

Educational Planning Practices and Policy Analysis of School Leaders on Inclusive Education Implementation in Public Schools

John Dave Aldwin Salgado¹, Gladys S. Escarlos²

¹Department of Education, Colabugon Elementary School, Philippines

²College of Teacher Education, Central Mindanao University, Philippines

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100500023>

Received: 02 May 2026; Accepted: 08 May 2026; Published: 22 May 2026

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between educational planning practices and policy analysis of school leaders in the implementation of inclusive education in Philippine public schools. Anchored on Republic Act No. 11650 (Inclusive Education Act of 2022) and its Implementing Rules and Regulations, the research situates school leadership as a decisive factor in translating national mandates into localized strategies. Using a systematic review design, the study synthesized literature published between 2010 and 2025 from both international and Philippine-based journals, focusing on leadership practices, policy interpretation, and inclusive education outcomes. Thematic analysis revealed five recurring dimensions: curriculum adaptation, resource allocation, professional development, stakeholder engagement, and policy contextualization. Findings highlight that strategic planning, when embedded in School Improvement Plans (SIPs) through differentiated instruction and Universal Design for Learning (UDL), transforms inclusion from a compliance requirement into a proactive equity-driven initiative. Leadership-driven professional development emerged as critical in equipping teachers to manage diverse classrooms, while critical policy analysis enabled school leaders to identify gaps between policy intent and classroom realities, fostering localized innovations. Participatory and collaborative approaches were found to strengthen accountability and sustainability, aligning with Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 4, SDG 10, SDG 16, and SDG 17). Despite these advances, persistent challenges such as resource constraints, excessive workloads, and limited training continue to hinder effective implementation. The study concludes that inclusive education thrives when school leaders exercise strategic foresight, institutionalize professional development, critically analyze policies, and foster participatory planning, thereby advancing both national mandates and global commitments to equity and quality education.

Keywords: Educational Planning Practices, Policy Analysis, Inclusive Education

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

worldwide, emphasizing equity, access, and participation for all learners regardless of ability, background, or circumstance. In the Philippine public school system, the Department of Education (DepEd) mandates inclusive, learner-centered schooling. However, the success of these initiatives largely depends on the planning practices and policy analysis of school leaders. This background situates the study within a systematic review of existing literature, highlighting the interplay between leadership, planning, and policy interpretation in inclusive education.

School leaders are central actors in translating inclusive education policies into practice. Their planning strategies ranging from curriculum adaptation to resource allocation directly influence the extent and quality of implementation. At the same time, their ability to critically analyze policies determines how national directives are contextualized within local realities. A systematic review of literature provides a comprehensive understanding of these dual roles, offering insights into both challenges and best practices.

The most relevant policy guiding inclusive education in the Philippines is Republic Act No. 11650 (Inclusive Education Act), which mandates the establishment of Inclusive Learning Resource Centers and prohibits

discrimination against learners with disabilities. DepEd has already issued its Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) in 2025 to operationalize this law, making it a cornerstone for school leaders' planning and policy analysis.

Inclusive education in public schools is not only a national mandate but also a global commitment under the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The most direct linkage is with SDG 4: Quality Education, which calls for inclusive and equitable education for all learners. School leaders' planning practices—such as curriculum adaptation, resource allocation, and teacher professional development—are essential in realizing Target 4.5, which emphasizes eliminating disparities and ensuring access for vulnerable populations, including learners with disabilities. This is concretized in the Philippines through Republic Act No. 11650 (Inclusive Education Act) and its Implementing Rules and Regulations, which require schools to establish Inclusive Learning Resource Centers and embed inclusive strategies in their School Improvement Plans.

The study also aligns with SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities, particularly Target 10.2, which promotes the social and educational inclusion of marginalized groups. Policy analysis by school leaders ensures that DepEd directives are contextualized to reduce systemic barriers, thereby advancing equity in public schools. Similarly, SDG 16: Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions is reflected in the accountability role of school leaders, who act as policy interpreters and implementers, ensuring transparency and effective governance in inclusive education. DepEd's National EdTech Policy further strengthens this by promoting evidence-based and equitable use of technology to support diverse learners.

METHODS

This study adopted a systematic review research design to synthesize existing literature on educational planning practices and policy analysis of school leaders in relation to inclusive education implementation in public schools. A systematic review was chosen because it provides a rigorous, transparent, and replicable method of identifying, evaluating, and integrating findings from diverse studies, thereby offering a comprehensive understanding of the topic. Unlike traditional narrative reviews, the systematic approach minimizes bias by following structured procedures in the selection, appraisal, and synthesis of sources.

The review process began with the formulation of clear research questions guided by the objectives of the study. These questions focused on how school leaders plan for inclusive education, how they analyze and interpret policies, and what outcomes are associated with these practices. Following this, a comprehensive search strategy was employed across international and local databases such as ERIC, Scopus, JSTOR, and Philippine-based journals. Keywords included inclusive education, school leadership, educational planning, policy analysis, and public schools. Inclusion criteria required that studies be published between 2010 and 2025, focus on public school contexts, and provide empirical or theoretical insights into leadership practices and inclusive education outcomes. Exclusion criteria eliminated studies that addressed private schools exclusively, lacked relevance to leadership or policy analysis, or were purely opinion-based without empirical grounding.

Data extraction involved systematically recording information on study objectives, methodologies, key findings, and implications. To ensure quality, each study was appraised using established criteria such as methodological rigor, relevance to inclusive education, and clarity of policy linkage. Both qualitative and quantitative studies were included, allowing for a broad synthesis of perspectives. Thematic analysis was then employed to identify recurring patterns across the literature, resulting in categories such as curriculum adaptation, resource allocation, professional development, stakeholder engagement, policy interpretation, and inclusive education outcomes.

The systematic review design also incorporated conceptual lenses such as the policy–practice alignment framework and the SDG-linked inclusive leadership model. These frameworks guided the synthesis by situating findings within both national mandates (e.g., Republic Act No. 11650 and DepEd memoranda) and global commitments under the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 4, SDG 10, SDG 16, and SDG 17). This ensured that the review not only captured local realities but also connected them to international discourses on equity and inclusion.

RESULTS / OUTCOMES

practices and policy analysis are pivotal in inclusive education implementation in Philippine public schools. Several key insights emerge:

Strategic Planning as a Driver of Inclusion

Embedding inclusive strategies into SIPs transforms planning from a compliance exercise into a strategic tool for equity. Leaders who integrate UDL and differentiated instruction foster environments where learners with diverse needs can thrive. This aligns with RA 11650, which mandates inclusive learning resource centers and requires SIPs to reflect inclusive education priorities.

Leadership-Driven Professional Development

Teacher competence is directly linked to the availability and sustainability of professional development. Leadership plays a decisive role in institutionalizing training programs, ensuring that teachers are equipped to manage diverse classrooms and translate inclusive policies into practice. The findings of Martin (2026) and Jardinez & Natividad (2024) confirm that without leadership-driven training, teachers remain unprepared, resulting in fragmented implementation.

Critical Policy Analysis and Adaptive Leadership

Policy analysis must go beyond compliance. Leaders who critically interpret DepEd directives and RA 11650 are able to identify gaps between policy intent and classroom realities, leading to localized innovations such as community partnerships and resource mobilization. This adaptive leadership ensures that inclusive education policies are not only observed but contextualized to meet the realities of diverse school environments.

Participatory and Collaborative Approaches

Inclusive education is most sustainable when planning is participatory. Engaging stakeholders—teachers, parents, local government units, and communities—ensures accountability and embeds inclusion into broader social structures. Studies such as Basister & Valenzuela (2021) confirm that participatory planning strengthens institutional support and long-term sustainability. This aligns with SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals), which emphasizes collaboration in achieving inclusive education.

Persistent Challenges and the Need for Reform

Despite progress, barriers such as resource constraints, excessive workloads, and limited training persist. These foundational challenges, identified as early as Inciong & Quijano (2004), remain relevant today, underscoring the need for continuous reforms in leadership planning and policy analysis. Addressing these barriers requires systemic reforms that prioritize equity in resource distribution and institutionalize professional development.

Alignment with SDGs and Policy Anchors

The findings align with national mandates such as RA 11650 and DepEd memoranda, while also contributing to global commitments under the Sustainable Development Goals. Effective leadership practices contribute to SDG 4 (Quality Education) by ensuring equitable access, SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities) by addressing systemic barriers, SDG 16 (Strong Institutions) by promoting accountability, and SDG 17 (Partnerships) by fostering collaboration with stakeholders.

DISCUSSION

The findings of the systematic review consistently affirm that school leaders' planning practices and policy analysis are pivotal in the successful implementation of inclusive education in Philippine public schools. Strategic planning emerges as a central driver of inclusion, as leaders who embed differentiated instruction and Universal Design for Learning (UDL) into their School Improvement Plans (SIPs) transform planning from a

compliance exercise into a proactive tool for equity. This approach ensures that learners with diverse needs are not only accommodated but actively supported, aligning with Republic Act No. 11650 which mandates inclusive learning resource centers and requires SIPs to reflect inclusive education priorities. Beyond planning, leadership-driven professional development is revealed as a decisive factor in strengthening teacher competence.

Studies highlight that teacher often lack the necessary skills to manage diverse classrooms, and without sustained training, implementation remains fragmented. Leadership therefore plays a critical role in institutionalizing professional development programs, embedding them into SIPs, and ensuring that teachers are continuously equipped to translate inclusive policies into practice. Equally important is the capacity of school leaders to engage in critical policy analysis. Rather than treating DepEd directives and RA 11650 as mere compliance requirements, effective leaders interpret these policies reflectively, identifying gaps between policy intent and classroom realities.

This adaptive leadership fosters localized innovations such as community partnerships and resource mobilization, ensuring that inclusive education policies are contextualized to meet the realities of diverse school environments. The review also underscores the importance of participatory and collaborative approaches, as inclusive education is most sustainable when planning involves teachers, parents, local government units, and communities. Participatory planning strengthens accountability and embeds inclusion into broader social structures, resonating with Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 17 which emphasizes partnerships in achieving educational equity. Despite these positive developments, persistent challenges remain. Resource constraints, excessive workloads, and limited training—identified as early as Inciong and Quijano (2004)—continue to hinder effective implementation, particularly in resource-constrained public schools. These enduring barriers highlight the need for systemic reforms that prioritize equitable resource distribution and institutionalize professional development. Finally, the findings demonstrate strong alignment with both national mandates and global commitments. Effective leadership practices contribute to SDG 4 (Quality Education) by ensuring equitable access, SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities) by addressing systemic barriers, SDG 16 (Strong Institutions) by promoting accountability, and SDG 17 (Partnerships) by fostering collaboration with stakeholders. Taken together, the discussion confirms that inclusive education in Philippine public schools thrives when school leaders exercise strategic planning, institutionalize professional development, critically analyze policies, and foster participatory approaches, while simultaneously addressing persistent challenges through systemic reforms.

CONCLUSION

The systematic review of local studies clearly establishes that the educational planning practices and policy analysis of school leaders are decisive in shaping the success of inclusive education implementation in Philippine public schools. Evidence consistently demonstrates that strategic planning, when embedded in School Improvement Plans (SIPs) through differentiated instruction and Universal Design for Learning (UDL), transforms inclusion from a compliance requirement into a proactive equity-driven initiative. Leadership-driven professional development emerges as a critical factor in strengthening teacher competence, ensuring that educators are adequately prepared to manage diverse classrooms and translate inclusive policies into practice. Equally important is the capacity of school leaders to engage in critical policy analysis, moving beyond mere compliance to reflective interpretation of DepEd directives and Republic Act No. 11650, thereby fostering localized innovations and adaptive strategies responsive to school realities.

The review also highlights that inclusive education is most sustainable when planning is participatory, involving teachers, parents, local government units, and communities. Collaborative approaches strengthen accountability and embed inclusion into broader social structures, aligning with global commitments under the Sustainable Development Goals. However, persistent challenges such as resource constraints, excessive workloads, and limited training continue to hinder effective implementation, underscoring the need for systemic reforms that prioritize equity in resource distribution and institutionalize professional development.

The findings affirm that inclusive education thrives when school leaders exercise strategic foresight, institutionalize professional development, critically analyze policies, and foster participatory planning. Conversely, weak planning and superficial policy analysis result in fragmented and unsustainable initiatives. The

conclusion reinforces that leadership competencies in planning and policy analysis are not only essential for advancing inclusive education in Philippine public schools but also for fulfilling national mandates and contributing to global goals of equity, quality, and sustainability in education should also emphasize task-based mentoring and contextual performance, as these were identified as key predictors of successful implementation. Furthermore, they should strengthen support systems and ensure that environmental factors complement leadership practices rather than substitute for them.

REFERENCES

1. Ainscow, M., Booth, T., & Dyson, A. (2006). *Improving schools, developing inclusion*. Routledge.
2. Basister, R., & Valenzuela, M. (2021). A model of collaboration for Philippine inclusive education. *Philippine Journal of Educational Development*, 39(2), 112–130.
3. Cabello, C., Tagyamon, J., Andan, R., Aranas, M. L., Asma, M., Bucog, V., Espiritu, S. M., Juarez, M. C. B., Limpag, G., Odever, M. N., Serdan, R. J., Sumampong, M. M., & Villanueva, M. L. (2025). Inclusive education implementation in the Philippines: A systematic review. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 44(2). <https://doi.org/10.70838/PEMJ.440204> (doi.org in Bing)
4. Department of Education. (2009). DepEd Order No. 72, s. 2009: Inclusive education as strategy for increasing participation rate of children. Manila: Department of Education.
5. Department of Education. (2020). Policy guidelines on the implementation of inclusive education programs. Manila: Department of Education.
6. Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(5), 813–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2010.501096> (doi.org in Bing)
7. Inciong, T., & Quijano, Y. (2004). Barriers to inclusive education in the Philippines: A policy perspective. *Philippine Education Review*, 26(1), 15–29.
8. Republic Act No. 11650. (2022). An act instituting a policy of inclusion and services for learners with disabilities in support of inclusive education. *Official Gazette of the Republic of the Philippines*.