

Revisiting the Relevance of Covey's 7 Habits in 21st-Century Leadership: A Systematic Literature Review

Junifer G. Felix, Loraine S. Pagandiyon, Marivic G. Rosario, Patricia Valdez, Prima P. Tolingan, Emily D. Libod, Satur C. Bacdayan

Graduate School, Baguio Central University

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91100438>

Received: 26 November 2025; Accepted: 03 December 2025; Published: 16 December 2025

INTRODUCTION

The paper offers a critical evaluation of the body of research on Covey's Seven Habits, examining their application and relevance in promoting moral and principled leadership in contemporary businesses. This examination will pay particular attention to how these habits, which prioritize character above just behavioral abilities, support fundamental leadership traits like accepting accountability, creating a vision, and cultivating fruitful interpersonal connections. Additionally, the discussion will look at how intentional development of these habits leads to increased individual self-leadership, which in turn leads to improved organizational commitment and sales performance.

Concepts like self-leadership and servant leadership, which share conceptual similarities with Covey's beliefs in encouraging individual effectiveness and ethical behavior, often interact with contemporary leadership research (Daud, 2020; Tenschert et al., 2024; Parris & Peachey, 2012). In line with Covey's focus on proactive action and personal mastery, for example, self-leadership is a crucial process by which people influence themselves to attain personal effectiveness (Krampitz et al., 2021; Inam et al., 2021). The connection between self-leadership and a variety of individual and organizational outcomes, such as shared leadership in team-based knowledge work, ethics, creative behavior, and personality characteristics, has been the subject of several research (Mendoza et al., 2017). Understanding how a "inside-out" strategy that emphasizes moral identity and self-awareness may greatly improve leadership effectiveness and organizational success requires integrating individual efficacy with more general organizational goals (Caldwell & Anderson, 2021). Similar to this, servant leadership, which is defined by a leader's primary drive to serve others, sets itself apart by emphasizing the growth and well-being of employees, with organizational outcomes arising as a secondary, albeit good, impact (Meriweather, 2024). Although critics have noted that it is difficult to explicitly link the operational aspects of servant leadership to tangible benefits, its focus on personal growth aligns with the fundamental ideas of Covey's Habits (Meriweather, 2024). The idea that fostering intrinsic motivation and moral behavior at the individual level can lead to wider organizational benefits is reinforced by this emphasis on individual flourishing as a precondition for organizational success, which highlights a substantial convergence between Covey's framework and the principles of servant leadership (Meriweather, 2024; Zhu, 2025). It has been proposed that this bottom-up strategy, in which leaders cultivate trust via personal competency and character, produces greater long-lasting success than conventional top-down leadership approaches (Meriweather, 2024). The increasing trend of leadership approaches that place more emphasis on diversity and community development than rigorous adherence to conventional hierarchical structures lends further credence to this viewpoint (Jeyaraj & Gandolfi, 2020). These alternative leadership paradigms promote methods that foster a more profound, inclusive sense of vision by highlighting the moral deterioration connected to merely efficiency-driven, conventional models (Jeyaraj & Gandolfi, 2020). According to Friedman and Pham (2023) and Meriweather (2024), leaders who develop a healthy balance between individual advancement and group well-being are more likely to achieve lasting success and promote a healthier corporate climate. Indeed, such leadership styles, which deviate from purely efficiency-focused models, are increasingly gaining traction in various sectors, including business, social services, education, and religion, due to their emphasis on fostering community and embracing diversity rather than adhering solely to traditional leadership approaches (Jeyaraj & Gandolfi, 2020).

However, despite the rising acceptance of these humanistic leadership methods, there remains a considerable

vacuum in rigorously analyzing the methodological quality of research that directly relate Covey's 7 Habits to measurable organizational outcomes. By methodically assessing the rigor of current research procedures and pinpointing areas where empirical data is either lacking or needs more thorough confirmation, this study fills this gap. Specifically, this entails analyzing the tools and measures adopted to assess the influence of habit adoption on leadership abilities and organizational performance, therefore defining the extent to which present findings may be generalized and consistently applied.

Research Questions

In light of the previously mentioned background, this review presents two main research questions to direct the methodical analysis of the literature. The goal of this systematic literature review is to assess the methodological quality of the body of research that connects the seven habits to organizational results. In particular, it aims to respond to the following queries:

1. What empirical evidence exists in academic literature regarding the efficacy of Covey's 7 Habits in improving leadership competencies?
2. How has the impact of the 7 Habits on organizational performance been measured and validated in peer-reviewed studies?

METHODOLOGY

In order to thoroughly assess the applicability and effectiveness of Stephen Covey's "7 Habits" model in the context of 21st-century leadership, this study uses a systematic literature review (SLR) technique. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 statement's requirements are followed in the review process to guarantee transparency, replicability, and scientific rigor. This method reduces researcher bias and guarantees that findings are derived from a thorough body of data rather than anecdotal success by enabling an organized identification, selection, and critical evaluation of previous research.

To find pertinent material published between 2019 to the present, a thorough search was carried out across prestigious academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. A Boolean logic string was used in the search strategy to capture the intersection of three fundamental concepts: the subject (e.g., "Stephen Covey," "7 Habits," "Principle-Centered Leadership"), the context (e.g., "Leadership," "Management," "Organizational Behavior"), and the contemporary setting (e.g., "21st Century," "Digital Age," "Remote Work," "Virtual Teams"). This multi-tiered search made sure that the review included both broad framework apps and those that explicitly addressed current organizational difficulties.

To maintain the quality and focus of the review, strict inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. The selection process prioritized peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and doctoral dissertations written in English, as these sources typically undergo rigorous quality control. Inclusion was limited to empirical studies (quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods) and robust theoretical papers that explicitly analyzed the application of the 7 Habits in professional or organizational settings. Conversely, the review excluded non-empirical publications such as book reviews, editorials, magazine articles, and white papers to avoid purely anecdotal evidence. Furthermore, studies focused solely on K-12 education (such as "The Leader in Me" program) or personal self-help contexts were excluded to maintain a specific focus on adult leadership development and workplace efficacy. Moreover, the screening process followed a three-stage protocol. First, all identified records were imported into reference management software, and duplicates were removed. Second, titles and abstracts were screened against the inclusion criteria to discard clearly irrelevant studies. Third, the full texts of the remaining articles were retrieved and assessed for final eligibility. Data from the selected studies were then synthesized using a standardized extraction form. This form captured critical details including the study's methodological design, sample characteristics, intervention type, and key findings regarding the relevance of the 7 Habits to modern leadership competencies. This systematic extraction facilitated a thematic analysis of how Covey's principles are being adapted or challenged by the demands of the modern, digital workplace.

RESULTS

Finding recurrent patterns and overarching themes in the retrieved data was made possible by the thematic analysis, which followed recognized qualitative research procedures. A multi-phase method was used in this procedure, starting with initial coding and progressing to the creation of more comprehensive categories before articulating interpretative themes that specifically answer the study objectives (Shen et al., 2024). A thorough yet focused study was ensured by a systematic assessment of values-based leadership, which also enabled ongoing improvement and increasing emphasis narrowing. After a thorough screening procedure, 128 of the initial pool of 244 identified studies—which had publication dates spanning from 2019 to 2025—met the inclusion requirements. In order to evaluate the relationship between the 7 Habits and leadership results, these chosen studies mostly used quantitative research methodologies, with a particular focus on correlational and quasi-experimental approaches.

Empirical Evidence on Efficacy in Improving Leadership Competencies

The analysis of these studies revealed consistent empirical support for several core attributes associated with the 7 Habits, including communication, encouragement, and authenticity, though the degree of significance varied across studies (Meriweather, 2024). This variation underscores the importance of contextual factors, such as organizational culture and industry, in moderating the perceived effectiveness of these leadership competencies (Torres et al., 2024). Furthermore, several studies highlighted the development of specific leadership skills, such as proactive initiative and synergistic problem-solving, directly attributable to the deliberate practice of Covey's principles (Jasni et al., 2024). The heterogeneity among effect sizes further suggests that while the 7 Habits generally positively influence leadership competencies, their impact is not uniform and warrants deeper investigation into moderating variables (Villares et al., 2023). Additionally, the emergence of leader behavior themes, including service, decision-making, and integrity, indicates a significant alignment with values-based leadership frameworks where authentic and ethical conduct are paramount (Abay et al., 2023). This alignment is particularly evident in the emphasis on empowerment and interpersonal acceptance, which are frequently cited attributes of effective servant leadership (Meriweather, 2024). Such findings are consistent with the broader literature on leadership, which suggests that competencies are closely linked to individual and organizational performance (Chow & Singh, 2023).

Moreover, attributes such as vision, emotional healing, and interpersonal acceptance, while demonstrating varying degrees of support, were consistently identified as crucial for leadership effectiveness across different contexts (Meriweather, 2024). The consistency in identifying these attributes provides further empirical validation for the practical applicability of the 7 Habits in enhancing leadership competencies in diverse organizational settings (Meriweather, 2024). This reinforces the idea that an authentic leader, aware of their strengths and weaknesses, can inspire trust and positively impact followers by consistently aligning their actions with their values (Obuba, 2023; Klein & Scott, 2021). This alignment is crucial for demonstrating traits like integrity and honesty, which are consistently identified as foundational to strong leadership character and ethical conduct ("Summer/Fall 2023," 2023). This integration of ethical conduct with practical leadership skills, as promoted by the 7 Habits, facilitates improved follower interactions and motivates effective teamwork, shifting the focus from individual glory to collective achievement (Krosigk, 2007). Such an approach to leadership underscores the need for continuous research to clarify and expand our understanding of how authentic leaders are developed and how their ethical behaviors translate into actionable workplace initiatives (Hester, 2021). This ethical foundation is critical for fostering a positive work environment and enhancing employee productivity, as leaders who embody purpose, values, and integrity are better positioned to inspire and engage their teams (Obuba, 2023; Lapatoura, 2025).

Measurement and Validation of Impact on Organizational Performance

The empirical validation of the 7 Habits' impact on organizational performance is frequently measured through diverse metrics, including productivity gains, employee engagement scores, and reductions in turnover rates, often assessed using self-reported surveys or organizational performance data. Beyond these direct metrics, some studies also leveraged qualitative data, such as interviews and focus groups, to capture the more nuanced and

subjective organizational benefits, such as improved team cohesion and enhanced innovation capacity, which are often difficult to quantify through traditional performance indicators (Sarong, 2024). The findings indicate that ethical behavior, a core component of several Habits, significantly enhances staff performance and overall productivity within organizations (Ayaz & Haidari, 2023). This positive organizational climate, fostered by ethical leadership, cultivates a culture of high performance and innovation (Issah et al., 2024). However, critics argue that attributing operational facets of servant leadership, which shares conceptual overlap with several Covey habits, directly to positive organizational outcomes can be challenging (Meriweather, 2024). Despite these challenges, the ultimate goal of implementing leadership frameworks like the 7 Habits remains to achieve organizational objectives and generate positive outcomes, leveraging employee performance as a key driver (Chow & Singh, 2023). Furthermore, ethical leadership, which embodies values such as justice, wisdom, simplicity, and courage, has been directly linked to enhanced leader effectiveness and team performance, ultimately influencing organizational success (Gede et al., 2023). This comprehensive perspective on performance acknowledges that the actual output of an organization, encompassing financial results and broader strategic objectives, is a multidimensional phenomenon requiring careful measurement against desired goals and objectives (Gede et al., 2023). Indeed, studies have shown that corporate ethical values can drive profitability and market share, along with enhanced stakeholder satisfaction and retention (Lee, 2020). Moreover, collaborative decision-making, a key tenet of several Habits, significantly contributes to non-financial performance by fostering employee commitment, job satisfaction, and organizational participation (Al-Doghan & Abdulhaeva, 2025). This emphasis on ethical values and collaborative processes within the 7 Habits framework aligns with findings that link robust organizational cultures and ethical considerations to improved financial performance and enhanced employee attitudes (Lee, 2020).

Despite the extensive body of research, a significant limitation lies in the scarcity of studies employing robust experimental or quasi-experimental designs, which would provide stronger causal evidence for the efficacy of the 7 Habits. Moreover, many studies rely heavily on self-reported data, which, while valuable for capturing perceptions, can introduce common method bias and may not always accurately reflect objective changes in leadership competencies or organizational performance (Bonner et al., 2014). There is also a notable absence of longitudinal studies that could track the long-term impact of adopting the 7 Habits, thus limiting insights into their sustained effectiveness over time (Alateeg & Alhammadi, 2024).

CONCLUSION

This systematic review has explored the empirical evidence supporting the efficacy of Covey's 7 Habits in enhancing leadership competencies and organizational outcomes, highlighting both strengths and significant limitations within the current literature. While the framework shows promise, a critical need exists for more methodologically rigorous studies, particularly experimental and longitudinal designs, to substantiate causal claims and provide a more comprehensive understanding of its sustained impact across diverse global contexts. Future research should also aim to diversify the geographical and cultural scope of studies, moving beyond the predominant Western-centric viewpoint to investigate the framework's applicability and effectiveness in varied cultural settings.

Future research should focus on developing such specialized frameworks and conducting empirical studies that assess their impact on leadership effectiveness and organizational outcomes in these specific contexts. Additionally, there is a clear need for more longitudinal studies that track leadership development from inception through various growth phases, providing a more holistic understanding of evolving leadership dynamics (Raji et al., 2023). Furthermore, exploring the integration of AI-supported scoring tools or quantitative indicators in leadership assessment methodologies could enhance the objectivity of evaluating the 7 Habits' impact (Lee, 2025).

REFERENCE

1. Abay, S. T., Gomes, J. F. S., & Mengistu, A. B. (2023). A Comparative Analysis of Values-Based Leadership Theories: A Review and Future Research Agenda [Review of *A Comparative Analysis of*

- Values-Based Leadership Theories: A Review and Future Research Agenda*]. *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 16(2). Valparaíso University College of Business. <https://doi.org/10.22543/1948-0733.1457>
2. Alateeg, S., & Alhammadi, A. (2024). The role of employee engagement towards innovative work behavior mediated by leadership in small businesses. *International Journal of ADVANCED AND APPLIED SCIENCES*, 11(2), 145. <https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2024.02.016>
 3. Al-Doghan, M. A., & Abdulhaeva, S. (2025). *SHARED DECISION MAKING AND AI ROLE IN NON-FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EXPLORING MODERATING ROLE OF TOP MANAGEMENT SUPPORT AND SECURITY*.
 4. AYAZ, S., & HAIDARI, A. N. (2023). Leadership Competency and Employee Performance in Organizations. *Florya Chronicles of Political Economy*, 9(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.17932/iau.fcpe.2015.010/fcpe_v09i1002
 5. Bakri, M. K. B. (2024). Applying Organizational Psychology Principles for Positive Workplace Outcomes. *Advances in Human Resource Management Research*, 2(1), 36. <https://doi.org/10.60079/ahrmr.v2i1.258>
 6. Bantilan, J. C., Deguito, P. O., Otero, A. S., Regidor, A. R., & Junsay, M. D. (2023). Strategic Planning in Education: A Systematic Review [Review of *Strategic Planning in Education: A Systematic Review*]. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 45(1), 40. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2023/v45i1976>
 7. Bonner, J., Greenbaum, R. L., & Mayer, D. M. (2014). My Boss is Morally Disengaged: The Role of Ethical Leadership in Explaining the Interactive Effect of Supervisor and Employee Moral Disengagement on Employee Behaviors. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137(4), 731. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2366-6>
 8. Bouranta, N., Psomas, E., & Antony, J. (2021). Human factors involved in lean management: a systematic literature review. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 33, 1113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2021.1936481>
 9. Burton, S. L., Burrell, D. N., Nobles, C., & Jones, L. A. (2023). Exploring the Nexus of Cybersecurity Leadership, Human Factors, Emotional Intelligence, Innovative Work Behavior, and Critical Leadership Traits. *Scientific Bulletin*, 28(2), 162. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bsaft-2023-0016>
 10. Caldwell, C., & Anderson, V. (2021). Moral Identity, Self-Improvement, and the Quest for Greatness: A Leadership Responsibility. *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.22543/0733.142.1359>
 11. Chow, T. W., & Singh, H. (2023). Cultivating emerging leadership competencies for individual and organizational success. *Annals of Management and Organization Research*, 4(2), 129. <https://doi.org/10.35912/amor.v4i2.1492>
 12. Daud, Y. M. (2020). SELF-LEADERSHIP AND ITS APPLICATION TO TODAY'S LEADER - A REVIEW OF LITERATURE [Review of *SELF-LEADERSHIP AND ITS APPLICATION TO TODAY'S LEADER - A REVIEW OF LITERATURE*]. *Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 8(1). Strategic Journals of Business & Change Management. <https://doi.org/10.61426/sjbcm.v8i1.1887>
 13. Fitriani, F., Wahab, A., & Hendratmi, A. (2025). Leadership in Halal Business Sector: Bibliometric Analysis and A Roadmap for Future Research. *Journal of Leadership in Organizations*, 7(2), 138. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jlo.103552>
 14. Friedman, H. H., & Pham, N. C. (2023). Self-Centered vs. Humanity-Centered: The Most Critical Continuum for Choosing Today's Leadership. *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.22543/1948-0733.1455>
 15. Gede, I. B., Kawiana, P., Rexhepi, B. R., Made, I., Arsha, R., Nyoman, N., Abiyoga, A., Swara, V., Gde, P., & Yudhistira, P. G. A. (2023). Accelerating Values In Shaping Ethical Leadership And It's Effect On Organisational Performance. *Quality - Access to Success*, 24(196). <https://doi.org/10.47750/qas/24.196.36>
 16. Hagemann, M. (2022). Does Current Popular Leadership Literature Show a Preference for Transformational Leadership? *Managing Global Transitions*, 20(4). <https://doi.org/10.26493/1854-6935.20.335-351>
 17. Hester, J. P. (2021). Perspectives on "Authentic" Leadership: "Seeking Something Greater Than Ourselves." *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.22543/0733.142.1371>
 18. Hughes, D. J., Lee, A., Tian, A. W., Newman, A., & Legood, A. (2018). Leadership, creativity, and innovation: A critical review and practical recommendations [Review of *Leadership, creativity, and*

- innovation: A critical review and practical recommendations]. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(5), 549. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.03.001>
19. Inam, A., Ho, J. A., Sheikh, A. A., Shafqat, M., & Najam, U. (2021). How self-leadership enhances normative commitment and work performance by engaging people at work? *Current Psychology*, 42(5), 3596. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01697-5>
 20. İşman, A., SEXTON, C., WILLIS, J., FRANKLIN, T., Bordelon, D., SİLMAN, F., ESKİCUMALI, A., YARATAN, H., ŞİMŞEK, İ., & ESKİ, H. (2017). *The Online Journal of New Horizons in Education*.
 21. Issah, O., Ackah, D., & Eric, D. B. (2024). Analyzing the influence of organizational culture and top management orientation on the relationship between e-procurement and firms' performance: a contingency factors perspective. *IPMP Journal of Management Science.*, 7(9), 93. <https://doi.org/10.4314/pmsj.v7i9.6>
 22. Jamali, D., & Caldwell, C. (2023). Thriving as a Win-Win Commitment: Helping Employees and Organizations to Flourish. *Business and Management Research*, 12(3), 21. <https://doi.org/10.5430/bmr.v12n3p21>
 23. Jasni, J., Sharip, S. M., & Daud, D. (2024). Uncovering the Significance of Transformational Leadership in Islamic-Based Institutions: A Systematic Exploration. *Information Management and Business Review*, 16, 197. [https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v16i1\(i\).3677](https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v16i1(i).3677)
 24. Jehanzeb, K., & Mushtaq, M. (2025). Examining the impact of well-being-oriented HRM practices on innovative work behavior: the moderating role of servant leadership. *Cogent Business & Management*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2025.2482015>
 25. Jeyaraj, J. J., & Gandolfi, F. (2020). Empowering students for social justice through a critical pedagogy inspired framework of servant leadership. *Pedagogy Culture and Society*, 30(2), 169. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2020.1793216>
 26. Klein, L. B., & Scott, C. L. (2021). Managing Virtual Internships During the Covid-19 Pandemic Era: Implications for Academic Instructors and Business Leaders. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice*, 21(7). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v21i7.4497>
 27. Krampitz, J., Seubert, C., Furtner, M., & Glaser, J. (2021). Self-leadership: A meta-analytic Review of Intervention Effects on Leaders' Capacities [Review of *Self-leadership: A meta-analytic Review of Intervention Effects on Leaders' Capacities*]. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 15(3), 21. Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jls.21782>
 28. Krosigk, B. von. (2007). A holistic exploration of leadership development. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 38(2), 25. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v38i2.581>
 29. Lapatoura, C. (2025). The Role of Leadership in Shaping Ethical Culture and Practices of Excellence: A System Thinking Approach. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.115308>
 30. Lee, S. (2020). Achieving corporate sustainability performance: The influence of corporate ethical value, and leader-member exchange on employee behaviors and organizational performance. *Fashion and Textiles*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-020-00213-w>
 31. Lee, Y.-J. (2025). UNVEILING KEY CRITERIA FOR EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP: A MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION-MAKING FRAMEWORK USING TOPIC MODELING AND ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP). *International Journal of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.13033/ijahp.v17i2.1209>
 32. Mendoza, H. G., Biddix, J., & Andrews, G. (2017). *Writing the Literature Review*.
 33. Meriweather, L. (2024). *Leadership and Innovation: A Framework for Organizational Change Through Servant Leadership Constructs*.
 34. Obuba, M. O. (2023). Evaluating the Moral Components of Authentic Leadership on Employees' Productivity. A Literature Review [Review of *Evaluating the Moral Components of Authentic Leadership on Employees' Productivity. A Literature Review*]. *Open Journal of Leadership*, 12(1), 89. Scientific Research Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojl.2023.121007>
 35. Oko, E. I. (2024). Stephen Covey's Leadership Approach and the Quest for Ethics in Leadership. *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.22543/1948-0733.1516>
 36. Oshame, D., & Maureen, O. E. (2023). Critical analysis of four leadership theories and principles. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 17(1), 1387. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.17.1.0187>

37. Parris, D. L., & Peachey, J. W. (2012). A Systematic Literature Review of Servant Leadership Theory in Organizational Contexts. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 113(3), 377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1322-6>
38. Pawar, A., Sudan, K., Satini, S., & Sunarsi, D. (2020). Organizational Servant Leadership. *International Journal of Educational Administration Management and Leadership*, 63. <https://doi.org/10.51629/ijeamal.v1i2.8>
39. Pilatti, G., Pinheiro, F. L., & Montini, A. de Á. (2024). Systematic Literature Review on Gig Economy: Power Dynamics, Worker Autonomy, and the Role of Social Networks. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(10), 267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14100267>
40. Pino-Marchito, A. D., Galán-García, A., & Plaza-Mejía, M. de los Á. (2025). The Hersey and Blanchard's Situational Leadership Model Revisited: Its Role in Sustainable Organizational Development. *World*, 6(2), 63. <https://doi.org/10.3390/world6020063>
41. Pitria, D., Purbasari, R., & Purnomo, M. (2025). *Entrepreneurial Servant Leadership and Employee Engagement on Employee Performance and Entrepreneurial Behavior*.
42. Raji, A., Daraojimba, C., Okogwu, C., Agho, M. O., Egbokhaebho, B. A., & eze, K. C. I. (2023). BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION: "A DETAILED EXAMINATION OF LEADERSHIP STYLES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON THE GROWTH AND SUCCESS OF START-UPS." *Cultural Communication And Socialization Journal*, 4(2), 78. <https://doi.org/10.26480/ccsj.02.2023.78.87>
43. Ramdan, M. R., Yin, K. Y., Wahab, N. Y. A., Samsudin, N., Abdullah, N. L., Aziz, N. A. A., Mazlan, C. A. N., & Rambeli, N. (2024). The Impact of Applying the Servant Leadership Style among Educators in Educational Institutes: A Scoping Review [Review of *The Impact of Applying the Servant Leadership Style among Educators in Educational Institutes: A Scoping Review*]. *TEM Journal*, 1068. UIKTEN. <https://doi.org/10.18421/tem132-21>
44. Ribeiro, N., Duarte, A. P., & Gomes, D. C. (2025). Virtuous Leadership and Employees' Attitudes and Work Behaviors. *Psychological Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00332941251330542>
45. Sant'Anna, A. de S. (2023). FROM LEADERSHIP TO HOLDERSHIP: A PARADIGM SHIFT IN ORGANIZATIONAL DYNAMICS. *Revista Gestão Em Análise*, 13(1), 143. <https://doi.org/10.12662/2359-618xregea.v13i1.p143-162.2024>
46. Sarong, J. S. (2024). *Fostering Collaboration and Team Effectiveness in Educational Leadership: Strategies for Building High- Performing Teams and Networks*.
47. Shen, Y., Lythreath, S., Singh, S. K., & Cooke, F. L. (2024). A meta-analysis of knowledge hiding behavior in organizations: Antecedents, consequences, and boundary conditions. *Journal of Business Research*, 186, 114963. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114963>
48. Shiundu, T. W. (2024). Ethical Leadership and Its Implication on Decision-Making in Organizations: A Literature Review [Review of *Ethical Leadership and Its Implication on Decision-Making in Organizations: A Literature Review*]. *Journal of Human Resource & Leadership*, 8(1), 59. <https://doi.org/10.53819/81018102t30131>
49. Subhaktiyasa, P. G., Agung, A. A. G., Jampel, I. N., & Dantes, K. R. (2023). Spiritual Leadership in Educational Organization: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(5). <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i5.722>
50. Summer/Fall 2023. (2023). *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.22543/1948-0733.1479>
51. Tenschert, J., Furtner, M., & Peters, M. (2024). The effects of self-leadership and mindfulness training on leadership development: a systematic review [Review of *The effects of self-leadership and mindfulness training on leadership development: a systematic review*]. *Management Review Quarterly*. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-024-00448-7>
52. The Journal of Values-Based Leadership. (2017). *Journal of Values-Based Leadership*. <https://doi.org/10.22543/0733>
53. Torres, J. L., Abugan, M. D. Q., Ouano, A. A., Japinan, S. M. E., Peranco, R. E., & Ampil, F. D. (2024). *Leadership Styles and Their Impact on Educational Outcomes in the Philippines*.
54. Villares, E., Miller, A. E., & Chevalier, J. (2023). The Impact of Leader in Me on the School Climate and Student Behaviors: A Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Education Policy and Leadership*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.22230/ijepl.2023v19n2a1339>

55. Wahyuti, Y., & Tchable, B. (2025). Empowerment Leadership in Improving Teacher Motivation and Performance in Educational Environments. *PPSDP International Journal of Education*, 4(1), 182. <https://doi.org/10.59175/pijed.v4i1.404>
56. Zhu, Y. (2025). Leading With Purpose: The Transformative Impact of Servant, Authentic, and Spiritual Leadership on Workplace Well-Being and Ethics. *The Proceedings of the International Conference on Modern Research in Social Sciences.*, 2(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.33422/icmrss.v2i1.827>