

Workplace Conflict and Its Effects on University Lecturers: A Conceptual Review

Dr Wong Huey Siew

Open University Malaysia

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91100297>

Received: 26 November 2025; Accepted: 03 December 2025; Published: 08 December 2025

ABSTRACT

Workplace conflict among university lecturers is a growing concern that affects both individual well-being and institutional performance. Conflicts often emerge from interpersonal disagreements, unequal workload distribution, competition for scarce academic resources, and differing expectations between lecturers and university management. These challenges can undermine lecturers' job satisfaction, increase psychological strain, and hinder effective collaboration. This conceptual paper aims to analyse the effects of workplace conflict on lecturers' psychological well-being and professional performance. Guided by two research questions, the study synthesises findings from empirical and conceptual literature published over the past two decades. By focusing on university lecturers, this paper addresses a critical gap in the literature concerning the specific challenges faced by academic staff. The review highlights that unresolved conflicts contribute to stress, burnout, emotional exhaustion, social withdrawal, and reduced motivation. Professionally, conflicts diminish teaching quality, weaken research productivity, impair teamwork, and restrict career progression. The paper underscores the importance of conflict-management strategies tailored to academic environments, including transparent workload policies, supportive leadership practices, counselling services, and structured communication channels. Findings contribute to a deeper understanding of workplace conflict in higher education and offer insights for improving lecturer well-being and institutional effectiveness.

Keywords: Workplace Conflict, Conflict, Lecturers, Impacts

INTRODUCTION

Workplace conflict has become an increasingly prominent challenge within university environments, affecting not only lecturers' professional responsibilities but also the overall quality of academic life. As higher education institutions grow more complex and demanding, lecturers frequently encounter conflicts arising from interpersonal disagreements, unclear administrative expectations, competition for limited resources, and the pressures of balancing teaching, research, and service commitments.

These tensions are especially evident in settings where institutional policies, workload allocation, and performance expectations are inconsistent or perceived as unfair (Barker & Giles, 2021). Understanding workplace conflict is essential because unresolved tensions can escalate into stress, burnout, and declining job satisfaction—ultimately impairing the academic environment and student learning.

Interpersonal conflicts often emerge from differing teaching philosophies, department politics, personality clashes, and communication breakdowns, while organizational conflicts are commonly linked to workload inequality, ambiguous roles, and inadequate administrative support (Clark & Thomas, 2019).

In many universities, resource constraints such as insufficient research funding, limited teaching support, or unequal access to opportunities further intensify competition among academic staff. As a result, lecturers may experience emotional strain, diminished motivation, and reduced psychological well-being.

Recent studies show that lecturers experiencing high levels of conflict report significantly greater symptoms of burnout and emotional exhaustion, with some studies noting increases of up to 30% compared to lecturers with

lower conflict exposure (Ismail, N., Abd Rahman, A., & Zainal Abidin, E. (2014)). The implications extend beyond individual well-being; workplace conflict can undermine teaching quality, weaken collegiality, disrupt research productivity, and hinder institutional performance (Smith & Lee, 2020). To minimize these negative outcomes, universities must strengthen conflict-management mechanisms, enhance communication practices, and create supportive structures that allow lecturers to voice concerns without fear of reprisal.

Given the rising prevalence and complexity of conflict in academic settings, a deeper understanding of its psychological and professional effects on lecturers is urgently needed. This conceptual paper seeks to analyse how workplace conflict influences lecturers' psychological well-being and professional performance, thereby offering insights that can guide policymakers and university administrators in fostering healthier, more collaborative academic environments.

Problem Statement

Workplace conflict is a pervasive issue within the academic environment, particularly among university lecturers. The academic sphere, characterized by its rigorous demands and competitive nature, has become a breeding ground for conflicts, both interpersonal and organizational. This problem statement seeks to explore the key issues and challenges associated with workplace conflicts among lecturers in universities, highlighting the need for further research to understand the broader effects of these conflicts on both individual psychological well-being and consequences to their professional practice.

One of the key challenges faced by lecturers is the nature of academic work itself, which often involves conflicting roles and responsibilities. Lecturers are expected to excel in teaching, research, administrative duties, and community service, all while navigating an environment that often lacks clear boundaries between personal and professional life. This multifaceted role can lead to role overload, where the expectations placed upon lecturers exceed their capacity to fulfil them effectively. The result is a heightened risk of conflicts arising from role ambiguity, role strain, and the stress associated with meeting the competing demands of different stakeholders within the university (Ahmad, 2021).

On the other hand, interpersonal conflicts among lecturers can stem from various sources, including competition for resources, differences in work styles, and personal disagreements. These conflicts are often exacerbated by the hierarchical structure of universities, where power dynamics can influence relationships between colleagues. For instance, junior lecturers may feel marginalized or undervalued by their senior counterparts, leading to feelings of resentment and dissatisfaction. Similarly, conflicts may arise between lecturers and university administration, particularly in relation to issues such as workload distribution, promotion criteria, and decision-making processes. These conflicts can have a significant impact on lecturers' job satisfaction and overall well-being, potentially leading to burnout and a decrease in productivity (Lim & Teo, 2009).

A study conducted at a Malaysian university found that lecturers involved in frequent interpersonal conflicts experienced a 20% decrease in their research productivity compared to their peers who reported minimal conflict (Gunawan et al., 2018). Hence, workplace conflicts among lecturers can have a significant impact on the university's ability to meet its institutional goals and expectations. Universities rely on the collective efforts of their academic staff to achieve excellence in teaching, research, and community engagement. However, when conflicts arise, they can disrupt the collaborative processes that are essential for achieving these goals. For example, conflicts between lecturers may hinder teamwork and collaboration, which are crucial for successful research projects and interdisciplinary initiatives. Additionally, conflicts can lead to a breakdown in communication, resulting in misunderstandings and delays in the implementation of university policies and initiatives (Halim, 2020).

Therefore, it is a need for further research to explore these impacts in greater detail, particularly in the context of universities. Empirical studies through literature review could provide valuable insights into the specific challenges faced by lecturers, as well as the effects of workplace conflicts.

Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this concept paper are to:

- a. Analyse the significant effects of workplace Conflicts on lecturers psychological well-being and,
- b. Analyse the effects of workplace conflict on lecturers professional performance

Research Questions

This concept paper has 2 research questions to be answered. It includes:

- a. How does workplace conflict effects on lecturers psychological well-being? And;
- b. How does the workplace conflict effect on lecturer's professional performance?

Study Contributions

This concept paper provides a comprehensive analysis on the effects of workplace conflicts to lecturers. By understanding these issue helps administrators and policymakers to address the underlying issues more effectively and promoting a healthier work environment for lecturers.

Furthermore, this conceptual paper critically assesses the impact of these conflicts on lecturer performance. It highlights how unresolved conflicts can lead to decreased job satisfaction, reduced teaching quality, and impaired research productivity. By linking the presence of conflicts with negative outcomes in performance, this literature research emphasizes the importance of addressing these issues to maintain high standards in academic teaching and research. The findings underscore that conflicts not only affect individual lecturers but also have broader implications for the overall academic environment and institutional effectiveness.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a conceptual research design, which is suitable for examining broad theoretical relationships and synthesizing existing knowledge on workplace conflict among university lecturers. Instead of collecting empirical data, this conceptual paper integrates and critiques past studies to develop a comprehensive understanding of how workplace conflict affects lecturers' psychological well-being and professional performance. Conceptual research is commonly used in organizational behavior and higher education studies to refine theoretical insights and propose new conceptual linkages.

a. Literature Search Strategy

A structured literature search was conducted to gather relevant scholarly work on workplace conflict in academic settings. The search employed predetermined keywords, including "workplace conflict," "lecturers conflicts," "academic staff," "psychological well-being," "professional performance," and "academic workload." These keywords were used to identify sources aligned with the study's objectives and addressed conflict-related issues experienced by lecturers.

Multiple scholarly databases and academic sources were used, including peer-reviewed journals, academic books, empirical studies, conceptual papers, and conflict-management frameworks. The search strategy ensured coverage of multidisciplinary areas such as higher education management, psychology, occupational stress, and organizational behavior.

b. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria:

1. Addressed workplace conflict within higher education institutions.

2. Focused on lecturers or academic staff.
 3. Examined psychological, professional, or organizational effects of conflict.
 4. Offered theoretical, conceptual, or empirical insights relevant to conflict dynamics.
- c. Sources were excluded if they:
1. Did not directly pertain to workplace conflict.
 2. Related to non-academic organizational settings without transferable relevance.
 3. Lacked scholarly credibility or empirical/theoretical contributions.
- d. Time Frame and Source Characteristics

The literature reviewed spans from 1990s to 2024, reflecting more than two decades of research development in academic conflict studies. Earlier works provided theoretical foundations, while recent studies offered empirical evidence relevant to Malaysian and international university contexts.

Approximately 100 scholarly sources were analyzed, including journal articles, books, reports, theoretical models, and empirical studies related to conflict antecedents, conflict typologies, psychological impacts on lecturers, professional performance outcomes, and organizational consequences for universities.

e. Data Extraction and Thematic Synthesis

The conceptual methodology relied on a thematic synthesis approach. Each publication was reviewed to extract recurring themes, concepts, and patterns. Data were categorized according to the study's 2 research questions: (a) psychological effects of workplace conflict; and (b) professional performance effects.

Theoretical framework

In pertaining to theoretical framework, this paper will be focusing on two theory of conflict. Through these theories, we can understand better how the conflict come about.

Firstly, Job Demands –Resources (JD-R) Theory. This Theory was introduced by Demerouti et al. (2001). It provides a comprehensive framework to explain how workplace conflict impacts lecturers' psychological well-being and professional performance. According to this theory, job demands refer to aspects of the work environment that require sustained effort and drain psychological and emotional resources such as workload pressure, role ambiguity, interpersonal conflict, and administrative expectations. When job demands exceed available resources, lecturers experience stress, emotional exhaustion, and burnout.

In the context of university lecturers, workplace conflict operates as a high-demand condition that intensifies emotional and cognitive load. The JD-R theory explains how continuous exposure to conflict triggers strain reactions that impair overall functioning. When lecturers lack adequate resources such as supportive leadership, fair workload distribution, collegial cooperation, and access to conflict-resolution mechanisms—they struggle to cope with the demands placed upon them. This imbalance between demands and resources results in reduced teaching effectiveness, lower research productivity, and decreased job satisfaction.

Secondly, we will look at the Emotional Conflict Theory by Amason. Emotional conflict theory, as proposed by Amason (1996), differentiates between cognitive and affective conflict within teams. Cognitive conflict involves disagreements on task-related issues, such as strategies or goals, and is generally viewed as constructive. However, affective conflict arises from personal incompatibilities or emotional clashes between individuals, leading to detrimental outcomes such as stress, reduced cooperation, and poor team performance.

Amazon argues that emotional conflicts are particularly damaging in the workplace as they distract from the organizational goals and foster a hostile environment. For instance, a study by Ayoko, Callan, and Härtel (2003) found that emotional conflict among team members significantly reduced their ability to collaborate effectively, resulting in lower productivity and job satisfaction. This theory suggests that organizations should focus on creating a supportive work culture that encourages healthy debate on work-related issues while mitigating the negative effects of personal conflicts. Hence, it is hoped that the university management would be able to capture the whole scenario of workplace conflict involving the lecturer and take a necessary measure to resolve this conflict.

Operational terms

The operational terms used in this concept paper will be clearly defined. These terms might include the following terms:

a. Workplace Conflict

Workplace conflict refers to disagreements or disputes that arise between individuals or groups within an organization. In the university context, this can involve lecturers having differing opinions on academic issues, competition for resources, or personal disputes. Such conflicts can disrupt the working environment, affect morale, and hinder collaboration (Robinson & Judge, 2019).

b. Effects of Conflict

The effects of conflict here refer to university lecturers' psychological well-being and professional performance. Unresolved conflicts can lead to decreased job satisfaction, reduced collaboration, and lower teaching and research performance. Lecturers experiencing conflict may face increased stress and burnout, which can negatively affect their productivity and effectiveness (Obrenovic et al., 2020). Additionally, unresolved conflicts can create a toxic work environment, impacting the overall academic climate and student experiences at the university as a whole.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The review of literature demonstrates that workplace conflict among university lecturers is a pervasive and complex phenomenon shaped by interpersonal dynamics, organizational structures, and institutional policies. The thematic analysis reveals 2 major domains of impact: effects on lecturers' psychological well-being and effects on professional performance.

1. Effects on Lecturers' Psychological Well-being

The literature consistently affirms that workplace conflict constitutes a significant psychosocial hazard for academic staff. Academic environments are uniquely demanding, blending heavy workloads, ambiguous expectations, and interpersonal complexities. Within this context, conflict acts as a "stress amplifier," intensifying lecturers' emotional strain and psychological distress.

Extensive research shows that lecturers routinely experience elevated levels of stress, emotional exhaustion, and burnout due to unresolved conflicts and workload pressures (Winefield et al., 2003). These findings are reinforced by Boyd et al. (2020), who identified a strong link between recurring conflict and persistent emotional fatigue. In this regard, conflict functions not only as an interpersonal challenge but as a chronic organisational stressor.

Ismail, Rahman, and Idris (2020) further provide empirical evidence showing that lecturers exposed to sustained conflict exhibit nearly a 30% increase in burnout symptoms, highlighting how unresolved disputes contribute to psychological depletion. This aligns with the Job Demands–Resources (JD–R) model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017), which posits that when job demands such as conflict exceed available resources, individuals inevitably experience exhaustion and diminished well-being.

Moreover, conflict contributes to cognitive overload, impairing lecturers' working memory, decision-making processes, and concentration (Bryson, 2004; **McEwen, 2006). This cognitive strain often results in feelings of demotivation, withdrawal, and emotional disengagement from the academic community (Garcia & Long, 2019).

In addition to burnout, lecturers commonly report reduced job satisfaction, heightened anxiety, feelings of isolation, and depressive symptoms (Tai, Ng, & Lim, 2019; Ahmad et al., 2021). Studies reveal that conflicts involving power imbalances—such as those between junior and senior staff are particularly detrimental, producing persistent tension and feelings of marginalization (Rahim, 2017). Sleep disturbances, impaired emotional regulation, and somatic symptoms further exacerbate psychological strain, contributing to a cycle of stress that permeates both professional and personal life (Lim & Teo, 2009; Tai, Ng, & Lim, 2019).

Collectively, the literature suggests that psychological harm among lecturers is not merely an individual-level concern but reflects deeper organizational vulnerabilities, including unclear workload policies, inadequate support systems, and poor conflict-management structures.

2. Effects on Lecturers' Professional Performance

The impacts of workplace conflict extend well beyond psychological well-being, with substantial consequences for teaching effectiveness, research productivity, collaboration, and career progression.

A recurrent theme in the literature is that conflict undermines research productivity. Salleh, Muda, and Khamis (2019) reported that lecturers involved in interpersonal conflicts experience a 20% reduction in research output, largely due to disputes related to authorship, access to research resources, and competing academic priorities. Conflict absorbs cognitive and emotional resources that would otherwise be devoted to scholarly work, thus diminishing academic productivity (Becher & Trowler, 2001; Bryson, 2004).

Teaching performance is similarly compromised. Conflict-induced emotional exhaustion has been shown to degrade lecturers' ability to plan lessons, engage students effectively, and maintain enthusiasm in the classroom (Jang & Choi, 2013). Students' evaluations and overall learning experience suffer when lecturers deliver instruction under psychological strain.

Collaboration—an essential component of academic success—is also impaired. Interpersonal conflicts reduce trust, restrict knowledge sharing, and hinder teamwork (Johnson & Johnson, 2005). Jehn's (1995) and De Dreu & Weingart's (2003) findings further reveal that relationship conflict is particularly harmful, as it diminishes creativity, disrupts communication, and stalls group progress. As a result, interdisciplinary research initiatives and departmental cohesion are adversely affected.

Conflicts additionally create career advancement barriers, especially when lecturers are perceived as "difficult" or when disputes become visible to administrators (Hodson, 2019). These perceptions can obstruct opportunities for promotion, grant acquisition, and leadership roles. This is especially detrimental for early-career academics who rely heavily on mentorship and collegial support (Ng & Tan, 2022).

Moreover, conflict can erode ethical standards, as lecturers under pressure may compromise academic integrity—for instance, through lenient grading or disengagement from professional responsibilities (Schulz, 2013). Impaired decision-making is another outcome, where lecturers operating under stress avoid constructive risk-taking and make emotionally driven judgments (Nguyen & Scott, 2021).

Finally, unresolved conflict influences staff retention, with lecturers experiencing chronic conflict more likely to leave their institutions (Wright & Bonett, 2007). High turnover not only destabilizes academic programmes but also results in the loss of institutional knowledge and mentorship pathways (Garcia & Long, 2019).

The combined evidence underscores that workplace conflict among lecturers is not an isolated interpersonal issue but a systemic organisational challenge. Conflicts are shaped by structural inequalities (as noted in Marx's Conflict Theory), emotional incompatibilities (Amason, 1996), resource scarcity, and institutional cultures that prioritize performance over well-being.

The findings reveal that the consequences of conflict are cumulative and mutually reinforcing:

- a. Psychological strain leads to reduced performance,
- b. Reduced performance exacerbates conflict,
- c. Conflict intensifies organisational tension, forming a cyclical pattern that destabilizes academic units.

This reinforces the need for multi-level interventions, such as:

- a. Clear workload allocation frameworks,
- b. Transparent promotion and resource policies,
- c. Conflict-resolution training,
- d. Accessible counselling and psychological support,
- e. Leadership development focused on relational management.

Universities that fail to address conflict holistically risk creating environments marked by low morale, diminished productivity, and weakened academic reputation.

The way forward

Policies aimed at resolving conflicts are crucial for maintaining academic integrity and fostering a positive work environment. Based on the literature study, universities have implemented various conflict resolution policies, including formal grievance procedures, mediation services, and peer review committees as described in (Rahman, 2021). However, the effectiveness of these policies varies. A study by Lim et al. (2022) found that while most universities have formal conflict resolution mechanisms, the implementation and effectiveness of these policies are inconsistent. Lecturers often perceive these policies as biased or inadequately enforced, leading to dissatisfaction and further conflicts.

CONCLUSION

Workplace conflict among university lecturers is a complex issue with significant implications for academic performance and institutional effectiveness. The sources of these conflicts, including differences in academic viewpoints, competition for resources, unequal workload distribution, and interpersonal issues, contribute to a complex conflict landscape. Effective resolution strategies, such as promoting open communication, implementing fair policies, providing conflict resolution training, and offering mediation services, are essential for addressing these conflicts and maintaining a positive academic environment.

Future research should continue to explore the dynamics of workplace conflict in academic settings and evaluate the effectiveness of various conflict resolution strategies. By understanding and addressing the sources of conflict, universities can create a more supportive and productive environment for their lecturers, ultimately benefiting both the academic community and the students they serve.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, S. (2020). Resource allocation and conflicts in Malaysian higher education. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(1), 65-78.
2. Ahmad, S., & Muhammad, N. (2019). Interpersonal dynamics in Malaysian higher education institutions. *Journal of Higher Education Studies*, 12(3), 45–58.
3. Ahmad, Z. (2021). Role conflict and stress among university lecturers: A review of the literature. *Journal of Higher Education Management*, 15(2), 45-59.

4. Arokiasamy, A. (2020). Workload pressure and academic performance in Malaysian universities. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 9(2), 22–33.
5. Amason, A. C. (1996). Distinguishing the effects of functional and dysfunctional conflict on strategic decision making: Resolving a paradox for top management teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(1), 123-148.
6. Ayoko, O. B., Callan, V. J., & Härtel, C. E. J. (2003). Workplace conflict, bullying, and counterproductive behaviors. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis* 11(4), 283-301.
7. Bakker, A.B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273-285.
8. Barki, H., & Hartwick, J. (2001). Interpersonal conflict and its management in information system development. *MIS Quarterly* 25(2), 195-228.
9. Barker, K., & Giles, J. (2021). *Managing Conflict in Higher Education*. Routledge.
10. Basar, N., & Saya, H. (2020). Emotional exhaustion and burnout among Malaysian lecturers. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 16(2), 112–125.
11. Becher, T., & Trowler, P. (2001). *Academic tribes and territories: Intellectual enquiry and the culture of disciplines* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
12. Boyd, C., Tuckey, M., & Winefield, A. (2020). Work Stress in Higher Education. *Journal of Higher Education Policy*.
13. Bryson, C. (2004). The consequences of stress for academic staff. *Studies in Higher Education*, 29(2), 147–165.
14. Cheah, M., & Chui, L. (2020). Organizational commitment in Malaysian higher learning institutions. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 27(1), 67–85.
15. Ching, L. C., et al. (2019). Intrapersonal conflicts among Malaysian university lecturers: Causes and consequences. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 18(4), 234-250.
16. Clark, P., & Thomas, H. (2019). The Impact of Workplace Conflict on Employee Performance: A Case Study of Higher Education Institutions in Malaysia. *Journal of Educational Management*, 33(2), 112-127.
17. De Dreu, C. K., & Weingart, L. R. (2003). Task versus relationship conflict, team performance, and team member satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(4), 741-749.
18. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The Job Demands–Resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499–512.
19. Friedman, R. (2018). The consequences of unresolved workplace conflicts. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 39(2), 229-245.
20. Garcia, E. (2018). Collaboration and conflict: The impact of workplace relationships on academic innovation. *Research Policy*, 47(9), 1713-1726.
21. Garcia, P. R., & Long, C. (2019). Mentorship Disruptions in Conflict-Ridden Academic Workplaces." *The Mentoring Review*, 15(3), 55-72.
22. Gillespie, N. A., Walsh, M., Winefield, A. H., Dua, J., & Stough, C. (2001). Occupational stress in universities: Staff perceptions of the causes, consequences and moderators of stress. *Work & Stress*, 15 (1), 53–72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370117944>
23. Gunawan, E., Deo, P., Hidayat, T., Pandia, V., Iskandar, S., Yuni, P. S., & Sidi, H. (2018). Factors correlated with occupational stress among university lecturers. *Medicine & Health*, 13(2), 95–102.
24. Halim, S. (2020). Understanding interpersonal conflicts in academia: A Malaysian perspective. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 31(3), 327-344.
25. Hargreaves, A. (2003). *Teaching in the Knowledge Society: Education in the Age of Insecurity*. Teachers College Press.
26. Hassan, R., & Noor, S. (2022). University departmental politics and conflict in Malaysian higher education. *Malaysian Journal of Education*, 47(1), 88–102.
27. Hobfoll, S. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist*, 44(3), 513–524.
28. Hodson, R. (2019). Workplace Conflict and the Impact on Professional Reputation in Academia. *Sociology of Education*, 92(3), 237-251.
29. Hofstede, G. (2018). *Culture’s consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

30. Hussin, H., & Ismail, J. (2018). Cultural diversity and communication barriers in higher education. *Malaysian Journal of Learning & Instruction*, 15(2), 89–104.
31. Ismail, A., et al. (2018). Occupational stress and communication issues in Malaysian universities. *Journal of Management Research*, 10(4), 15–30.
32. Ismail, N., Abd Rahman, A., & Zainal Abidin, E. (2014). Organizational factors associated with occupational stress among lecturers in Community Colleges, Peninsular Malaysia. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 43(Supplement 3), 125–130.
33. Ismail, Z., Rahman, A., & Idris, M. (2020). Workplace Conflict and Lecturer Burnout. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*. 35(2), 146–161.
34. Jang, S., & Choi, S. (2013). Effects of Work Conflicts on Teaching Quality and Student Satisfaction. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 18(4), 350–361. doi:10.1080/13562517.2012.751806
35. Jehn, K. A. (1995). A Multimethod Examination of the Benefits and Detriments of Intra-group Conflict." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(2), 256–282. doi:10.2307/2393638
36. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2005). New Approaches to Conflict Resolution and Collaboration in Academic Settings. *Journal of Higher Education*, 76(5), 600–614. doi:10.1353/jhe.2005.0032
37. Kabito, G. G., & Wami, S. D. (2020). Work-related stress and associated factors among academic staff at the University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia: An institution-based cross-sectional study. *Environmental Health Insights*, 14, 1–11.
38. Katz, D., & Kahn, R. L. (1978). *The Social Psychology of Organizations* (Vol. 2). New York: Wiley.
39. Kim, M., & Beehr, T. (2020). Psychological effects of workplace conflict. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 41(5), 1–17.
40. Latif, L., & Saman, M. (2019). Challenges in ODL implementation in Malaysia. *Journal of e-Learning*, 17(2), 101–114.
41. Lim, V. K. G., & Teo, T. S. H. (2009). Mind your E-manners: Impact of cyber incivility on employees' work attitude and behavior. *Information & Management*, 46(8), 419–425.
42. Liu, J., & Wang, H. (2020). Research collaboration and conflict in academia. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 39(7), 1421–1436.
43. Maslach, C., et al. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 397–422.
44. Mukherjee, A., & Wong, S. (2020). Funding inequality in Malaysian universities. *International Journal of Academic Research*, 10(2), 215–225.
45. Musa, A., et al. (2020). Resource scarcity and competition among lecturers in Malaysian universities. *Journal of Academic Resources*, 17(3), 75–90.
46. McEwen, B. S. (2006). Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 8(4), 367–381.
47. Ng, E., & Tan, K. (2022). Career progression barriers in academia. *Journal of Career Development*, 49(4), 813–828.
48. Nguyen, D. T., & Scott, A. (2021). Decision-Making in Academic Settings: The Role of Workplace Conflict. *Higher Education Quarterly*, 75(1), 23–40.
49. Obrenovic, B., Jianguo, D., Khudaykulov, A., & Khan, M. A. S. (2020). Work-family conflict impact on psychological safety and psychological well-being: A job performance model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 475.
50. Othman, R., & Nasurdin, A. (2019). Predictors of burnout in Malaysian academia. *Journal of Academic Psychology*, 8(1), 33–47.
51. Rahim, M. (2017). *Managing conflict in organizations* (4th ed.). Routledge.
52. Rahman, M. M. (2021). Does work–family balance mediate the relationship between work–family conflicts and job satisfaction of academicians? *Journal of Community Psychology*, 49(1), 98–113.
53. Raziq, A., & Maulabakhsh, R. (2015). Turnover intention in the academic sector. *International Journal of Academic Research*, 7(2), 142–152.
54. Robinson, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson.
55. Salleh, S., Muda, N., & Khamis, M. (2019). The effects of workplace conflict on research productivity among lecturers. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 41(3), 281–298.
- Smith, J., & Lee, A. (2020). *Navigating Academic Conflicts: Strategies for Lecturers in Public and Private Universities*. *Malaysian Journal of Education*, 45(4), 567–583.

56. Schulz, J. (2013). The impact of role conflict, role ambiguity and organizational climate on the job satisfaction of academic staff in research-intensive universities in the UK. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 32(3), 464–478.
57. Tai, K. L., Ng, Y. G., & Lim, P. Y. (2019). Systematic review on the prevalence of illness and stress and associated risk factors among educators in Malaysia. *PLOS ONE*, 14(5), e0217430.
58. Winefield, A. H., Gillespie, N., Stough, C., Dua, J., & Hapuarachchi, J. (2003). Occupational stress in Australian university staff: Results from a national survey. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 10(1), 51-63.
59. Wright, T. A., & Bonett, D. G. (2007). Job satisfaction and psychological well-being as nonadditive predictors of workplace turnover. *Journal of Management*, 33(2), 141–160.