Enhancing Mobility and Independence of Visually Impaired Individuals through Mobile-Based Real-Time Obstacle Detection Systems

Khairul Adilah binti Ahmad [1], Anis Faradella Abdul Malik [2*], Norin Rahayu Shamsuddin [1,3*]

[1]Faculty of Computer and Mathematical Science, Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

[2*]Faculty of Information Science, Universiti Teknologi MARA Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

[3*]Integrated Simulation & Visualization Research Interest Group, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Cawangan Kedah, Malaysia

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91100131

Received: 16 November 2025; Accepted: 24 November 2025; Published: 02 December 2025

ABSTRACT

Independent mobility is a critical determinant of social health and Quality of Life for individuals with visual impairments, yet physical barriers and limitations in traditional aids often lead to restricted travel, contributing significantly to loneliness, social exclusion, and heightened risks of depression and anxiety. This paper systematically analyzes the development and implementation challenges of Mobile-Based Real-Time Obstacle Detection Systems as a pivotal technological intervention designed to overcome these barriers. Successful RT-ODS relies on highly optimized technical architectures, such as the lightweight YOLOv8 deep learning model, tailored for efficient real-time inference on resource-constrained mobile platforms. Empirical evidence demonstrates the feasibility of achieving robust performance, with some systems attaining an accuracy greater than 90% and a mAP less than 0.5, under varying environmental conditions. Crucially, the adoption and long-term efficacy of these systems are contingent upon addressing socio-economic and ethical constraints. User-centric design requires integrating multimodal feedback (auditory and haptic), while economic accessibility demands low production costs to serve a population often facing financial vulnerability. This synthesis concludes that Real-Time Obstacle Detection Systems, when developed with a comprehensive interdisciplinary approach that balances technical optimization, cost-effectiveness, and rigorous ethical compliance, offers a viable, scalable pathway to significantly enhance the confidence, independence, and social integration of visual impairments individuals.

Keywords: Visual Impairment, Social Exclusion, Object Detection, Real-Time Systems, Deep Learning, Assistive Technology

INTRODUCTION

Background and Context of Visual Impairment

Visual impairment presents one of the most significant global health and social challenges, affecting millions worldwide. The high prevalence of visual impairment necessitates focused efforts toward functional rehabilitation and community integration. Beyond the immediate health consequences, visual impairment often creates systemic socio-economic hurdles. Individuals with visual impairment frequently encounter high unemployment rates and financial vulnerability, exacerbating the pervasive lack of resources, such as advanced Braille equipment and accessible buildings, required for full societal participation [1]. Addressing the foundational barrier of independent mobility is paramount to enabling employment opportunities and alleviating the cycle of poverty often experienced by this population.

The Social and Psychological Consequences of Mobility Restriction

Mobility is essentially related to functional Quality of Life (QOL). Restrictions in independent movement severely limit community participation, contributing to a lack of social communication and subsequent exclusion [1], [2]. Studies indicate that limitations in functional independence lead to loneliness and social isolation, which are prevalent issues among older adults with vision impairment [2].

The difficulty in navigating challenging surroundings reliably imposes significant psychological burdens. Individuals who struggle with independent movement are at a greater risk for clinical significant psychological conditions, including depression, anxiety, and agoraphobia [1]. Research demonstrates that the psychological burden increases as the severity of visual impairment worsens, and the uncertainties associated with visual loss can significantly disrupt individual lives. Interventions that effectively reduce mobility risks are therefore critical not only for ensuring physical safety but also for achieving positive psychological outcomes and improving overall social well-being [3].

Limitations of Traditional Aids and the Emergence of Real-Time Obstacle Detection Systems

Historically, visually impaired individuals have relied on traditional mobility tools, primarily white canes. While essential, these tools are increasingly inadequate for navigating the complex, dynamic, and rapidly changing obstacles encountered in contemporary public and urban environments [4]. Traditional aids typically fail to detect obstacles above ground level or provide detailed, real-time spatial awareness necessary for confident, independent movement.

The intersection of computer vision innovations and the ubiquity of mobile devices presents a promising new approach: Real-Time Obstacle Detection Systems (RT-ODS) integrated into smartphones or light-weight wearables [4]. These systems aim to utilize sophisticated object detection algorithms to identify obstacles in the user’s path, providing a scalable and intelligent navigational supplement. However, early proposals often suffered from limitations such as relying on histogram or edge information only, or employing computationally intensive image processing techniques that adversely affected mobile device performance and battery life, thereby hindering user adoption [5]. The successful emergence of modern RT-ODS requires overcoming these technical deficiencies through architectural optimization.

Research Objectives and Paper Structure

The primary objective of this paper is to systematically analyze the critical intersection of technical performance, user-centric design, and the necessary ethical and policy frameworks required for mobile-based RT-ODS to successfully enhance the mobility and independence of visual impairment individuals. This analysis focuses on bridging the demonstrated technical feasibility of real-time detection with the ultimate social goals of reducing exclusion and improving QOL.

The paper proceeds by first detailing the profound social imperative for intervention (Section II). It then reviews the necessary architectural and technical optimizations required for functional mobile deployment (Section III and IV), followed by an examination of user-centric design principles and socio-economic accessibility (Section V). Finally, concluding with a summary and direction for future research (Section VI).

The Social Imperative: Consequences of Mobility Restriction and the Need for Intervention

Impaired Independence, Functional Limitations, and QOL Scores

Mobility constraints are directly linked to a loss of independence and the presence of functional limitations in essential everyday activities [6]. This loss often leads to an increased level of dependence on others and a significant loss of freedom, factors which complicate the adjustment process after the onset of visual impairment.

Empirical research utilizing QOL scoring demonstrates a clear correlation between specific visual deficits and functional decline. Participants with severe visual field restrictions, such as tunnel vision, registered significantly lower scores on both the mobility and self-care categories compared to other participants [3]. Furthermore, lower social and leisure QOL scores were observed in participants without stereopsis [3], [7]. These findings underscore that vision quality directly dictates functional autonomy, highlighting the urgent need for tools that compensate for these functional limitations.

Psychological Morbidity and Confidence Loss

Mobility challenges that limit activity and participation can lead to considerable psychological harm. Individuals with visual impairment are subject to psychological challenges including agoraphobia, high levels of anxiety, and a significantly elevated risk for depression.2 Negative feelings about life are reported, and studies show that scores within the psychological domain consistently decrease as the severity of the visual impairment increases [1].

The literature highlights a particularly hazardous interplay involving visual loss, depression, and an increased risk of falls [8]. Falls are often viewed as a sequence of negative events that result in serious negative impacts, especially on the elderly population with visual impairment [9], [10].Intervening effectively to prevent falls through real-time navigation assistance is posited as a fundamental strategy to break this negative sequence, leading to a consequent decline in depression and related negative psychological outcomes.[1] Therefore, the technical reliability of RT-ODS directly translates into psychological resilience and improved emotional well-being for the user.

Social Dynamics: Exclusion, Isolation, and Communication Barriers

The difficulty associated with independent movement is a primary cause of social isolation and exclusion [11]. Without reliable means to navigate their environment, visual impairment individuals often restrict their community engagement, leading to loneliness.[3] However, social exclusion extends beyond mere physical barriers. Even when participating in social gatherings, visual impairment individuals may experience discrimination and poor services from others, reinforcing feelings of being marginalized.[2] Improving independent travel through robust assistive technology is thus not merely a matter of safety, but a prerequisite for restoring social communication and enabling full, equitable participation in society [2].

Critical Evaluation of Socio-Psychological Impact Methodologies

To move beyond descriptive reporting of consequences and establish the scientific rigor of RT-ODS interventions, the socio-psychological literature must be critically evaluated based on validated assessment instruments. While the manuscript cites numerous studies documenting the link between restricted mobility and negative outcomes (e.g., depression, reduced QOL), a robust scientific analysis requires detailing how the positive benefits of assistive technology (AT) are quantitatively measured. Key standardized frameworks essential for this critical evaluation include [4]:

1) *Psychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale:* Used to assess the psycho-affective status and subjective well-being derived from AT use.

2) Quebec User Evaluation of Satisfaction with Assistive Technology: Measures user satisfaction with the specific characteristics of the device.

3) World Health Organization Quality of Life: A widely utilized instrument assessing four domains critical to QOL: physical health, mental health, social relationships, and environment.

Table I summarizes the observed socio-psychological correlates of restricted mobility, establishing the foundational need for effective technical intervention.

Table I Correlates of Mobility Restriction and Quality of Life Outcomes in Visually Impaired Individuals

Area of Impact	Observed Consequence	Supporting Literature
Functional Autonomy	Increased dependence; Loss of freedom; Difficulty adjusting to life changes	Increased level of dependence on others and loss of freedom [2]

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume IX Issue XI November 2025

Psychological Health	Increased Depression, Anxiety, Agoraphobia risk	High risk for depression and anxiety; psychological domain scores decrease as virtual impaired severity increases [2]
Social Participation	Loneliness, Social Isolation, Exclusion, Discrimination	Lack of social communication; lower social and leisure QOL scores [1]
Safety & Health	Higher risk of Falls	Falls linked in a triad with depression and visual loss [2]

Architectural Evolution of Mobile Obstacle Detection Systems

Technical History: From Dedicated Hardware to Smartphone Integration

The development of electronic travel aids has progressed significantly. Early generations of object detection systems often relied on dedicated, bulky hardware or employed resource-intensive image processing methods that analyzed simple cues like histograms or edge information [5]. While such systems demonstrated feasibility, a critical drawback was their computational cost, which negatively impacted mobile device performance, led to excessive battery drain, and limited overall user adoption.

The shift towards smartphone-based systems represents a crucial step in making these technologies widely viable. By leveraging the advanced computing capabilities and built-in cameras of existing mobile devices, the barrier to access is significantly lowered. Modern proposals have advanced the field by using image processing techniques on smartphone camera feeds to track multiple obstacles simultaneously [12].

Deep Learning Optimization for Edge Computing

Contemporary RT-ODS relies on deep learning, which allows the system to identify complex obstacles with high accuracy. However, deploying high-performing deep learning models (Neural Networks) on mobile devices, often referred to as edge computing, necessitates extreme computational efficiency. Complex models consume significant resources, which conflicts with the real-time requirements and limited battery capacity of handheld devices.

To address this conflict, researchers have successfully implemented lightweight and efficient object detection models. The YOLOv8 model, for example, is highly suitable for real-time applications on resource-constrained platforms because of its smaller model size, which requires less memory and computational power[4], [13], [14], [15]. Yolo [14], [15]is one of the best and efficient models for object detection and tracking and plays a significant in real-world applications. Intentional architectural optimization to reduce model size and increase speed is more than an engineering decision, it is fundamental to achieving the reliability required for user confidence and sustained, safe operation.

Tailoring Architectures for Enhanced Performance

Achieving reliable, real-time performance requires specialized refinement of the deep learning architecture. This involves techniques designed to optimize the neural network itself. For example, Neural Architecture Search (has been applied to automatically search for optimal detection frameworks, resulting in tangible performance benefits, such as a 2.6% improvement in average precision (AP) over baseline models while maintaining acceptable computational complexity [16].

Furthermore, the success of RT-ODS is heavily dependent on domain specificity. Existing object detectors are frequently trained on generalized datasets (e.g., from platforms like Kaggle), which often limit the number and type of obstacles relevant to visual impairment individual [4]. Focusing detection on obstacles relevant to visually impaired users and training on environment-specific proprietary datasets greatly strengthens model robustness and accuracy . This targeted training ensures that the technology effectively solves the specific, real-world navigational problems faced by visual impairment users, moving beyond generalized efforts to provide reliable and specialized assistance.

System Performance, Latency Trade-offs, and Reliability Metrics

The Criticality of Real-Time Response and Latency Analysis

For an obstacle detection system to be functionally useful and safe, it must operate in real-time. Inference latency, defined as the delay between receiving an input (camera feed) and producing a prediction (obstacle alert), must be minimized [17], [18]. Any significant delay can translate directly into a safety hazard, particularly when navigating dynamic or rapidly approaching obstacles [16]

In computer vision systems, latency is composed of three sequential steps: Input Processing, Model Inference, and Post-Processing [17]. Input Processing, which includes decoding the image, resizing, and normalization, can introduce noticeable delays, especially when processing continuous high-resolution video streams on mobile devices[17]. Model Inference, where the neural network generates predictions, typically accounts for the majority of the latency pipeline, often consuming 60–90% of the total processing time. This high dependency on model complexity and hardware capability underscores the necessity for lightweight architectures.

Benchmarking and Validation

Reliability requires robust performance under diverse real-world conditions. Comprehensive evaluation must confirm that the system meets the real-time detection requirements across different scenarios, including varied lighting. Academic evaluations have demonstrated the feasibility of achieving exceptionally high performance using advanced, lightweight models. For instance, enhanced YOLOv8 algorithms have demonstrated superior obstacle identification, achieving a high detection accuracy of 90% and Mean Average Precision (mAP) (1.5%) [14]. Such rigorous evaluation requires benchmarking the system against a baseline value, which is the measurable performance level (e.g., accuracy, mAP, recall) of an existing or simpler state-of-the-art model. Outperforming this baseline, such as by increasing the mAP or accuracy score, is crucial as it underscores the enhanced ability of the new model to detect small, less conspicuous obstacles, a critical feature for safe navigation. These high performance metrics validate the feasibility of integrating advanced, optimized technology to solve critical real-world problems and highlight the potential for positive impact on the end users' quality of life.

Comparative Benchmarking of Lightweight Architectures

Object detection has become a central research domain in recent years, particularly with the rise of deep learning–based approaches that enable robust visual perception capabilities. This section presents a comparative benchmarking of several lightweight yet high-performing architectures, namely YOLOv8, SSD-MobileNet, NanoDet, and Faster R-CNN which commonly employed in embedded and real-time systems. YOLOv8, a modern one-stage detector, consistently demonstrates an optimal balance between accuracy and inference speed, enabling highly reliable real-time detection while maintaining computational efficiency. In contrast, SSD-MobileNet, although efficient and capable of detecting objects across multiple scales, exhibits reduced accuracy in small-object detection and lower overall precision, which may compromise reliability in dynamic or safety-critical conditions [19], [20]. NanoDet, designed for extreme compactness and memory efficiency, is well suited for deeply embedded devices ; however, this minimal footprint often results in lower detection performance relative to optimized architectures [21] such as YOLOv8 [22]. As contemporary smartphones offer sufficient computational resources, sacrificing accuracy for marginal memory savings becomes less justifiable in critical applications. Meanwhile, Faster R-CNN, a two-stage detector known for strong localization accuracy through its region-proposal mechanism, suffers from slower inference times, rendering it less suitable for scenarios requiring instantaneous feedback [19], [20]. Overall, the comparative analysis indicates that YOLOv8 provides the highest performance across accuracy, precision, and recall metrics, reaffirming its suitability as the primary architecture for the proposed system [19], [20], [23], [24].

Sensor Fusion as a Latency Mitigation Strategy

A critical challenge in relying solely on computer vision is the inherent processing delay that can compromise the accuracy of continuous spatial tracking [25], [26]. While computer vision offers high precision, it demands increased computing power and is prone to these processing delays [26]. To compensate for the vision system's latency and improve navigational reliability, especially concerning trajectory corrections, sensor fusion techniques are highly valuable. This involves combining the output of the vision system with faster, more traditional sensors, such as rotary encoders or laser sensors [27]. This strategy, utilizing techniques like a Kalman filter, can significantly reduce position estimation uncertainty compared to using the vision system alone [27]. Although increased latencies and reduced sampling frequencies negatively impact uncertainty, the use of sensor fusion aims to mitigate these effects, balancing the high precision of vision-based detection with the speed and consistency of traditional sensory inputs [26].

Table II synthesizes the key technical characteristics and performance requirements that must be met for a successful mobile RT-ODS.

User-Centric Design, Usability, and Accessibility

Design for Multimodal Interaction

The technical achievement of accurate, low-latency obstacle detection is unresolved if the information cannot be conveyed to the user immediately and intuitively. Therefore, user-centric design principles emphasize multimodal feedback to maximize accessibility and responsiveness in diverse navigation contexts [16].

Table II: Key Technical Characteristics And Performance Metrics Of Modern Mobile Rt-Ods

Component	Requirement	Example Implementation
Latency Criticality	Minimal delay is essential for safety and reliability	Model Inference typically consumes 60-90% of total processing time [14]
Model Efficiency	Must be resource-optimized for edge deployment	YOLOv8 architecture utilized for low memory and computational requirements [4]
Accuracy	Must provide reliable obstacle identification under various conditions	Achieved mAP 0.5 and Accuracy of 90% [4]
Reliability Enhancement	Compensation for visual processing delays	Sensor Fusion (vision + traditional sensors) reduces positional uncertainty [15]
Cost and Wearability	Accessibility for mass adoption and sustained use	Target production low cost and lightweight devices

RT-ODS must integrate both auditory and vibration interaction [26]. Advanced haptic modules are necessary to provide clear spatial coding of the environment. For instance, some designs propose placing multiple vibrators on the user's wrist to code the direction and proximity of surrounding objects via an intuitive haptic signal [27]. This approach ensures that users receive timely alerts even in noisy or distracting environments where auditory feedback might be insufficient or unclear.

Practical Usability and Wearability

Usability for visual impairment individuals depends critically on comfort and ease of sustained use. Research prototypes emphasize specific design goals to maximize adoption. These include providing a fully wireless connection between the sensor and haptic modules to enhance user comfort [27]. Furthermore, minimizing the weight of the system is essential, with design objectives targeting a module weight limit [28], [29]. Systems that are heavy, cumbersome, or have poor battery life compromise the user’s willingness to rely on the device for daily mobility, negating the system’s potential social benefit. Empirical evaluations confirm that applications incorporating multiple interaction categories, such as voice recognition, touchpads, and buttons are generally found to be useful and usable by visually impaired people in various scenarios [29].

Empirical Validation and Evaluation Methods

The success of any assistive technology must be validated through direct engagement with the end-users. Final testing should be conducted with real visually impaired users to confirm the system's usability and efficacy in solving actual navigational challenges[16]. The most prevalent methodologies used to evaluate the usability of such applications are qualitative in nature, primarily consisting of surveys and interviews, which capture user perception of utility, confidence, and system reliability [6]. Continuous feedback loops derived from these evaluations are necessary to move research prototypes closer to the ideal user-friendly assistive tool that, despite many existing efforts, has yet to be fully realized [27].

Socio-Economic Accessibility: The Cost Imperative

The technical sophistication required for robust real-time performance often implies high manufacturing costs. This poses a major barrier to adoption, particularly since people with visual impairment often face high poverty rates and lack of resources[2], [6]. Therefore, the successful widespread deployment of RT-ODS must be predicated on cost-effective engineering. Designs that utilize cost-effective and light-weight electronic components and sensors, targeting a low production cost vital for equitable access. The ability to achieve low production costs directly addresses the systemic issue of lack of affordable resources among the visual impairment population, ensuring that technical innovation serves social inclusion goals rather than reinforcing economic disparities.

Comprehensive Ethical and Privacy Compliance Framework

Mandatory Ethical Review and Informed Consent

The use of a forward-facing camera acts as a data capture mechanism, necessitating specialized informed consent protocols to ensure ethical compliance and user comprehension [30]. For visually impaired participants, the consent process must be adapted for accessibility:

1) Multimodal Presentation: Consent information should be presented orally and supplemented by audio recordings or, where feasible, provided in Braille [30], [31].

2) Low Health-Literacy Strategies: Targeted efforts, such as visual aids or clear descriptions, must be employed to explain the complex processes of data capture, retention policies, and the continuous nature of the camera feed, ensuring participants fully comprehend the risks and scope of data usage [31].

Mitigating Bystander Privacy and Algorithmic Fallibility

A shared ethical concern among both visually impaired users and sighted bystanders relates to the privacy of individuals inadvertently captured and the risk of algorithmic mischaracterization [32].

1) Bystander Privacy (Technical Mitigation): Since users themselves express concern over compromising bystander privacy [25], [32], the system must implement mandatory technical safeguards. Real-time, on-device anonymization techniques, such as blurring or cropping identifiable features before data is stored or processed, are essential for equitable privacy compliance and minimizing data collection.

2) Algorithmic Safety and Misclassification: In a safety-critical domain, technical errors translate directly into physical and psychological harm [26].

- Safety Critical Errors (False Negatives): The model failing to detect an obstacle is the most critical error, leading to injury (e.g., falls) and increased anxiety [1]. Ethical design therefore requires optimizing the model for exceptionally high Recall > 95% for critical obstacle classes to prevent these failures [29].
- Usability Critical Errors (False Positives): Repeated false alarms erode user trust, potentially leading to automation bias, the tendency to ignore alerts [28]. Ethical design requires high Precision to maintain user confidence [29].

3) Avoiding Subjective Judgments: The system's output must be strictly limited to objective, navigational facts (e.g., object type, distance, and direction). The design framework must prohibit the AI from making sensitive, subjective judgments, such as classifying human identity, gender, emotion, or intent, to preserve dignity and autonomy [26].

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Summary of Contribution and Interdisciplinary Success

Mobile-based RT-ODS represent a paradigm shift in assistive technology, providing a highly effective mechanism to circumvent the physical barriers that underpin social exclusion and psychological morbidity in the visual impairment population. Through the application of optimized deep learning architectures like YOLOv8, developers have demonstrated the capability to provide robust, real-time navigational assistance with high accuracy. The technical success, combined with user-centric features such as multimodal haptic and auditory feedback, creates a direct pathway to increase user confidence, reduce dependence, and mitigate the risks of anxiety, depression, and falls.

The evidence strongly suggests that the systemic success of RT-ODS as a tool for social integration is defined by its ability to manage intersecting technical, economic, and ethical constraints. Technological optimization, specifically, achieving minimal latency for safe navigation and engineering low-cost components, is essential for transforming the technology from a prototype into an equitably accessible social aid, particularly for a population facing high financial strain.

Research Gaps and Future Work

While technical feasibility has been established, several critical research gaps remain that require further interdisciplinary attention:

1) Extended Social and Psychological Impact Studies: Current evaluations focus primarily on technical performance and immediate usability. Future research must adopt standardized QOL evaluation instruments, such as the Psychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale and the World Health Organization Quality of Life, to quantify the long-term causal effects of RT-ODS adoption, moving beyond qualitative user feedback to concrete social data. This includes measuring sustained reductions in psychological morbidity (depression, agoraphobia), improvements in QOL domain scores, and verifiable increases in economic independence.

2) Empirical Ethical Validation and Algorithmic Safety: The domain of Ethical Human-Computer Interaction requires specialized focus. Future work must explore the effectiveness of ethical protocols, including specialized, multimodal informed consent for visually impaired participants and on-device technical mitigation strategies (e.g., real-time anonymization) to protect bystander privacy. This includes rigorous testing to ensure high Recall (> 95%) for safety-critical obstacles to prevent dangerous False Negatives and maintaining high Precision to mitigate automation bias from False Positives.

3) Policy Simplification and Funding Access must be addressed. The current landscape of assistive technology funding is complex and overwhelming for consumers. Policy research should focus on consolidating resources and streamlining application processes to maximize the utilization of existing governmental and non-profit subsidies, ensuring that cost-effective technology reaches all who need it.

Final Call for Interdisciplinary Development

The evolution of assistive technology from a niche technical solution to a scalable social determinant of health requires integrated expertise. Continued collaboration between computer scientists optimizing edge computing models, social scientists evaluating user experience and psychological impact, and policy experts ensuring regulatory compliance and equitable financial access will ensure that RT-ODS fulfills its profound potential to empower the visually impaired community toward greater independence and social participation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Kedah State Research Committee, UiTM Kedah Branch, for the generous funding provided under the Tabung Penyelidikan Am. This support was crucial in facilitating the research and ensuring the successful publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Tshuma, C., Ntombela, N., and van Wijk, H. C., (2022). Challenges and coping strategies of the visually impaired adults: A brief exploratory systematic literature review. Prizren Social Science Journal, 6(2), 71–80.
2. Remillard, E. T., Koon, L. M., Mitzner, T. L., and Rogers, W. A., (2024). Everyday challenges for individuals aging with vision impairment: Technology implications. Gerontologist, 64(6), gnad169.
3. Purola, P., Koskinen, S., and Uusitalo, H., (2023). Impact of vision on generic health-related quality of life-A systematic review. Acta Ophthalmol, 101(7), 717–728.
4. [4] Bastidas-Guacho, G. K., Paguay Alvarado, M. A., Moreno-Vallejo, P. X., Moreno-Costales, P. R., Ocaña Yanza, N. S., and Troya Cuestas, J. C., (2025). Computer Vision-Based Obstacle Detection Mobile System for Visually Impaired Individuals. Multimodal Technologies and Interaction, 9(5), 48.
5. Khan, A., and Khusro, S., (2021). An insight into smartphone-based assistive solutions for visually impaired and blind people: issues, challenges and opportunities. Univers Access Inf Soc, 20(2), 265–298.
6. Al-Razgan, M., et al., (2021). A systematic literature review on the usability of mobile applications for visually impaired users. PeerJ Comput Sci, 7, e771.
7. Collart, L., Ortibus, E., and Ben Itzhak, N., (2024). An evaluation of health-related quality of life and its relation with functional vision in children with cerebral visual impairment. Res Dev Disabil, 154, 104861.
8. Wang, J., Li, Y., Yang, G.-Y., and Jin, K., (2024). Age-related dysfunction in balance: a comprehensive review of causes, consequences, and interventions. Aging Dis, 16(2), 714.
9. Singh, R. R., and Maurya, P., (2022). Visual impairment and falls among older adults and elderly: evidence from longitudinal study of ageing in India. BMC Public Health, 22(1), 2324.
10. Ouyang, S., et al., (2022). Risk factors of falls in elderly patients with visual impairment. Front Public Health, 10, 984199.
11. Kim, H., and Sohn, D., (2020). The urban built environment and the mobility of people with visual impairments: analysing the travel behaviours based on mobile phone data. Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering, 19(6), 731–741.
12. Thoma, M., Partaourides, H., Sreedharan, I., Theodosiou, Z., Michael, L., and Lanitis, A., (2023). Performance Assessment of Fine-Tuned Barrier Recognition Models in Varying Conditions. In: International Conference on Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns, Springer, 172–181.
13. Alsuwaylimi, A. A., Alanazi, R., Alanazi, S. M., Alenezi, S. M., Saidani, T., and Ghodhbani, R., (2024). Improved and efficient object detection algorithm based on YOLOv5. Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research, 14(3), 14380–14386.
14. Jia, X., Tong, Y., Qiao, H., Li, M., Tong, J., and Liang, B., (2023). Fast and accurate object detector for autonomous driving based on improved YOLOv5. Sci Rep, 13(1), 9711.
15. Rasheed, A. F., and Zarkoosh, M., (2025). Optimized YOLOv8 for multi-scale object detection. J Real Time Image Process, 22(1), 6.
16. Said, Y., Atri, M., Albahar, M. A., Ben Atitallah, A., and Alsariera, Y. A., (2023). Obstacle detection system for navigation assistance of visually impaired people based on deep learning techniques. Sensors, 23(11), 5262.
17. Hanhirova, J., Kämäräinen, T., Seppälä, S., Siekkinen, M., Hirvisalo, V., and Ylä-Jääski, A., (2018). Latency and throughput characterization of convolutional neural networks for mobile computer vision. In: Proceedings of the 9th ACM Multimedia Systems Conference, 204–215.
18. Mahendran, J. K., Barry, D. T., Nivedha, A. K., and Bhandarkar, S. M., (2021). Computer vision-based assistance system for the visually impaired using mobile edge artificial intelligence. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2418–2427.
19. Kaliappan, V. K., M. S. V., Shanmugasundaram, K., Ravikumar, L., and Hiremath, G. B., (2023). Performance Analysis of YOLOv8, RCNN, and SSD Object Detection Models for Precision Poultry

Farming Management. In: 2023 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Applied Electromagnetics, Signal Processing, & Communication (AESPC), 1–6. doi: 10.1109/AESPC59761.2023.10389906.
20. Sonu, B., Singh, Ajay, and Sharma, A., (2024). A Comparative Study of YOLOv8, Faster R-CNN, and SSD in Traffic Sign Detection with Consideration of GPS and Central Feedback. In: 3rd International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication and Materials, ICACCM 2024, IEEE. doi: 10.1109/ICACCM61117.2024.11059135.
21. Wang, Y., Wang, K., Zhang, Z., Boydens, J., Pissoort, D., and Verbeke, M., (2024). Navigating the Waters of Object Detection: Evaluating the Robustness of Real-time Object Detection Models for Autonomous Surface Vehicles. In: Proceedings - 2024 IEEE Conference on Artificial Intelligence, CAI 2024, IEEE, 985–992. doi: 10.1109/CAI59869.2024.00180.
22. Ling, A. L. I., Xiang, G. Y., Bingi, K., Omar, M., and Ibrahim, R., (2024). Review of Machine Learning-Based Techniques for Detecting Specific and General Objects. In: IET Conference Proceedings, IET, 119–124. doi: 10.1049/icp.2025.0244.
23. Shobaki, W. A., and Milanova, M., (2025). A Comparative Study of YOLO, SSD, Faster R-CNN, and More for Optimized Eye-Gaze Writing. Sci, 7(2). doi: 10.3390/sci7020047.
24. Dharma, A. S., Pardosi, C. N. S., and Silaen, Z. P., (2025). Comparative Performance of Yolov8 and SSD-mobilenet Algorithms for Road Damage Detection in Mobile Applications. sinkron, 9(3), 1159–1169. doi: 10.33395/sinkron.v9i3.15008.
25. Yeong, D. J., Velasco-Hernandez, G., Barry, J., and Walsh, J., (2021). Sensor and sensor fusion technology in autonomous vehicles: A review. Sensors, 21(6), 2140.
26. Nagy, M., and Lăzăroiu, G., (2022). Computer vision algorithms, remote sensing data fusion techniques, and mapping and navigation tools in the Industry 4.0-based Slovak automotive sector. Mathematics, 10(19), 3543.
27. Ghaffari, G., Tagaro Andersson, A., Hallberg, P., and Saremi, A., (2025). An assistive haptic-based obstacle avoidance system for individuals with profound visual impairment. Cogent Eng, 12(1), 2560974.
28. Căilean, A.-M., Avătămăniței, S.-A., Beguni, C., Zadobrischi, E., Dimian, M., and Popa, V., (2023). Visible light communications-based assistance system for the blind and visually impaired: design, implementation, and intensive experimental evaluation in a real-life situation. Sensors, 23(23), 9406.
29. Patil, K., Jawadwala, Q., and Shu, F. C., (2018). Design and construction of electronic aid for visually impaired people. IEEE Trans Hum Mach Syst, 48(2), 172–182.
30. Senjam, S. S., Manna, S., and Bascaran, C., (2021). Smartphones-based assistive technology: Accessibility features and apps for people with visual impairment, and its usage, challenges, and usability testing. Dove Medical Press Ltd. doi: 10.2147/OPTO.S336361.
31. Wittich, W., Boie, N. R., and Jaiswal, A., (2023). Methodological Approaches to Obtaining Informed Consent when Conducting Research with Individuals with Deafblindness. Int J Qual Methods, 22. doi: 10.1177/16094069231205176.
32. Akter, T., Ahmed, T., Kapadia, A., and Swaminathan, M., (2022). Shared privacy concerns of the visually impaired and sighted bystanders with camera-based assistive technologies. ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS), 15(2), 1–33.