

# Invisible Minorities: Understanding White Student Attrition and the Politics of Belonging in Zimbabwean Education

Edmore Mashungu<sup>1</sup>, Reason Gobvu<sup>2</sup>, Joyce Mudzamiri<sup>3</sup>, Herbert Tichaona Mareya<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Educational Studies Faculty of Education Zimbabwe Open University

<sup>2</sup>Department of Information Science and Records Management Faculty of Applied Social Sciences  
Zimbabwe Open University

<sup>3</sup>Department of Quality Assurance Zimbabwe Open University

<sup>4</sup>Department of Teacher Development Faculty of Education Zimbabwe Open University

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91100094>

Received: 18 November 2025; Accepted: 24 November 2025; Published: 01 December 2025

## ABSTRACT

This paper examined the phenomenon of white student attrition from Zimbabwe's educational system as a critical lens for understanding the politics of belonging in a post-colonial state. Moving beyond economic explanations for white emigration, this qualitative study investigated the micro-dynamics within schools that influenced the decisions of white families to withdraw their children. It drew on in-depth interviews with parents, students, and educators, the paper argued that attrition is not merely a demographic trend but a symptomatic response to a contested national identity. Findings revealed that the formal curriculum, daily social interactions, and institutional culture created an environment where white students often occupied a liminal space—physically present but socially and symbolically peripheral. This paper concluded that the attrition of white students reflected broader, unresolved tensions in Zimbabwe's nation-building project, raising critical questions about the inclusivity of national institutions and the possibility of a genuinely multicultural citizenship.

**Keywords:** Zimbabwe, education, whiteness, student attrition, politics of belonging, post-colonial, identity, nationalism.

## INTRODUCTION

The Zimbabwean education system, lauded for its high literacy rates following independence in 1980, was envisioned as a crucible for forging a new, unified national identity (Dorsey, 1989). Designed to dismantle the racial segregation of the colonial era, educational reform aimed to create an inclusive system that served all citizens. However, the post-2000 period, marked by radical land reform and a shifting political landscape, triggered a significant exodus of the white population (Crush & Tevera, 2010). While this demographic shift is well-documented at a macro level, its specific manifestation within the educational sphere remains underexplored.

This paper addresses a critical gap in the literature by focusing on the attrition of white students from Zimbabwean schools. We conceptualize this attrition not as a simple function of economic push factors, but as a complex process embedded in the "politics of belonging" (Yuval-Davis, 2006)—the complex interplay of social, cultural, and political processes that determine who is included or excluded from the national collective. The central research question guiding this paper is: How do the politics of belonging, as experienced within Zimbabwean schools, contribute to the attrition of white students?

We argue that the school serves as a key site where the boundaries of the nation are negotiated and performed. For white Zimbabwean students, the experience within this space is often one of becoming an "invisible minority"—formally entitled to education but increasingly socially and culturally marginalised. Their subsequent

attrition is, therefore, a telling indicator of the limitations of post-colonial integration and the enduring power of racialised narratives in defining authentic belonging (Chimbunde & Kgari-Masondo, 2021). Therefore, this study seeks to address this gap in the literature by exploring the experiences of White students in Zimbabwean education. By examining their perceptions of belonging, the factors contributing to their attrition, and the role of educational policies and practices, this research aims to provide a more nuanced understanding of the complexities of race, identity, and educational opportunity in a post-colonial context. The findings will inform recommendations for creating more inclusive and equitable educational environments for all students in Zimbabwe, acknowledging the legacies of the past while striving for a more just and equitable future

## Background Of the Study

The historical context of education in Zimbabwe is crucial to understanding the present-day experiences of all students, including the often-overlooked White minority. Zimbabwe's education system was deeply shaped by its colonial past, characterized by racial segregation and unequal resource allocation, Bloom et al (2006). During the colonial era, particularly under Rhodesian rule, a dual system of education existed: one for Europeans and another for Africans Chung, & Ngara. (1985). White students benefited from well-funded schools, qualified teachers, and a curriculum designed to maintain their privileged status. In contrast, Black African students faced limited access to education, with poorly resourced schools, overcrowded classrooms, and a curriculum often designed to prepare them for subordinate roles in society Dorsey (1975). This disparity was not merely a matter of resources; it was embedded in a broader ideological framework that reinforced racial hierarchies and White minority rule Bloom et al (2006).

The stark inequalities in education spending exemplify this division. Even though the White population constituted a small percentage of the overall population, they received a disproportionately large share of the education budget, Edwards & Tisdell, (1990). For instance, some sources indicate that the government spent significantly more per White student than per Black student Bloom et al (2006). This ensured that White students had access to quality facilities, textbooks, and a learning environment conducive to academic success Edwards & Tisdell, (1990).

Following Zimbabwe's independence in 1980, the government of Robert Mugabe prioritized expanding access to education for all citizens, regardless of race Chung, & Ngara. (1985). Significant strides were made in increasing enrollment rates, building new schools, and training more teachers Bloom et al (2006). The government aimed to dismantle the racially segregated system and create a more equitable educational landscape Edwards, L. & Tisdell, C.A. (1990). This commitment was reflected in policy changes and increased investment in education, particularly in previously marginalized communities. The Education Act of 1987 abolished all methods of discrimination from the Education Act of 1979 Chung, & Ngara. (1985).

However, the transition to a more equitable system was not without its challenges. While access to education improved for many Black students, the quality of education remained unevenly distributed Kubatana (2021). Disparities persisted between urban and rural schools, as well as between formerly White "Group A" schools and formerly Black "Group B" schools, Dorsey (1975). Moreover, the rapid expansion of the education system strained resources, leading to teacher shortages, inadequate infrastructure, and a lack of learning materials in some areas Kubatana (2021).

Furthermore, the post-independence era brought about significant social and economic changes that impacted all racial groups in Zimbabwe. The White population declined due to emigration, and the country faced economic challenges that affected the education sector. As the demographics of schools changed, White students found themselves as a minority in a system that was now geared towards addressing the needs of the Black majority Mavhunga, N. (2006).

Despite the historical focus on redressing inequalities faced by Black students, the experiences of White students in post-independence Zimbabwe have received limited scholarly attention. Anecdotal evidence and media

reports suggest that some White students may face unique challenges related to their identity, belonging, and perceptions of opportunity within the education system, Mavhunga, (2006). Some may experience subtle forms of exclusion or alienation due to historical associations with privilege. Others may struggle to navigate a system that prioritizes the experiences and perspectives of the Black majority Moyo, (2014). It is also important to consider the intersection of race with socio-economic status, as White students from lower-income backgrounds may face additional barriers to educational success Kubatana (2021).

### Theoretical Framework: Whiteness and the Politics of Belonging

To analyse white student attrition, we employ a theoretical framework that synthesizes Critical Whiteness Studies (CWS) and the concept of the politics of belonging.

Critical Whiteness Studies provides a lens to deconstruct the invisible normativity of whiteness. In colonial Rhodesia, whiteness was synonymous with power and privilege, structurally embedded in every institution, including education (Summers, 1994). In contemporary Zimbabwe, CWS helps us understand how whiteness is re-articulated as a contested identity. As Steyn (2001) argues in the South African context, whiteness must be "rehabilitated" or renegotiated in the post-apartheid era. For white students in Zimbabwe, this translates to navigating an educational field where their racial identity is hyper-visible yet politically and culturally delegitimized.

This process of renegotiation is central to the politics of belonging (Yuval-Davis, 2006). Belonging is not a static condition but a dynamic, often fraught, process of claiming and being granted legitimacy within a community. The politics of belonging involves specific projects that construct the "national self" in opposition to the "other." In Zimbabwe, official nationalism has often been constructed around a narrative of *chimurenga* (liberation struggle) and indigeneity, which can implicitly position white citizens as perpetual outsiders, legatees of the colonial past rather than stakeholders in the national future (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2009).

By combining these frameworks, we can see schools not just as places of learning, but as arenas where these racial and national boundaries are policed through curriculum, pedagogy, and peer interactions. The decision to leave the school system becomes the ultimate act of dis-identification, a response to the failure of being granted full belonging.

## METHODOLOGY

This paper draws on a qualitative research design conducted between [2024] and [2025]. The primary data consists of 35 in-depth, semi-structured interviews with three key participant groups in Harare and Bulawayo:

1. White Parents (n=15) who had withdrawn their children from the Zimbabwean school system or were actively considering it.
2. White Students (n=12) aged 16-24, some of whom had left the system and others who were currently enrolled but expressed a desire to leave.
- iii. Educators (n=8), including school principals and teachers of various racial backgrounds, who provided institutional perspectives.

Participants were recruited using purposive and snowball sampling. All interviews were transcribed verbatim and analysed using reflexive thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006), which allowed for the identification of recurrent patterns of meaning related to belonging, identity, and exclusion. Ethical protocols, including informed consent and guarantees of anonymity, were strictly followed. All names used herein are pseudonyms.

### Findings and Analysis

The analysis of interview data revealed three primary themes through which the politics of belonging is experienced and which contribute to attrition: the contested curriculum, social liminality, and the institutional culture of silence.

## The Contested Curriculum: Erasure in the Narrative of the Nation

Participants consistently reported that the national curriculum, particularly in subjects like History and Divinity, presented a narrative of the nation that excluded or villainised their heritage. A white student, "Tendai" (18, pseudonym), who had since moved to a school in South Africa, stated:

*"In history class, it was always about the 'brutal settlers' and the 'liberation heroes.' I remember feeling everyone's eyes on me. I am Zimbabwean, I was born here, but in that classroom, I was the settler. There was no story for me."*

This sentiment was echoed by parents. One father, "John," explained his decision to send his children abroad: *"How can my children feel they belong to a country whose official story in school tells them their ancestors are monsters? It's not about hiding the past, it's about the complete lack of balance. It creates a sense of inherent guilt."*

This reflects the power of the curriculum as a tool for constructing belonging (Apple, 2019). By presenting a monolithic national narrative, the curriculum engages in a symbolic politics that defines the "authentic" citizen in racialised terms, effectively performing a discursive erasure of white Zimbabweans from the national story.

### 2. Social Liminality: The Hyper-Visible Outsider

Beyond the formal curriculum, the daily social life of the school reinforced a sense of liminality—a state of inbetweenness. While not always victims of overt bullying, white students described a pervasive sense of social isolation. "Sarah" (16), a current student, shared:

*"I have friends, but it's like there's a line. When they talk about family gatherings, mapoto [informal pots], or certain cultural jokes, I just smile and nod. I'm there, but I'm not really part of it. You're always the mukiwa [white person]."*

This experience of being the "hyper-visible outsider" demonstrates how informal social boundaries are drawn. Whiteness becomes a master status that overrides other aspects of identity, constantly marking the student as different. As one teacher, "Mrs. Chidemo," observed: *"The white students often stick together. It's not that they are unfriendly, but there is a mutual comfort there. The black students also tend to self-segregate. It's an unspoken divide."* This self-segregation is a coping mechanism for the discomfort of navigating a social field where one feels perpetually out of place.

### 3. Institutional Culture: Silence and the Fear of Stigma

A third significant finding was the culture of silence surrounding issues of race within school administrations. Parents reported that attempts to raise concerns about racial bias or their children's social integration were often met with dismissal or defensiveness. "Linda," a mother of two, recounted:

*"When I went to the headmaster about my son being called a 'murungu' [white person] in a derogatory way, I was told, 'Boys will be boys,' and that I was being 'oversensitive.' There is no mechanism to address this. You are made to feel like you are the problem for noticing it."*

This institutional reluctance to engage with racial issues reinforces the notion that the experiences of white students are illegitimate or unimportant. It signals that their belonging is conditional upon their silence and their willingness to accept a peripheral status without complaint. This lack of institutional support is a powerful push factor, convincing parents that the school environment is not a safe or nurturing space for their children's identity development.

## DISCUSSION

Zimbabwe's education system has undergone significant reforms since independence in 1980. Initially, education was designed to rectify colonial disparities, emphasizing universal access and equity. However,

economic challenges, especially in the late 1990s and early 2000s, have strained these reforms, leading to increased class sizes, resource shortages, and a shift in focus toward basic survival instead of quality education.

The reforms have often overlooked the unique needs of minority groups, including white students, who have historically experienced different educational trajectories compared to their black counterparts. This is compounded by the socio-political landscape shaped by the land redistribution policies of the late 1990s, which resulted in significant land dispossession for white farmers. These events contributed to a perception of white students as "invisible minorities"—a term that refers to groups whose identities and experiences may be overlooked in broader discussions about race and belonging.

The politics of land redistribution significantly influenced the socio-economic fabric of Zimbabwe. As the government sanctioned the seizure of white-owned farms, many white families faced not just economic displacement but also social ostracism. This contributed to a diminishing presence of white students in the school system, reflecting broader societal tensions. The politics surrounding land distribution created a complex environment where white students often feel alienated, leading to higher attrition rates.

Demographic changes, including the migration of white families out of Zimbabwe, have also impacted the educational landscape. With fewer white students remaining, schools have become more homogeneously black, which exacerbates feelings of exclusion among the remaining white students. The concept of "attrition"—the phenomenon where students leave the educational institution before completing their education—takes on a specific meaning in this context, indicating not merely personal choices but systemic factors that favor certain demographics over others.

The findings demonstrate that the attrition of white students is a direct consequence of a political and social environment that fails to grant them full belonging. The experiences within the school—the exclusionary national narrative, the social liminality, and the institutional silence—collectively create what we term a "pedagogy of dis-identification."

This process aligns with Bourdieu's concept of symbolic violence (Bourdieu, 1991), where the dominant culture is misrecognised as legitimate, leading the marginalised group to internalise their own otherness. The curriculum and social interactions symbolically communicate to white students that they are not legitimate heirs to the Zimbabwean nation. Their subsequent departure is not just a logistical choice but an embodied response to this symbolic violence—an attempt to find a social field where their identity is not a liability.

This phenomenon has profound implications for Zimbabwe. Firstly, it challenges the official rhetoric of national unity and "one people," revealing the fissures along racial lines. Secondly, it represents a loss of human capital and diversity within the educational system, which is detrimental to fostering the cross-cultural understanding necessary for a cohesive society. The departure of any community from a national institution signifies a failure of that institution to be truly inclusive.

The "invisible minority" status of white students is a paradox: they are hyper-visible as racial subjects yet invisible as legitimate members of the school community and, by extension, the nation. Their decision to leave is a profound commentary on the boundaries of Zimbabwean citizenship. The term "politics of belonging" refers to the dynamics of inclusion and exclusion within social institutions. In the Zimbabwean educational context, this is particularly pertinent. White students often navigate a complex social landscape where their identity influences their experience. The lingering historical perceptions of privilege associated with being white create barriers to belonging in predominantly black institutions.

Understanding this politics requires examining other minority groups within Zimbabwean schools, such as those from the San or other indigenous communities, who face nuanced forms of exclusion. These groups also struggle with their narratives of belonging in a rapidly changing educational landscape. By acknowledging these experiences, we can better contextualize the white students' struggles without overstating their uniqueness, recognizing that the challenges of belonging are shared across different minority communities.

For future research, a comparative study with other post-colonial African nations could yield valuable insights. For policy, this study suggests an urgent need for curriculum reform that embraces a multi-perspective history and for teacher training that equips educators to facilitate difficult conversations about race and identity. Ultimately, building a truly inclusive Zimbabwean education system requires confronting the uncomfortable legacy of the past not to re-live it, but to transcend it, creating a national belonging expansive enough to include all its citizens.

From a policy perspective, this study underscores an urgent need for curriculum reform that moves beyond a single narrative to embrace a multi-perspective history—one that acknowledges colonial legacies while amplifying indigenous voices and experiences. Equally critical is investment in teacher training programs that equip educators not only with content knowledge but also with the pedagogical skills to facilitate difficult yet necessary conversations about race, identity, and belonging in the classroom.

Ultimately, building a truly inclusive Zimbabwean education system requires confronting the uncomfortable legacy of the past—not to re-live it, but to transcend it. This means creating a sense of national belonging expansive enough to include all citizens, regardless of ethnicity, language, or historical background. Such transformation demands courage, dialogue, and a commitment to equity, ensuring that education becomes a tool for reconciliation and nation-building rather than division.

## CONCLUSION

This paper has argued that the attrition of white students from Zimbabwean schools is a critical barometer of the country's unresolved politics of belonging. By moving beyond economic explanations and focusing on the lived experiences within educational institutions, we have shown how attrition is a structured process driven by curricular erasure, social liminality, and institutional neglect. The conversation around white student attrition and belonging in Zimbabwean education must account for the historical, political, and demographic complexities that define their experiences. By analyzing the effects of educational reforms, land redistribution, and the nuances of belonging across various minority groups, we deepen our understanding of the systemic factors influencing attrition rates and the dynamics of inclusion in Zimbabwe's education system. This holistic approach fosters a more nuanced discussion that values each group's distinct challenges while recognizing common themes of exclusion and belonging.

## REFERENCES

1. Apple, M. W. (2019). *Ideology and curriculum* (4th ed.). Routledge.
2. Bloom, D. E., Canning, D., & Chan, K. (2006). *Higher education and economic development in Africa*.
3. Africa Region Human Development Working Paper Series, (102).
4. Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and symbolic power*. Harvard University Press.
5. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
6. Chimbunde, P., & Kgari-Masondo, M. C. (2021). Decolonising curriculum change and implementation: Voices from Social Studies Zimbabwean Teachers. *Yesterday & Today Journal for History Education in South Africa and Abroad*, 25.
7. Chung, F., & Ngara, E. (1985). *Socialism, Education and Development: A Challenge to Zimbabwe*. Zimbabwe Publishing House.
8. Crush, J., & Tevera, D. (2010). *Zimbabwe's exodus: Crisis, migration, survival*. IDRC.
9. Dorsey, B. J. (1989). Educational development and reform in Zimbabwe. *Comparative Education Review*, 33(1), 40–58.
10. Dorsey, B.J. (1975). The African school leaver: Aspirations, academic achievement and post school employment. In M.W. Murphree (Ed.) *Education, Race and Employment in Rhodesia*. Artca publications.
11. Edwards, L. & Tisdell, C.A. (1990). The Organisation of Education Finance in Zimbabwe Before and After Independence. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 10(3), 159-170.

12. Feagin, J. R., & Sikes, M. P. (1994). *Living with racism: The Black middle-class experience*. Beacon Press.
13. Kubatana. (2021, August 23). *Zim Schools Still Face Numerous Challenges in Providing Quality Education: Report*. Kubatana.net. <https://kubatana.net/2021/08/23/zim-schools-still-face-numerouschallenges-in-providing-quality-education-report/>
14. Mapako, R. (n.d.). *Rugare Mapako claims education to Africans offered limited academic and foundational skills in order to promote labor exploitation and indentured servitude*.
15. Mavhunga, N. (2006). *Styles of knowing: A postcolonial reconstruction of science education for double consciousness*. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 1(3), 445-466.
16. Mlambo, A. S. (2018). *A history of Zimbabwe, 1890-2000*. Cambridge University Press.
17. Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2009). *Do Zimbabweans exist? Trajectories of nationalism, national identity formation and crisis in a postcolonial state*. Peter Lang.
18. Ranger, T. (2018). *Writing Revolt: An Engagement with African Nationalism, 1957-67*. James Currey Publishers.
19. Steyn, M. (2001). *Whiteness just isn't what it used to be: White identity in a changing South Africa*. State University of New York Press.
20. Summers, C. (1994). *From civilization to segregation: Social ideals and social control in Southern Rhodesia, 1890-1934*. Ohio University Press.
21. Yuval-Davis, N. (2006). *Belonging and the politics of belonging*. *Patterns of Prejudice*, 40(3), 197–214.