Fostering Positive Adolescent Development Through Psychological Wellbeing and Social Resilience

Jyothsna E

Research Scholar (Under the guidance of Prof. (Dr.) Smitha R) NSS Training College, Ottapalam, Palakkad

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.102000052

Received: 18 October 2026; Accepted: 23 October 2026; Published: 19 November 2026

ABSTRACT

Adolescence is a critical period marked by significant physical, psychological, emotional and social changes, accompanied by neurobiological reorganisation. Supportive environments involving parents, teachers, peers and schools play an important role in adolescent development. While earlier research mainly focused on adolescent problems and difficulties, contemporary developmental science emphasises a strengths-based approach. Positive Youth Development focuses on nurturing strengths, competencies, resilience, positive relationships and supportive environments.

This paper identifies psychological wellbeing and social resilience as two essential pillars of healthy adolescent development. Psychological wellbeing refers to positive psychological functioning, including self-acceptance, autonomy, positive relationships, environmental mastery, purpose in life and personal growth. Social resilience involves adolescents' capacity to access, navigate and utilise supportive resources within family, school, peer, community and cultural contexts.

This paper adopts a conceptual review approach by analysing peer-reviewed journal articles, books, policy reports and publications of international organisations. Although several studies have examined adolescent mental health and resilience, limited attention has been given to integrating psychological wellbeing and social resilience within a single strengths-based conceptual framework. Therefore, the paper advocates an integrated and culturally responsive conceptual framework to promote positive adolescent development and inform educational practice, policy and future research.

Keywords: Adolescence, Social Resilience, Psychological Wellbeing, Positive Youth Development, Self-Regulation, Ecological Systems Theory.

INTRODUCTION

Human development is not solely marked by material gain or economic progress. Instead, it is a multidimensional process that encompasses improvements in health, education, psychological wellbeing, social relationships, capabilities and opportunities, enabling individuals to lead productive, meaningful, and fulfilling lives. Within this broader perspective, adolescence is a critical stage of human development, characterised by rapid physical, cognitive, emotional and social changes that lay the foundation for lifelong health, learning and wellbeing.

Whereas adolescent mental health challenges remain important, contemporary developmental science advocates a shift from a deficit-focused perspective to a strengths-based approach that recognises adolescents' capacities for growth and flourishing. Instead of defining positive development merely as the absence of psychological disorders, Positive Child Development emphasises the active development of adaptive competencies, psychological wellbeing, resilience and other personal strengths that support positive development (Pluess,

2024). This perspective underscores the importance of identifying and nurturing protective factors that promote optimal development rather than focusing exclusively on risk factors and deficits.

Adolescence represents an important stage of development characterised by profound neurobiological, cognitive, emotional and social reorganisation (Blakemore & Mills, 2014; Steinberg, 2005). During this period, young people are particularly sensitive to the influence of supportive environments, including families, schools, peers and communities. Such environments can strengthen psychological wellbeing, foster social resilience and enhance adolescents' ability to cope with challenges, adapt to changing circumstances and achieve positive developmental outcomes. As a result, adolescence provides a critical opportunity for interventions and educational practices that cultivate strengths and promote lifelong human development.

Need and Significance

The need for a strengths-based understanding of adolescence arises from the growing recognition that adolescent development should not be viewed solely in terms of problems, vulnerabilities and risk factors. Psychological wellbeing and social resilience help adolescents cope with challenges, maintain supportive relationships, develop purpose and achieve positive developmental outcomes. Understanding these dimensions is essential for promoting healthy adolescent development.

Research Gap

Although existing research has extensively examined adolescent mental health, psychological wellbeing and resilience, these dimensions are often studied primarily from **a** deficit-oriented perspective (Fergus & Zimmerman, 2005; Ungar, 2011). Relatively little conceptual work has explicitly integrated psychological wellbeing and social resilience through a strengths-based approach, particularly in the context of adolescent development in India. This gap provides a rationale for developing an integrative conceptual perspective that considers individual psychological resources together with family, peer, school, community and cultural contexts.

Key Terms

Adolescence

Adolescence is a critical developmental period marked by significant cognitive, emotional, psychological, and social changes (Blakemore & Mills, 2014; Steinberg, 2005).

Psychological Wellbeing

Psychological Wellbeing refers to positive psychological functioning and the realisation of one's potential. It includes self-acceptance, positive relationships, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose in life, and personal growth (Ryff, 1989).

Social Resilience

Social resilience refers to the capacity of individuals to navigate and negotiate resources within their social and ecological environments in ways that support positive adaptation to adversity (Fergus & Zimmerman, 2005; Ungar, 2011).

Psychological wellbeing and social resilience are distinct but interconnected dimensions of positive adolescent development. Psychological wellbeing concerns positive psychological functioning, whereas social resilience involves accessing and utilising supportive social and cultural resources (Ryff, 1989; Ungar, 2011). Together, they promote adaptive functioning and positive adolescent development.

Positive Youth Development

Positive Youth Development is a strengths-based approach that emphasises adolescents' competencies, potential, positive relationships and capacity to contribute meaningfully (Damon, 2004; Lerner et al., 2005; Benson et al., 2006).

Self-Regulation

Self-regulation involves managing thoughts, emotions and behaviours to achieve goals and respond adaptively to challenges. In adolescence, goal setting and impulse control promote resilience and adaptive functioning (Dias & Cadime, 2017).

Ecological Systems Theory

Ecological Systems Theory is a perspective that explains development through interactions between individuals and interconnected environmental systems such as family, peers, school and community (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006).

Objectives

1. To examine psychological wellbeing and social resilience as "key dimensions of strengths-based adolescent development".
2. To analyse the individual, interpersonal and environmental factors that promote psychological wellbeing and social resilience among adolescents.
3. To explore the challenges and contextual influences associated with adolescent resilience and positive development.
4. To discuss intervention approaches and future directions for strengthening psychological wellbeing and social resilience among adolescents.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The paper adopted a conceptual review approach to examine psychological wellbeing and social resilience as pillars of adolescent development from a strengths-based perspective.

Data Source

The literature was identified through searches of Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed and ERIC, based on its relevance to the objectives and major theoretical perspectives of the paper. The data were mainly drawn from peer-reviewed journal articles, books, theoretical works and reports with special attention to relevant literature published over the past several decades. The literature was critically examined and thematically organised rather than systematically reviewed, with an emphasis on major themes related to adolescent psychological wellbeing, social resilience, positive youth development and contextual influences. The resulting framework represents a conceptual synthesis of the literature rather than an empirically tested model.

Analysis and Findings

Objective 1

Theoretical Overview of Psychological Wellbeing

The Hedonic and Eudaimonic Perspectives of Psychological Wellbeing.

Psychological wellbeing is widely understood through two perspectives: hedonic wellbeing and eudaimonic wellbeing. Both make significant contributions to determining the overall quality of life of an individual. Hedonic wellbeing focuses on happiness, positive affect, negative affect and life satisfaction, whereas eudaimonic wellbeing emphasises meaning, purpose, personal growth and effective psychological functioning (Eid & Diener, 2004; Ryan & Deci, 2001). Aristotle's concept of eudaimonia emphasizes human flourishing through virtuous and meaningful living (Aristotle, 2009). Building on broader philosophical and psychological perspectives of positive functioning, Ryff (1989) conceptualised psychological wellbeing as a multidimensional construct comprising self-acceptance, positive relations with others, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose in life and personal growth. These perspectives are complementary in understanding adolescents' wellbeing, particularly during a developmental period characterized by identity formation, increasing autonomy, value development and the pursuit of meaningful life goals.

Self-Determination Theory.

Self-Determination Theory, proposed by Edward L. Deci and Richard M. Ryan, emphasises three basic psychological needs: autonomy, competence and relatedness. When these psychological needs are satisfied, individuals are able to experience confidence, intrinsic motivation, emotional wellbeing, resilience and social adaptation (Deci & Ryan, 2000). In contrast, when these needs are unmet, individuals may be at greater risk of psychological distress and maladaptive behaviour and substance misuse. Therefore, institutions should support these basic psychological needs by ensuring positive teacher-student relationships, peer collaboration and an inclusive school climate.

The Dual-Factor Model.

The dual-factor model was proposed by Corey L. M. Keyes and subsequently developed by researchers such as Michael J. Furlong. This model argues that mental health and mental illness are two related but distinct dimensions (Keyes, 2002). From this perspective, the absence of mental illness does not necessarily mark the presence of good mental health. Beyond the traditional view of mental health, this model emphasises the positive mental health including psychological wellbeing and social functioning. Even in the absence of symptoms of anxiety and depression, an adolescent may experience low motivation, poor life satisfaction, a lack of purpose in life and weak social relationships. Therefore, adolescents should be supported by promoting positive mental health, creating a supportive learning environment that fosters wellbeing, resilience, optimism and social connectedness. Furthermore, a strengths-based approach should be adopted to help adolescents flourish in their own way.

Theoretical Overview of Resilience.

The resilience research focuses on how protective factors influence the relationship between risk factors and positive developmental outcomes. Fergus and Zimmerman (2005) described three models for understanding how protective factors operate in relation to risk: the compensatory, protective and challenge models.

Compensatory Model

The model emphasises how protective factors such as self-esteem, social support, psychological wellbeing and cognitive competence counteract the effects of risk factors and help individuals maintain positive adjustment in life.

Protective Factor Model.

This model highlights how protective factors help individuals mitigate the harmful effects of stress, distress and maladaptive behaviours. These factors enable individuals to cope more effectively with adversity and maintain positive developmental outcomes.

Masten (2014) highlights the role of ordinary protective systems in supporting resilience and positive development despite adversity.

Challenge Model.

This model suggests that a moderate level of stress or adversity may increase young people's capacity to deal with future challenges and contribute to the development of resilience, problem-solving skills, confidence and adaptive coping strategies.

Overall, these models highlight that resilience is the result of dynamic interaction between individuals and their environments. Adolescents' continuous adaptive interactions with their environment help them develop resilience, face challenges and develop effective coping strategies.

Ecological Systems Theory

Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems perspective was later expanded into the bioecological model with Morris (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006). This theory explains adolescent development as the result of continuous and reciprocal interactions between the individual and the multiple environmental systems in which they are situated. Immediate social environments, such as family, teachers, peers and schools, play a particularly important role in shaping adolescents' development. These interactions promote the development of competencies, coping skills, resilience and adaptive behaviours among adolescents (Fergus & Zimmerman, 2005). The quality, frequency and consistency of adolescents' interactions with supportive social systems influence how effectively they respond to challenges, regulate their behaviour and adapt to their social and educational environments. Thus, supportive and sustained relationships across ecological contexts can strengthen adolescents' capacity for positive development and effective problem-solving.

Objective 2

Determinants of Psychological Wellbeing and Social Resilience

Positive Youth Development (PYD) and Social and Emotional Learning (SEL)

The Positive Youth Development framework emphasises five core dimensions: Competence, Confidence, Connection, Character and Caring. These dimensions are expected to contribute to the emergence of a sixth C — contribution, through which young people actively contribute to their families, schools, communities and society (Damon, 2004; Lerner et al., 2005; Benson et al., 2006). Similarly, the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL, 2020) framework emphasises the development of social and emotional competencies that support positive adolescent development.

These competencies help adolescents develop emotional regulation, positive relationships, empathy, responsible decision-making, improved academic performance and positive social behaviour (CASEL, 2020).

Self-Regulation and Emotional Regulation Strategies

In adolescent development and resilience self-regulation serves as an important internal protective factor. It involves the ability to set goals, monitor behaviour, regulate emotions, control impulses and delay immediate gratification in pursuit of desired outcomes. Strong self-regulation can help adolescents to manage stress, persist in difficult tasks, make thoughtful decisions and adapt to challenging circumstances (Dias & Cadime, 2017). Unlike external protective factors such as family or peer support, self-regulation operates as an internal personal resource that can strengthen resilience and adaptive functioning.

Adaptive and Maladaptive Strategies: Resilient adolescents are more likely to maintain emotional balance through adaptive emotion-regulation strategies, particularly cognitive reappraisal, which involves reframing

stressful situations in a more constructive way and social sharing, which involves discussing emotions and stressful experiences with supportive others (Gross & John, 2003). In contrast, rumination and expressive suppression are generally considered less adaptive strategies because they may prolong negative emotional experiences or inhibit healthy emotional expression (Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008). Effective emotional regulation therefore enables adolescents to respond flexibly to stress and maintain psychological wellbeing.

Daily Resilience

Experience-sampling research suggests that highly resilient adolescents tend to maintain greater emotional stability and recover more effectively from everyday stressors (Yu & Liu, 2025). This highlights resilience as a dynamic process that operates not only during major adversity but also in adolescents' day-to-day experiences of stress and emotional challenge.

Objective 3

Challenges and Contextual Factors Influencing Adolescent Resilience

The Hidden Toll and Life-Course Trajectories

Recent research on adolescent resilience has increasingly challenged the assumption that visible behavioural competence necessarily indicates psychological wellbeing. Adolescents may demonstrate academic achievement, social competence and adaptive behaviour while simultaneously experiencing internal psychological difficulties such as anxiety, depression, emotional exhaustion or chronic stress. Thus, successful adaptation in one domain does not necessarily imply positive functioning across all domains. Adolescents' resilience should therefore be understood as a multidimensional developmental process that considers observable adaptation and internal psychological functioning (Masten & Narayan, 2012).

The relationship between adversity and long-term health has also been examined through a life course perspective. Miller et al. (2011) proposed that prolonged psychological stress can contribute to biological dysregulation and increase vulnerability to chronic diseases later in life. More recent longitudinal evidence indicates that persistent inflammatory activity, indexed by C-reactive protein (CRP), during childhood and adolescence may be associated with increased risks of mental health and cardiometabolic problems in early adulthood (Palmer et al., 2024). These findings demonstrate that the effects of adolescent stress may extend beyond immediate psychological responses and become embedded in long-term developmental and health trajectories.

Another important dimension of life course development concerns precocious transitions to adulthood. These refer to the premature assumption of adult roles, including teenage pregnancy, early marriage or cohabitation, early entry into full-time employment, premature school leaving and early departure from the parental home. Wickrama et al. (2010) found that such accelerated transitions were associated with poorer health outcomes in young adulthood, including depression, hypertension, obesity and diabetes. The findings suggest that when adolescents encounter adult responsibilities before completing important developmental and educational processes, their subsequent health and psychosocial trajectories may be adversely affected. These findings highlight the importance of understanding resilience as a multidimensional process that encompasses psychological wellbeing, social functioning, and adaptation over time. They also emphasise the need to consider adolescents' internal experiences alongside observable achievements and behaviour.

Global and Future Perspectives

Cultural Context

- Many resilience models are developed primarily from Western perspectives.

- These models often emphasize individual qualities such as autonomy, self-regulation, coping and personal competence.
- Resilience is not universal; rather, it is culturally and contextually constructed.
- Navigation: Young people identify and access resources that support their wellbeing.
- Negotiation: Young people obtain and use these resources in ways that are culturally meaningful and socially acceptable.

Social resilience is also influenced by social and structural inequalities. Poverty, discrimination, limited educational opportunities and inadequate community resources may prevent adolescents from accessing the support they need. Therefore, resilience should not be viewed only as an individual responsibility. Families, schools, communities and institutions must work together to provide supportive and inclusive environments that promote positive adolescent development (Ungar, 2011).

Objective 4

Interventions

Intervention Models

Penn Resiliency Program (PRP):

- Structured cognitive behavioural intervention.
- Develops cognitive restructuring, coping, problem solving and adaptive thinking.
- Focuses on strengthening individual resilience skills.

Youth Aware of Mental Health (YAM):

- Interactive and youth- centred mental health programme.
- Uses discussion, role-play, peer interaction and real-life situations.
- Promotes mental health awareness, help-seeking, problem-solving and supportive relationships.
- The SEYLE trial reported a 55% relative reduction in incident suicide attempts among adolescents participating in YAM (Wasserman et al., 2015).

Future Directions

- Effective resilience interventions should combine evidence-based psychological skills, youth participation, cultural relevance, school-based social-emotional learning, mentoring, peer support and parent engagement.

- Future research should move toward a comprehensive, multidimensional and culturally responsive framework of positive development that integrates cognitive, emotional, psychological, social and physical dimensions across the developmental lifespan.
- Greater attention should be given to how individual strengths interact with family, school, peer, community and cultural contexts to shape resilience and positive adaptation.
- Future studies should also employ longitudinal and cross-cultural approaches to examine how protective factors and developmental experiences influence outcomes from childhood through adolescence into adulthood.

Integrative Conceptual Framework for Positive Adolescent Development

The proposed framework integrates psychological wellbeing and social resilience as interconnected pillars of positive adolescent development, drawing on Ryff's multidimensional model of psychological wellbeing (Ryff, 1989), Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), Positive Youth Development (Lerner et al., 2005),

Ecological Systems Theory (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006) and the social-ecological perspective of resilience (Ungar, 2011).

Psychological Wellbeing

- Self-acceptance
- Autonomy
- Positive relationships
- Purpose in life
- Personal growth
- Environmental mastery



Reciprocal Interaction

- Self-regulation
- Coping
- Connectedness
- Adaptation
- Access to supportive resources

Social Resilience

- Family support
- Peer support
- School support
- Community support
- Cultural resources



Positive Adolescent Development

- Competence
- Confidence
- Connection
- Character
- Caring
- Contribution
- Flourishing

Positive Youth Development is based on five Cs—competence, confidence, connection, character, and caring—with contribution often recognised as the sixth C (Lerner et al., 2005). In this framework, flourishing represents a broader outcome of positive adolescent development, encompassing psychological wellbeing, meaningful relationships, and adaptive functioning.

Limitations of the Paper

This paper is based on a conceptual review of selected literature and presents no original empirical findings; therefore, causal conclusions cannot be drawn. The literature was analysed thematically rather than systematically. Further empirical research is needed to examine the proposed framework across diverse cultural and educational contexts.

CONCLUSION

This conceptual review highlights psychological wellbeing and social resilience as two interconnected pillars of positive adolescent development. Psychological wellbeing represents positive psychological functioning, including self-acceptance, autonomy, purpose and positive relationships (Ryff, 1989; Deci & Ryan, 2000), whereas social resilience reflects adolescents' capacity to access, navigate and negotiate supportive resources within family, school, peer, community and cultural contexts (Ungar, 2011). The proposed framework therefore brings together developmental, psychological, social, ecological and cultural dimensions and examines their interaction from childhood through adolescence into adulthood. Instead of viewing resilience as merely an individual capacity to face adversity, this perspective conceptualises positive development as a dynamic, reciprocal process involving psychological strengths and social environments. Such an integrated framework can provide a stronger conceptual foundation for understanding how adolescents develop wellbeing, resilience, adaptive functioning and flourishing through culturally responsive educational practices and future research.

REFERENCES

1. Aristotle. (2009). The Nicomachean ethics (D. Ross, Trans.; L. Brown, Rev.). Oxford University Press.
2. Benson, P. L., Scales, P. C., Hamilton, S. F., & Sesma, A., Jr. (2006). Positive youth development: Theory, research, and applications. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 1. Theoretical models of human development (6th ed., pp. 894–941). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470147658.chpsy0116
3. Blakemore, S. J., & Mills, K. L. (2014). Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? Annual Review of Psychology, 65, 187–207. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115202
4. Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 1. Theoretical models of human development (6th ed., pp. 793–828). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470147658.chpsy0114
5. Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning. (2020, October 1). CASEL's SEL framework: What are the core competence areas and where are they promoted? https://casel.org/casel-sel-framework-11-2020/
6. Damon, W. (2004). What is positive youth development? The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 591(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716203260092
7. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
8. Dias, P. C., & Cadime, I. (2017). Protective factors and resilience in adolescents: The mediating role of self-regulation. Psicología Educativa, 23(1), 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pse.2016.09.003
9. Eid, M., & Diener, E. (2004). Global judgments of subjective wellbeing: Situational variability and long-term stability. Social Indicators Research, 65, 245–277. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SOCI.0000003801.89195.bc
10. Fergus, S., & Zimmerman, M. A. (2005). Adolescent resilience: A framework for understanding healthy development in the face of risk. Annual Review of Public Health, 26, 399–419. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.26.021304.144357
11. Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: Implications for affect, relationships, and wellbeing. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85(2), 348–362. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348
12. Keyes, C. L. M. (2002). The mental health continuum: From languishing to flourishing in life. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 43(2), 207–222. https://doi.org/10.2307/3090197
13. Lerner, R. M., Almerigi, J. B., Theokas, C., & Lerner, J. V. (2005). Positive youth development: A view of the issues. The Journal of Early Adolescence, 25(1), 10–16. https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431604273211
14. Masten, A. S. (2014). Ordinary magic: Resilience in development. Guilford Press.
15. Masten, A. S., & Narayan, A. J. (2012). Child development in the context of disaster, war, and terrorism: Pathways of risk and resilience. Annual Review of Psychology, 63, 227–257. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100356

16. Miller, G. E., Chen, E., & Parker, K. J. (2011). Psychological stress in childhood and susceptibility to the chronic diseases of aging: Moving toward a model of behavioral and biological mechanisms. Psychological Bulletin, 137(6), 959–997. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024768
17. Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 3(5), 400–424. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x
18. Palmer, E. R., Morales-Muñoz, I., Perry, B. I., Marwaha, S., Warwick, E., Rogers, J. C., & Upthegrove, R. (2024). Trajectories of inflammation in youth and risk of mental and cardiometabolic disorders in adulthood. JAMA Psychiatry, 81(11), 1130–1137. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.2193
19. Pluess, M. (2024). Beyond developmental psychopathology: Positive child development. Development and Psychopathology, 36(5), 2127–2135. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579424000294
20. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic wellbeing. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 141–166. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.141
21. Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological wellbeing. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57(6), 1069–1081. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069
22. Steinberg, L. (2005). Cognitive and affective development in adolescence. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9(2), 69–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.12.005
23. Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 81(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.2010.01067.x
24. Wasserman, D., Hoven, C. W., Wasserman, C., Wall, M., Eisenberg, R., Hadlaczky, G., Kelleher, I., Sarchiapone, M., Apter, A., Balazs, J., Bobes, J., Brunner, R., Corcoran, P., Cosman, D., Guillemin, F., Haring, C., Iosue, M., Kaess, M., Kahn, J.-P., . . . Carli, V. (2015). School-based suicide prevention programmes: The SEYLE cluster-randomised, controlled trial. The Lancet, 385(9977), 1536–1544. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61213-7
25. Wickrama, T., Wickrama, K. A. S., & Baltimore, D. L. (2010). Adolescent precocious development and young adult health outcomes. Advances in Life Course Research, 15(4), 121–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alcr.2010.08.003
26. Yu, Z., & Liu, W. (2025). The psychological resilience of teenagers in terms of their everyday emotional balance and the impact of emotion regulation strategies. Frontiers in Psychology, 15, Article 1381239. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1381239