The Project Management Paradox: Reconciling Internal Monitoring Excellence with External Donor and Political Pressures in Sierra Leone

Kangoma Fodie Mansaray[1], Ismail Sesay (PhD)[2]

[1]School of Technology, Njala University, Sierra Leone

[1]ORCHID ID: 0009-0009-6526-1213

[2]Lecturer, Njala University, Sierra Leone

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100500489

Received: 27 April 2026; Accepted: 01 May 2026; Published: 05 June 2026

ABSTRACT

In the international development sector, project success is often viewed through the lens of technical efficiency. However, in resource-constrained environments like Sierra Leone, project managers face a “paradox” where high internal managerial competence is often undermined by external systemic pressures. This study utilizes data from 200 stakeholders in Nongowa Chiefdom to evaluate the interplay between leadership, Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) systems, and external influences. Findings indicate that while M&E is ranked as the #1 determinant of success, its effectiveness is hampered by donor reporting burdens and elite capture. Surprisingly, the study finds that high political interference correlates with high project effectiveness, suggesting that political “buy-in” is a functional necessity in the local governance landscape.

Keywords: Project Management; Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E); Donor Priorities; Political Interference; Elite Capture; International Development; Sierra Leone; Institutional Capacity.

INTRODUCTION

Project management in developing countries is a complex endeavor that transcends the "Iron Triangle" of time, cost, and quality. In Sierra Leone, the post-conflict landscape has necessitated a heavy reliance on international donors and decentralized government structures. While the literature often emphasizes a lack of local capacity as the primary cause of project failure, this study investigates a more nuanced reality. In Nongowa Chiefdom, Kenema District, implementing agencies have developed sophisticated internal systems, yet they remain vulnerable to external donor agendas and political dynamics. This paper examines how these external pressures shape the design, execution, and ultimate effectiveness of community development initiatives.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: PRINCIPAL-AGENT THEORY AND THE REPORTING TRAP

This research applies **Principal-Agent Theory** to the development context. Here, the “Principal” (Donor/Government) delegates project implementation to the “Agent” (NGO/Project Staff). A conflict arises when the Principal’s requirements—often focused on rigid reporting and global metrics—misalign with the Agent’s local operational realities. This creates a “Reporting Trap” where substantial administrative energy is diverted from service delivery to compliance. Furthermore, the study explores **Institutional Theory**, suggesting that projects are not isolated technical tasks but are deeply embedded in local power structures and “patron-client” relationships.

METHODOLOGY

Following a mixed-methods approach, the study gathered data from three distinct cohorts: Project Beneficiaries (n=134), Project Staff (n=41), and Government Officials (n=25). Reliability was rigorously

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume X Issue V May 2026

tested, with the "Leadership & Management" construct achieving a Cronbach's Alpha of **0.926** and "Monitoring & Evaluation" achieving **0.939**.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Primacy of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E)

Across all success factors, **Monitoring & Evaluation** emerged as the highest-ranked determinant with a composite mean of **4.30**.

- **The Learning Gap**: While evaluation results are highly used for informed decision-making (M=4.51), the use of day-to-day monitoring feedback to improve implementation was lower (M=4.07).
- **Managerial Competence**: Project staff strongly agreed that leadership provided clear direction (M=4.15) and successfully motivated teams (M=4.27).

The Donor Reporting Burden and Resource Scarcity

A significant finding is the friction caused by external funding structures:

- **Administrative Load**: Project staff identified "Donor reporting requirements" as a major challenge (M=4.29), noting that it often diverts resources away from actual project activities.
- **Resource Delays**: Limited resources (M=4.27) and funding delays (M=4.12) remain perennial obstacles that hinder the continuity of initiatives.

The Political Paradox: Interference vs. Effectiveness

One of the most counter-intuitive findings relates to political dynamics:

- **Elite Capture**: Government officials identified "Elite influence" as the most significant factor affecting benefit distribution (M=3.76).
- **The Necessity of Politics**: Contrary to the view that politics is purely a barrier, projects in the "High Political Interference" category reported a **93.3% rate of High Effectiveness**.
- **DISCUSSION**: This suggests that in Sierra Leone's rural chiefdoms, political involvement acts as a catalyst by accelerating decision-making and securing institutional support, despite the risks of unequal benefit distribution.

CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

The "Project Management Paradox" in Nongowa Chiefdom demonstrates that technical and managerial excellence (M&E and Leadership) exists but is frequently constrained by the administrative demands of donors and the localized power plays of elites.

Key Recommendations:

1. **Simplify Donor Reporting**: Donor agencies should align reporting cycles with local institutional capacities to reduce the "Reporting Trap".
2. **Bridge the M&E Feedback Loop**: Project managers must move beyond "compliance monitoring" to "adaptive monitoring," ensuring that daily feedback informs immediate course corrections.

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume X Issue V May 2026

3. **Navigate Political Buy-in**: Rather than avoiding political actors, implementers should develop formal "Governance Compacts" that harness political support while establishing safeguards against elite capture and unequal benefit distribution.

REFERENCES

1. Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: Cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(6).
2. Booth, D. (2012). *Africa power and politics: Development as a collective action problem*. APPP.
3. Cooke-Davies, T. (2002). The 'real' success factors on projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(3).
4. Ika, L. A. (2012). Project management for development in Africa: Why projects are failing and what can be done about it. *Project Management Journal*, 43(4).
5. Khang, D. B., & Moe, T. L. (2008). Success Criteria and Factors for International Development Projects: A Life-Cycle-Based Framework. *Project Management Journal*, 39(1).
6. Müller, R., & Turner, R. (2007). The Influence of Project Managers on Project Success Criteria and Project Success by Type of Project. *European Management Journal*, 25(4).
7. Pinto, J. K., & Slevin, D. P. (1987). Critical factors in successful project implementation. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, EM-34(1).
8. Project Management Institute (PMI) (2021). *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide)*.
9. Scott, W. R. (2005). *Institutional theory: contributing to a theoretical research program*. Great Minds in Management.
10. World Bank Group (2017). *World Development Report 2017: Governance and the Law*.