

Structural Fragility and the Democratic Paradox: A Narrative Review of the Glass Ceiling in the Global Women's Cooperative Movement

Leviana Andrew^{1,2*}, AzlinaBujang^{1,3}, Jati Kasuma Ali^{1,2} & Yusman Yacob^{1,2}

¹Sustainable Cooperative Business Group (SCBG), Universiti Teknologi MARA Sarawak Branch, Samarahan Campus, Sarawak, MALAYSIA

²Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA Sarawak Branch, Samarahan Campus, Sarawak, MALAYSIA

³Faculty of Computer and Mathematics, Universiti Teknologi MARA Sarawak Branch, Samarahan Campus, Sarawak, MALAYSIA

*Corresponding Author

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100300515>

Received: 02 April 2026; Accepted: 08 April 2026; Published: 15 April 2026

ABSTRACT

This narrative review examines the "democratic paradox" within the global women's cooperative movement, where theoretically egalitarian organizations often replicate the "glass ceiling"—invisible structural and socio-cultural barriers that restrict vertical mobility. Despite being grounded in principles of equity and open membership, cooperatives frequently mirror the patriarchal norms of their broader societies, leading to a persistent under-representation of women in senior management. Applying role congruity theory and the "iron law of oligarchy," the review analyzes how the professionalization of cooperatives can lead to "institutional degeneration," where strategic decision-making becomes dominated by a male-centric managerial elite. Key systemic barriers identified include "time poverty" resulting from unequal domestic labour burdens, limited access to formal education, and a lack of land and financial asset ownership. Cross-continental case studies highlight successful interventions: the Self-Employed Women's Association (SEWA) in India demonstrates a bottom-up model for formalizing informal labour through a comprehensive support system; Ghana's Kuapa Kokoo Farmers Union illustrates how "cascading training" and land rights advocacy increased female leadership to 40.7%; and Nicaraguan coffee cooperatives use "new masculinity" training to challenge entrenched gender biases. While mandatory government quotas in Europe facilitate descriptive representation, the review concludes that substantive empowerment requires a dual strategy: integrating care infrastructure and securing economic autonomy through asset ownership to prevent the re-emergence of invisible barriers.

Keywords: Women's cooperatives, glass ceiling, democratic paradox, gendered governance, institutional degeneration

INTRODUCTION

The conceptualization of women's empowerment within the modern socio-economic landscape necessitates a rigorous examination of the institutional frameworks that both facilitate and inhibit female agency. Central to this discourse is the "glass ceiling", a metaphor that has, since its popularization in the mid-1980s, come to symbolize the invisible yet impenetrable barriers preventing qualified women from ascending to senior-level positions within organizational hierarchies.¹ While originally applied to the corporate and academic sectors, the glass ceiling phenomenon manifests with unique complexity within the social and solidarity economy, specifically in cooperatives.³ These organizations, theoretically grounded in principles of equity, democracy, and open membership, are often viewed as the ultimate vehicles for empowerment.⁵ However, a pervasive "democratic paradox" exists: even within member-owned, democratically controlled enterprises, structural and

socio-cultural barriers frequently replicate the gendered stratification of the traditional market economy.⁷ To clarify this paradox, it is essential to distinguish between *descriptive representation* and *substantive representation*. Descriptive representation refers to women's numerical presence within cooperative membership or leadership bodies, whereas substantive representation captures their actual capacity to shape strategic priorities, control resources, and influence decision-making outcomes. While cooperatives formally guarantee equality through the principle of "one member, one vote," empirical evidence indicates that substantive power often resides outside these formal mechanisms. Informal discursive practices including agenda control, epistemic authority over "technical" knowledge, and gendered norms governing who is perceived as competent to speak, frequently bypass formal voting rules and reproduce male dominance even in numerically female-majority cooperatives. Such mechanisms of informal power, documented in cooperative and financial institutions, reveal how democratic procedures alone are insufficient to ensure meaningful gender equality in governance.

The struggle for gender equality in the 21st century is increasingly defined by the challenge of transforming existing systems rather than merely expanding participation within them.¹ As women comprise a growing share of the global workforce represented as 46.8% in the United States by 2021 the disparity in leadership remains stark, with women occupying only 6% of CEO positions and 24% of senior management roles globally.¹¹ In cooperatives, while female membership is often high, leadership roles remain predominantly held by men, especially in economically successful and large-scale enterprises.⁷ This review provides an expert-level synthesis of the theoretical underpinnings of these barriers, presents cross-continental case studies of successful interventions, and evaluates the critical role of government policy in dismantling the invisible barriers that continue to define the female professional experience.

This narrative review adopts a purposive, theory-driven case selection strategy to examine how the glass ceiling manifests and is challenged across divergent cooperative ecosystems. The selected case studies such as SEWA (Asia), Kuapa Kokoo (Africa), Nicaraguan coffee cooperatives (Latin America), and European social cooperatives were chosen based on four criteria: (i) organizational scale and longevity, ensuring institutional comparability; (ii) documented gender-focused interventions targeting leadership or governance; (iii) sectoral diversity, spanning informal labour, agriculture, and social services; and (iv) regional variation in state-cooperative relations. Together, these cases do not represent "best practices" alone, but analytically contrast different pathways through which descriptive representation is translated or fails to translate into substantive leadership power, allowing for robust cross-contextual inference.

Aims of The Paper

This article narrative review aims to provide a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary analysis of the glass ceiling phenomenon within the global cooperative movement, specifically focusing on women's cooperatives as sites of both empowerment and exclusion. It seeks to bridge the gap between the normative ideals of cooperative democracy and the empirical reality of gendered power hierarchies.³ Furthermore, the paper aims to synthesize contemporary theoretical frameworks such as role congruity theory, social dominance theory, and feminist institutionalism to explain why the "cooperative difference" has not yet fully insulated these organizations from the pervasive gender biases found in traditional corporate structures.⁹ By examining successful grassroots and state-led models across Asia, Africa, Latin America, and Europe, the review aims to identify the specific mechanisms that allow women to break through invisible barriers and the subsequent ripple effects these breakthroughs have on community development and household well-being.¹⁵ Finally, the analysis intends to offer a critical evaluation of the interaction between grassroots agency and legislative support, highlighting the necessity of integrated support systems for achieving a truly equitable and resilient cooperative future.¹⁸

Problem Statements

The central problem addressed in this review is the "cooperative glass ceiling," a phenomenon where the formal democratic structures of member-owned enterprises fail to prevent the emergence of informal, discursive power mechanisms that marginalize women in high-level decision-making.³ Despite the International Cooperative Alliance (ICA) values of equality and equity, empirical evidence indicates that cooperatives are not inherently inclusive; rather, they often mirror the patriarchal norms of the societies in

which they operate.³ This results in a persistent under-representation of women in senior management and board positions, particularly in male-dominated sectors like large-scale agriculture and finance.²¹

A secondary, yet equally critical, problem is the "institutional degeneration" of cooperatives as they grow and professionalize. The "iron law of oligarchy" suggests that as cooperatives expand, power tends to concentrate in the hands of a small managerial elite, often excluding women due to entrenched gender stereotypes about "technical" and "agentic" leadership.¹⁰ This drift toward market-driven values often occurs at the expense of the cooperative's social mission, leading to the reinstatement of traditional gender roles where women are relegated to operational tasks while men occupy the strategic apical positions.⁹ The lack of gender-disaggregated data at the national and organizational levels further obscures these barriers, making it difficult to advocate for the targeted institutional support necessary for women-led cooperatives to thrive.⁵

Objectives

1. To analyze the systemic socio-economic and structural barriers, including patriarchal norms, domestic labour burdens, and role incongruity that maintain the glass ceiling within both mixed-gender and women-only cooperatives across different cultural contexts.⁷
2. To evaluate the effectiveness of government-led support mechanisms, such as mandatory gender quotas, financial inclusion programs, and legislative reforms, in comparison to grassroots organizational strategies for facilitating women's ascent to leadership and achieving sustainable empowerment.²⁵

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Theoretical Foundations of Invisible Barriers

The study of the glass ceiling has expanded from a narrow focus on corporate promotion to a broader inquiry into how social and institutional structures replicate inequality. The metaphor itself, coined in the mid-1980s and popularized by the Wall Street Journal, describes a barrier so subtle that it is transparent, yet so strong that it prevents vertical mobility.¹ For women in cooperatives, this transparency is particularly deceptive because the organizational ethos of "one member, one vote" suggests a level of equality that is frequently undermined by informal practices.⁵

Taxonomy of The Glass Ceiling and Related Phenomena

Current research identifies multiple variations of the glass ceiling metaphor that describe specific facets of the female experience in the workforce. These terms provide a nuanced vocabulary for understanding how gender bias evolves as women enter the workforce in greater numbers but remain absent from the top levels. Table 1 presents the multiple variations of the glass ceiling metaphor.

Table 1: Multiple Variations of the Glass Ceiling Metaphor

Phenomenon	Definition and Context	Core Mechanism
Glass Ceiling	The invisible barrier that prevents qualified women from reaching senior leadership positions within an organization. ¹	Gender stereotypes, unconscious bias, and exclusionary informal networks. ²³
Glass Walls	Horizontal segregation where women are confined to leadership roles in specific "feminine" issue areas or departments (e.g., HR, social services) rather than strategic or technical ones. ³¹	Perceptions of "masculine" vs. "feminine" competence and Issue-area gendering. ³¹
Glass Cliff	A phenomenon where women are more likely to be appointed to top leadership roles in underperforming or crisis-ridden organizations, increasing the risk of failure. ²²	Strategic selection of women as "sacrificial" leaders during high-risk scenarios. ²²

Glass Escalator	The rapid promotion of men in female-dominated sectors (e.g., nursing, teaching). ⁴	Structural advantages and the higher valuation of male "authority" in communal settings. ⁴
Glass Floor	Specific barriers facing minority populations, particularly in high-tech and emerging market sectors. ⁴	The intersection of racial and gender stereotypes in management selection. ²⁹

Role Congruity Theory and the Masculinization of Leadership

A primary theoretical lens for understanding the glass ceiling is "role congruity theory," which posits that prejudice toward female leaders stems from the perceived incongruity between the female gender role and the requirements of leadership roles.⁹ Gender roles are characterized by consensual beliefs about the attributes of men and women; communal qualities (nurturance, kindness, sensitivity) are strongly ascribed to women, while agentic qualities (assertiveness, dominance, competitiveness) are ascribed to men.⁹

Leadership roles, particularly in the competitive market environment where cooperatives operate, are traditionally viewed as requiring agentic traits.⁹ This creates two forms of prejudice: first, women are perceived as less favourable candidates for leadership positions because they are assumed to lack agentic drive; second, women who do exhibit agentic leadership are often penalized for being "unfeminine," a phenomenon known as the "backlash effect".⁷ In cooperatives, where the core values are supposedly communal and prosocial, one might expect a thinner glass ceiling. However, as organizations professionalize, they often adopt "transactional" leadership models that align more closely with male-gendered expectations, effectively re-masculinizing the cooperative's apical positions.¹⁰

Social Dominance Theory and the Stability of Hierarchies

Social dominance theory provides a macro-level perspective on why gender hierarchies are so resistant to change. The theory argues that all surplus-producing societies inevitably take the form of group-based dominance hierarchies, in which men typically hold more material privilege and social legitimacy than women.¹³ These hierarchies are maintained through "legitimizing myths"—cultural ideologies that justify the unequal distribution of resources.¹³

In the context of cooperatives, even when the stated mission is egalitarian, the surrounding societal hierarchy often infiltrates the organization.⁷ People develop psychological orientations toward dominance through socialization in patriarchal families and segregated communities.¹³ Consequently, even when women participate in cooperatives, their decision-making power may be limited by these ingrained perceptual biases. Studies in the European academic and banking sectors show that as women reach "mid-level" positions, they perceive a "thicker" glass ceiling, sensing a sharper contrast between their numerical representation and their actual influence over strategic direction.²²

The Iron Law of Oligarchy and Cooperative Degeneration

The structural evolution of cooperatives often follows a predictable lifecycle that can unintentionally reinforce the glass ceiling. The "iron law of oligarchy" suggests that all democratic structures will eventually become dominated by powerful elites who possess specialized operational knowledge.¹⁰ This process, known as "cooperative degeneration," involves four stages: conquest, economic consolidation, coexistence, and administrative power.¹⁰ The administrative power stage of cooperative degeneration is particularly consequential for gender equality. As cooperatives professionalize, reliance on formally credentialed managers trained in finance, logistics, and strategic planning increases. Since women, especially in the Global South have historically faced restricted access to technical and managerial education, this shift systematically privileges men during leadership selection. Furthermore, professionalization often imports neoliberal management ideologies that valorize agentic traits such as competitiveness, decisiveness, and individual performance metrics. These traits are culturally coded as masculine and increasingly prioritized over the communal and relational competencies traditionally associated with cooperative values. As a result, women's leadership is not displaced due to a lack of capability, but due to the redefinition of "competence" itself during professionalization, reinforcing the glass ceiling precisely at the moment cooperatives scale and formalize.⁵

Figure 1 illustrates the cooperative degeneration lifecycle, highlighting the professionalization phase as the critical inflection point at which informal managerial authority consolidates and the glass ceiling most markedly thickens. The first stage is conquest, where the process begins with the forced acquisition of territory and control. This is followed by the second stage, which is the economic consolidation where the power is stabilized by integrating resources and securing central control over wealth. The third stage is coexistence by which conflict is reduced to manageable levels, establishing a stable, often unequal status quo. The fourth stage is administrative power where dominance becomes absolute through the establishment of entrenched, rigid bureaucratic systems and rules. This lifecycle demonstrates how a system that starts with dynamic (though forced) expansion can devolve into a stagnant bureaucracy, stifling genuine cooperation and vitality.



Figure 1: Cooperative Degeneration Lifecycle

Digital Financial Assets as Disruptors of Administrative Power

Emerging digital financial assets such as mobile money accounts, digital cooperative ledgers, and platform-based savings and credit systems offer a structural disruption to patriarchal gatekeeping at the administrative power stage of cooperative degeneration. Unlike traditional financial systems, which often require male-mediated property ownership, guarantors, or face-to-face negotiation within male-dominated institutions, digital assets allow women to independently control accounts, access credit histories, and participate in financial decision-making. Empirical evidence shows that digital finance reduces reliance on informal male brokers and lowers transaction visibility that previously enabled discretionary exclusion. In cooperatives undergoing professionalization, such technologies can prevent the re-masculinization of authority by anchoring women’s administrative influence in verifiable financial control rather than discretionary managerial approval.

Intersectionality and the Multi-Dimensionality of Barriers

The glass ceiling is not a monolith; it is experienced differently based on the intersection of gender, race, class, and age. In many developing regions, women’s participation in cooperatives is severely limited by "time poverty" resulting from the unequal division of reproductive labour.⁵ Women spend significantly more hours on unpaid care work, leaving little time for the meetings and training required to advance in cooperative governance.⁵ Table 2 depicts the barriers to women’s participation in cooperatives.

Table 2: Barriers to Women’s Participation in Cooperatives

Socio-Economic Factor	Impact on Women's Leadership Participation	Mechanism of Influence
Education Level	High positive correlation with leadership performance. ²⁴	Increases self-efficacy and technical competence in management. ²⁴
Self-Efficacy	Critical for internalizing the capacity to lead. ²⁴	Mediates the reaction to organizational obstacles and workplace challenges. ²
Social Status	Strengthens social networks and societal value. ²⁴	Provides the social capital necessary to influence strategic decisions. ³⁹
Economic Independency	High degree of empowerment and decision-making power. ²⁴	Assets like land and credit provide the leverage to challenge male dominance. ¹⁷
Organizational Flexibility	Degree of flexibility in meeting times and structures. ²⁴	Directly addresses time poverty and the double burden of domestic work. ²³

Case Study: Asia - The Self-Employed Women’s Association (Sewa), India

The Self-Employed Women’s Association (SEWA), founded in 1972 by lawyer and labour organizer Ela Bhatt, represents perhaps the most successful model for dismantling the glass ceiling in the informal economy.³⁸ SEWA is unique in its dual structure as both a national trade union of 1.5 million women and a federation of member-owned cooperatives.³⁸

From Informal Labour to Cooperative Management

SEWA was born out of the realization that women in the informal economy, such as street vendors, home-based workers, and manual labourers, were marginalized by both the state and traditional labour unions.³⁸ To address the central issue of livelihood security, SEWA supported its members in forming cooperatives. The first of these, the SEWA Bank, was established in 1974, followed by trade-based cooperatives for quilt-makers, agricultural producers, and healthcare providers.³⁸ The registration of these cooperatives was initially met with resistance from government officials who did not believe that "poor, illiterate women" could manage a bank or a healthcare collective.³⁸ By challenging these institutional biases, SEWA effectively broke the "glass floor" of the informal sector. Today, the SEWA Cooperative Federation supports over 115 cooperatives, 106 of which are in Gujarat, organizing 300,000 women with an annual turnover of approximately US\$ 46.8 million.³⁸

Leadership Development and the "Women’s Enterprise Support System"

The success of SEWA lies in its commitment to a "bottom-up" leadership model. Most cooperative members have limited formal education, yet they serve as directors and managers.³⁸ SEWA acts as a "Women’s Enterprise Support System" (WESS), providing constant "hand-holding" support and training in management skills, financial literacy, and digital tools.¹⁵ This approach directly addresses the factors identified in role congruity theory by building the "agentic" capabilities of women while maintaining a communal, supportive organizational culture.⁹

Table 3: Impacts of SEWA on Member’s Empowerment

Outcome Measure	SEWA's Impact on Member Empowerment	Supporting Data/Mechanism
Formalization	Conversion of informal work into recognized economic entities. ³⁸	Inclusion of healthcare, insurance, and childcare into the cooperative sector. ³⁸
Financial Security	Increased regularity of work and higher diversification of income. ⁴³	Members are more likely to have savings accounts in their own names. ⁴³
Leadership Verticality	Development of leaders for civic and political bodies. ³⁸	80% of cooperatives achieve organizational sustainability independently. ³⁸
Advocacy Success	Influence on national legislation for marginalized groups. ⁴⁴	Drafting of the 2014 Street Vendors Act in India. ⁴⁴

Case Study: Africa - Kuapa Kokoo Farmers Union (Kkfu), Ghana

In West Africa, the Kuapa Kokoo Farmers Union represents a massive democratic experiment in the male-dominated cocoa sector.⁴¹ With over 80,000 members across 670 primary societies, Kuapa Kokoo has systematically addressed the glass ceiling through targeted gender policies and structural reforms.⁴¹

The Surge in Female Leadership (2013-2015)

The cooperative’s long-term commitment to gender equality reached a milestone in 2013 with the election of Christiana Ohene Agyare as its first female president.⁴⁶ This breakthrough was not accidental; it was the result of a concerted effort to increase female participation in decision-making at all levels.⁴¹ Following a three-year project supported by WIEGO (Women in Informal Employment: Globalizing and Organizing), female representation in leadership positions surged. By July 2014, women won 2,244 leadership positions, representing 40.7% of all available roles in the organization.⁴¹ Prior to the WIEGO-supported intervention in 2013, women occupied fewer than 10% of leadership positions within Kuapa Kokoo’s primary societies, reflecting entrenched gender norms in cocoa production and land tenure. The increase to 40.7% by mid-2014 therefore represents not merely incremental progress, but a fourfold structural shift achieved within a three-year period.

Cascading Training and the WEAI Framework

Kuapa Kokoo utilized a "cascading training approach," where 670 women were trained as facilitators to teach business and leadership skills to at least six other women each.⁴¹ Importantly, leadership seat counts alone understate the cooperative’s empowerment outcomes. Using the Women’s Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI), cooperative membership among Kuapa Kokoo women was associated with significant gains across production decision-making, access to productive resources, income control, and leadership confidence. This multidimensional improvement confirms that the intervention translated descriptive representation into substantive empowerment.

This pedagogical model ensures that empowerment is not limited to a small elite but trickles down to the primary societies. Using the Women’s Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI), research shows that cooperative membership in regions like Ashanti significantly increases empowerment across four domains: production, income, resources, and leadership.³⁶

Asset Ownership and Land Rights

A critical barrier in agricultural cooperatives is the "glass ceiling" imposed by land tenure systems. In Ghana, while the matrilineal system allows some access to inheritance, many women historically worked on family

land without formal control.³⁶ The Kuapa Kokoo empowerment training explicitly targeted land ownership, leading to a rise in female landholders from 60% at the project's start to 89% by 2015.⁴¹ This shift in "economic independency" is essential for women to sustain their leadership roles, as land ownership provides the collateral and social standing required to participate in high-level cooperative governance.²⁴

Case Study: Latin America - Coffee Cooperatives in Nicaragua

The Nicaraguan cooperative movement is deeply rooted in the revolutionary history of the 1980s, which saw a massive redistribution of land to smallholder farmers.⁴⁷ However, even within this revolutionary framework, women initially faced significant discrimination in the coffee industry, particularly regarding access to credit and leadership positions.¹⁷

SOPPEXCCA and the "Las Hermanas" Strategy

Founded in 1997, SOPPEXCCA is an organic-certified coffee cooperative in Jinotega where 40% of the 650 members are women.¹⁷ Under the management of Fátima Ismael, the cooperative has integrated gender equity into its core strategic plan.¹⁷ One innovative approach is the production of "Las Hermanas" (The Sisters) coffee, a brand supplied exclusively by women and used to fund cervical cancer prevention programs.¹⁷

SOPPEXCCA also addresses the "second glass ceiling", the invisible barriers that remain after women enter leadership, by implementing "new masculinity" training for men in management.¹⁷ This training encourages men to unlearn patriarchal behaviours and support women's strategic influence, recognizing that breaking the glass ceiling requires changing the behaviour of those who hold the "keys" to the apical positions.¹⁷

Gloria Quintanilla: Grassroots Resistance and Food Sovereignty

In Santa Julia, the Gloria Quintanilla cooperative, run by 22 women, represents a model of grassroots resistance.⁵⁰ These women transformed former dictatorial plantations into agroecological hubs, diversifying from coffee into traditional food crops like plantains, cassava, and maize.⁵⁰ The cooperative's leadership includes both elderly women and youth, such as a 23-year-old vice president, ensuring that the "glass ceiling" is not reinforced by generational gaps.⁵⁰ Their success in bargaining for better prices and building their own educational infrastructure shows that collective agency can shame negligent governments into action.⁵⁰

Case Study: Europe - Social Cooperatives And Board Diversity

In Europe, the struggle against the glass ceiling has focused on "social cooperatives" and the impact of mandatory gender quotas on board composition.²⁶

Social Integration and the "Pandora" Model in Italy

Italy's 11,000 social cooperatives serve as a bridge between the state and the community, providing essential care services that allow other women to work.⁴² The "Pandora" social co-op in Milan illustrates the "Type B" cooperative model focused on the reintegration of marginalized groups.⁴² By focusing on professional skills training and trade school-type workshops, Pandora helps women and other disadvantaged individuals move from precarious informal work into the formal economy.⁴² The success of these social cooperatives in providing affordable childcare and eldercare is perhaps the most effective "structural" intervention for dismantling the glass ceiling, as it directly reduces the time-poverty that keeps women from pursuing leadership roles.⁵

Leadership Styles in Spanish Cooperatives

A study of 114 Spanish "associated work" cooperatives provides critical insights into how leadership is perceived.³⁴ While the study found no significant difference in the *implementation* of transformational and transactional styles between men and women, it did find a "penalization" of female leaders in mixed-gender teams.³⁴ Men often do not positively value the leadership styles of female presidents leading predominantly male teams.³⁴ This confirms the "role congruity" theory: the barrier is not a lack of skill among women, but the

gendered lens through which their leadership is viewed by others.⁹

The Role of Government Support and Institutional Frameworks

Government policy acts as a powerful determinant of the height and permeability of the glass ceiling. Support can manifest as direct legislative mandates, financial subsidies, or the creation of a "supportive ecosystem" for women's enterprises.

Mandatory Board Quotas and Their Spillover Effects

Since Norway pioneered board gender quotas in 2005, several European nations have adopted similar mandates to force the dismantling of the glass ceiling in the private and cooperative sectors.⁶ Table 4 tabulates the mandatory board gender quota in European countries.

Table 4: Mandatory Board Gender Quota

Country	Quota Year	Legislation	Target Quota	Scope and Enforcement
Norway	2005 2008)	(Mandatory	40% Women on Boards. ⁶	Listed and state-owned companies; strict dissolution for non-compliance. ²⁷
France	2011		40% Women. ²⁷	Listed and non-listed firms with 500+ employees; suspension of benefits. ²⁷
Italy	2011 (Golfo-Mosca Law)		33% (One-third). ²⁶	Listed banks and state-owned firms; fines and loss of board seats. ²⁶
Spain	2007		40% (Recommendation). ²⁷	Listed companies; "equality labels" used in public contract awards. ²⁷
EU Directive	2022 (Agreement)		40% non-executive posts	Target for parity on boards of all publicly listed companies by 2026. ⁶

Research on the Italian Golfo-Mosca law indicates that while quotas effectively change board composition, they do not always immediately lead to improved economic performance or "trickle-down" effects for middle management.²⁶ However, a "horizontal spillover effect" has been observed, where unlisted banks and non-state-owned companies—not legally bound by the quotas—have increased female representation simply to follow the new national normative standard.²²

Financial and Digital Inclusion Programs

Governments can dismantle the "glass floor" by providing women with the capital and technology needed to enter the cooperative space. The US Global Women's Economic Security Strategy aims to foster entrepreneurship and financial inclusion through international development programming.⁵³ In Zambia, the GEWEL program provides digital grants to disadvantaged adolescent girls, creating a pipeline of economically independent women who can eventually form and lead cooperatives.⁵⁴ Similarly, the digitization of government-to-person (G2P) payments has reduced first-order barriers to finance for millions of women in low-income economies.²⁵

The Danger of Reframing and Anti-Gender Politics

A recurring pattern of qualitative policy erosion is observable in contexts of democratic backsliding, where women's leadership initiatives are reframed as extensions of domestic or care labour rather than vehicles for economic and political power. For example, in several Central and Eastern European contexts, women's cooperative funding has been redirected away from governance training and toward family-oriented service

provision, implicitly redefining empowerment as social reproduction rather than authority.²⁸ This contrasts sharply with the European Union's 2022 Board Diversity Directive, which explicitly frames women's inclusion as a matter of decision-making parity and institutional accountability.²⁸ The divergence illustrates how state ideology directly affects the permeability of the glass ceiling: while supranational mandates harden equality norms, illiberal governments soften them through cultural reframing.

Synthesis: Navigating The Persistent Transparency

The glass ceiling in women's cooperatives is a multi-dimensional construct that cannot be dismantled through participation alone. The "paradox of numerical representation" is a key finding: women often perceive a *thicker* glass ceiling in fields where they are highly represented (like social behavioral fields) compared to male-dominated fields (like natural sciences).³⁵ This suggests that high visibility as a "minority" in a male-dominated sector can sometimes lead to thinner barriers (as those women are highly vetted and supported), whereas a high concentration of women can lead to the devaluation of the entire leadership tier as "communal" and less strategically significant.³⁵

For cooperatives to break this persistent transparency, they must actively resist "institutional degeneration".¹⁰ This requires a dual-track strategy:

1. **Grassroots Agency:** Women-led cooperatives like SEWA and Kuapa Kokoo demonstrate that building "agentic" capacity through internal training and asset ownership (land, credit) is the only way to ensure women's influence remains substantive rather than merely descriptive.³⁸
2. **Institutional Guardrails:** Mandatory quotas and legislative support (like the Street Vendors Act) provide the formal rules that prevent cooperatives from backsliding into patriarchal hierarchies as they scale.²⁶

Ultimately, women's cooperatives offer a unique "congruent environment" where female leadership styles (which are often more prosocial and transformational) are more highly valued than in competitive corporate settings.³² When cooperatives prioritize their social mission and maintain democratic control, they serve as "laboratories of democracy" where the glass ceiling is not just cracked, but fundamentally dismantled.⁵⁷

CONCLUSIONS AND PROFESSIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis of the glass-ceiling perspective within the global women's empowerment movement, specifically in women's co-ops, leads to the conclusion that while cooperatives represent a more hospitable institutional environment for female leadership than traditional corporations, they remain susceptible to systemic patriarchal pressures and structural degeneration. The evidence from Asia, Africa, Latin America, and Europe consistently highlights that visibility without authority is a recurring barrier.

To foster a truly resilient and equitable cooperative sector, the following professional recommendations are proposed:

- **Decentralized Leadership Development:** Cooperatives should adopt the "cascading training" model pioneered in Ghana to ensure that leadership skills and business literacy are disseminated to the grassroots level, preventing the formation of a permanent managerial oligarchy.¹⁰
- **Integration of Care Infrastructure:** Governments and federations must recognize that "time poverty" is the primary structural barrier to the glass ceiling. Investing in cooperative-run childcare and eldercare is not just a social service; it is a critical economic intervention for women's leadership.²³
- **Gender-Disaggregated Data and Performance Metrics:** Cooperative federations and national regulators should mandate the collection and public reporting of gender-disaggregated data aligned with International Cooperative Alliance (ICA) principles. Core indicators should include: (i) the gender pay gap across management tiers, (ii) proportion of women in executive versus non-executive board roles, (iii) duration of tenure in leadership positions by gender, and (iv) access to cooperative-provided training and capital.¹⁵ These indicators should be incorporated into cooperative audits and performance

evaluations alongside financial metrics to ensure that gender parity is treated as a core dimension of organizational success rather than an auxiliary social outcome.

- **Strategic Alliances and "New Masculinity" Education:** Breaking the glass ceiling is a systemic task. Women's cooperatives must engage in "new masculinity" education for male stakeholders to reduce the "penalization" of female leadership styles.¹⁷
- **Asset-First Empowerment:** Policy interventions should prioritize women's legal rights to land and digital financial assets. Without the "floor" of economic autonomy, the "ceiling" of leadership remains unreachable.⁴¹

By implementing these strategies, the women's cooperative movement can navigate the "persistent transparency" of the glass ceiling, transforming from a demographic majority into a strategic leadership force that defines the future of the global solidarity economy.

REFERENCES

1. Adu-Boahen, B., Donkor, E., Iyioku, G., & Mazancová, J. (2025). The effect of agricultural cooperative membership on women's empowerment: A case of rural Ashanti, Ghana. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 11(1), Article 2538628. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2025.2538628>
2. Andrew, L., Latiff, S. F. A., Bujang, A., Zamri, N. M., & Mathew, D. H. (2025). A review of the challenges and government initiatives to empower women's cooperatives in Indonesia & future research suggestions. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(2), 3805–3815. Retrieved from <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.9010297>
3. Bitange, M., Waweru, K., & Wambu, C. (2024). Institutional strategies for addressing barriers to women's participation in agricultural cooperatives. *South Asian Journal of Agriculture, Life Sciences and Environmental Research*, 8(1). Retrieved from <https://www.iarconsortium.org/srjals/8/2830/institutional-strategies-for-addressing-barriers-to-women-s-participation-in-agricultural-cooperatives-4671/>
4. Brix, H., & Coates, L. (2020, December 4). Investing in women and girls: How governments can drive inclusive recovery. *World Bank Blogs*. <https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/voices/investing-women-and-girls-how-governments-can-drive-inclusive-recovery>
5. Carabini, C., & Degiovanni, D. (2018, March). WomeNpowerment in Coops: Italian cooperative experiences toward the achievement of gender equality in the world. *Coopermondo*. Retrieved from https://www.coopermondo.it/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/WomeNpowerment_InCoops-ENG.pdf
6. Cinar, K., & Asik-Akdemir, K. (2025, July 8). Women's empowerment via women's cooperatives: An ethnographic account from Turkey. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxaf031>
7. CGAP. (n.d.). Women's financial inclusion. Retrieved from <https://www.cgap.org/topics/women-financial-inclusion>
8. Charleston, A. (2025, March). Gender-inclusive leadership in cooperatives. *We Effect*. Retrieved from <https://www.weeffect.org/app/uploads/2025/03/gender-inclusive-leadership-2.pdf>
9. Costantini, A., & Sebillio, A. (2022, June). Gender equality and women empowerment in social economy enterprises: Enablers and barriers (Working Paper). *Diesis Network*. Retrieved from https://www.diesis.coop/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/GENDER-EQUALITY-AND-WOMEN-EMPOWERMENT_Final-edited-1-1.pdf
10. Crim, E. (2024, December 4). The promise and the power of social cooperatives. *Nonprofit Quarterly*. Retrieved from <https://nonprofitquarterly.org/the-promise-and-the-power-of-social-cooperatives/>
11. Del Prete, S., Papini, G., & Tonello, M. (2024). Gender quotas, board diversity and spillover effects. Evidence from Italian banks (GLO Discussion Paper No. 1368). *Global Labour Organization (GLO)*. Retrieved from <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/281112/1/GLO-DP-1368.pdf>
12. de Souza Schmidt, S., da Silva, E. D., & Romeo, M. (2026). Gender Diversity in Cooperative Boards: Favoring and Hindering Factors in a Scoping Review. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 1-35. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/403215321_Gender_Diversity_in_Cooperative_Boards_Favo

ring and Hindering Factors in a Scoping Review.

13. Duguid, F., & Weber, N. (2016). Gender equality and women's empowerment in co-operatives. A literature review. Retrieved from <https://genderequality.coop/sites/default/files/2021-11/Women%26amp%3BCoops%20Literature%20Review.pdf>
14. Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. *Psychological Review*, 109(3), 573–598. Retrieved from https://www.women-unlimited.com/wp-content/uploads/prejudice_against_women.pdf
15. Eckel, C. C., Gangadharan, L., Grossman, P. J., Lambert, M., & Xue, N. (2024). The glass ceiling in leadership: A study of the role of gender and networks. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 220, 245–261. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2024.02.011>
16. Fichtl, A. (2013). Gender quotas for corporate boards. *DICE Report*, 11(3), 57–60. Retrieved from <https://www.ifo.de/DocDL/dicereport313-db3.pdf>
17. Global Exchange. (2011, March 10). A milestone week for women. Retrieved from <https://globalexchange.org/milestone-week-for-women/>
18. Govotsos, K. (2017). Gender diversity in corporate boards in France: An analysis [Undergraduate thesis, University of Pennsylvania]. ScholarlyCommons. <https://repository.upenn.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/7f573982-59e6-407b-ba56-11b1109731a8/content>
19. Göker, Z. G., & Çelik, A. B. (2026). Between coping, co-optation, and resistance: Strategies of (en)countering anti-gender challenges in local governance in the context of democratic backsliding. *Social Politics: International Studies in Gender, State & Society*, 33(1), 1–25. Retrieved from <https://academic.oup.com/sp/article/33/1/1/8345973>
20. Grant, V., & Lévassieur, R. E. (2025). A phenomenological study of the glass ceiling obstacles faced by minority women leaders in healthcare organizations. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 13(4), 2569–2579. Retrieved from <https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=143876>
21. Hansen, H. O., & Asmild, M. (2023). Women's participation on the boards of farmer-owned cooperatives. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 10, 1060817. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2023.1060817>
22. Harsha, H., & BV, P. (2025). Breaking Barriers: Unraveling Glass Ceiling Perceptions and Resilience of Women IT Managers. *European Economics Letters*, 15(3). Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394028420_Breaking_Barriers_Unraveling_Glass_Ceiling_Perceptions_and_Resilience_of_Women_It_Managers_Abstract
23. Harvey, J., Kumar, S., & Jhabvala, R. (2016). Compendium of WIEGO and SEWA case studies: Secretary General's High Level Panel on Women's Economic Empowerment. WIEGO & SEWA Bharat. Retrieved from https://www.wiego.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Compendium_Cases_WIEGO_SEWA_UNHLP.pdf
24. Hadaro, H. F., & Kusa, D. D. (2022). Are grassroots Non-governmental organization actors' policies pro-poor? Questioning inclusive beneficiary targeting and people-centred policy rhetoric in Ethiopia. *International NGO Journal*, 17(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.5897/INGOJ2022.0361>
25. International Labour Organization. (2018). Advancing cooperation among women workers in the informal economy: The SEWA way. Summary report. Retrieved from https://base.socioeco.org/docs/wcms_624147.pdf
26. Jones, S. C. (2023). Perspectives on gender stereotypes and glass ceiling beliefs of male and female corporate professionals (Publication No. 30489955) [Doctoral dissertation, Walden University]. ScholarWorks. Retrieved from <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=13685&context=dissertations>
27. Kruger, R. A. (2022). Cultivating more than coffee: Interrogating market-based development, gendered empowerment, and the role of social capital in Fair Trade co-operatives in Nicaragua [Doctoral dissertation, Columbia University]. Academic Commons. <https://doi.org/10.7916/a6e3-a136>
28. La Via Campesina. (2018, August 26). Nicaraguan women's cooperative building self-sufficiency and food sovereignty. Retrieved from <https://viacampesina.org/en/2018/08/nicaraguan-womens-cooperative-building-self-sufficiency-and-food-sovereignty/>
29. Lee, J., Jackson, T., & Lipsy, P. Y. (2025). Glass ceilings, glass walls, and glass cliffs: Gender bias in the leadership of international organizations [Paper submission]. University of Toronto. Retrieved from

- https://www.peio.me/wp-content/uploads/PEIO17/submission_98.pdf
30. Mackay, F., & Murtagh, C. (2019). New institutions, new gender rules? A feminist institutionalist lens on women and power-sharing. *feminists@law*, 9(1), 1–54. Retrieved from https://peacerep.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/2019_Mackay_Murtagh_new-institutions-new-gender-rules.pdf
31. Marlier, Z., & Dufays, F. (2025). Democratic ideals and gendered realities: Women's experiences in worker cooperatives. 10th EMES Selected Conference Papers. Retrieved from <https://emes.net/publications/conference-papers/10th-emes-selected-conference-papers/democratic-ideals-and-gendered-realities-womens-experiences-in-worker-cooperatives/>
32. Martinez-Leon, I. M., Olmedo-Cifuentes, I., Martínez-Victoria, M., & Arcas-Lario, N. (2020). Leadership style and gender: A study of Spanish cooperatives. *Sustainability*, 12(12), Article 5107. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12125107>
33. Melesse, H. (2021). Women leadership and governance of cooperatives: The case of Dire Dawa City Administration. *International Journal of Community and Cooperative Studies*, 9 (1), 1–21. Retrieved from <https://www.eajournals.org/wp-content/uploads/Women-leadership-and-governance-of-cooperatives.pdf>
34. Metta, M. W., Miftakhurrohmah, S., & Mahendra, A. P. (2025). Women's empowerment and inclusive leadership in grassroots organizations. *Empowering Communities Research Hub*, 1(1), 28–36. <https://journal.univummibogor.ac.id/index.php/ECRH/article/download/72/63/380>
35. Mendoza Vidaurre, R. (2012, July). Coffee with the aroma of coops. *Revista Envío*, (372). Retrieved from <https://www.revistaenvio.org/articulo/4558>
36. Molyneux, M. (2006). Women's grass-roots organisations and solidarity networks: A rediscovered policy resource. In C. Verschuur & F. Hainard (Eds.), *Des brèches dans la ville: Organisations urbaines, environnement et transformation des rapports de genre* (pp. 193–211). Graduate Institute Publications. Retrieved from <https://books.openedition.org/iheid/6568>
37. Poma, E., & Pistorresi, B. (2024). Do women on boards break the glass ceiling or face the glass cliff? *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 24 (8), 22–45. Retrieved from <https://www.emerald.com/cg/article/24/8/22/1227432/Do-women-on-boards-break-the-glass-ceiling-or-face>
38. Powell, G. N., & Butterfield, D. A. (1994). Investigating the “glass ceiling” phenomenon: An empirical study of actual promotions to top management. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(1), 68–86. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.5465/256770>
39. Pratto, F., Stewart, A. L., & Bou Zeineddine, F. (2013). When inequality fails: Power, group dominance, and societal change. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 1(1), 132–160. Retrieved from <https://jspp.psychopen.eu/index.php/jspp/article/view/4745/4745.html>
40. Root Capital. (2024, October 14). Gender-inclusive coffee cooperative creates social empowerment in Nicaragua. Retrieved from <https://rootcapital.org/stories/gender-inclusive-coffee-cooperative-creates-social-empowerment-in-nicaragua/>
41. Saleem, S., Rafiq, A., & Yusaf, S. (2017). Investigating the glass ceiling phenomenon: An empirical study of glass ceiling's effects on selection-promotion and female effectiveness. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 6(3), 297–313. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-04-2016-0028>
42. SEWA Cooperative Federation. (2025, January 2). Supporting women-led cooperatives in the informal economy. Retrieved from <https://www.sewafederation.org/supporting-women-led-cooperatives/>
43. SEWA Cooperative Federation. (2025, October 6). Mapping the status of women's cooperatives in India. Retrieved from <https://www.sewafederation.org/status-of-womens-cooperatives-india/>
44. Singh, S., Sharma, C., Bali, P., Sharma, S., & Shah, M. A. (2023). Making sense of glass ceiling: A bibliometric analysis of conceptual framework, intellectual structure and research publications. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(1), 2181508. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311886.2023.2181508>
45. Skinner, C. (n.d.). Case study: The Self Employed Women's Association (SEWA) in India. Association of African Planning Schools (AAPS). Retrieved from https://africanplanningschools.org.za/images/downloads/curriculum-resources/toolkits/IE_toolkit_SEWA_case.pdf
46. Sustainability Directory. (2025, November 4). What are the key challenges women face in accessing leadership roles within certified cooperatives? *Lifestyle*. Retrieved from <https://lifestyle.sustainability->

- [directory.com/learn/what-are-the-key-challenges-women-face-in-accessing-leadership-roles-within-certified-cooperatives/](https://www.directory.com/learn/what-are-the-key-challenges-women-face-in-accessing-leadership-roles-within-certified-cooperatives/)
47. Teigen, M. (2012). Chapter 4: Gender quotas on corporate boards: On the diffusion of a distinct national policy reform. In F. Engelstad & M. Teigen (Eds.), *Firms, boards and gender quotas: Comparative perspectives* (Comparative Social Research, Vol. 29, pp. 115–146). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S0195-6310\(2012\)0000029008](https://doi.org/10.1108/S0195-6310(2012)0000029008)
 48. Tortzen, A. (2017, August 8–11). The 'glass ceiling' of collaboration: How invisible forms of power challenge co-production [Paper presentation]. NOPSA 2017 Conference, Odense, Denmark. Retrieved from <https://centerforborgerdialog.dk/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/the-glass-ceiling-of-collaboration.pdf>
 49. Trade for Development Centre. (2025, September 11). Kuapa Kokoo Farmers Union (KKFU): Empowering women cocoa producers. Enabel. Retrieved from <https://www.tdc-enabel.be/en/projecten/kuapa-kokoo-farmers-union-kkfu/>
 50. Unterrainer, C., Weber, W. G., Höge, T., & Hornung, S. (2022). Organizational and psychological features of successful democratic enterprises: A systematic review of qualitative research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 947559. Retrieved from <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9672673/>
 51. van Veelen, R., & Derks, B. (2022). Equal representation does not mean equal opportunity: Women academics perceive a thicker glass ceiling in social and behavioral fields than in the natural sciences and economics. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 790211. Retrieved from <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8966382/>
 52. Vial, A. C., & Cowgill, C. M. (2022). Heavier lies her crown: Gendered patterns of leader emotional labour and their downstream effects. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 849566. Retrieved from <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9465331/>
 53. Wang, J., Gu, R., Nie, F., & Dogot, T. (2025). Enhancing women's empowerment to reduce household poverty in rural Western China: Social capital as a mediating pathway. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 10, Article 1638129. Retrieved from <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12573291/>
 54. WIEGO. (n.d.). Fair trade project in Ghana: Supporting women's collective action in agricultural markets. Retrieved from <https://www.wiego.org/project/fair-trade-project-ghana/>
 55. WhyHunger. (2021, October 18). Globalize the hope: How a women-led coffee cooperative transforms their society through food sovereignty, feminism and family. Retrieved from <https://whyhunger.org/globalize-the-hope-how-a-women-led-coffee-cooperative-transforms-their-society-through-food-sovereignty-feminism-and-family/blog/>