Quick Commerce in India: Trends, Challenges, and Growth Opportunities

Sonika Siwach

Fashion Management Studies, National Institute of Fashion Technology, Panchkula, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

Quick commerce (Q-commerce), characterized by ultra-fast delivery timelines of 10–30 minutes, has emerged as a disruptive and rapidly evolving retail model within India's digital economy. Enabled by rising urbanization, widespread smartphone adoption, and changing consumer expectations for speed and convenience, Q-commerce has gained significant traction, particularly in the grocery and essential goods segments. The proliferation of hyperlocal delivery platforms, dark stores, and data-driven logistics has further accelerated its growth. Despite its strong market momentum, the Q-commerce sector faces critical challenges related to profitability, operational efficiency, workforce sustainability, and regulatory oversight, raising concerns about its long-term viability. This review paper synthesizes insights from existing academic literature, industry reports, and secondary data sources to examine the key trends shaping Q-commerce in India, identify major structural and strategic challenges, and explore emerging growth opportunities. The study contributes to the broader emerging markets discourse by highlighting the implications of ultra-fast digital retail models for consumers, firms, and policymakers.

Keywords: Quick commerce (Q-commerce); Emerging markets; Indian retail ecosystem; Consumer behaviour; Digital retail transformation; E-grocery

INTRODUCTION

Emerging markets are witnessing rapid digital transformation in retail, driven by mobile penetration, urbanization, and changing consumer lifestyles. India, as one of the largest emerging economies, has experienced a significant shift from traditional brick-and-mortar retail to platform-based digital commerce. Within this evolution, quick commerce (Q-commerce) has emerged as a distinct business model focused on ultra-fast, hyperlocal delivery of daily essentials.

Unlike conventional e-commerce models that prioritize assortment and scheduled delivery, Q-commerce emphasizes speed, convenience, and proximity to consumers through dark stores and micro-fulfilment centres (Kumar & Ayodeji, 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated adoption by reinforcing preferences for contactless, time-efficient purchasing (IBEF, 2024). Major platforms such as Blinkit, Zepto, and Swiggy Instamart have scaled rapidly across Indian metropolitan cities.

Despite strong demand-side growth, concerns remain regarding the long-term sustainability of Q-commerce due to high operational costs, thin margins, and regulatory scrutiny. Given the relevance of these challenges for emerging markets, this paper aims to critically review existing literature to examine (i) key trends shaping Qcommerce in India, (ii) major operational and strategic challenges, and (iii) growth opportunities and future research directions.

REVIEW METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a structured narrative review methodology, appropriate for synthesizing fragmented and multidisciplinary literature in an emerging research domain. Secondary data were collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, Scopus-indexed publications, industry reports, government documents, and reputable business news sources published between 2020 and 2025.

Sources were selected based on relevance to Q-commerce, credibility of the publisher, and contextual applicability to emerging markets, particularly India. The reviewed literature was thematically analyzed and organized into trends, consumer insights, challenges, and growth opportunities.

Trends in Quick Commerce in India

Market Growth and Expansion

Q-commerce has witnessed exponential growth in India's urban centres. Recent industry estimates indicate that Q-commerce accounts for nearly 70–75% of online grocery orders, a substantial increase from approximately 35% in 2022 (IBEF, 2024). Market projections suggest that the Indian Q-commerce sector could reach USD 10 billion by 2029, reflecting robust compound annual growth rates.

Emergence of Dark Stores and Hyperlocal Infrastructure

The rapid expansion of dark stores and micro-fulfilment centres is a defining structural trend. These facilities, located close to residential clusters, enable faster order processing and last-mile delivery, thereby reducing delivery time and operational complexity (D2C Today, 2024).

Technology Integration

Advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and data analytics are increasingly embedded in Q-commerce operations. These tools support demand forecasting, inventory optimization, route planning, and personalized promotions, enhancing efficiency and customer experience (Eshopbox, 2024).

Consumer Insights in the Indian Q-Commerce Context

The success of Q-commerce in India is closely linked to evolving consumer behaviour patterns, particularly among urban populations.

Convenience Orientation and Time Sensitivity

Consumers demonstrate a strong preference for immediacy and effort reduction. Ultra-fast delivery enhances perceived value, especially for working professionals, nuclear families, and younger consumers. Empirical studies indicate that delivery speed significantly influences satisfaction and repurchase intention (Shankar, 2024).

Impulse Buying and Situational Consumption

Q-commerce facilitates impulse and situational purchases by minimizing temporal and psychological barriers. Micro-basket shopping and last-minute purchases position Q-commerce as a complementary channel to traditional retail rather than a complete substitute (Verma & Singh, 2023).

Trust, Reliability, and User Experience

Trust in platform reliability—accurate order fulfilment, product quality, transparent pricing, and real-time tracking—plays a critical role in customer retention. Seamless app interfaces and digital payment options further reinforce adoption, consistent with technology acceptance theories (Venkatesh et al., 2003).

Key Challenges Facing Quick Commerce

High Operational Costs and Profitability Pressures

Dense dark-store networks, delivery workforce expenses, and heavy discounting contribute to high operational costs. Achieving sustainable unit economics remains a major concern (Blume Ventures, 2024).

Workforce and Regulatory Issues

Reliance on gig workers raises concerns related to job security, wages, and occupational safety, prompting ethical debates and potential regulatory interventions.

Competitive Intensity and Market Consolidation

Intense competition among startups and established e-commerce players has led to price wars and consolidation pressures, further straining margins.

Impact on Traditional Retail Ecosystems

The expansion of Q-commerce poses challenges for small kirana stores, highlighting the need for collaborative and hybrid retail models (FICCI, 2024).

6. Growth Opportunities and Strategic Outlook

Expansion Beyond Tier-I Cities

Tier-II and Tier-III cities represent untapped growth potential, although scalability will depend on demand density and logistical feasibility.

Product and Revenue Diversification

Private labels, beauty and personal care products, health items, and subscription-based services offer opportunities to improve margins.

Partnerships and Platform Collaboration

Strategic partnerships with local retailers, kirana stores, and D2C brands can create inclusive growth models within emerging market contexts.

Technology-Led Efficiency and Sustainability

Investments in automation, AI-driven forecasting, and sustainable delivery practices can enhance long-term viability.

CONCLUSION

Quick commerce represents a significant innovation in India's retail landscape and offers valuable insights for other emerging markets undergoing digital transformation. While demand-side growth is strong, supply-side challenges related to cost structures, labour management, and regulation persist. The Indian experience highlights the importance of balancing speed, convenience, and sustainability.

From an emerging markets perspective, this study contributes by synthesizing evidence on how digital retail innovations interact with consumer behaviour and institutional constraints. Future research should empirically examine consumer adoption models, environmental impacts of hyperlocal delivery, and comparative analyses across emerging economies.

REFERENCES

1. Ailawadi, K. L., & Keller, K. L. (2020). Understanding retail branding: Conceptual insights and research priorities. Journal of Retailing, 96(1), 133–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2019.10.008
2. Behl, A., Sheorey, P., & Pal, A. (2022). Examining adoption of digital grocery platforms in emerging markets. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 68, 103061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.103061

3. Blume Ventures. (2024). India's quick commerce sector: Growth and sustainability outlook. Blume Ventures Report.

4. D2C Today. (2024). Quick commerce growth in India: Opportunities and challenges. https://d2ctoday.com

5. Deloitte. (2023). Digital commerce and the rise of instant delivery in India. Deloitte Insights.

6. Economic Times. (2024). Beauty and personal care drive growth in India's quick commerce segment. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com

7. Economic Times Retail. (2024). India's quick commerce sector faces margin pressure. https://retail.economictimes.indiatimes.com

8. Eshopbox. (2024). Quick commerce in India: Logistics challenges and solutions. https://www.eshopbox.com

9. FICCI. (2024). Future of retail and e-commerce in India. Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce & Industry.

10. FICCI & RedSeer Consulting. (2023). The evolution of e-grocery and quick commerce in India. Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce & Industry.

11. Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. MIS Quarterly, 27(1), 51–90. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036519

12. IBEF. (2024). E-commerce and quick commerce in India. India Brand Equity Foundation. https://www.ibef.org

13. India Briefing. (2024). Quick commerce market in India and key players. https://www.india-briefing.com

14. Kaur, P., Dhir, A., Talwar, S., & Ghuman, K. (2021). The value proposition of mobile commerce and consumer engagement. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 58, 102379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102379

15. Khan, N., & Jain, D. (2023). Consumer adoption of hyperlocal delivery platforms in India. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 35(6), 1467–1486. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-10-2022-0784
16. Kumar, S., & Ayodeji, O. (2024). Hyperlocal delivery models and consumer behavior in emerging markets. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 76, 103582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103582

16. Morganosky, M. A., & Cude, B. J. (2000). Consumer response to online grocery shopping. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 28(1), 17–26. https://doi.org/10.1108/09590550010306737

17. Rag, A., & Rajan, J. (2024, November 10). Beauty and personal care brands in dull phase find quick fix to glow up. The Economic Times. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/cons-products/fashion-/-cosmetics-/-jewellery/beauty-and-personal-care-brands-in-dull-phase-find-quick-fix-to-glowup/articleshow/115123259.cms

18. Reuters. (2025, February 25). India's quick-commerce sector may struggle to maintain current growth, Blume Venture's report says. https://www.reuters.com/business/retail-consumer/indias-quick-commercesector-may-struggle-maintain-current-growth-blume-ventures-2025-02-25/

19. Shankar, A. (2024). Digital convenience and impulse buying in rapid delivery platforms. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 48(2), 345–357. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12912

20. Tarun IAS. (2024). Quick commerce in India: UPSC notes. https://tarunias.com

21. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540

22. Verma, R., & Singh, S. (2023). Impulse buying behavior in online grocery platforms: Evidence from emerging markets. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 22(5), 1121–1134. https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2114