



AI Applications in Higher Education in Malaysia: An Academic Review

Fairus Muhammad Darus^{1*}, Norina Ahmad Jamil², Nik Azlin Nik Ariffin^{1,2*}

¹Faculty of Applied sciences, University Technology MARA, 40450 Shah Alam

²Institute of Quality and Knowledge Advancement (InQKA), University Technology MARA, 40450 Shah Alam

*Corresponding Author

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.910000786

Received: 07 November 2025; Accepted: 14 November 2025; Published: 24 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming the landscape of higher education globally, and Malaysia is actively embracing this technological shift. This report provides a comprehensive, academically referenced analysis of AI applications within Malaysian higher education institutions (HEIs), exploring their multifaceted benefits, the significant challenges encountered during adoption, and the key factors influencing their integration. The analysis reveals that AI offers substantial opportunities for enhancing personalized learning experiences, streamlining administrative processes, revolutionizing assessment methodologies, supporting research, and promoting greater accessibility and inclusion. However, its transformative potential is tempered by critical concerns, including ethical dilemmas, risks to academic integrity, data privacy vulnerabilities, the potential for over-reliance on technology, and existing limitations in skills, infrastructure, and funding. The integration of AI in Malaysian higher education is not merely an organic technological evolution but a deliberate, government-backed national imperative, deeply intertwined with Malaysia's broader economic and industrial aspirations, particularly its Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) agenda. While AI holds the promise of democratizing learning, its actual impact on educational equity is heavily dependent on addressing fundamental infrastructure and access disparities. Furthermore, a central challenge lies in the paradox of AI's efficiency, where the very tools designed to enhance learning might inadvertently impair the development of critical thinking and independent learning skills if not integrated thoughtfully. Addressing these interconnected challenges requires a holistic, policy-driven approach, emphasizing responsible AI governance, sustained investment in infrastructure and talent development, and a pedagogical shift that prioritizes human-centric learning alongside technological advancement. Recommendations are provided for policymakers, HEIs, and students to navigate this evolving landscape effectively, ensuring AI integration aligns with national educational goals and values, fostering critical thinking, and preparing a workforce for the digital age.

INTRODUCTION

AI in Malaysian Higher Education

Artificial Intelligence is increasingly reshaping the global higher education landscape, offering unprecedented potential to transform teaching, learning, and research. This global trend is distinctly mirrored in Malaysia, where AI is exerting a growing influence across the educational sector. The Malaysian higher education sector stands at a critical juncture, necessitating a fundamental reimagining of how institutions operate, educate, and generate value, with AI integration emerging as a crucial differentiator in this evolution. ³

Malaysia's commitment to AI-driven education is clearly articulated and strategically embedded within national policy frameworks. This commitment is a key priority under the Malaysia Education Blueprint (2013-2025).⁴ The Ministry of Education (MOE) has explicitly recognized AI's capacity to revolutionize traditional teaching methods, optimize student learning experiences, and cultivate a more data-driven education system.⁴





This strategic emphasis on AI integration underscores a broader national objective: to leverage technological advancements for national competitiveness and economic prosperity in the digital era. The success or failure of AI in higher education is therefore deeply intertwined with the effective execution of national policies and the strategic allocation of resources, extending beyond the isolated efforts of individual institutions.

Further solidifying this commitment, Malaysia has introduced pivotal policy frameworks such as the National AI Roadmap 2021–2025, designed to foster a thriving AI innovation ecosystem and encourage both industry leaders and academicians to develop and implement AI solutions. The establishment of a new Ministry of Digital in 2024, alongside a National AI Office, further accentuates Malaysia's ambition to secure a prominent position as a key AI player within ASEAN and globally. These entities are tasked with spearheading initiatives that harness AI to bolster digital economy growth and enhance public services. This national aspiration extends beyond domestic educational improvement; it aims to cultivate a skilled workforce and robust research capabilities that will underpin Malaysia's regional and global standing in the AI domain. Consequently, educational outcomes related to AI literacy and talent development directly impact Malaysia's international competitiveness and influence. The Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) and the Malaysia Qualification Agency actively contribute to this national agenda by providing guidance and advisory notes for the responsible use of generative AI in academia.

This report aims to provide a comprehensive, academically referenced analysis of AI applications in Malaysian higher education. It explores the benefits derived from these applications, the challenges encountered during their adoption, and the pivotal factors influencing their successful integration. The analysis is strictly grounded in scholarly articles and research to ensure an evidence-based and objective perspective.

Key Applications and Benefits of AI in Malaysian Higher Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence in Malaysian higher education institutions (HEIs) has introduced a diverse array of applications, yielding significant benefits across various facets of teaching, learning, and administration. These applications are not merely incremental improvements but represent a fundamental shift in pedagogical approaches.

Enhancing Personalized Learning Experiences

AI-powered platforms are designed to analyse student data, identify individual strengths and weaknesses, and adapt educational content to meet specific learning needs, thereby making the learning process more engaging and effective.¹ This personalization extends to tailoring educational content and interactions to match individual learners' preferences and pace.¹ AI can dynamically assess a student's existing knowledge and continuously adjust instruction and content to build upon prior understanding, facilitating deeper learning.¹ This adaptive approach can also provide tailored feedback in local languages, such as Bahasa Malaysia, enhancing comprehension and skill improvement.²

Virtual tutors and assistants, driven by AI, are becoming integral components in revolutionizing learning experiences.¹ AI technology enables the creation of virtual mentors, particularly valuable for distance learning environments.⁸ AI chatbots, functioning as virtual tutors, offer responsive, personalized, and adaptive support based on student needs and understanding levels, providing immediate help and explanations.⁸ For instance, ReSkills, a prominent Malaysian EdTech platform, leverages AI to deliver adaptive learning experiences specifically tailored to the national curriculum.² Similarly, FAME International College utilizes AI data analytics to identify patterns in student performance and implement targeted remedial programs.² Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITSs) are recognized as sophisticated educational tools that facilitate personalized learning and have demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in learning attitudes and test scores among students.¹⁰

Streamlining Administrative Processes

AI is significantly streamlining administrative processes within Malaysian HEIs by automating a range of routine tasks, including grading assignments, tracking attendance, and generating performance reports.¹ This





automation substantially reduces the administrative burden on staff, allowing them to redirect their focus towards more strategic and impactful initiatives. 11

In admissions and enrollment, AI-driven systems efficiently process high volumes of applications, retrieving and evaluating applicant data based on predetermined standards. 11 AI-driven chatbots engage with prospective students, providing prompt answers to queries regarding classes, degree requirements, and costs. 11 Furthermore, AI assists institutions in achieving diversity and inclusion objectives by analyzing applicant demographics and historical data. 11 For academic records management, AI automates data entry, verification, and updates within student information systems, minimizing human error and facilitating swift access to information while ensuring compliance with regulatory frameworks. 11 AI also streamlines the evaluation of financial assistance applications, identifies irregularities or fraud, and predicts financial aid needs, leading to more effective budget planning. 11 In terms of resource management and optimal course scheduling, AI-based tools automate facility assignments, maintain schedules, and predict demand for faculty and equipment, thereby enabling efficient resource allocation. 11 AI also contributes to enhanced security by optimizing energy use, maintenance planning, and space utilization across campus facilities, and by improving campus security through the identification of unauthorized entries or potential threats. 11 Lastly, AI assists career services by providing customized job suggestions that align with students' academic achievements and interests, and by monitoring the professional development of former students to foster continued engagement with the institution.¹¹

Revolutionizing Assessment and Feedback

AI holds substantial potential to revolutionize assessment practices by moving beyond traditional examinations towards continuous, authentic, and personalized evaluation. AI-powered tools can automate the grading of multiple-choice and simple open-ended questions, thereby freeing educators to concentrate on providing feedback for more complex, higher-order tasks. This technology also enables adaptive assessments that adjust in real-time based on student performance, ensuring that learners are continuously challenged at an appropriate level.

Beyond mere grading, AI can analyze complex student work, offering nuanced insights that extend beyond simple right/wrong evaluations, such as assessing argumentation structures in essays or collaboration dynamics in group projects. AI-powered feedback systems can deliver tailored, timely, and actionable guidance, which supports self-regulated learning and facilitates the rapid correction of misconceptions. In some instances, AI-enabled systems have been observed to provide feedback that is more effective than that offered by human instructors. Practical examples of AI in assessment include tools like Turnitin, widely adopted by universities to reduce administrative workload and uphold academic integrity. Similarly, Grammarly and other language check tools assist users in identifying and correcting grammatical and structural errors in written work. AI-supported chatbots can also analyze and evaluate students' learning abilities. Furthermore, the development of AI-integrated alternative assessments in engineering education aims to enhance precision, personalization, and alignment with industry needs.

Supporting Research and Academic Development

AI plays a significant role in supporting research and fostering academic development within Malaysian HEIs. AI-based research writing tools have advanced to assist with various aspects of the research process, including conducting literature reviews, visualizing data, and proofreading academic texts. ¹² These tools can also stimulate idea generation and provide problem-solving assistance, thereby enhancing the efficiency and quality of scholarly work. ⁸ Beyond direct research support, AI improves data analysis capabilities and promotes interdisciplinary research collaborations. ¹⁴

For educators, AI technology introduces adaptive teaching strategies by enriching their understanding of the student learning process and offering effective ways to support learners. AI also contributes to the professional development of educators by providing teaching evaluation models and suggestions for improving teaching practices. The Ministry of Education has initiated specific programs to enhance teachers' digital technology proficiency, with a particular focus on AI, aiming to certify teachers as "Apple Teachers" and





"Guru Jauhari Digital". 15 This indicates a strategic investment in equipping educators with the skills necessary to navigate and leverage AI in their professional roles.

Promoting Accessibility and Inclusion

AI plays a crucial role in enhancing educational transparency and accessibility, providing significant assistance to students with diverse learning needs.9 AI-powered tools such as speech recognition, text-to-speech converters, and real-time translation systems make learning more inclusive for students with disabilities and non-native speakers.² These technologies are instrumental in creating accessible learning environments that effectively accommodate a wide spectrum of student needs.²

Furthermore, AI-powered tutoring systems can adapt content to suit various learning styles, ensuring that every student in Malaysia, irrespective of their socio-economic background, has equitable access to quality modern education.² This commitment to inclusivity through AI aligns with the broader national agenda of fostering digital inclusion. The strategic alignment of AI with Malaysia's Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) agenda suggests that the benefits of AI in higher education extend beyond academic enhancement to encompass workforce development, preparing graduates with the necessary skills for a digitized economy. This makes AI integration not merely an educational improvement but a critical component of Malaysia's national economic competitiveness strategy. The ability of AI to facilitate personalized learning and revolutionize assessment methods represents a fundamental shift in instructional design and delivery, moving towards more dynamic, adaptive, and student-centred educational models.

Table 1: Summary of AI Applications in Malaysian HEIs

	Specific AI Applications/Tools	Function in Malaysian HEIs	Examples/Context
Learning & Instruction	Adaptive Learning Platforms	Analyze student data, tailor content to individual needs, provide personalized learning paths.	ReSkills, FAME International College, AI- driven adaptive learning platforms ²
	Virtual Tutors/Mentors	Provide personalized assistance, responsive support, and explanations for distance learning.	Blackboard application, AI chatbots ⁸
	Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITSs)	Offer personalized learning, improve learning attitudes and test scores.	ITSs in general ¹⁰
	Generative AI Tools (e.g., ChatGPT, Gemini)	Facilitate faster and more accurate access to information, content creation, idea generation.	ChatGPT, Gemini, Bing AI, Bing Image Creator ⁷
Assessment & Feedback	Automated Grading Systems	Automate grading of multiple-choice and simple open-ended questions, provide real-time feedback.	AutoGradr, Repl ⁸
	Plagiarism Detection Software	Maintain academic integrity, detect AI-generated content.	Turnitin ²
	Grammar & Language Checkers	Identify errors in language, improve writing quality.	Grammarly, Quill Bolt, Writefull X ⁴
	Adaptive Assessments	Adjust in real-time based on student performance, provide	AI-powered adaptive assessments ¹





ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue X October 2025

		nuanced insights into complex work.	
Administrative Support	AI-driven Chatbots	Manage student queries, provide instant responses, assist with admissions/enrollment.	AI-powered chatbots ²
	Predictive Analytics	Identify at-risk students, predict student behavior/needs (e.g., performance, retention), optimize resource allocation.	AI-driven predictive models
	Automated Data Management	Automate data entry, verification, updates for academic records, financial aid.	AI in student information systems ¹¹
	Resource Management Tools	Automate facility assignments, maintain schedules, predict demand for faculty/equipment.	AI-based tools for optimal course scheduling 11
Research & Development	Research Writing Tools	Assist with literature reviews, data visualization, proofreading.	Scispace, Mendeley, general AI-based tools ¹²
	Professional Development Tools	Provide teaching evaluation models, suggestions for improving teaching practice.	AI technology for educators
Accessibility & Inclusion	Speech Recognition/Text-to- Speech	Make learning inclusive for students with disabilities and non-native speakers.	AI-powered tools ²
	Real-time Translation Systems	Facilitate cross-cultural communication and support multilingual education.	AI-powered tools ²
	Virtual Reality (VR) & Augmented Reality (AR)	Create immersive learning experiences, simulate realworld scenarios.	VR and AR applications ²

Challenges and Concerns in AI Adoption

While the integration of AI in Malaysian higher education offers significant advancements, it also presents a complex array of critical challenges and concerns that demand urgent attention. These issues are often interconnected, indicating a systemic problem that necessitates holistic solutions rather than isolated interventions.

Ethical Considerations and Algorithmic Bias

The widespread integration of AI in Malaysian public universities, despite its promise, introduces several critical ethical challenges.¹ These include fundamental concerns regarding bias, the potential for misinformation, and the evolving roles of educators within an AI-driven environment.¹⁷

A significant concern revolves around algorithmic bias. AI systems are typically trained on vast datasets, which can inherently contain biases reflecting existing societal inequalities. ¹ If these biases are not proactively





addressed, AI tools risk perpetuating and even exacerbating existing disparities within the educational system. For example, AI-driven admission systems could inadvertently favor certain demographic groups over others based on biased historical data, leading to discriminatory practices. This potential for discrimination necessitates the rigorous development and implementation of unbiased AI algorithms, coupled with regular audits to ensure fairness and equality in educational opportunities. Malaysia's culturally and linguistically diverse population presents distinct challenges and opportunities for tackling prejudice with AI-powered educational tools, requiring a thorough understanding of local socio-cultural dynamics to ensure AI systems

Another ethical concern is the "black box problem," referring to the opacity of some AI systems where their decision-making processes are not transparent. This lack of transparency raises significant ethical and practical concerns, particularly when AI systems support or make decisions that affect academic progression. Students, for instance, may find it difficult to understand how certain decisions are made by AI, leading to a lack of trust or perceived unfairness. 15

Academic Integrity and Plagiarism

are culturally sensitive and inclusive.²

The proliferation of generative AI tools, such as ChatGPT, has introduced considerable apprehension within the education sector, primarily due to their potential to undermine established academic paradigms like evaluation and course design. Students can readily utilize these AI tools to generate essays, answer quizzes, or complete assignments, raising significant concerns about academic integrity. 8

While AI detectors exist to combat this, they can sometimes erroneously flag original student work as AI-generated, complicating the situation for educators and potentially leading to unjust accusations. AI-driven plagiarism detection systems might also produce false positives or negatives, raising questions about their overall reliability and fairness. A critical consequence of over-reliance on AI for solutions is that students may bypass the essential learning process, thereby compromising academic integrity, unique thought, and creativity. Students who depend on AI for solutions risk missing out on crucial opportunities for personal growth and the development of their writing skills. 18

Data Privacy and Security Risks

The extensive deployment of AI systems in universities necessitates access to vast quantities of personal data, encompassing students' academic records, personal information, and behavioral patterns.² While these datasets are crucial for AI algorithms to function effectively, their collection and processing introduce significant privacy and security risks, including the potential for data breaches and unauthorized access to sensitive information.¹⁹ Incidents of weak security practices mishandling or exposing behavioral profiles and academic records have been reported, leading privacy advocates to warn that such issues are a primary reason for unfavorable views of AI in educational settings.¹⁸

The issue of consent is particularly complex, as students and staff may not be fully aware of the extent to which their data is being collected, analysed, and utilized by AI systems, leading to a lack of informed permission. ¹⁹ Unawareness regarding what data is collected, how it is used, and who has access to it can cause discomfort and negatively impact learning experiences. ¹⁵ Furthermore, concerns exist regarding data retention and the long-term consequences of holding substantial quantities of personal data, necessitating careful deliberation on appropriate retention durations and intended uses. ²⁰ Implementing stringent data management policies and ensuring compliance with frameworks like Malaysia's Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA) are imperative to safeguard student information. ²

Over-reliance on Technology and Impact on Critical Thinking

While AI offers notable educational benefits, a significant concern is that over-reliance on such technology may impair the development of critical thinking and independent learning skills. The availability of a machine's ready-made solution can discourage students from engaging in thorough analysis and deep learning. This presents a paradox: the very efficiency that makes AI attractive also poses a risk to the core





cognitive skills that higher education aims to cultivate. Malaysian HEIs face a delicate balancing act: leveraging AI's efficiency gains without inadvertently undermining the fundamental educational mission of fostering deep learning and critical thought. This suggests a need for pedagogical frameworks that actively integrate AI in a way that promotes rather than replaces cognitive effort.

Excessive reliance on AI could also lead to reduced human interaction in learning, potentially resulting in students feeling isolated. Teacher-student relationships, built on mentorship, human interaction, and emotional support, are threatened by automated systems. This diminishes opportunities for developing interpersonal skills and engaging in complex, dialogic learning that is crucial for fostering critical thinking and creativity. The simplicity of AI-generated information may encourage passive learning rather than active participation. Students might become lazy and delay assignments, relying on AI for quick solutions, which can diminish or eliminate their critical thinking abilities. Moreover, over-reliance on AI can reduce the essential role of educators, whose guidance and mentorship are vital for holistic student development and cannot be fully replicated by AI systems. On the can reduce the essential role of educators and reduce the cannot be fully replicated by AI systems.

Skills Gap, Infrastructure, and Funding Limitations

Despite AI's growing presence, its adoption among university students in Malaysia remains moderate, often attributable to limited digital literacy and a lack of understanding regarding AI's capabilities and implications. ⁴ There is a recognized shortage of AI talent in Malaysia, alongside a prevalent skills gap among educators who need to effectively integrate and utilize these technologies. ¹⁵ Students with limited exposure to AI and lower technological skills consistently exhibit lower adoption rates, even when they acknowledge the potential benefits of AI in education. ⁴

Challenges also extend to inadequate infrastructure and limited access to AI technologies, particularly pronounced in rural regions where a significant portion of B40 (bottom 40% income group) families reside. This disparity in access creates educational inequity, as AI-enhanced learning methods may primarily benefit students in urban areas with better connectivity and device availability. The interconnectedness of these challenges is evident; for instance, limited digital literacy contributes to a lack of understanding, which in turn can lead to over-reliance or resistance to change. Algorithmic bias is exacerbated by data privacy risks if biased data is collected and misused. This highlights that addressing one challenge in isolation is unlikely to be effective, necessitating a multi-faceted and integrated approach.

Furthermore, adequate funding and resources are crucial for investing in AI technologies, upgrading infrastructure, implementing comprehensive training programs for educators, and providing ongoing support for AI initiatives. Limited financial resources or competing priorities can pose significant challenges to scaling up AI initiatives across the educational system. While recent budget allocations have expanded funding for AI initiatives at research universities to the initial costs for developing and implementing sophisticated AI systems can be substantial, potentially prohibitive for many institutions, especially those lacking sufficient funding or technological expertise. Finally, resistance to change and the need for significant cultural shifts among staff members are notable obstacles, as teachers and administrators may harbor uncertainties about AI's impact on their roles and responsibilities.

Table 2: Key Challenges and Ethical Concerns of AI Integration in Malaysian HEIs

Challenge Category	Specific Concerns/Risks	Implications for Malaysian HEIs
Ethical Considerations & Algorithmic Bias	Bias in AI algorithms from historical data, lack of transparency ("black box problem"), potential for misinformation.	Risk of perpetuating societal inequalities, discriminatory practices in admissions/assessments, difficulty for students to understand AI decisions, need for unbiased algorithms and regular audits, cultural sensitivity required for diverse population. ¹
Academic Integrity &	Misuse of generative AI tools	Undermining educational process





ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue X October 2025

Plagiarism	(e.g., ChatGPT) for assignments, challenges in accurate plagiarism detection (false positives/negatives).	integrity, devaluing qualifications, compromising originality, hindering critical thinking and writing skills, need for robust detection and prevention strategies. ¹
Data Privacy & Security Risks	Collection of vast personal data (academic records, behavioral patterns), risk of breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, complex consent issues, data retention concerns.	Identity theft, damage to institutional reputation, violation of student rights, discomfort affecting learning, need for stringent data protection policies and transparent consent mechanisms. ²
Over-reliance on Technology & Impact on Critical Thinking	Excessive dependence on AI for solutions, reduced human interaction, passive learning, diminished role of educators.	Impairment of critical thinking, independent learning, and problem-solving skills; student isolation; hindered interpersonal skills; reduced self-confidence and academic resilience; need for balanced integration and human-centric pedagogy. ¹
Skills Gap, Infrastructure, & Funding Limitations	Limited digital literacy among students/educators, shortage of AI talent, inadequate infrastructure (especially rural areas), insufficient funding for AI initiatives, high initial costs, resistance to change.	Moderate AI adoption rates, educational inequity (digital divide), challenges in scaling up AI initiatives, need for comprehensive training programs, sustained funding, and cultural shifts within institutions. ⁴

Factors Influencing AI Adoption and Acceptance

The successful integration and widespread acceptance of AI applications in Malaysian higher education are contingent upon a complex interplay of factors, ranging from individual student perceptions to overarching national policies and institutional initiatives. Understanding these determinants is crucial for optimizing AI adoption and maximizing its benefits.

Student Perceptions and Behavioral Intentions

Student perceptions play a pivotal role in influencing the adoption of AI tools. Studies indicate that perceived usefulness (PU) and perceived ease of use (PEOU) are primary determinants of AI adoption among university students in Malaysia.⁴ Perceived usefulness refers to the degree to which students believe AI applications can improve their academic efficiency and learning outcomes.⁴ If students perceive AI as beneficial for tasks such as proofreading, summarizing texts, or obtaining instant feedback, they are more inclined to adopt it.⁴ Similarly, perceived ease of use examines how simple or intuitive students find AI applications to operate; tools that are difficult to understand or have a steep learning curve may discourage usage.⁴ Both factors significantly influence AI adoption, meaning students who perceive AI as beneficial and easy to use are more likely to integrate these applications into their academic activities.⁴

Technological skills also act as a significant moderating factor in the relationship between PU, PEOU, and AI adoption.⁴ Students possessing higher digital literacy and technical proficiency are more likely to explore and adopt AI-powered applications with greater ease and confidence.⁴ Conversely, students with limited exposure to AI and lower technological skills exhibit lower adoption rates, even if they acknowledge the potential benefits.⁴ This highlights that technological skill gaps pose a substantial barrier to AI adoption, emphasizing the need for AI literacy programs and user-friendly designs to enhance uptake.⁴





Beyond these, other critical determinants influencing the intention to adopt AI applications and mobile learning solutions include social influence (SI), effort expectancy (EE), hedonic motivations (HM), and consumer trust (TR).²¹ These factors collectively shape students' behavioral intentions towards AI use, with satisfaction having a particularly strong impact on students' use of AI in higher education curricula.¹² The pedagogical fit of AI tools, ensuring they align with student expectations and learning objectives, also significantly influences their acceptance and use.¹² Despite their positive correlation with AI adoption, content quality and perceived credibility were found to have weaker and statistically non-significant effects, suggesting

that students may prioritize functionality and user experience over these aspects. 14

Policy Frameworks and Institutional Initiatives

Malaysia's strategic integration of AI is guided by pivotal policy frameworks aimed at becoming a high-tech nation by 2030.⁵ The National Science, Technology and Innovation Policy 2021–2030 and the National Fourth Industrial Revolution Policy provide comprehensive strategies and guiding principles for ministries and agencies, aligning AI integration with broader national development policies like the Twelfth Malaysia Plan and Shared Prosperity Vision 2030.⁶ The National AI Roadmap 2021–2025 specifically aims to establish a thriving AI innovation ecosystem and encourage the development and implementation of AI solutions across various sectors, including education.⁵ The newly established National AI Office (2024) under the Ministry of Digital further aims to position Malaysia as a key AI player within ASEAN and globally, focusing on enhancing AI capabilities and promoting cross-sector collaboration.⁶ These policy-driven efforts underscore that AI integration in Malaysian HE is a deliberate, government-backed national imperative, aiming for national competitiveness and economic prosperity in the digital era.

The Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) and the Malaysia Qualifications Agency are central to this framework, supporting academic research into AI by releasing advisory notes and guidelines on the responsible use of generative AI.⁵ This cascading effect for AI transformation extends to individual higher educational institutions through "smart campus" and other digital education initiatives.⁵ Universities like Universiti Putra Malaysia, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, and Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdullah have developed internal guidelines for teachers, students, and postgraduate researchers, while Sunway University is also drafting its guiding principles for AI integration.⁶

Significant financial investment is supporting these initiatives, with the 2025 budget expanding funding for AI initiatives at research universities from MYR 20 million to MYR 50 million. Each university is tasked with a unique focus area for AI research, aligned with national priorities. For instance, Universiti Malaya will concentrate on AI applications in medicine, particularly in cancer research. Universiti Putra Malaysia, in collaboration with the National Cyber Security Agency, will establish a Malaysian cryptology technology and management center, advancing quantum computing AI for cybersecurity. Universiti Sains Malaysia will align its AI research with the nation's role as a global hub for semiconductors. Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia will focus on AI-driven translation to elevate the status of the Malay language in scientific research. Notably, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia launched the first university faculty dedicated solely to AI in May 2024, funded by the Government of Malaysia, offering comprehensive AI programs and aiming to position Malaysia as a leader in AI within ASEAN and globally. This demonstrates a clear understanding that educational outcomes related to AI literacy and talent development have direct implications for Malaysia's international competitiveness and influence. The government also offers tax breaks to private universities developing new programs in digital technology, including AI, to foster high-income job creation and attract students.

Table 3: Factors Influencing AI Adoption among Malaysian Students

Factor Category	Specific Determinants	Impact on AI Adoption	Supporting Snippets
Perceived Attributes of AI	Perceived Usefulness (PU)	Students are more likely to adopt AI if they believe it improves academic efficiency and learning outcomes (e.g., proofreading, instant feedback).	4





ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue X October 2025

	Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)	Students are more likely to adopt AI if they find the applications simple and intuitive to operate.	4
	Information Accuracy	Higher perceived accuracy of AI tools positively influences acceptance and use.	12
	Pedagogical Fit	AI tools that align well with student expectations and learning objectives are more readily accepted.	12
Individual Capabilities & Mindset	Technological Skills / Digital Literacy	Higher digital literacy and technical proficiency lead to greater ease and confidence in adopting AI applications. Limited skills hinder adoption.	4
	Hedonic Motivations (HM)	Enjoyment and pleasure derived from using AI-powered mobile learning solutions influence adoption intention.	21
	Consumer Trust (TR)	Trust in AI systems significantly impacts the intention to use AI-powered mobile learning solutions.	21
Social & Environmental Context	Social Influence (SI)	The perception that important others (peers, instructors) use AI or expect its use influences adoption intention.	21
	Effort Expectancy (EE)	The degree of ease associated with using AI tools, influenced by social factors, impacts adoption intention.	12
	Student Interaction with Tools	Positive interaction with AI tools is an important factor in predicting acceptance and use.	12
	Satisfaction with AI Integration	Higher satisfaction with user-friendly, reliable, and effective AI platforms strongly impacts continued use.	12

Comparison with other digital technologies

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is an established information systems framework that explains how users come to accept and utilize new technologies. It has been widely adopted by information systems researchers to address organizational challenges related to fostering the acceptance and effective use of new technological systems. TAM identifies two core determinants of technology adoption: perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use ^{17.} The underlying premise is that the more users believe a technology will enhance their performance, and the easier it is to operate, the more likely they are to adopt it. Over time, additional variables have been incorporated to extend and strengthen the original model.

TAM has also been used to examine factors influencing the adoption of big data initiatives. For example, TAM to identify determinants of big data adoption and found that perceived usefulness and perceived benefits significantly influenced adoption decisions, whereas perceived ease of use was not a strong predictor. Similarly, the variable of social influence into TAM to analyse its role in the adoption of big data within organizations. Their findings revealed that social influence plays a meaningful role, suggesting that collective attitudes and shared perceptions among individuals can contribute to successful implementation of big data initiatives¹⁷.





Rural and Urban Institutions using Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) provides a useful framework for understanding how different environments influence technology acceptance. When applied to rural and urban institutions, differences can be observed in the determinants of technology adoption, particularly in perceived usefulness (PU), perceived ease of use (PEOU), and external influencing factors such as infrastructure, digital literacy, and social influence.

Table 4: Comparison Rural and Urban Institution in Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

Perceived Usefulness (PU)

Aspect	Rural Institutions	Urban Institutions
View of technology benefits	Technology may be perceived as useful only when it clearly addresses essential needs, such as improving access to healthcare, education, or commerce.	Technology is often perceived as essential and beneficial for improving efficiency, productivity, communication, and data-driven decision-making.
Motivation to adopt	Driven by necessity (e.g., overcoming geographical isolation).	Driven by innovation and competitive advantage.

Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)

Aspect	Rural Institutions	Urban Institutions
User familiarity with technology	Lower digital exposure may result in technology being perceived as complex or intimidating.	Higher technological exposure and training foster confidence and ease of use.
Support systems	Limited technical support and training opportunities can reduce perceived ease of use.	Availability of IT specialists, training programs, and digital infrastructure increases perceived ease of use.

External Variables

Aspect	Rural Institutions	Urban Institutions
Infrastructure	Limited internet access, unstable connectivity, and lack of ICT facilities hinder adoption.	Stable broadband connectivity, modern ICT tools, and infrastructure support faster adoption.
Digital literacy	Lower levels of digital literacy may slow acceptance.	Higher exposure and education lead to better digital competence.
Social influence	Community norms, government programs, or peer adoption strongly influence decisions.	Adoption often influenced by organizational culture, professional networks, and market competition.

Behavioral Intention and Actual Usage

Aspect	Rural Institutions	Urban Institutions
Likelihood of adoption	Adoption may be slower and dependent on external support such as policies, subsidies, or training.	Adoption is more rapid and often seen as inevitable for organizational growth.



S I S I

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue X October 2025

Usage pattern	Technology is adopted selectively and gradually.	Technology is integrated widely into
		operational and strategic functions.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The current landscape of AI integration in Malaysian higher education is characterized by significant transformative potential alongside a complex array of challenges. AI applications are already enhancing personalized learning experiences through adaptive platforms and virtual tutors, streamlining administrative processes across admissions, records, and financial aid, and revolutionizing assessment methodologies with automated grading and nuanced feedback. Furthermore, AI is actively supporting research and academic development while promoting greater accessibility and inclusion for diverse learners. These advancements are strategically aligned with Malaysia's Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) agenda, positioning AI integration as a critical component of the nation's economic competitiveness and its ambition for regional AI leadership. The adoption of AI in HE is not merely an organic technological evolution but a deliberate, government-backed national imperative.

However, the journey is fraught with critical concerns. Ethical dilemmas, particularly algorithmic bias and the "black box problem," pose risks to fairness and transparency. Academic integrity is challenged by the misuse of generative AI tools and the limitations of current plagiarism detection. Data privacy and security risks are paramount due to the vast amounts of personal data collected, necessitating robust protection measures and transparent consent mechanisms. A significant tension exists in the paradox of AI's efficiency, where over-reliance on technology may inadvertently impair the development of critical thinking and independent learning skills, diminishing human interaction crucial for holistic student development. This underscores that while AI offers greater efficiency, it must be balanced with the foundational educational mission of fostering deep cognitive abilities. Finally, existing skills gaps, infrastructure limitations, particularly in rural areas, and funding constraints present practical barriers to widespread and equitable AI adoption. These challenges are interconnected, forming a systemic problem that requires holistic and multi-faceted solutions. To navigate this evolving landscape effectively, a concerted and collaborative effort from all stakeholders is essential.

Recommendations for Policy Makers

- **Develop Comprehensive AI Governance and Ethical Guidelines:** Policy makers should prioritize the development and enforcement of clear, comprehensive guidelines for AI use in education, focusing on ethical considerations such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, and academic integrity. This includes establishing mechanisms for regular audits of AI systems to ensure fairness and prevent discrimination, especially given Malaysia's diverse population.
- Invest in AI Infrastructure and Funding for Research and Implementation: Sustained and increased funding is crucial for upgrading digital infrastructure, particularly in underserved rural areas, to ensure equitable access to AI technologies across all HEIs. Investment should also target research and development in AI applications tailored to the Malaysian educational context and support pilot programs for effective AI integration.
- **Promote AI Literacy and Talent Development:** National policies should emphasize and fund programs aimed at enhancing AI literacy among both students and educators from early stages of education through higher education. This includes upskilling and reskilling initiatives to address the shortage of AI talent and prepare a workforce capable of leveraging AI for national economic growth and regional leadership.

 5

Recommendations for Higher Education Institutions

• Integrate AI Responsibly and Ethically into Curricula and Administration: HEIs must develop institutional policies and frameworks for the responsible and ethical integration of AI, ensuring that AI tools complement rather than replace essential cognitive skills. This involves careful selection and implementation of AI applications, prioritizing those that enhance learning outcomes without compromising academic integrity or student privacy.

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue X October 2025



- **Prioritize Faculty Training and Professional Development:** Institutions should invest significantly in continuous professional development programs for educators, equipping them with the necessary skills to effectively use, evaluate, and critically engage with AI tools. ¹⁵ This training should also address pedagogical shifts required to integrate AI in a way that fosters critical thinking and deep learning.
- Foster a Balanced Approach to AI Use, Emphasizing Critical Thinking: HEIs should design learning experiences that encourage active participation and critical evaluation of AI-generated information, rather than passive consumption. This involves promoting human interaction, mentorship, and probslem-solving skills that are essential for holistic student development and cannot be replicated by AI. 19

Recommendations for Students

- Develop AI Literacy and Critical Evaluation Skills: Students should actively seek opportunities to
 enhance their understanding of AI concepts, its applications, and its limitations.⁴ Developing critical
 evaluation skills is paramount to discern reliable information from AI-generated content and avoid overreliance.¹⁸
- Understand the Ethical Implications of AI Tool Usage: Students must be aware of the ethical considerations associated with AI tools, including data privacy, academic integrity, and potential biases. A Responsible and ethical use of AI tools is crucial for maintaining academic honesty and protecting personal data.

By adopting these recommendations, Malaysian highsser education can harness the full potential of AI to create more engaging, inclusive, and efficient learning environments, thereby preparing students for the challenges of the digital age and contributing to Malaysia's strategic national objectives.

REFERENCES

- 1. (PDF) Embracing Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for Change in ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386268161_Embracing_Artificial_Intelligence_as_a_Catalyst_for_Change_in_Reshaping_Malaysian_Higher_Education_in_the_Digital_Era_A_Literature_Review
- 2. The Impact of AI on Modern Education: Transforming Learning ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://blog.fame.edu.my/impact-of-ai-on-modern_education/
- 3. AI causing changes in higher education strategies | Daily Express Malaysia, accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.dailyexpress.com.my/read/5902/ai-causing-changes-in-higher-education-strategies/
- 4. ANALYSIS OF THE USAGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI ..., accessed July 24, 2025, http://www.ijitsc.net/index.php/journal/article/view/142/88
- 5. MALAYSIA International Science Council, accessed July 24, 2025, https://council.science/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/AI-Paper-Case-Study-Malaysia_V1.pdf
- 6. MALAYSIA International Science Council, accessed July 24, 2025, https://council.science/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/AI-Paper-Case-Study-Malaysia_V2.pdf
- 7. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education Systems ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://sciety-labs.elifesciences.org/articles/by?article_doi=10.21203/rs.3.rs-6987750/v1
- 8. (PDF) Challenges and Opportunities of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392402991_Challenges_and_Opportunities_of_Artificial_Intel ligence_AI_for_Malaysian_Higher_Education_An_Exploratory_Analysis
- 9. (PDF) The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education Systems: Evidence From Malaysia ResearchGate, accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393282739_The_Impact_of_Artificial_Intelligence_AI_in_Ed ucation_Systems_Evidence_From_Malaysia
- 10. (PDF) Effects of Intelligent Tutoring Systems on Educational Outcomes:, accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388787652_Effects_of_Intelligent_Tutoring_Systems_on_Educational_Outcomes
- 11. Implementing artificial intelligence in academic and ... Frontiers, accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/education/articles/10.3389/feduc.2025.1548104/full
- 12. (PDF) Investigating AI-based academic support acceptance and its ..., accessed July 24, 2025,





- https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378942128 Investigating AIbased academic support acceptance and its impact on students' performance in Malaysian and Pa kistani higher education institutions
- 13. A Methodological Framework for AI-Integrated Alternative Assessments in Engineering Education -UKM, accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.ukm.my/jkukm/wp-content/uploads/2025/3702/20.pdf
- 14. (PDF) Understanding the role of AI in Malaysian higher education curricula: an analysis of student perceptions ResearchGate, accessed 24. 2025. July https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391368432_Understanding_the_role_of_AI_in_Malaysian_hig her_education_curricula_an_analysis_of_student_perceptions
- 15. The Challenges of Higher Education Students Face in Using ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=136877
- 16. Malaysia 24, ohchr. July 2025, https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/issues/education/cfis/aieducation/submissions/subm-artificial-intelligence-sta-malaysia.docx
- 17. Davis, F.D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. MIS Quarterly, 13 (3), 319.
- Malaysia's Education OpEd - Eurasia Review, accessed July 24. 2025. https://www.eurasiareview.com/02012025-ai-in-malaysias-education-oped/
- 19. AI In Malaysian Education: The Good, The Bad, The Ugly BusinessToday Malaysia, accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.businesstoday.com.my/2025/02/23/ai-in-malaysian-education-the-good-the-bad-theugly/
- 20. (PDF) Navigating AI Ethics in Malaysian Universities: Addressing ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389100884_Navigating_AI_Ethics_in_Malaysian_Universities Addressing Privacy Integrity and Bias
- 21. Understanding AI and Mobile Learning Adoption in Malaysian ..., accessed July 24, 2025, https://onlinejournals.org/index.php/i-jim/article/view/52977