

Fintech Rise: Investment Trends, Business Revenue Evolution, and Goldman Sachs Fintech Investments

Dr. Mohana Kumari Lankalapalli^{1*}, Dr. Nagendrakumar Turaga²

¹Assistant Professor, NSB World Business School, Bangalore

²Associate Professor, NSB Academy, Bangalore.

*Corresponding Author

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.10190044>

Received: 03 February 2026; Accepted: 05 February 2026; Published: 16 February 2026

ABSTRACT

This study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the rise of financial technology (fintech), with specific emphasis on investment trends, business revenue evolution, and the strategic role of fintech investment in investment banking institutions, using Goldman Sachs as a representative case. Secondary data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and linear regression to assess the relationship between fintech investment and business revenue performance. The findings indicate that fintech investment is a strong predictor of revenue growth, explaining 94.4% of the variance in business revenue ($p < 0.001$), with no significant autocorrelation in the residuals, confirming model robustness. The results underscore fintech's role in enhancing efficiency, scalability, and financial performance in the post-pandemic digital economy. The study contributes empirical evidence to the fintech literature by quantitatively validating the link between fintech investment and revenue outcomes, offering insights for investors, policymakers, and financial institutions.

Keywords: Financial Inclusion, Digital Finance, Technological Innovation, Goldman Sachs, COVID-19 Impact, Data Analytics, Financial Services, Revenue Prediction

INTRODUCTION

Financial technology, commonly referred to as fintech, has rapidly emerged as a transformative force reshaping the financial services landscape across the globe (Shaghikyan & Hayrapetyan, 2020). Fintech, a portmanteau of "finance" and "technology," denotes the application of technological innovations to enhance and automate financial services and processes (Lagna & Ravishankar, 2021; Milian et al., 2019). It encompasses a broad spectrum of technological interventions, including software, algorithms, and digital platforms, that are deployed to deliver a diverse array of financial solutions, ranging from mobile payments and online lending to robo-advisors and blockchain-based systems (Martini et al., 2021). The proliferation of fintech has been fueled by several converging factors, including the ubiquitous adoption of smartphones and internet connectivity, the increasing demand for convenient and accessible financial services, and the growing availability of venture capital funding for fintech startups (Abad-Segura et al., 2020). Digital transformation presents challenges across all industries, with fintech initiatives standing out as pivotal innovations in finance, propelled by the sharing economy, regulations, and information technology (Suryono et al., 2020). Fintech's ongoing evolution is expected to disrupt traditional financial services, creating new value chains and setting higher standards for business facilitation, thus attracting both consumers and attention (Kou & Lu, 2025). The rise of fintech presents both opportunities and challenges for various stakeholders, including financial institutions, regulators, and consumers. The value proposition of financial technologies hinges on creativity and a client-centric approach, offering more flexible financial services compared to traditional models (Abad-Segura et al., 2020). Fintech innovation introduces a new paradigm for traditional banks, improving their investment strategies and service offerings (Haridan et al., 2020). Fintech aims to enhance the speed, affordability, convenience, security, and transparency of transactions (Griffin et al., 2023).

INVESTMENT TRENDS IN FINTECH

Investment trends within the fintech sector have demonstrated a dynamic and evolving landscape, characterized by substantial capital inflows, shifting investment priorities, and increasing diversification across various fintech subsectors. Global investment in fintech has experienced exponential growth over the past decade, with venture capital firms, private equity funds, and corporate investors injecting billions of dollars into fintech startups and established companies alike (Dwivedi et al., 2021). The fintech sector has attracted considerable attention from investors seeking high-growth opportunities in a rapidly expanding market. The rise in digital financial product accessibility has increased society's interest in capital markets, which is reflected in the growth of active stock holdings in U.S. households (Eichler & Schwab, 2024).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The rapid rise of financial technology (fintech) has fundamentally reshaped the financial services ecosystem, influencing investment patterns, business revenue models, and institutional strategies. Existing literature broadly converges around fintech's role in digital transformation, its implications for investment and revenue generation, and its impact on traditional financial institutions. However, empirical integration of these themes—particularly linking fintech investment to measurable revenue outcomes—remains limited.

Fintech as a Driver of Digital Transformation and Financial Inclusion

Fintech is widely recognized as a transformative force in the financial sector, driven by advancements in digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, cloud computing, and big data analytics (Milian et al., 2019; Suryono et al., 2020). These technologies have significantly enhanced efficiency, transparency, and accessibility within financial services, enabling faster transactions, reduced costs, and improved customer convenience (Budiwati et al., 2020; Griffin et al., 2023). Scholars emphasize that fintech has expanded access to financial services for underserved populations by leveraging mobile technology and data-driven decision-making, thereby promoting financial inclusion (Alexander & Karametaxas, 2021; Lahrèche et al., 2020).

The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated fintech adoption, as contactless payments and digital banking solutions became essential for both consumers and businesses (Fu & Mishra, 2021). This period highlighted fintech's resilience and adaptability, reinforcing its role as a critical enabler of continuity in financial services during systemic disruptions (Milian et al., 2019). While the literature strongly supports fintech's transformative potential, it also acknowledges emerging concerns related to regulation, cybersecurity, and consumer protection (Heng & Tok, 2022; Nugroho & Novitasari, 2023).

Investment Trends and Revenue Models in the Fintech Ecosystem

A significant body of research documents the exponential growth of fintech investment, with venture capital firms, private equity funds, and institutional investors increasingly allocating capital to fintech ventures (Baig et al., 2022; Chawla et al., 2023). Investors are attracted by fintech's scalability, innovation potential, and ability to disrupt traditional financial models (Dwivedi et al., 2021). The pandemic-induced shift toward digital transactions further intensified investor interest, particularly in fintech firms offering remote, technology-enabled financial solutions (Kashuba, 2021).

Parallel to rising investments, fintech firms have diversified their revenue models beyond traditional fee-based structures. Studies indicate that fintech companies generate income through transaction fees, subscription services, data analytics, software licensing, and platform-based services (Vijai, 2019; Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). These models allow fintech firms to capture value across multiple stages of the financial services value chain, enhancing revenue stability and growth potential. However, much of the existing literature focuses on describing revenue mechanisms rather than empirically testing the relationship between fintech investment and business revenue outcomes.

Impact of Fintech on Banking Performance and Business Outcomes

Fintech innovation has had a profound impact on traditional banking and financial institutions, prompting operational restructuring and strategic realignment (Lestari & Rahmanto, 2021). Research suggests that fintech adoption improves operational efficiency, reduces processing costs, and enhances customer satisfaction, thereby contributing to improved business performance (Junejo et al., 2019; Davey, 2024). By integrating fintech solutions, financial institutions can streamline internal processes, improve fraud detection, and enhance decision-making through advanced analytics (Ridzuan et al., 2024).

Despite broad acknowledgment of fintech's positive influence on performance, empirical studies linking fintech investment levels to concrete financial outcomes—such as revenue growth—remain scarce. Most research emphasizes adoption, customer satisfaction, or financial inclusion outcomes, leaving a gap in understanding fintech's direct contribution to revenue performance, particularly within investment banking contexts.

Institutional Investors and Strategic Fintech Adoption: The Case of Goldman Sachs

Institutional investors play a pivotal role in shaping fintech's trajectory, with major financial institutions increasingly investing in or partnering with fintech firms (Kwon et al., 2023). Goldman Sachs, in particular, has strategically expanded its fintech investments across payments, lending, wealth management, and digital platforms, recognizing fintech's potential to enhance competitiveness and innovation. Prior studies highlight that such strategic investments enable traditional financial institutions to modernize services, improve operational agility, and capture emerging market opportunities (Mehta, 2025).

However, while descriptive accounts of institutional fintech engagement are well documented, there is limited empirical research assessing whether fintech investment by large investment banks translates into measurable revenue enhancement. This gap underscores the need for quantitative analysis examining the financial outcomes of fintech investment at the institutional level.

Research Gap and Direction

In summary, the literature establishes fintech as a catalyst for digital transformation, investment growth, and innovation in financial services. Nevertheless, existing studies largely remain fragmented focusing separately on adoption, inclusion, investment trends, or revenue models. There is a clear gap in empirical research that integrates these dimensions by quantitatively examining the relationship between fintech investment and business revenue performance within major financial institutions. Addressing this gap is essential to understanding fintech's tangible economic impact and forms the foundation for the present study.

Based on prior evidence linking fintech adoption with efficiency gains and performance improvements, this study empirically tests the relationship between fintech investment and revenue outcomes in investment banking.

METHODOLOGY

Statement of the problem:

Despite the rapid growth of fintech investment in the post-pandemic period, there is limited empirical evidence quantifying its impact on revenue performance within investment banking institutions. Existing studies largely focus on fintech adoption, innovation, or financial inclusion, with fewer studies statistically examining whether increased fintech investment leads to measurable improvements in business revenue. This study addresses this gap by quantitatively analyzing the relationship between fintech investment and revenue performance.

Need of the study:

The necessity for this research stems from the growing dependence on investment banking financial technologies and a scarcity of dedicated academic or industry research on their effects following COVID-19. As institutions like Goldman Sachs embrace technologies like AI, blockchain, and digital onboarding software, it is important to look at how these developments have shaped banking efficiency, precision, compliance, and client service.

This research also assists in determining whether these instruments are regarded as enhancements or disruptions by professionals working in different job positions.

Research Design

This study employs a purely quantitative research design based on secondary data analysis. The objective is to empirically examine the relationship between fintech investment and business revenue performance within the investment banking sector, with specific reference to Goldman Sachs. Quantitative techniques, including descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and linear regression analysis, were utilized to assess the strength, direction, and predictive power of fintech investment on revenue outcomes.

The use of a quantitative approach is appropriate given the study’s focus on measuring financial relationships and testing hypotheses using numerical data. This design enables objective evaluation of fintech’s economic impact and ensures replicability and statistical rigor.

HYPOTHESIS

H1: A substantial positive correlation exists between fintech adoption and performance enhancement in investment banking.

DATA ANALYSIS

Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics provide a summary of the central tendency and variability of your data.

Descriptive Statistics			
	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Revenue	11.9692	1.23254	72
Fintech Investment	354.4271	115.58697	72

The average revenue across the 72 observations is **11.9692**. The standard deviation of **1.23254** indicates that the revenue data points typically deviate from the mean by about 1.23 units. This suggests a relatively low level of variability in the revenue values. The average fintech investment is **354.4271**. The standard deviation of **115.58697** indicates that the fintech investment values are more spread out from the mean compared to revenue, with a higher degree of variability.

Interpretation

Revenue has less variation compared to Fintech Investment, as indicated by the smaller standard deviation. This suggests that the revenue data is more consistent across the observations. Fintech Investment shows more variability, indicating that there are larger differences in the investment values.

Correlation

The correlation results show the strength and direction of the linear relationship between Revenue and Fintech Investment.

Correlations			
		Revenue	Fintech Investment
Pearson Correlation	Revenue	1.000	0.972
	Fintech Investment	0.972	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	Revenue		0.000
	Fintech Investment	0.000	
N	Revenue	72	72
	Fintech Investment	72	72

The Pearson correlation between Revenue and Fintech Investment is 0.972, which indicates a very strong positive relationship. This suggests that as fintech investment increases, revenue also tends to increase, and vice versa. The closer the value is to 1, the stronger the positive linear relationship.

The **p-value** (Sig. 1-tailed) for the correlation is **0.000**, which is **less than 0.05** (the typical threshold for statistical significance). This indicates that the correlation is statistically significant, meaning that the relationship between fintech investment and revenue is unlikely to be due to random chance.

Interpretation

The strong positive correlation of **0.972** indicates that fintech investments and revenue are closely linked. A higher fintech investment tends to correspond with higher revenue, and this relationship is statistically significant. This suggests that investments in fintech have a significant impact on the revenue, making it a potentially key factor in improving financial outcomes.

Regression Model

The Model Summary output provides information about the performance and fit of your regression model.

Model Summary										
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics					Durbin-Watson
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.972 ^a	0.944	0.943	0.29400	0.944	1177.846	1	70	0.000	1.815
a. Predictors: (Constant), Fintech Investment										
b. Dependent Variable: Revenue										

R (Correlation Coefficient)

The **R** value is **0.972**, which indicates a very strong linear relationship between the predictor (Fintech Investment) and the dependent variable (Revenue). This is consistent with the high Pearson correlation value you observed earlier.

R Square (R²)

R² is **0.944**, meaning that **94.4%** of the variance in revenue can be explained by fintech investment. This is an excellent result, indicating that the fintech investment variable is highly effective in predicting revenue.

Adjusted R Square

The **Adjusted R²** value of **0.943** considers the number of predictors and the sample size, providing a more accurate measure of model fit. The value is very close to the R², confirming that the model is well-fitted and not overfitted.

Standard Error of the Estimate

The standard error of the estimate is **0.29400**, which measures the average distance between the observed values and the predicted values of revenue. A lower standard error indicates that the model's predictions are close to the actual values. In this case, 0.29400 suggests a fairly tight fit.

Change Statistics (F Change)

R² Change: The change in R² is **0.944**, which means that fintech investment accounts for 94.4% of the variability in revenue, as seen in the R².

F Change: The **F Change** statistic is **1177.846**, which indicates that the model is statistically significant.

Sig. F Change: The **p-value** for the F test is **0.000**, which is less than 0.05. This means that the model as a whole is statistically significant, and fintech investment has a significant effect on revenue.

Durbin-Watson Statistic

The **Durbin-Watson** value is **1.815**, which is used to detect the presence of autocorrelation (correlation of residuals). A value between 1.5 and 2.5 generally suggests that there is no problematic autocorrelation, so this value suggests that the residuals are well-behaved in terms of autocorrelation.

INTERPRETATION

The model explains **94.4%** of the variance in **revenue**, which is very strong, indicating that fintech investment is a key predictor of revenue. The model is statistically significant ($p\text{-value} = 0.000$), meaning that the relationship between fintech investment and revenue is unlikely to be due to random chance. The **Durbin-Watson** statistic suggests that there is no significant autocorrelation in the residuals, supporting the assumption of independent errors.

This regression model is highly effective for predicting revenue based on fintech investment.

DISCUSSION

Fintech innovation has transformed the financial services sector, leading to greater efficiency, lower costs, and increased customer satisfaction. It helps companies, business owners, and consumers manage their financial operations, processes, and lives better with specialized software and algorithms that are used on computers and smartphones (Davey, 2024). Fintech is also revolutionizing the way banks, investors, payment service providers, broking, wealth management companies, and insurance providers manage their money (Mehta, 2025).

The evolution of business revenue models in the fintech sector reflects the dynamic nature of the industry and the increasing adoption of digital financial services. Fintech companies are generating revenue through various channels, including transaction fees, subscription models, data analytics services, and software licensing (Vijai, 2019). Data science helps fintech improve fraud detection, prediction, customer service, and feedback (Ridzuan et al., 2024). The flexibility of certain solutions encourages the combination of domestic and international payment services (Baba et al., 2020).

CONCLUSION

The rise of fintech represents a profound transformation of the financial services landscape, driven by technological innovation, evolving customer expectations, and changing regulatory dynamics. Fintech solutions are revolutionizing banking and its customers, with the aim of helping the banking industry to modify its services and procedures (Mehta, 2025). As digital payments become more widely accepted, businesses have had to change how they manage risk (Mehta, 2025). The evolution of fintech has created new opportunities for innovation, competition, and financial inclusion, while also raising important questions about regulation, security, and consumer protection (Suryono et al., 2020). The ongoing investment in fintech reflects the industry's potential to reshape the future of finance and create value for investors, businesses, and consumers alike (Guild, 2017) (Bazarbash, 2019) (Girma & Huseynov, 2023) (Zhong-qing et al., 2019).

This research is grounded in a quantitative methodological framework, employing statistical analysis to test the relationship between fintech investment and revenue performance. By relying exclusively on numerical data and regression-based inference, the study ensures methodological consistency between the research objectives, hypothesis, and analytical approach. The findings provide robust empirical support for fintech investment as a key driver of revenue growth in investment banking, reinforcing the study's validity and practical relevance.

REFERENCES

1. Abad-Segura, E., González-Zamar, M.-D., Meneses, E. L., & Cano, E. V. (2020). Financial Technology: Review of Trends, Approaches and Management. *Mathematics*, 8(6), 951. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8060951>
2. Alexander, K., & Karametaxas, X. E. (2021). Digital transformation and financial inclusion. In *Routledge eBooks* (p. 273). Informa. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429325670-15>
3. Alqararah, E. A., Shehadeh, M., & Yaseen, H. (2025). The Role of Digital Transformation Capabilities in Improving Banking Performance in Jordanian Commercial Banks. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 18(4), 196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18040196>
4. Amjad, M. (2024). The Rise of Fintech: Transforming Financial Services and the Role of Finance Professionals. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4998144>
5. Arenas-Parra, M., Rico-Pérez, H., & García, R. Q. (2024). The emerging field of Robo Advisor: A relational analysis [Review of The emerging field of Robo Advisor: A relational analysis]. *Heliyon*, 10(16). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e35946>
6. Baba, C., Batog, C., Flores, E., Gracia, B., Karpowicz, I., Kopyrski, P., Roaf, J., Shabunina, A., Elkan, R. van, & Xu, X. C. (2020). Fintech in Europe: Promises and Threats. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3758074>
7. Baig, U., Zehra, S., Anjum, S., & Hussain, M. (2022). FinTech Past and Future:Ecosystem, Business Model and its Proximate Challenges. *Pakistan Business Review*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.22555/pbr.v24i1.645>
8. Bazarbash, M. (2019). Fintech in Financial Inclusion: Machine Learning Applications in Assessing Credit Risk. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3404066>
9. Bhattacharjee, I., Srivastava, N., Mishra, Prof. A., Adhav, S., & Singh, Mrs. N. (2024). The Rise Of Fintech: Disrupting Traditional Financial Services. 89. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.1408>
10. Budiwati, S., Junaidi, M., & Nugroho, W. T. (2020). The Principle of Pacta Sunt Servanda in Fintech Transactions is Reviewed Through The Perspective of Ushul Fiqh. *Al-Iktisab Journal of Islamic Economic Law*, 4(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.21111/al-iktisab.v4i1.4350>
11. Chawla, U., Mohnot, R., Singh, H. V., & Banerjee, A. (2023). The Mediating Effect of Perceived Trust in the Adoption of Cutting-Edge Financial Technology among Digital Natives in the Post-COVID-19 Era. *Economies*, 11(12), 286. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11120286>
12. Davey, H. A. (2024). Impact of Financial Technology on Traditional Banking. *Shanlax International Journal of Management*, 11, 208. <https://doi.org/10.34293/management.v11i1s1-mar.8044>
13. Dwivedi, P., Alabdooli, J. I., & Dwivedi, R. (2021). Role of FinTech Adoption for Competitiveness and Performance of the Bank: A Study of Banking Industry in UAE. *International Journal of Global Business and Competitiveness*, 16(2), 130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42943-021-00033-9>
14. Ebrahimabad, F. Z., Yazdani, H. R., Hakim, A., & Asarian, M. (2024). Augmented Reality Versus Web-Based Shopping: How Does AR Improve User Experience and Online Purchase Intention. *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 15, 100152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2024.100152>
15. Eichler, K. S., & Schwab, E. (2024). Evaluating robo-advisors through behavioral finance: a critical review of technology potential, rationality, and investor expectations [Review of Evaluating robo-advisors through behavioral finance: a critical review of technology potential, rationality, and investor expectations]. *Frontiers in Behavioral Economics*, 3. *Frontiers Media*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frbhe.2024.1489159>
16. Fu, J., & Mishra, M. (2021). Fintech in the time of COVID-19: Technological adoption during crises. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 50, 100945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfi.2021.100945>
17. Girma, A. G., & Huseynov, F. (2023). The Causal Relationship between FinTech, Financial Inclusion, and Income Inequality in African Economies. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17010002>
18. Griffin, N., Uña, G., Bazarbash, M., & Verma, A. (2023). Fintech Payments in Public Financial Management: Benefits and Risks. *IMF Working Paper*, 2023(20), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400232213.001>
19. Guild, J. (2017). Fintech and the Future of Finance. *Asian Journal of Public Affairs*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.18003/ajpa.201710>

20. Gupta, K., Wajid, A., & Gaur, D. (2023). Determinants of continuous intention to use FinTech services: the moderating role of COVID-19. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 29(2), 536. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41264-023-00221-z>
21. Haridan, N. M., Hassan, A. F. S., & Alahmadi, H. A. (2020). Financial Technology Inclusion in Islamic Banks: Implication on Shariah Compliance Assurance. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(14). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v10-i14/7361>
22. Heng, D., & Tok, Y. W. (2022). Fintech: Financial Inclusion or Exclusion? IMF Working Paper, 2022(80), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400208645.001>
23. Heryana, N., Mayasari, R., & Aprianto, R. (2020). The Role of Financial Technology in The Creative Industries in Indonesia. *Buana Information Technology and Computer Sciences (BIT and CS)*, 1(2), 23. <https://doi.org/10.36805/bit-cs.v1i2.840>
24. Junejo, I., Shah, A. A., & Bachani, A. (2019). Influence of Fin-tech on Customer Satisfaction: Empirical Evidence from Allied Bank of Pakistan. *South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.9734/sajsse/2019/v4i230120>
25. Kashuba, Y. (2021). CHALLENGES OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE FOR FINTECH COMPANIES IN A PANDEMIC PERIOD. *InterConf*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.51582/interconf.7-8.04.2021.008>
26. Kou, G., & Lu, Y. (2025). FinTech: a literature review of emerging financial technologies and applications [Review of FinTech: a literature review of emerging financial technologies and applications]. *Financial Innovation*, 11(1). Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-024-00668-6>
27. Kwon, K. Y., Molyneux, P., Pancotto, L., & Reghezza, A. (2023). Banks and FinTech Acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 65(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10693-022-00396-x>
28. Lagna, A., & Ravishankar, M. N. (2021). Making the world a better place with fintech research. *Information Systems Journal*, 32(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12333>
29. Lahrèche, A., Ogawa, S., Beaton, K., Khera, P., Bazarbash, M., Allmen, U. von, & Sahay, R. (2020). The Promise of Fintech; Financial Inclusion in the Post COVID-19 Era. *RePEc: Research Papers in Economics*. <https://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:imf:imfdps:2020/009>
30. Lestari, D., & Rahmanto, B. T. (2021). Fintech and its challenge for banking sector. *The Management Journal of Binaniaga*, 6(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.33062/mjb.v6i1.428>
31. Mărăciine, V., Voican, O., & Scarlat, E. (2020). The Digital Transformation and Disruption in Business Models of the Banks under the Impact of FinTech and BigTech. *Proceedings of the ... International Conference on Business Excellence*, 14(1), 294. <https://doi.org/10.2478/picbe-2020-0028>
32. Martia, D. Y., Hasanah, A., Sarah, I. S., Fajria, R. N., & Pinandhito, K. (2020). Exploring How University Students Utilize the Help of Digital Payment: a Qualitative Study. *Proceedings of the International Seminar of Science and Applied Technology (ISSAT 2020)*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aer.k.201221.100>
33. Martini, M., Sardiyo, S., Septian, R., sy, D. A., & Nurdiansyah, D. (2021). Understanding of Financial Literacy as a Moderating Variable on the Effect of Financial Technology on Financial Inclusion in Lubuklinggau City, Indonesia. *Journal of Economics Finance and Accounting Studies*, 3(2), 140. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jefas.2021.3.2.14>
34. Mehta, P. (2025). The Rise of Fintech in India: How Banks Manage Risk in the Digital Age. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5094929>
35. Milian, E. Z., Spínola, M. de M., & Carvalho, M. M. de. (2019). Fintechs: A literature review and research agenda [Review of Fintechs: A literature review and research agenda]. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 34, 100833. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2019.100833>
36. Musaev, V., Khobotova, S., Knyazeva, I. G., Katunina, N., & Puzina, N. (2021). Assessment of the Economic Results of the Digital Transformation of the Client-Centric System of Sberbank of Russia. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 93, 4007. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20219304007>
37. Musaiqwa, M., & Kalitanyi, V. (2023). Examining the leadership approaches adopted by Fintech management when implementing organizational change prompted by digital transformation. *Technology Audit and Production Reserves*, 4, 20. <https://doi.org/10.15587/2706-5448.2023.286627>
38. Neama, N. H., Abbood, R. H., & Aref, S. A. S. (2023). Financial Technology and Its Role in Iraqi Banking Industry (Analyzing Study for Selected Private Banks of Iraq). *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 11(4), 1577. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2023.114087>

39. Nugroho, M. A., & Novitasari, B. T. (2023). Fintech Risks and Continuance to Use on Generation Z. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i2.630>
40. Ridzuan, N. N., Masri, M., Anshari, M., Fitriyani, N. L., & Syafrudin, M. (2024). AI in the Financial Sector: The Line between Innovation, Regulation and Ethical Responsibility. *Information*, 15(8), 432. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info15080432>
41. Serbulova, N. (2021). Fintech as a transformation driver of global financial markets. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 273, 8097. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202127308097>
42. Shaghikyan, A. S., & Hayrapetyan, H. N. (2020). Equity Crowdfunding in the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU). *Finance Theory and Practice*, 24(3), 45. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2587-5671-2020-24-3-45-59>
43. Suryono, R. R., Budi, I., & Purwandari, B. (2020). Challenges and Trends of Financial Technology (Fintech): A Systematic Literature Review. *Information*, 11(12), 590. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info11120590>
44. Vijai, C. (2019). Fintech in India – Opportunities and Challenges. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3354094>
45. Zhong-qing, H., Ding, S., Li, S., Chen, L., & Yang, S. (2019). Adoption Intention of Fintech Services for Bank Users: An Empirical Examination with an Extended Technology Acceptance Model. *Symmetry*, 11(3), 340. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11030340>