Beyond the Nominal Illusion: A Strategic Framework for Resolving Nigeria's Structural Cost-of-Living Crisis

Dr Akinwale Omotola

Business Administration, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo, Nigeria

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100900814

Received: 08 October 2026; Accepted: 13 October 2026; Published: 24 October 2026

ABSTRACT

Nigeria is navigating a severe, multi-layered cost-of-living crisis driven by unprecedented structural shocks. While aggressive macroeconomic adjustments—including the floating of the Naira and total fuel subsidy deregulation—have been praised by international financial institutions for restoring macro-fiscal indicators, these policy outcomes remain deeply detached from household and microeconomic realities. This paper examines how the Central Bank of Nigeria's (CBN) aggressive monetary tightening framework (characterized by historic interest rate hikes, a restrictive Cash Reserve Ratio, and extensive Open Market Operations) is choking off necessary funds from the productive economy. By establishing a clear analytical framework, this study investigates the individual and combined effects of monetary tightening, exchange-rate volatility, and subsidy removal on Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs). This paper contributes to the literature by demonstrating that demand-side monetary contraction cannot cure supply-led inflation exacerbated by rural insecurity and infrastructure deficits. Finally, it outlines a strategic, fiscally sustainable roadmap toward non-monetary inflation management and targeted rural economic revival.

INTRODUCTION

For more than half a century, the social contract binding the Nigerian state to its citizenry rested upon a complex architecture of structural distortions. Chief among these were heavilysubsidized Premium Motor Spirit (PMS) and an artificially managed foreign exchange regime. These mechanisms, while fiscally draining, functioned as informal social cushions. They insulated an exceptionally vulnerable population from the volatility of global energy markets and currency fluctuations. However, the rapid fiscal adjustments initiated in mid-2023—notably the total removal of the fuel subsidy and the immediate floatation of the Naira—abruptly dismantled these cushions without a transitional framework.

The resulting economic shock has fundamentally altered the microeconomics of the Nigerian household. While policy discourse from state actors emphasizes the long-term fiscal benefits of these measures, an empirical analysis of retail market prices tells a profoundly different story. Over a twelve-year trajectory, the divergence between what workers are paid and what survival costs has reached an unsustainable breaking point. To evaluate this reality, this paper introduces the **Household Survival Index (HSI)**. The HSI tracks the exact percentage of the statutory National Minimum Wage required to purchase a fixed monthly baseline consumption basket for a small family. This basket is deliberately minimalist, consisting of 15 litres of petrol, 12.5kg of staple rice, and a half-cylinder (6.25kg) of liquefied petroleum gas (cooking gas).

In 2014, when the minimum wage stood at ₦18,000, this core basket required an expenditure of approximately ₦6,570, yielding an HSI of **36.5%**. This left households with a vital **63.5% discretionary buffer** to fund housing, school tuition, transportation, and basic healthcare. By 2019, despite a wage adjustment to ₦30,000, the index climbed to **49.8%**. Under the weight of post-subsidy deregulation and currency floating, the current baseline minimum wage of ₦70,000 faces an aggregate basket cost of ₦59,640. This leaves today's HSI at an unprecedented **85.2%**. The domestic consequence is profound: the remaining **14.8% discretionary buffer** (approximately ₦10,360) is mathematically insufficient to cover basic urban

transportation, utility tariffs, and housing, rendering formal employment ineffective as a hedge against absolute poverty.

While existing literature extensively addresses the broad macroeconomic impacts of fiscal consolidation, this study fills a critical gap by directly linking macro-level monetary policies to micro-level SME credit constraints and household purchasing power under triple structural shocks (subsidy removal, foreign exchange floatation, and record interest rate hikes). It introduces a formalized analytical framework mapping rural insecurity and infrastructure deficits as direct components of structural inflation, moving the academic debate beyond orthodox monetary limits.

The primary research objectives of this paper are to:

Quantify the microeconomic divergence between statutory labor pricing and baseline consumer cost-of-living metrics using a longitudinal index.

- Examine the transmissions of monetary tightening, currency depreciation, and energy shocks onto SME production costs, credit availability, and investment capacity.

- Deconstruct the limitations of orthodox demand-side monetary policies in addressing supply-side structural inflation.

- Formulate a non-monetary policy framework that promotes economic stabilization, supply chain recovery, and SME sector resilience without exacerbating fiscal vulnerability.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

To establish a robust analytical foundation, this manuscript synthesizes three distinct theoretical paradigms that explain the intersection of macroeconomic tightening and microeconomic structural decay.

Structuralist Theory of Inflation

Moving beyond the orthodox monetarist perspective anchored in the Quantity Theory of Money ($MV = PY$), this paper adopts the structuralist paradigm pioneered by Sunkel (1958) and Olivera (1960). The structuralist framework posits that inflation in developing economies is primarily driven by non-monetary, inelastic supply-side bottlenecks rather than excess aggregate demand. These bottlenecks include agricultural supply rigidities, infrastructural deficits, and an acute dependence on critical imports. When a developing nation faces localized supply constraints, broad contractionary monetary policies fail to reduce prices; instead, they trigger real output contraction while structural costs remain high.

Credit Channels of Monetary Transmission

To trace the impacts of central bank interventions on small businesses, we employ the Credit Channel Theory of monetary transmission (Bernanke & Gertler, 1995). This channel operates via two microeconomic pathways:

The Bank Lending Channel: Tight monetary policies reduce the volume of loanable deposits within commercial banks, restricting credit availability.

The Balance Sheet Channel: Elevated interest rates degrade the net worth and cash flows of borrowers, worsening problems of asymmetric information, moral hazard, and adverse selection (Stiglitz & Weiss, 1981).

Consequently, commercial financial institutions exhibit "flight-to-quality" behaviors, systematically rationing credit away from high-risk private enterprises (SMEs) toward risk-free government securities.

Cost-Push Shock Foundations

The theoretical foundation of this study is grounded in Short-Run Aggregate Supply (SRAS) variations. The simultaneous floating of the domestic currency and the complete elimination of fuel subsidies represent massive, unexpected structural shocks. These policy movements induce an immediate inward shift of the SRAS curve. Attempting to counteract an inward SRAS shift through demand-side monetary tightening chokes off necessary liquidity from the productive sectors, turning an inflation problem into a severe stagflationary trap.

Empirical Review

The modern literature on structural adjustments and small-scale business sustainability shows a widening consensus regarding the limits of monetary policy under supply shocks.

Global Dimensions of Structural Adjustments

Empirical studies across emerging markets confirm that aggressive macro-fiscal adjustments often generate severe distributional side effects. Canuto (2020) and Ghartey (2021) observe that exchange rate pass-through to domestic consumer prices exhibits a high degree of non-linearity in developing economies. When currency floatation occurs alongside structural infrastructural gaps, the velocity of imported inflation multiplies.

Similarly, Killick (2010), in reviewing historical structural adjustment programs across sub-Saharan Africa, notes that removing consumer cushions without putting in place supply-side alternatives frequently destabilizes real-sector productivity and depresses domestic capital formation.

SME Credit Rationing and Portfolio Displacement

The link between monetary contraction and small business failure is extensively documented. Aterido et al. (2019) demonstrate that while credit constraints affect all private firms during macroeconomic contractions, small and medium enterprises bear a disproportionate burden due to a lack of collateral and weak formal documentation. Mishkin (2018) points out that aggressive central bank open market operations crowd out private lending. When central banks issue high-yield treasury instruments to mop up liquidity, commercial institutions shift their portfolios away from real sector development, preferring instead to earn safe, high returns from the state ledger.

Contextual Realities in the Nigerian Economy

Recent empirical investigations into the Nigerian macro-economy show that inflation remains structurally sticky. Teriba (2023) argues that price hikes in Nigeria are driven by supply constraints rather than excess demand, rendering interest rate manipulation ineffective. Sanusi (2024) confirms that the continuous upward adjustments of the Monetary Policy Rate have pushed commercial lending rates past the point of business viability, resulting in widespread SME credit starvation, corporate downscaling, and high failure rates among informal businesses.

Under this structural framework, high production costs and credit starvation act as twin clamps on the private sector. When public expenditure is channeled into urban vanity projects rather than rural transport corridors and cold-storage hubs, it accelerates supply-side inflation while starving the productive real sector of capital.

Empirical Evidence: Twelve Years of Consumer Price Shifts

The foundational driver of this crisis is the velocity at which the price of everyday consumer goods has scaled relative to the price of labor. When examined longitudinally, the structural degradation of the Naira is evident across all critical metrics.

Year	Monthly Minimum Wage	Parallel Market FX Rate	Avg. Petrol Price (per Litre)	Avg. Price of 50kg Bag of Rice	Household Survival Index
2014	₦18,000	~₦180 / $1	₦97	~₦10,000	**36.5%**
2019	₦30,000	~₦361 / $1	₦145	~₦25,000	**49.8%**
2022	₦30,000	~₦710 / $1	~₦190	~₦38,000	**71.4%**
2026	₦70,000	~₦1,388 / $1	~₦1,415	~₦96,000	**85.2%**

The data outlines a stark structural reality. While the statutory minimum wage grew by **288%** over twelve years, the cost of retail petrol expanded by **1,355%**. Concurrently, the parallelforeign exchange rate degraded by **671%**, directly passing imported inflation into the local value chain.A single 50kg bag of premium staple grain, which cost ₦10,000 in 2014, now trades near ₦96,000 [National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), 2025]. This means that the entire monthly minimum wage of a Nigerian worker cannot purchase a single bag of staple food for their family, a reality that underlies the widespread social distress observed across the federation.

The Human Cost: The Twin Trajectories of Absolute Poverty and Educational Deprivation

The real-world consequence of this macroeconomic divergence is a severe erosion of human capital. The erosion manifests in two deeply linked metrics: the expansion of absolute poverty and the surge in the out-of-school children population.In 2014, approximately 70 million Nigerians lived below the absolute poverty line. At that time, demographic profiles recorded 10.5 million school-age children outside the formal education pipeline [UNICEF, 2024]. By 2019, poverty claimed 81 million citizens, and out-of-school numbers rose to 13.2 million, driven by early-stage security disruptions in northern agricultural zones.By 2022, absolute poverty reached 95 million people. Today, active data registries show that the national poverty rate has climbed to approximately **63%**, capturing an estimated **141 million Nigerians** [World Bank Group, 2025].

This historic increase in poverty has directly triggered an educational crisis. The out-of-school population has peaked at an unprecedented **18.3 million children**—comprising 10.2 million at the primary level and 8.1 million at the junior secondary level [Punch Nigeria, 2026]. Because low-income households must devote roughly **70% of their total income strictly to basic nutrition** [World Bank Group, 2024], school fees, textbook costs, and transportation have become unaffordable luxury expenses. Families are systematically forced to choose food over fees, pulling their children from classrooms and driving millions into informal street labor to supplement basic household survival.

The Fallacy of the Mid-Level Earner

A common misconception within contemporary policy discourse is that this crisis is exclusively confined to low-wage earners or the informal poor. An empirical assessment of urban mid-tier professionals—those earning a formal salary of **₦300,000 per month**—reveals that the middle class faces a distinct economic trap.On paper, a ₦300,000 monthly income appears safe, with the minimalist survival basket consuming only **19.9%** of their allocation. However, this demographic faces secondary structural overhead pressures that do not accommodate basic food indices:

Band A Electricity Tariffs: Following subsidy rollbacks in the power sector, customers inside Band A grid zones face cost-reflective tariffs exceeding **₦209.50 per kWh** [Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), 2026].

A standard mid-tier household consuming minimal power for refrigeration and lighting quickly incurs monthly utility bills between ₦25,000 and ₦40,000.

Urban Transportation and Commuting Inflation: With retail petrol at ₦1,415/L, the daily cost of personal or public commuting has scaled vertically. Urban transit costs for formal workers now consume between 15% and 25% of a middle-class salary. **Hyper-Inflated Urban Rents and Private Tuition:** Because public infrastructure has deteriorated, middle-class earners must pay private premiums for housing security and their children's education.

When these fixed structural costs are layered over the baseline food inflation, the formal middle class finds its discretionary cushion completely erased. The continuous erosion of this mid-tier purchasing power prevents domestic capital formation, dries up bank deposits, and stifles the small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that drive domestic economic activity.

The Critique of International Consensus: The Sustainability Trap

This deep domestic hardship stands in sharp contrast to the commentary coming from international financial institutions. Organizations like the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank Group have consistently praised the federal government for its "bold fiscal consolidation," pointing to improved sovereign bond yields, narrowed exchange rate spreads, and increased state revenue allocations as proof of success [World Bank Group, 2024].

However, from an academic and development perspective, we must challenge this consensus:**macroeconomic stability is unsustainable if it is built upon the systemic impoverishment and educational exclusion of the population.** Balanced public balance sheets mean very little when they are achieved by destroying domestic consumer demand and wiping out real sector productivity. International metrics focus primarily on external debt-service capacity and state fiscal liquidity. They routinely overlook the destruction of local human capital. When a country's economic strategy causes millions of children to drop out of school and forces families into chronic nutritional deficits, it is not building long-term health and wealth. It is creating a severe future liability, undermining the future labour force to achieve temporary balance on a state ledger.

Structural Inflation vs. The Credit Crunch: The Core Policy Flaw

The current policy failure stems from a fundamental misdiagnosis of Nigerian inflation. The Central Bank of Nigeria has approached headline inflation as a purely monetary phenomenon driven by excess liquidity and consumer demand. In response, the apex bank has maintained an aggressive contractionary framework: **Punitive Policy Rates:** Driving the benchmark Monetary Policy Rate (MPR) to historic highs has pushed standard commercial lending rates past **30%–35%** [CBN , 2026]. **The 45% Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR) Lock:** Forcing commercial banks to surrender half of all customer deposits directly into central bank vaults severely restricts the availability of private sector credit [CBN, 2026]. **Aggressive Open Market Operations (OMO):** Emitting high-yield Treasury Bills and OMO instruments crowds out private sector investment. Banks find it safer and far more profitable to invest liquidity in risk-free, high-yield government paper than to extend loans to a local manufacturer or rural agricultural economy.This intense monetary contraction is **starving the productive real sector of capital**. It raises the cost of local production, forces small business bankruptcies, and drives corporate downsizing.

More importantly, demand-side monetary tightening cannot cure a supply-side crisis driven heavily by **widespread internal insecurity**. Banditry, kidnapping, and agrarian displacement across the core Middle Belt and Northern food bowls function as a heavy supply-side tax. Farmers cannot safely access their fields, agricultural logistics corridors are broken, and food yields have dropped significantly. No amount of interest rate hikes or liquidity mopping can harvest a farm that has been abandoned due to violence. By trying to

interest-rate our way out of a supply crisis, the policy framework is crippling the very businesses needed to revive domestic production.

A Strategic Roadmap for Non-Monetary Interventions

Nigeria cannot interest-rate its way out of structural, supply-led inflation. Moving the citizenry from a state of vulnerable survival toward economic stability requires shifting away from aggressive monetary contraction toward targeted, non-monetary supply-side interventions:

Enforce Targeted Agrarian Security

Securing our food bowls must be treated as a core macroeconomic policy. The federal government must scale up the deployment of specialized, localized security personnel and secure major rural transportation corridors. Protecting farming communities allows farmers to return to their lands safely, expanding food supply and bringing down headline inflation naturally.

Implement Differential Credit Channels

The central bank must ease its restrictive liquidity policies for productive industries. The CBN should transition from blunt liquidity-mop-up strategies to targeted, differential CRR frameworks. Easing cash reserve requirements specifically for commercial banks that hit clear lending targets for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), local food processors, and agricultural economy will force capital to fund supply expansion rather than speculative currency trading.

Audit and Restructure Public Spending Profiles

Federal, state, and local governments must move away from recurrent administrative overhead and vanity infrastructure [Punch Nigeria, 2024]. Public capital must be legally and intentionally redirected into building rural feeder roads, setting up solar-powered cold-storage hubs for farm markets, upgrading primary educational facilities, and funding direct micro-credit lines for small businesses. The excess liquidity must be redirected to fund production activities and alliviate poverty.Government funds should not be distributed to undocumentable ghosts labelled as too vulnerble to register for national identity cards and open free bank accounts to receive the paltry N75000. National credit agency, banks,politicians and MDAs should give cash transfers to bank accounts of documented citizens rather turn to asset acquisitions companies to collect rent from suppliers and distribute these assets to their cronies. Many have died in the stampede arising from huge crowd trying to cllect N5000 or 5kg of rice.

CONCLUSION

The parallel trajectories of expanding poverty and surging numbers of out-of-school children from 2014 to 2026 are an urgent warning sign. Macroeconomic indicators praised in international boardrooms mean nothing if they are built on a foundation of household hunger and educational collapse. True national stability depends on securing agricultural production, easing the credit squeeze on small businesses and households,shifting public resources away from ego-boosting vanity projects toward human capital and broad-based economic growth.

REFERENCES

1. **ACLED.** (2025). Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Southern and Western Africa baseline indicator registries. Armed Conflict Location & Event Data.
2. **Aterido, R., Beck, T., & Iafore, L.** (2019). Access to finance and small enterprise growth dynamics: Cross-country empirical evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Development Economics, 141, 102-115.

3. **Bernanke, B. S., & Gertler, M.** (1995). Inside the black box: The credit channel of monetary policy transmission. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9(4), 27-48.
4. **Canuto, O.** (2020). Exchange rate pass-through non-linearity and structural adjustments in middle-income emerging market economies (Policy Research Working Paper No. 9214). World Bank Group.
5. **Central Bank of Nigeria.** (2026). Monetary policy review, inflation targeting frameworks, and macroeconomic indicators. Central Bank of Nigeria, Abuja.
6. **Cochran, W. G.** (1977). Sampling techniques (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
7. **Cronbach, L. J.** (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychometrika, 16(3), 297-334.
8. **Dickey, D. A., & Fuller, W. A.** (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 74(366), 427-431.
9. **Ghartey, E. E.** (2021). Asymmetric exchange rate pass-through and monetary policy targeting in developing states. Empirical Economics, 60(2), 811-835.
10. **Killick, T.** (2010). Development economics in action: A study of economic policies in Ghana (2nd ed.). Routledge.
11. **Mishkin, F. S.** (2018). The economics of money, banking, and financial markets (12th ed.). Pearson.
12. **MOHAC Africa.** (2026). Out of school children in Africa: 2026 statistics, causes & solutions. mohacafrica.org.
13. **National Bureau of Statistics.** (2025). National consumer price index and inflation report. Federal Secretariat Complex, Abuja, Nigeria.
14. **Nigeria Labour Congress.** (2024). The living wage deficit: A position paper on minimum wage frameworks and household survival in Nigeria. NLC Research and Policy Unit, Abuja.
15. **Olivera, J. H.** (1960). On structural inflation and Latin American structuralism. Oxford Economic Papers, 12(3), 321-332.
16. **Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J.** (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. Journal of Applied Econometrics, 16(3), 289-326.
17. **Punch Nigeria.** (2024, May 14). Workers demand N300,000 minimum wage as cost of living bites. Punch Newspapers. punchng.com.
18. **Punch Nigeria.** (2026, April 23). Ending Nigeria's 18.3 million out-of-school children crisis. Punch Newspapers. punchng.com.
19. **Sanusi, L. S.** (2012). Banking reform and its impact on the Nigerian economy. Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review, 50(4), 1-15.
20. **Sanusi, L. S.** (2024). The structural consequences of loose liquidity and currency stabilization frameworks in West Africa (Distinguished Guest Lecture Series). Nigerian Economic Society.
21. **Sanusi, L. S.** (2026). Macroeconomic paths to recovery: Addressing subsidies, structural money supply, and rural poverty management (Macroeconomic Outlook Forum Report). National Planning Commission, Abuja.
22. **SMEDAN/NBS.** (2024). National survey of micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in Nigeria. Small and Medium Enterprises Development Agency of Nigeria & National Bureau of Statistics.
23. **Stiglitz, J. E., & Weiss, A.** (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. The American Economic Review, 71(3), 393-410.
24. **Sunkel, O.** (1958). Monetary inflation and structuralist crises: An econometric critique of Latin American experiences. El Trimestre Económico, 25(98), 211-235.
25. **Teriba, A.** (2023). Rebalancing sovereign balance sheets: Financial alternatives to contractionary cycles in import-dependent countries. Economic Associates Publications.

26. **Teriba, A.** (2026). The anatomy of nominal expansion versus real consumption collapse under multi-layered energy and currency reforms (Briefing Note for the 2026 Macroeconomic Outlook). Economic Associates, Lagos.
27. **UNICEF Nigeria.** (2024). Education data and child deprivation profiles across West Africa. UNICEF.
28. **World Bank Group.** (2024). Nigeria development update: Turning the corner (from reforms to results). World Bank, Washington, DC. worldbank.org.
29. **World Bank Group.** (2025). Poverty and equity brief: Nigeria. World Bank, Washington, DC.