

Pan-African advocacy must extend beyond high-level diplomacy and intellectual discourse to build robust transnational networks among workers, agricultural associations, feminist organisations, youth movements, digital economy workers, and civil society organisations across African borders. The potential of AfCFTA can only be realised if its primary beneficiaries are ordinary African people rather than corporations and political elites, and advocates must hold governments accountable for the distributive decisions that integration necessarily involves.

Youth digital entrepreneurs and innovators represent a new constituency for Pan-African advocacy that Martin's framework does not theorise but that contemporary evidence strongly validates. The 840 million Africans under 35 who are increasingly connected, educated, and economically active through digital platforms constitute both the primary potential beneficiaries of continental integration and the most politically consequential actors if integration fails to deliver tangible improvements in their economic circumstances. Advocates must channel Freire's concept of *conscientização* to help African citizens understand how continental integration can benefit them, while holding governments accountable for distributive decisions. Civil society networks must also demand transparency in AfCFTA implementation, promote public access to bilateral investment treaties and economic partnership agreements, and develop genuine popular participation in industrial policy design.

The enduring value of Guy Martin's contribution to Pan-African political economy lies in his refusal to accept the false choice between Africa's past and its future. His work insists on the possibility of transformation: a transformation grounded in African intellectual traditions, driven by the collective agency of the continent, and bound to the aspirations of African people. A contemporary re-reading of Martin neither discards this vision nor accepts it uncritically, but extends it, deepens it, and better equips it to engage with the demands of a more complex, more digitally networked, and more contested twenty-first-century world.

REFERENCES

1. Adesanya, A. (2022). *African development finance: Sovereignty, debt, and the politics of conditionality*. Zed Books. <https://www.bloomsbury.com/>
2. African Continental Free Trade Area Secretariat. (2023). *Status of AfCFTA implementation: Annual progress report 2023*. AfCFTA Secretariat. <https://au-afcfta.org/>
3. African Development Bank. (2022). *African economic outlook 2022: Supporting climate resilience and a just energy transition in Africa*. African Development Bank Group. <https://www.afdb.org/en/knowledge/publications/african-economic-outlook>
4. African Union Commission. (2015). *Agenda 2063: The Africa we want: The popular version*. <https://au.int/en/agenda2063/overview>
5. African Union Commission. (2021). *African Continental Free Trade Area: Creating the foundation for an integrated, prosperous and peaceful Africa*. <https://au.int/en/ti/afcfta/about>
6. Carmody, P. (2020). *The new scramble for Africa* (3rd ed.). Polity Press. <https://www.politybooks.com/>

7. East African Community Secretariat. (2023). *East African Community annual report 2022–2023*. East African Community. <https://www.eac.int/documents/category/annual-reports>
8. Freire, P. (2018). *Pedagogy of the oppressed* (50th anniversary ed., M. B. Ramos, Trans.). Bloomsbury Academic. (Original work published 1970). <https://www.bloomsbury.com/>
9. GSMA Intelligence. (2023). *The state of mobile internet connectivity 2023*. GSMA. <https://www.gsma.com/r/somic/>
10. Hickel, J. (2020). *Less is more: How degrowth will save the world*. William Heinemann. <https://www.penguin.co.uk/>
11. Human Rights Watch. (2022). *Guinea: Events of 2021*. In *World report 2022*. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2022/country-chapters/guinea>
12. International Finance Corporation. (2020). *Digital economy for Africa*. IFC. <https://www.ifc.org/>
13. International Labour Organization. (2023). *World employment and social outlook: Trends 2023*. ILO. <https://www.ilo.org/global/research/global-reports/weso/trends2023>
14. International Monetary Fund. (2022). *Senegal: 2022 Article IV consultation and sixth review under the policy coordination instrument*. IMF. <https://www.imf.org/>
15. International Monetary Fund. (2023). *Ghana: Request for extended credit facility arrangement—Press release; staff report* (IMF Country Report No. 23/168). IMF. <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2023/05/17/Ghana-Request-for-an-Extended-Credit-Facility-Arrangement-Press-Release-Staff-533914>
16. Johns Hopkins China–Africa Research Initiative. (2022). *Data: Chinese loans to Africa*. SAIS-CARI. <https://www.sais-cari.org/data>
17. Lal, P. (2015). *African socialism in postcolonial Tanzania: Between the village and the world*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139941331>
18. Martin, G. (2012). *African political thought*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/9781137062052>
19. Mbembe, A. (2017). *Critique of Black reason* (L. Dubois, Trans.). Duke University Press. <https://www.dukeupress.edu/critique-of-black-reason>
20. Mkandawire, T. (2015). Neopatrimonialism and the political economy of economic performance in Africa: Critical reflections. *World Politics*, 67(3), 563–612. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S004388711500009X>
21. Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2020). *Decolonization, development and knowledge in Africa: Turning over a new leaf*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429020190>
22. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong’o. (2017). *Wrestling with the devil: A prison memoir*. Penguin Books. <https://www.penguinrandomhouse.com/>
23. Nkrumah, K. (2009). *Africa must unite* (Reprint ed.). Panaf Books. <https://www.panafbooks.com/>
24. Obeng-Odoom, F. (2021). *Property, institutions, and social stratification in Africa*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108774663>
25. Partech Partners. (2023). *2022 Africa tech venture capital report*. Partech Africa. <https://partechpartners.com/africa/>
26. Rodney, W. (2018). *How Europe underdeveloped Africa* (New ed., A. Davis, Intro.). Verso. <https://www.versobooks.com/>
27. Southern African Development Community Secretariat. (2023). *SADC regional indicative strategic development plan 2020–2030: Annual progress report 2022*. Southern African Development Community. <https://www.sadc.int/>
28. Statistics South Africa. (2023). *Quarterly labour force survey Q4 2022*. StatsSA. <https://www.statssa.gov.za/>
29. Tandon, Y. (2015). *Trade is war: The West’s war against the world*. OR Books. <https://orbooks.com/>
30. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2022). *Economic development in Africa report 2022*. UNCTAD. <https://unctad.org/publication/economic-development-africa-report-2022>
31. United Nations Development Programme. (2022). *Human development report 2021/2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives*. UNDP. <https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2021-22>
32. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. (2020). *Economic report on Africa 2020: Innovative finance for private sector development in Africa*. <https://www.uneca.org/publications/economic-report-africa-2020>

33. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. (2023). *Economic report on Africa 2023*. UNECA. <https://www.uneca.org/publications/economic-report-africa-2023>
34. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization Institute for Statistics. (2021). *Education for all global monitoring report: Historical data*. UNESCO. <https://uis.unesco.org/>
35. United Nations Population Fund. (2023). *State of world population 2023*. UNFPA. <https://www.unfpa.org/swp2023>
36. World Bank. (2021). *Tanzania economic update: Cultivating the future*. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/>
37. World Bank. (2022). *Africa's pulse* (No. 26): *An analysis of issues shaping Africa's economic future*. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/africas-pulse>
38. World Bank. (2023). *Ghana economic update: Restoring fiscal sustainability*. World Bank Group. <https://www.worldbank.org/>
39. World Economic Forum. (2023). *Africa's digital economy: Building a foundation for economic growth*. WEF. <https://www.weforum.org/>