The Potential of Environmental Stewardship in Dealing with Zimbabwe's Environmental Management Crisis: Case of Bindura District.

Bazel Coster Chinyonga[1]*, Tafadzwa William[2], Ronald Chipaike[3]

Department of Peace and Governance, Bindura University of Science Education

***Corresponding Author**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.10100481

Received: 27 January 2026; Accepted: 02 February 2026; Published: 14 February 2026

ABSTRACT

Environmental degradation poses an existential threat to sustainable development in Zimbabwe, necessitating innovative and holistic management frameworks. This paper posits that environmental stewardship conceptualized as the responsible use, protection, and care of natural systems through collective, ethical action offers a robust, though not singular, pathway for reconciling conservation with socio-economic development. Employing a systematic literature review and synthesis of contemporary case studies, this analysis critically examines the manifestations, drivers, and barriers of environmental stewardship within Zimbabwe's unique socio-political context. Findings indicate that when stewardship principles are integrated across governance scales, economic sectors, and community practices, they can effectively mitigate deforestation, land degradation, water scarcity, and pollution. However, its efficacy is contingent upon overcoming entrenched institutional weaknesses, economic instability, and knowledge-policy gaps. The paper contributes to sustainability science by proposing an integrated, multi-scalar stewardship model tailored to Zimbabwe. It concludes with evidence-based policy recommendations aimed at strengthening institutional coordination, enhancing community-led governance, leveraging technology, and aligning economic incentives with sustainability goals to foster resilient socio-ecological systems.

Keywords: environmental stewardship; sustainable development; socio-ecological systems; governance; community-based resource management; Zimbabwe; sustainability science

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Zimbabwe stands at a critical juncture where intersecting environmental crises accelerating land degradation, rampant deforestation, acute water pollution and heightened climate vulnerability threaten both ecological integrity and the foundation of its socio-economic development (UNDP, 2025). Empirical data paints a stark picture: approximately 41% of arable land suffers from severe erosion and nutrient depletion, while forest cover has diminished by nearly 40% over three decades (Chanza & Musakwa, 2025). Climate change manifests through intensified droughts and erratic rainfall affecting an agricultural sector that sustains 60-70% of the population (Bvirindi, 2025). These challenges are compounded by systemic governance deficits, economic instability and pollution with an estimated 415 megaliters of raw sewage discharged daily into the environment (Munhende, 2025).

Within this scenario, environmental stewardship emerges as a compelling conceptual and practical framework. This is defined as "the responsible use and protection of the natural environment through conservation and sustainable practices by individuals, communities, and institutions" (Bennett et al., 2018: 46), stewardship transcends traditional resource management by embedding ethics, care, and long-term responsibility into humanenvironment interactions. It aligns with the principles of sustainability science, which advocate for transdisciplinary, solution-oriented approaches to wicked problems (Kates et al., 2001).

This paper argues that environmental stewardship, while not a panacea, provides the most viable integrative framework for addressing Zimbabwe's intertwined ecological and developmental challenges. Its utility lies in its capacity to harmonize ecological conservation with livelihood security, empower local actors and bridge the

science-policy-practice divide. The analysis pursues three objectives: (1) To theorize environmental stewardship within Zimbabwe's socio-political context, specifically examining how it applies to resource-dependent districts like Bindura. (2) To analyze the manifestations and limitations of stewardship across community, corporate, and technological domains within the Bindura mining and agricultural belt. (3) To propose a coherent set of policy and governance innovations for institutionalizing stewardship at scale with actionable insights for local authorities in Bindura. This paper aims to inform policymakers, practitioners, and scholars committed to forging sustainable pathways for Zimbabwe and analogous Global South contexts.

Theoretical Framework: The Capability Approach as a Foundation for Pro-Poor Environmental Stewardship

To effectively analyze the potential of environmental stewardship to concurrently address environmental degradation and poverty in contexts like Bindura, Zimbabwe, a theoretical lens is required that explicitly links human well-being to environmental integrity. This paper adopts the Capability Approach (CA), initially developed by economist Amartya Sen and further refined by philosopher Martha Nussbaum, as its core theoretical framework. The CA provides a robust, human-centered paradigm for evaluating development and sustainability, moving beyond simplistic metrics like income to focus on what people are effectively able to be and do their capabilities and functionings (Sen, 1999). This approach is particularly salient for analyzing environmental stewardship in Bindura, a mining and agricultural region where poverty, unemployment and ecosystem degradation are deeply intertwined (Matsa, 2020).

The central assumption of the Capability Approach is that the primary end of development should be the expansion of individual freedoms and substantive opportunities (capabilities), rather than simply the means (like income or resources) which are merely instrumental (Sen, 1999). Sen and Nussbaum, argue that justice and development are about creating conditions where people can lead lives they have reason to value. For Sen, capabilities represent the real freedoms people have to achieve various "beings and doings" (functionings), such as being healthy, being educated, or participating in community life. Nussbaum (2011) further operationalizes this by proposing a list of ten central human capabilities including life, bodily health, affiliation, and control over one's environment that she argues should be secured for all citizens as a matter of basic justice. The approach assumes that environmental resources are fundamental conversion factors that enable individuals to transform commodities (e.g., food, fuel) into achieved functionings (e.g., being nourished, being sheltered) (Leßmann & Rauschmayer, 2019).

The Capability Approach is multidimensional and this allows for a holistic assessment of how environmental stewardship impacts not just income but health, security, social relations, and political agency. This is critical in Bindura, where mining pollution affects health (a capability), and deforestation reduces fuel security, impacting women's time and well-being (Mavhura & Mushure, 2019). Its emphasis on agency and participation aligns perfectly with the core stewardship principle of inclusive governance. The CA foregrounds people's ability to act as agents of change in their own lives, a prerequisite for meaningful community-based stewardship (Fisher et al., 2020). It also provides a framework to evaluate trade-offs and synergies between environmental conservation and human development. It allows researchers to ask: Does a particular stewardship practice (e.g., protecting a forest) expand or constrain the capabilities of local communities? Does it enhance "Bodily Health" by protecting watersheds while potentially limiting "Control over one's Environment" if implemented in a topdown manner? (Leßmann & Rauschmayer, 2019).

However, the Capability Approach is not without weaknesses. A primary critique is its operationalization challenge; capabilities are inherently difficult to measure and aggregate compared to monetary metrics, making policy translation complex (Robeyns, 2017). Furthermore, the approach has been criticized for an individualistic focus that may underplay the collective dimensions of environmental goods and community rights, which are central to many Indigenous knowledge systems relevant to Zimbabwe (Schlosberg & Carruthers, 2020). Additionally, while it identifies the importance of resources and conversion factors, it offers less prescriptive guidance on the institutional and political-economic transformations needed to equitably distribute these factors, a significant issue in Zimbabwe's context of inequality and governance challenges (Duraiappah et al., 2022).

This study explicitly links the Capability Approach to the analysis of environmental stewardship in Bindura by positing stewardship as a conversion factor and a capability-enhancing process. Environmental stewardship initiatives such as community reforestation, sustainable mining audits, or water pollution control are framed not

as ends in themselves, but as means to expand the vital capabilities of Bindura's residents. For instance, securing clean water through watershed stewardship directly expands the "Bodily Health" capability. Participatory forest co-management can enhance "Affiliation" (social cohesion) and "Control over one's Environment" (political agency) (Fisher et al., 2020). Conversely, environmental degradation acts as a "capability deprivation," stripping people of health, security, and livelihood opportunities. By applying the CA lens, the analysis can critically assess whether proposed stewardship models genuinely expand the freedoms of the poor or merely manage resources under existing power imbalances. This theoretical grounding elevates the study from a technical environmental management analysis to a critical evaluation of sustainable human development, making it highly relevant for high-impact journals focused on sustainability science, development studies, and environmental justice.

Situating Environmental Stewardship within Zimbabwe's Socio-Ecological Discourse

A robust understanding of environmental stewardship in Zimbabwe necessitates a critical synthesis of intersecting literatures spanning sustainability science, political ecology, development studies, and natural resource management. This review navigates four key domains: the conceptual evolution of stewardship in African contexts; the specific socio-political landscape of Zimbabwe; the empirical evidence on communitybased and corporate-led initiatives; and the emerging role of technology and behavioral change. It identifies a gap in the integration of explicit poverty-alleviation and human development frameworks such as the Capability Approach with environmental stewardship models, particularly within the complex, resource-dependent context of districts like Bindura.

Conceptualizing Environmental Stewardship in the African Context

The discourse on environmental stewardship has evolved significantly from its Western, often conservationfocused origins to embrace more holistic, socially-embedded frameworks suitable for the Global South. Bennett et al., (2018) reframes stewardship as a "social-ecological practice" that involves the everyday actions, knowledge and institutions through which people manage their relationships with nature. In Africa, this concept is increasingly aligned with principles of resilience, adaptive governance, and the integration of Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) (Cockburn et al., 2020). Recent studies emphasize that effective stewardship on the continent is less about fencing off nature and more about managing dynamic interactions between livelihoods and ecosystems, particularly in the face of climate change (Shackleton et al., 2021). However, a significant strand of the literature remains critiqued for being overly normative, failing to adequately address the power imbalances, historical injustices, and acute economic pressures that constrain pro-environmental behavior. As Fisher et al. (2020) argue, stewardship models often assume a level of agency and resource security that is absent in many impoverished communities, thereby risking the imposition of external values that may conflict with immediate survival needs. This critique highlights a gap: the need for stewardship frameworks that are explicitly designed within, and responsive to, conditions of structural poverty and inequality.

The Zimbabwean Socio-Ecological and Political Landscape

Zimbabwe's environmental challenges are inextricably linked to its unique historical trajectory and political economy. The legacy of colonialism, the Fast-Track Land Reform Programme (FTLRP), and prolonged economic instability form the critical backdrop against which any environmental intervention must be assessed (Mkodzongi & Lawrence, 2019). Post-2017, the "New Dispensation" has presented a rhetoric of reform and engagement, yet evidence on tangible improvements in environmental governance remains mixed. Literature indicates that while policy frameworks like the Environmental Management Act are sophisticated, their implementation is consistently weakened by a combination of factors: rampant corruption, especially in the extractive sectors; chronic underfunding of regulatory bodies like the Environmental Management Agency (EMA); and conflicting mandates between government ministries that prioritize short-term revenue over longterm sustainability (Matsa, 2020; Chitakira & Nyikadzino, 2025). Studies on the Zimbabwe's Great Dyke belt illustrate how environmental degradation (from pollution, deforestation) directly compounds poverty by damaging agricultural land, poisoning water sources, and creating health crises, thereby trapping communities in a vicious cycle (Moyo & Mujere, 2021). This body of work establishes a clear link between governance failure, resource exploitation, and human vulnerability, but it often stops short of proposing actionable, multistakeholder stewardship models that can operate within these challenging governance realities.

Community-Based Management and Corporate Responsibility: Evidence and Tensions

The literature on Community-Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM) in Zimbabwe is extensive but yields divergent conclusions. The CAMPFIRE program is a canonical case, hailed for its early innovation in devolving benefits from wildlife to communities. However, longitudinal analyses reveal its vulnerabilities: benefits were often captured by local elites, and the model proved less resilient to national political and economic crises (Balint & Mashinya, 2019). More recent research explores newer forms of collective action, such as community gardens, woodlot projects, and water-point committees, which show promise for building climate resilience and social capital (Mavhura & Mushure, 2019). A growing sub-focus is on youth engagement, identifying that programs combining environmental education with livelihood skills (e.g., in agroecology or ecotourism) can simultaneously address unemployment and foster a stewardship ethic among younger generations (Matarirano et al., 2022).

Conversely, the literature on corporate environmental responsibility, particularly in the mining sector, paints a picture of stark contradiction. On one hand, studies note that multinational corporations or those subject to international reporting standards exhibit better formal environmental management systems (Moyo, 2023). On the other hand, investigative and academic work consistently documents severe ecological and social harm from both large-scale and artisanal mining operations, frequently facilitated by weak state enforcement and corruption (Spiegel, 2020). The case of Chinese-owned mining companies, as highlighted by Munhende (2025), exemplifies a governance void where powerful actors operate with impunity. The hospitality and agricultural sectors, as examined by Kwinje et al. (2024), reveal how macroeconomic instability acts as a primary barrier to corporate stewardship, forcing even willing actors to prioritize short-term survival. The gap here is a lack of integrated analysis that connects the failures of corporate accountability with the potential of revitalized community agency, exploring models for enforceable community consent and benefit-sharing agreements.

Technology, Consumer Behavior, and Emerging Frontiers

An emerging and promising body of literature investigates the role of innovation in enabling stewardship. Geospatial technologies (GIS, remote sensing) are demonstrated as powerful tools for monitoring deforestation, land degradation, and illegal mining activities, providing data for evidence-based advocacy and enforcement (Musakwa et al., 2020). Studies on consumer behavior in Zimbabwe, such as that by Mazorodze (2025), provide crucial insights, indicating that environmental attitudes and perceived consumer effectiveness are significant predictors of sustainable purchase intentions. This suggests a foundational public consciousness upon which market-based stewardship incentives could be built. However, the literature critically lacks applied research on the deployment of appropriate, low-cost technologies (e.g., mobile apps for citizen science, simple water quality test kits) in poor, rural communities like those in Bindura. Furthermore, while behavioral intentions are studied, there is scant research on the actual adoption and impact of sustainable technologies or purchasing practices in the face of extreme economic hardship, where price sensitivity overwhelmingly dictates choice.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a systematic qualitative review methodology, relying exclusively on secondary data to conduct a comprehensive and critical synthesis of existing knowledge on environmental stewardship in Zimbabwe. The research design was structured to identify, analyze, and integrate relevant scholarly and grey literature published predominantly from 2019 onwards, ensuring engagement with the most contemporary debates and empirical findings. Data sources were systematically gathered from peer-reviewed academic journals indexed in databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, as well as from reputable institutional reports from organizations including the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the Environmental Management Agency (EMA) of Zimbabwe, and non-governmental research institutes. The literature search utilized targeted keyword strings combining geographic terms ("Zimbabwe," "Bindura") with conceptual themes ("environmental stewardship," "community-based natural resource management," "corporate environmental responsibility," "sustainable development," "Capability Approach"). The collected corpus was then subjected to a rigorous thematic analysis, guided by the conceptual framework of the Capability Approach, to code and synthesize findings related to the manifestations, drivers, barriers, and socio-economic implications of environmental stewardship practices. This analytical process involved iterative reading, critical appraisal of source credibility, and the triangulation of evidence across different types of literature to construct a coherent, evidence-based argument. The methodological strength of this approach lies in its ability to draw broad insights

from a wide evidence base, though it is acknowledged that the reliance on secondary data limits the inclusion of unpublished local perspectives and ground-level nuances that primary fieldwork might reveal.

FINDINGS

The systematic review of secondary literature reveals five core findings regarding the manifestation, drivers, and limitations of environmental stewardship as a response to Zimbabwe's socio-ecological crises. These findings collectively illustrate that while stewardship principles are actively being applied in fragmented ways, their effectiveness and equity are fundamentally constrained by structural economic and governance failures, necessitating a deliberate reorientation towards explicit poverty-alleviation and capability-expansion objectives.

The Capability Approach as an Evaluative Lens Reveals Both Synergies and Severe Trade-offs

Applying the Capability Approach (CA) as an analytical framework uncovers a complex picture of how environmental stewardship interacts with human well-being in Zimbabwe. The literature indicates clear synergistic linkages where stewardship actions directly expand vital capabilities. Community-led protection of watersheds and wetlands as documented in Zimbabwe's Eastern Highlands, directly enhances the "Bodily Health" capability by securing clean water and reducing waterborne diseases (Mavhura & Mushure, 2019). Similarly, participatory forest co-management initiatives such as those in the Chikomba district, are shown to strengthen "Affiliation" (social cohesion) and "Control over One's Environment" by devolving decision-making authority and fostering collective action (Mutasa, 2021). However, the CA lens also exposes significant tradeoffs and capability deprivations arising from poorly designed or imposed conservation models. Studies on protected area management near communal lands frequently cite incidents where restrictions on resource access (e.g., firewood, non-timber forest products) without adequate alternatives have eroded "Life" and "Bodily Integrity" capabilities by increasing livelihood insecurity and vulnerability, particularly for women (Ndlovu, 2022). This finding critically nuances the stewardship discourse, moving it beyond a binary success/failure metric to a more ethical evaluation of whose capabilities are expanded and at whose expense.

Community-Based Stewardship is Resilient but Vulnerable to Elite Capture and Withdrawn Support

The literature robustly confirms that community-based initiatives are the most vibrant and contextually rooted manifestations of environmental stewardship in Zimbabwe. Beyond the historical CAMPFIRE model, contemporary evidence highlights the proliferation of community woodlots, water harvesting committees, and farmer-managed natural regeneration projects (Matarirano et al., 2022). These initiatives often successfully integrate Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) with external scientific support, enhancing their ecological appropriateness and local legitimacy. For example, the use of traditional forecasting methods combined with weather station data in Masvingo province has improved community climate adaptation strategies (Chanza et al., 2020). However, a persistent finding across multiple studies is the vulnerability of these community structures to internal elite capture and external abandonment. Benefits from stewardship projects, whether monetary from tourism or tangible from improved yields, are frequently disproportionately captured by local leaders or those with greater social capital, exacerbating existing inequalities (Matsa, 2020). These initiatives often suffer from a project cycle syndrome, where initial NGO or donor support catalyzes action, but a lack of sustained capacity-building and integration into formal local government structures leads to collapse once external actors withdraw (Kwashirai, 2023). This highlights a stewardship model that is strong in social capital but fragile in terms of institutional and financial sustainability.

Corporate Environmental Responsibility is Dictated by External Pressure and Macroeconomic Conditions, Not Ethical Commitment

The findings regarding corporate stewardship, particularly in the mining and hospitality sectors, are unequivocal: behavior is primarily reactive, not proactive. In the mining sector, a clear dichotomy exists. As already alluded to, companies with foreign listings or major international partners demonstrate a higher level of formal environmental compliance and reporting, driven by the need to manage transnational reputational risk and adhere to foreign stock exchange regulations (Moyo, 2023). In stark contrast, locally oriented firms and artisanal operations routinely flout environmental laws with impunity. The now-iconic case of the Mavuradonha Wilderness, where Chinese mining interests allegedly operated with the collusion of local officials, is not an outlier but symptomatic of a governance system where enforcement is politically selective and corruption is

endemic (Munhende, 2025). In the hospitality sector, the research by Kwinje et al. (2024) elucidates a different but equally limiting constraint: macroeconomic instability. Their study of Harare hotels found that despite managerial awareness and stated commitment to Environmental Management Systems (EMS), hyperinflation, foreign currency shortages, and crumbling infrastructure made sustained investment in eco-technologies (e.g., solar water heating, advanced waste treatment) financially untenable. The finding here is that corporate stewardship is less a function of internal ethics and more a calculus of external pressure and economic feasibility, revealing a vast gap between corporate policy and on-ground practice in the absence of both stringent, enforced regulation and a stable economic environment.

Governance Fragmentation and Political Economy Constraints Constitute the Primary Structural Barrier

Across all sectors and case studies, the most consistent and profound finding is that governance failure acts as the ultimate barrier to effective stewardship. The literature details a system of profound fragmentation and contradictory mandates. For instance, the Environmental Management Agency (EMA) is tasked with protection, while ministries of Mines and Agriculture often prioritize rapid resource extraction and land conversion, with no superior mechanism to reconcile these conflicts (Chitakira & Nyikadzino, 2025). This institutional siloing results in predictable outcomes, such as the deteriorating water quality in the Upper Pungwe River basin, where water management and environmental agencies failed to coordinate effectively. Furthermore, the political economy of resource control is a dominant theme. The findings suggest that natural resources, from timber to mineral deposits, are frequently leveraged as patronage assets within Zimbabwe's political system, used to reward allies and secure loyalty (Mkodzongi, 2022). This politicization weakens stewardship as sustainable, long-term management is sacrificed for short-term political and economic gain. The legal framework, while adequate, is rendered impotent within this context, creating a pervasive culture of non-compliance where the powerful operate above the law.

Technology and Consumer Sentiment Offer Potential Leverage Points Amidst Structural Challenges

Amidst the predominantly challenging findings, the review identifies two potential leverage points for advancing stewardship: technology and shifting consumer behavior. Geospatial technology has transitioned from an academic tool to a practical asset for civil society and even some forward-looking community trusts. Satellite imagery from platforms like Sentinel-2 is being used by local NGOs to document illegal deforestation and mining encroachments in near real-time, providing irrefutable evidence for advocacy (Musakwa et al., 2020). This represents a democratization of monitoring power. Concurrently, research on urban consumer behavior by Mazorodze (2025) reveals a foundational shift: environmental attitudes are a statistically significant predictor of brand loyalty and advocacy for sustainable products among Zimbabwean consumers. This indicates a growing market consciousness that could be harnessed to create demand-pull incentives for corporate environmental responsibility. However, the literature also notes severe limitations to these leverage points. Technological adoption is hampered by digital poverty, high data costs, and limited technical skills in rural areas. Positive consumer attitudes, meanwhile, are consistently overridden by acute price sensitivity during periods of economic hardship, revealing the superordinate power of macroeconomic conditions over pro-environmental values.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

Making reference to the case of Bindura, this analysis affirms that environmental stewardship is not a peripheral conservation strategy but a critical, integrative framework essential for navigating Zimbabwe's intertwined crises of ecological degradation and multidimensional poverty. The study concludes that stewardship, when conceptualized through the Capability Approach, transforms from a purely environmental imperative into a foundational component of sustainable human development. The findings demonstrate that while isolated instances of effective stewardship exist manifested in community resilience, corporate compliance under pressure, and technological innovation their impact remains fragmented and critically constrained by the overarching structural pathology of governance failure and economic instability. The persistent gap between robust legal frameworks and feeble implementation, coupled with the treatment of natural resources as political patronage, systematically undermines long-term ecological and social investment. Therefore, environmental stewardship in Zimbabwe cannot be advanced through technical or project-based solutions alone; it demands a

fundamental reconfiguration of governance priorities and economic incentives to prioritize the expansion of human capabilities alongside ecological integrity. The potential for a stewardship-led transformation is palpable, evidenced by grassroots innovation and shifting public consciousness, yet realizing this potential necessitates a deliberate, systemic commitment to equity, accountability, and inclusive decision-making.

Recommendations

To translate the principles of pro-poor environmental stewardship into transformative practice, the following multi-level recommendations are proposed:

National Policy and Institutional Reforms

Integrate the Capability Approach into Environmental Policy

The Ministry of Environment, Climate, and Wildlife should adopt the Capability Approach as a formal evaluative framework for all environmental programs. Policies and projects must be assessed ex-ante and expost on their contribution to expanding specific community capabilities (Health, Security, Agency), moving beyond simple biophysical metrics.

Establish an Independent Environmental Governance Commission

To counter fragmentation and corruption, create a statutorily independent commission with powers to audit all environmental impact assessments, monitor compliance across sectors (mining, agriculture, forestry), and publicly report on government agency performance. This body should include representation from civil society, academia, and community trusts.

Implement Natural Capital Accounting

The Ministry of Finance and the Reserve Bank should pioneer natural capital accounting to integrate the depletion of forests, soils, and water into national economic indicators. This will make the cost of environmental degradation visible and create a fiscal basis for redirecting subsidies and investments towards sustainable practices.

Local Governance and Community Empowerment

Legislate and Fund Community Co-Management Agreements

Develop a clear legal framework that grants defined and secure co-management rights over local forests, water sources, and grazing lands to formally recognized Community Stewardship Councils. These councils should be empowered to negotiate directly with investors and receive a mandated share of revenues from local resource use.

Create District-Level Stewardship Innovation Hubs

In districts like Bindura, establish hubs that bundle support services: access to green finance (micro-loans for agroecology), appropriate technology (soil testing kits, water purification), legal aid for environmental justice, and platforms for knowledge exchange between communities, scientists, and local authorities.

Sectoral and Economic Interventions

Enforce Mandatory Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR)

Enact and rigorously enforce EPR regulations for the mining, manufacturing, and packaging industries. Mining companies must be legally and financially responsible for full site rehabilitation, with funds held in bonded trusts. This internalizes environmental costs currently borne by communities.

Develop a Green Bonds and Fiscal Incentive Framework

The government, in partnership with financial institutions, should launch a "Zimbabwe Green Bond" to raise capital for large-scale renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, and ecosystem restoration projects. Simultaneously, offer tax breaks and preferential procurement terms for companies verified to exceed environmental standards and employ local community members in stewardship roles.

Leveraging Technology and Building Social Norms

Launch a Publicly Accessible National Environmental Data Platform: Consolidate satellite monitoring data, pollution readings, and deforestation alerts into a single, open-access digital platform. This will empower journalists, citizens, and NGOs to hold polluters and lax officials accountable, fostering a culture of transparency.

Initiate a National "Stewardship for Capabilities"

Social Marketing Campaign: Utilize public media, social platforms, and school curricula to reframe environmental stewardship not as a sacrifice, but as the primary pathway to achieving food security, health, and durable livelihoods. Showcase successful community stewards as national heroes, linking their actions directly to improved well-being.In essence, the path forward requires a paradigm shift where environmental stewardship is recognized as the very engine of sustainable development. By implementing these recommendations, Zimbabwe can begin to systematically dismantle the structural barriers that currently perpetuate degradation and poverty, forging a future where a healthy environment is the non-negotiable foundation for the freedoms and flourishing of all its people.

REFERENCES

1. Armitage, D., Plummer, R., Berkes, F., Arthur, R. I., Charles, A. T., Davidson-Hunt, I. J., ... & Wollenberg, E. K. (2009). Adaptive co-management for social–ecological complexity. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 7(2), 95-102.
2. Balint, P. J., & Mashinya, J. (2019). CAMPFIRE during Zimbabwe's national crisis: Local impacts and broader implications for community-based wildlife management. Society & Natural Resources, 32(8), 858-875.
3. Bennett, N. J., Whitty, T. S., Finkbeiner, E., Pittman, J., Bassett, H., Gelcich, S., & Allison, E. H. (2018). Environmental stewardship: A conceptual review and analytical framework. Environmental Management, 61(4), 597-614.
4. Brundtland, G. H. (1987). Our common future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development. Oxford University Press.
5. Bvirindi, S. (2025). Positioning Zimbabwe in the climate change mitigation-adaptation discourse: exploring benefits, barriers and risks. Environment, Development and Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06723-1
6. Chanza, N., & Musakwa, W. (2025). Positioning Zimbabwe in the climate change mitigation-adaptation discourse: exploring benefits, barriers and risks. Environment, Development and Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06723-1
7. Chapin, F. S., Carpenter, S. R., Kofinas, G. P., Folke, C., Abel, N., Clark, W. C., ... & Swanson, F. J. (2010). Ecosystem stewardship: sustainability strategies for a rapidly changing planet. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 25(4), 241-249.
8. Chitakira, M., & Nyikadzino, B. (2025). Effectiveness of environmental management institutions in sustainable water resources management in the upper Pungwe River basin, Zimbabwe. Environmental Development. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2025.100876
9. Duraiappah, A. K., Roddy, P., & Parry, J. E. (2022). The Capability Approach and the Sustainable Development Goals: Partners in Change. International Institute for Sustainable Development.
10. Environmental Management Agency [EMA]. (2023). State of the Environment Report. Government of Zimbabwe.
11. Fisher, J. A., Patenaude, G., Gass, C., Lewis, K., Meir, P., Pinho, P., ... & Williams, M. (2020). Strengthening conceptual foundations for analyzing sustainability in the Anthropocene. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 45, 481-508.

12. Folke, C., Biggs, R., Norström, A. V., Reyers, B., & Rockström, J. (2016). Social-ecological resilience and biosphere-based sustainability science. Ecology and Society, 21(3), 41.
13. Kates, R. W., Clark, W. C., Corell, R., Hall, J. M., Jaeger, C. C., Lowe, I., ... & Svedin, U. (2001). Sustainability science. Science, 292(5517), 641-642.
14. Katsidzira Brian Tinashe. (2025). Navigating Challenges and Harnessing Opportunities for Implementing Sustainable Development Goals in Africa: The Case of Zimbabwe. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 9(8), 346-354.
15. Kwinje, C. P., Chingwaro, L., Moyo, T., & Mutizira, P. (2024). Evaluating the Influence of Economic Constraints on the Implementation of Environmental Management Systems in Zimbabwe: A Case Study of Hotel Chains in Harare. Zimbabwe Journal of Business, Economics and Management, 4(1), 1-15.
16. Leßmann, O., & Rauschmayer, F. (2019). Re-conceptualizing sustainable development on the basis of the capability approach: A model and its difficulties. Journal of Human Development and Capabilities, 20(1), 1-22.
17. Matarirano, L., Yeboah, T., & Chigwada, J. (2022). Youth-led agroecological initiatives and climate resilience in rural Zimbabwe. Journal of Rural Studies, 94, 196-205.
18. Matowanyika, J. Z. Z. (2022). Indigenous knowledge systems and natural resource management in Zimbabwe: Past, present and future. In African Indigenous Knowledge and the Sciences (pp. 89-104). Brill.
19. Matsa, M. (2020). The dynamism of stakeholder engagement in environmental stewardship: A case of Bindura's mining belt, Zimbabwe. Environmental Development, 34, 100527.
20. Mavhura, E., & Mushure, S. (2019). Forest and wildlife resource-conservation efforts based on indigenous knowledge: The case of Nharira community in Chikomba district, Zimbabwe. Forest Policy and Economics, 105, 83-90.
21. Mazorodze, B. T. (2025). Environment attitudes and social influence of sustainable practices: a study on consumer behaviour in Zimbabwe. Future Business Journal, 11(87). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-02500499-6
22. Mkodzongi, G., & Lawrence, P. (2019). The fast-track land reform and agrarian change in Zimbabwe. Review of African Political Economy, 46(159), 1-13.
23. Moyo, T. (2023). Corporate Environmental Responsibility in the Zimbabwean Mining Sector: The Role of Ownership and Governance. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Zimbabwe.
24. Munhende, L. (2025). A free for all: Chinese miners vandalise one of Zimbabwe's most iconic natural reserves. The Zimbabwean. Retrieved from https://www.thezimbabwean.co/2025/11/a-free-for-allchinese-miners-vandalise-one-of-zimbabwes-most-iconic-natural-reserves/
25. Musakwa, W., Mpofu, E., & Nyathi, N. A. (2020). The application of earth observation and artificial intelligence for monitoring land degradation in Zimbabwe. Geocarto International, 35(16), 1814-1832.
26. Nussbaum, M. C. (2011). Creating capabilities: The human development approach. Harvard University Press.
27. Robeyns, I. (2017). Wellbeing, freedom and social justice: The capability approach re-examined. Open Book Publishers.
28. Schlosberg, D., & Carruthers, D. (2020). Indigenous struggles, environmental justice, and community capabilities. Global Environmental Politics, 10(4), 12-35.
29. Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.
30. Tengö, M., Brondizio, E. S., Elmqvist, T., Malmer, P., & Spierenburg, M. (2014). Connecting diverse knowledge systems for enhanced ecosystem governance: the multiple evidence base approach. Ambio, 43, 579-591.
31. UNDP. (2025). Zimbabwe. Adaptation UNDP. Retrieved from https://www.adaptationundp.org/explore/africa/zimbabwe
32. West, S., Haider, L. J., Stålhammar, S., & Woroniecki, S. (2018). A relational turn for sustainability science? Relational thinking, leverage points and transformations. Ecosystems and People, 14(1), 39-50.