Job Satisfaction and Perceived Organisational Performance in Nigerian Commercial Banks

Sandra Nwagbo and Professor Gazi Md Nurul Islam

Tun Razak Graduate School, Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.101000614

Received: 20 October 2026; Accepted: 25 October 2026; Published: 12 November 2026

ABSTRACT

Job satisfaction is a well-established work attitude, but its relationship with organisational performance depends on how performance is defined, measured and attributed. This conceptual paper examines the expected association between job satisfaction and perceived organisational performance among employees in Nigerian commercial banks. Drawing on Locke's value-based account of job satisfaction, social exchange theory and research on the satisfaction–performance relationship, the paper develops a perception-aware, capacity-contingent explanation. It argues that job satisfaction may be associated with cooperative behaviour, persistence and constructive service interactions, but that these behaviours cannot be translated into organisational outcomes without adequate staffing, reliable technology, role clarity and managerial support. The paper distinguishes perceived organisational performance from individual job performance and objective financial or operational indicators, and considers reverse directionality, shared antecedents and common-source measurement as rival explanations. A focused conceptual synthesis is used; it is not presented as a systematic review, and no new empirical data are reported. The principal contribution is a set of bounded propositions that specify when the association may be more or less visible and identify the measurement and research designs needed to test it. The propositions remain theoretical expectations, not empirically established findings.

Keywords: job satisfaction; perceived organisational performance; social exchange theory; organisational behaviour; commercial banking; Nigeria

1. INTRODUCTION

Job satisfaction remains a central construct in organisational behaviour because it captures employees' evaluations of their jobs and work experiences. These evaluations may reflect the work itself, supervision, compensation, recognition, relationships with colleagues, workload, security and opportunities for development. In commercial banking, employee experiences occur within a service environment characterised by customer expectations, transaction accuracy, regulatory obligations, digital systems and time-sensitive work. The quality of employees' work experiences is therefore relevant to management, but its relationship with organisational performance should not be assumed to be direct or uniform.

A recurring problem in this literature is the tendency to use job satisfaction, employee performance and organisational performance as if they were interchangeable. They are not. Job satisfaction is an evaluative attitude; individual performance concerns how employees carry out their roles; and organisational performance refers to outcomes at a collective or organisational level. Perceived organisational performance is narrower still: it captures employees' assessments of organisational functioning rather than independently verified financial or operational results. This paper retains that distinction throughout.

The distinction matters in Nigerian commercial banks because organisational outcomes are influenced by employee conduct as well as technology, staffing, management decisions, regulatory conditions, market competition, customer composition and macroeconomic conditions. Employees may observe service flow and coordination directly but may not have complete information about profitability, asset quality or bank-wide strategic outcomes. Consequently, a positive association between job satisfaction and perceived organisational

performance should be interpreted as a relationship between an employee attitude and an employee assessment, unless the research design incorporates independent performance indicators.

The contribution is deliberately bounded. Rather than presenting the satisfaction–performance relationship as a

new discovery, the paper specifies three interpretive conditions that are often blurred in broad claims: (1) the outcome must be identified as an employee's perception rather than assumed to be objective organisational performance; (2) operational capacity may constrain whether positive work attitudes can be expressed in service behaviour; and (3) reverse influence and shared organisational antecedents remain credible explanations. These conditions make the proposed relationship more precise and generate testable implications for research in Nigerian commercial banking.

2. Problem Statement and Research Gap

The satisfaction–performance relationship has a substantial research history. Judge et al. (2001) synthesised evidence on the relationship and considered competing explanations, including the possibility that satisfaction contributes to performance, that performance contributes to satisfaction, and that common causes influence both. Riketta (2008), examining panel studies, further highlighted the importance of temporal evidence when assessing the direction of relationships between job attitudes and performance. These reviews make it difficult to justify a contribution based only on proposing a positive association.

A more defensible gap concerns the specification and interpretation of the relationship. First, studies differ in whether the outcome is individual job performance, firm performance or employee-perceived organisational functioning. Findings based on these different outcomes cannot be treated as equivalent. Second, the evidence varies in design and setting. A questionnaire study conducted in a small group of microfinance banks, for example, offers context-specific evidence but does not automatically represent commercial banks across Nigeria. Similarly, evidence from one branch of the Central Bank of Nigeria concerns a different institutional setting from commercial banking. Third, cross-sectional studies using the same respondent to measure both constructs can estimate perceived associations but cannot, on their own, establish causal direction or objective organisational improvement.

The local literature illustrates both the value and the limits of context-specific evidence. Adewale and Adewole (2024) examined employee satisfaction in five Nigerian microfinance banks in Osun State using a purposive sample of 40 employees. Chukwuemeka et al. (2025) examined motivation, job satisfaction and employee performance at the Central Bank of Nigeria's Awka Branch. These studies are relevant to the Nigerian financial-services context, but their outcomes, samples and institutional settings differ from the focal construct in this paper. Their findings should therefore inform the discussion without being treated as direct, conclusive evidence about perceived organisational performance across Nigerian commercial banks.

The research gap is therefore one of theoretical specification and measurement alignment, not an absence of prior research. Existing evidence establishes that satisfaction and performance are related concepts, but findings cannot be transferred uncritically across employee performance, perceived organisational performance and objective bank outcomes. This paper addresses that problem by specifying the outcome as perceived organisational performance, explaining a plausible but non-deterministic pathway through employee behaviour, identifying operational capacity as a proposed boundary condition, and making reciprocal influence and shared antecedents explicit. The Nigerian commercial-banking context is relevant for testing this argument, but context alone is not claimed as theoretical novelty.

3. Aim and Contribution

The aim is to develop a conceptually coherent account of the expected association between job satisfaction and perceived organisational performance among employees in Nigerian commercial banks. The paper makes three linked contributions.

First, it establishes construct-level precision by distinguishing job satisfaction from motivation, engagement, individual task performance and objective organisational outcomes. Second, it develops a conditional

explanation in which satisfaction may be associated with perceived organisational performance through cooperative work behaviour and favourable evaluations of work processes, while the availability of staffing, technology, role clarity and supervisory support affects whether employees can translate positive attitudes into effective service delivery. Third, it makes rival explanations explicit, including reverse causality, shared organisational antecedents and common-method variance. These elements refine the interpretation and empirical testing of an established relationship rather than asserting a wholly new universal theory.

4. CONCEPTUAL SYNTHESIS APPROACH

This paper uses a focused conceptual synthesis rather than an empirical design or systematic review. The literature was organised around four questions: how job satisfaction is defined; what theory suggests about its relationship with work behaviour and performance; what established reviews say about association and causal direction; and how Nigerian financial-institution studies define and measure their outcomes. The synthesis draws on foundational theory and meta-analytic work, alongside two locally relevant studies identified in the manuscript's reference base.

Sources were compared by construct definition, institutional setting, outcome level and methodological implications. The synthesis prioritised conceptual fit and limits to transferability rather than counting studies or estimating a pooled effect. No exhaustive database search, formal screening protocol, registered review protocol or systematic risk-of-bias assessment was conducted. Accordingly, the paper is described as a focused conceptual synthesis and should not be represented as a systematic review.

The argument was developed by identifying convergence in the theoretical literature and then examining where the claims require qualification. Locke's (1976) account informs the evaluative nature of job satisfaction; social exchange theory explains why perceived organisational treatment may be associated with reciprocal behaviour; and Judge et al. (2001) and Riketta (2008) inform the distinction between association and causal direction. Local studies are used to illustrate contextual relevance, not to imply that their samples, institutional settings or outcome measures are interchangeable.

5. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

5.1 Job satisfaction as an evaluative attitude

Locke (1976) conceptualised job satisfaction as an evaluative response to one's job or job experiences. Employees compare work experiences with what they value, expect or regard as fair. Satisfaction can therefore vary between employees exposed to similar formal conditions. In banking, relevant facets may include the work itself, pay, supervision, promotion opportunities, colleagues, workload and access to resources. A global satisfaction measure may be appropriate when the research question concerns an overall attitude; a facet-based measure is more informative when the aim is to compare distinct sources of satisfaction. The choice must be explicit and supported by the selected scale.

Satisfaction is not equivalent to motivation. Motivation concerns processes that energise and direct behaviour, whereas satisfaction captures an evaluation of work. Nor is satisfaction identical to engagement, which commonly refers to an active work-related state. These constructs may be related, but they require distinct definitions and measures. A framework focused on job satisfaction should not silently introduce motivation or engagement as interchangeable explanatory variables.

5.2 Social exchange and reciprocity

Social exchange theory explains how employees interpret organisational treatment as information about whether their contributions are valued and whether the employment relationship is fair (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005). Supportive supervision, recognition, credible rewards and fair procedures may foster positive attitudes and reciprocal behaviour. In service work, possible behavioural expressions include cooperation, information sharing, assistance to colleagues and constructive customer interactions.

The mechanism is conditional rather than automatic. Employees may want to deliver high-quality service but lack adequate staffing, reliable systems, training or authority to resolve customer problems. Formal banking controls may also limit discretion. Social exchange reasoning therefore supports a plausible pathway between satisfaction and work-related behaviour, but it does not establish that satisfaction alone produces higher organisational performance.

5.3 The satisfaction–performance relationship and causal direction

Judge et al. (2001) reported a positive average association between job satisfaction and job performance while discussing competing models of the relationship. Riketta (2008) examined panel studies to assess causal relationships between job attitudes and performance over time. Taken together, these reviews support treating the relationship as theoretically important while resisting an unqualified causal claim. A positive association is compatible with satisfaction influencing behaviour, performance influencing satisfaction, or both being shaped by other factors.

The reciprocal pathway is particularly important. Employees in effective branches may experience fewer operational disruptions, receive recognition and have better access to resources or career opportunities. These conditions may improve satisfaction. Leadership quality, role clarity, staffing, workload, compensation fairness and technology can also influence both employee attitudes and perceptions of organisational functioning. A cross-sectional correlation cannot distinguish these explanations by itself.

6. Critical Synthesis of Relevant Evidence

The literature is more useful when studies are compared by what they actually measure than when they are grouped under a broad label such as ‘performance’. The foundational review by Judge et al. (2001) concerns job satisfaction and job performance, while Riketta (2008) focuses on temporal evidence about job attitudes and performance. These works establish that the relationship is neither conceptually trivial nor adequately described by a simple one-way causal slogan. However, neither review alone establishes the magnitude or causal form of the relationship for employees in Nigerian commercial banks.

Local evidence offers contextual relevance but also illustrates the need for careful transfer. Adewale and Adewole (2024) studied employees in five microfinance banks in Osun State, using a small purposive sample. Their institutional and sampling boundaries mean that the results should not be generalised automatically to larger commercial banks or treated as objective bank-level performance evidence. Chukwuemeka et al. (2025) investigated motivation and job satisfaction in relation to employee performance at a single Central Bank of Nigeria branch. That setting is informative about a Nigerian financial institution, but a central bank’s mandate and operational environment differ from those of commercial banks, and employee performance is not the same construct as perceived organisational performance.

The key unresolved issue is therefore not simply whether satisfaction and performance are positively related. It is what the relationship represents under different measurement and institutional conditions. If satisfaction and perceived organisational performance are collected from the same respondent at one time, the result is an association between two perceptions. If performance is independently assessed by supervisors or measured using branch-level service indicators, the outcome is different. If audited financial measures are used, the level and determinants of performance differ again. The title, conceptual model, instruments and conclusions must be aligned with the selected outcome.

The bounded contribution is a perception-aware and capacity-contingent interpretation of the association. It adds value by distinguishing the construct being predicted, identifying a plausible operational boundary condition and specifying rival explanations that future research must address. This is a refinement of conceptual specification—not a claim that the association itself is novel or that the proposed mechanisms have already been empirically verified.

7. PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND PROPOSITIONS

The framework places job satisfaction as the focal explanatory construct and perceived organisational performance as the outcome. Operational capacity is proposed as a boundary condition: adequate staffing, functioning technology, role clarity and supervisory support may enable employees to translate positive work attitudes into constructive work behaviour. Shared organisational conditions and reciprocal influence are treated as competing explanations, rather than as established moderators or causal effects.

Figure 1. Proposed conceptual framework

JOB SATISFACTION		**PERCEIVED ORGANISATIONAL PERFORMANCE**
(Evaluative work attitude)	→	**(Employee assessment of organisational functioning)**
Boundary condition: operational capacity (staffing, reliable technology, role clarity and supervisory support)		
Rival explanations: shared organisational antecedents and reciprocal influence		

Conceptual association, not established causation

Proposition 1: Expected association

Job satisfaction is positively associated with perceived organisational performance among employees in Nigerian commercial banks.

The proposed association may operate through cooperation, persistence, information sharing and constructive customer interactions. These are plausible behavioural pathways, not measured mediators in this paper. They may contribute to favourable employee assessments of branch coordination, responsiveness and operational functioning, but they do not establish improved profitability, productivity or other objective outcomes. The proposition therefore concerns the association between two defined constructs and remains open to empirical testing.

Proposition 2: Operational capacity as a boundary condition

The positive association between job satisfaction and perceived organisational performance is expected to be more evident when employees have adequate operational resources and support to carry out their work.

This proposition distinguishes positive attitude from operational capacity. Employees may be satisfied but unable to provide reliable service when systems fail, staffing is insufficient or authority is unclear. Conversely, strong systems may sustain required output despite employee dissatisfaction. Operational capacity is proposed as a boundary condition to be tested; it is not presented as a moderator already demonstrated by data.

Proposition 3: Shared antecedents and reciprocal influence

The observed association between job satisfaction and perceived organisational performance may be partly attributable to shared organisational conditions and reciprocal influence.

Supportive leadership, fair treatment, workload and access to resources may shape both satisfaction and perceptions of performance. Effective organisational functioning may also improve satisfaction through recognition, security and fewer operational disruptions. Cross-sectional data from one respondent cannot distinguish these pathways reliably; longitudinal or multi-source designs are better suited to evaluating temporal order and alternative explanations.

8. Measurement and Empirical Testing Implications

8.1 Defining the outcome

Perceived organisational performance should be operationally defined before data collection. Potential dimensions include employees' assessments of service consistency, customer responsiveness, coordination and perceived operational effectiveness, provided that these dimensions are supported by the chosen instrument and relevant literature. They should not be presented as audited outcomes. If the intended outcome is individual task performance, the title and framework should be revised accordingly. If objective performance indicators are used, the data source, period, unit of analysis and adjustment for bank or branch size should be stated.

8.2 Sampling and level of analysis

A future study should identify the target population, sampling frame, participating banks and branches, selection method, number approached, response rate and final analytical sample. Where employees are nested within branches, observations may share managers, systems and workloads; this clustering should be considered in the analysis. Individual employee responses cannot be treated as bank-level performance without a defensible aggregation rationale and appropriate statistical evidence.

8.3 Measurement quality and common-method risk

Researchers should document the source of each scale, item wording, response options, adaptation procedures, scoring direction and handling of reverse-coded items. Reliability and validity should be evaluated for the relevant constructs. The study should also inspect possible conceptual overlap between satisfaction and performance items. When both variables are collected from one respondent at one time, common-method variance and general affect may influence the association. Procedural steps such as confidentiality assurances, neutral wording, temporal separation and independent outcome sources may reduce some risks, although no single remedy guarantees their elimination.

8.4 Design and interpretation

Cross-sectional data are suitable for estimating associations but generally provide limited evidence about temporal order. A multi-wave design, with satisfaction measured before later performance assessments, would better address temporal ordering. Where feasible, employee surveys could be combined with supervisor ratings, customer feedback or branch-level operational indicators. These sources must still be aligned with the theoretical level of analysis. Results should report effect estimates and uncertainty, distinguish statistical from practical significance, and avoid causal language unless the design supports it.

Mediation should not be claimed unless the model specifies a theoretically justified intervening construct, measures it consistently and estimates the indirect effect with appropriate uncertainty. This paper does not propose that job satisfaction mediates employee motivation and organisational performance. That is a distinct model requiring its own research question, operational definitions and empirical test.

9. Practical Implications

For bank managers, job satisfaction surveys can be useful diagnostic tools for identifying concerns about supervision, workload, recognition, career development, fairness and access to work resources. Scores should be interpreted alongside employee consultation and operational evidence rather than treated as direct measures of organisational performance. A high satisfaction score does not establish that service is effective, and a low score does not identify a single cause.

Management action should address the work conditions employees report. Possible responses include clarifying roles, reviewing workload distribution, resolving recurring system problems, improving supervisor communication and making reward criteria more transparent. The appropriate intervention will vary by branch and role. A balanced dashboard may combine employee experience measures with relevant operational

indicators, such as service timeliness, error trends, customer feedback and compliance measures, where such data are available and appropriate.

For researchers, the central implication is alignment: the title, research questions, propositions, instruments, analysis and conclusions should refer to the same constructs. For sector stakeholders, locally grounded evidence is valuable, but findings should not be generalised beyond the sampled institutions and measures without justification.

10. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

As a conceptual paper, this manuscript reports no new empirical estimates and cannot establish the strength or causal direction of the proposed association. The synthesis is focused rather than systematic and does not claim exhaustive coverage. The local studies discussed differ in institutional setting, sampling and outcome definition; they provide contextual illustrations, not direct replications of the proposed model. The proposed role of operational capacity is theoretically motivated but remains untested. These boundaries limit the claims the paper can make and define the next steps for empirical work.

Future research should compare the relationship across commercial-bank roles and branches, use validated measures, and report sampling details transparently. Multi-wave and multi-source designs would help distinguish employee perceptions from independently assessed outcomes. Studies could also examine whether operational capacity changes the strength of the association, provided that the boundary condition is theoretically specified and measured before analysis. The number of participating banks in any source thesis or dataset must be confirmed against original sampling records; inconsistent counts should not be reproduced as fact.

11. CONCLUSION

This paper develops a focused conceptual explanation of the association between job satisfaction and perceived organisational performance among employees in Nigerian commercial banks. It argues that satisfaction may be associated with cooperative behaviour and favourable assessments of organisational functioning, but the relationship is not automatic: employees need adequate operational resources and support to translate positive attitudes into effective service processes. The paper also makes reverse influence and shared organisational antecedents explicit, and distinguishes perceived performance from individual job performance and objective financial or operational indicators.

The central proposition is that job satisfaction is positively associated with perceived organisational performance, with the strength and interpretation of that association potentially shaped by operational capacity and competing explanations. The contribution is a more precise conceptual specification and a clearer empirical agenda, not a claim that a longstanding relationship has been newly discovered or proven in this manuscript. Credible testing requires construct-aligned measures, transparent sampling, attention to employee-within-branch clustering, and designs that combine temporal separation or independent outcome sources where feasible.

REFERENCES

1. Adewale, A. A., & Adewole, J. A. (2024). Effect of employee's satisfaction on performance of Nigerian microfinance banks. Practitioner Research, 6, 39–61. https://doi.org/10.32890/pr2024.6.3
2. Chukwuemeka, C. P. O., Eboh, O. S., & Theresa, E. E. (2025). Impact of motivation and job satisfaction on employees' performance at Central Bank of Nigeria, Awka Branch. Ecobankers: Journal of Economy and Banking, 6(2), 65–85. https://doi.org/10.47453/ecobankers.v6i2.3592
3. Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. Journal of Management, 31(6), 874–900. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279602
4. Judge, T. A., Thoresen, C. J., Bono, J. E., & Patton, G. K. (2001). The job satisfaction–job performance relationship: A qualitative and quantitative review. Psychological Bulletin, 127(3), 376–407. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.3.376

5. Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (pp. 1297–1349). Rand McNally.
6. Riketta, M. (2008). The causal relation between job attitudes and performance: A meta-analysis of panel studies. Journal of Applied Psychology, 93(2), 472–481. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.2.472