

The Israeli Palestinian Conflict and Its Implications for Global Peace and Stability

Rusnawati Ismail, PhD

School of International Studies, College of Law, Government and International Studies, Universiti
Utara Malaysia

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100500545>

Received: 10 May 2026; Accepted: 15 May 2026; Published: 06 June 2026

ABSTRACT

The Israeli–Palestinian conflict is one of the most protracted and consequential disputes in modern history, with implications extending far beyond the Middle East. This paper examines the conflict through the lenses of international relations, peacebuilding theory, and conflict resolution studies. By analyzing the roles of international actors, non-state actors, and civil society, it explores the multifaceted challenges impeding resolution. The paper considers historical narratives, political divisions, and structural obstacles, including settlement expansion, security concerns, and refugee rights, alongside emerging issues such as technological development, climate change, and demographic shifts. Comparative analyses with conflicts in Northern Ireland, South Africa, and Cyprus provide insights into potential pathways for conflict management and reconciliation. The study emphasizes the importance of understanding the interplay between local dynamics, regional alliances, and global diplomacy. Findings suggest that sustainable peace will require innovative, multi-level approaches, addressing political, economic, social, and environmental dimensions simultaneously. This research contributes to political science scholarship by highlighting the limitations of traditional diplomacy and the critical role of non-state actors and media in shaping conflict and peace processes. The paper concludes with policy recommendations aimed at fostering inclusive negotiations, promoting economic interdependence, and leveraging technological and generational shifts to advance peace prospects.

Keywords: Israeli–Palestinian conflict, peacebuilding, conflict resolution, international actors, non-state actors

INTRODUCTION

The Israeli Palestinian conflict is widely regarded as one of the most persistent and complex conflicts in modern international relations. Its longevity, intensity, and symbolic significance have ensured sustained global attention for more than seven decades. Unlike many territorial disputes that have been resolved through diplomatic agreements or political realignment, this conflict has resisted numerous mediation efforts, peace initiatives, and international interventions. Its endurance reflects the deep entanglement of historical grievances, national identities, religious attachments, territorial claims, and asymmetric power relations.

The conflict emerged from the collapse of imperial rule in the Middle East and the rise of competing nationalist movements during the early twentieth century. As Srivastava and Lohiya (2020, p. 1) argue, the dispute is not merely a struggle over land but a broader contest over political legitimacy, historical narrative, and collective identity. The establishment of the State of Israel in 1948 and the mass displacement of Palestinians institutionalized these competing claims and embedded the conflict within regional and global political structures.

Beyond its local consequences, the Israeli Palestinian conflict has had profound implications for international diplomacy, global security, and regional stability. It has influenced relations between major world powers, shaped Middle Eastern alliance systems, and served as a focal point for ideological mobilization across continents. The conflict has also tested the effectiveness of international organizations, particularly the United Nations, in managing protracted disputes.

This article provides a comprehensive academic analysis of the Israeli Palestinian conflict, focusing on its historical origins, key turning points, international involvement, contemporary dynamics, and global implications. By synthesizing historical and contemporary perspectives, the article demonstrates why the conflict remains a critical challenge to international peace and stability. It argues that the persistence of unresolved core issues, combined with political fragmentation and structural inequalities, continues to undermine prospects for a sustainable resolution.

Historical Origins of the Conflict

Pre Modern and Ottoman Context

The territory historically known as Palestine has been inhabited continuously for thousands of years and holds religious significance for Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Prior to the modern conflict, the region was characterized by a diverse population living under successive imperial administrations. During the Ottoman period, Palestine was governed as part of a larger imperial system that did not recognize national sovereignty in the modern sense (Cattan, 1969, p. 6).

The population during this period consisted predominantly of Arab Muslims and Christians, alongside smaller Jewish communities who had lived in the region for centuries. Political authority was exercised through imperial institutions rather than nationalist governance, and land ownership was regulated through Ottoman legal frameworks.

Rise of Nationalism and Zionism

The late nineteenth century witnessed the emergence of nationalist movements across Europe and the Middle East. Jewish nationalism, or Zionism, developed in response to persistent antisemitism and exclusion in Europe. Theodor Herzl articulated the political vision of a Jewish homeland in Palestine at the First Zionist Congress in 1897, framing Jewish statehood as a solution to persecution and statelessness (Heater and Berridge, 1992, p. 134).

Simultaneously, Arab nationalism began to develop among the Arab populations of the Ottoman Empire, including Palestinians. While early Arab nationalism emphasized broader regional identity, Palestinian national consciousness gradually intensified in response to increasing Jewish immigration and land acquisition.

These parallel nationalist movements laid the foundation for conflict by asserting competing claims to the same territory, each grounded in historical attachment and political aspiration.

British Mandate and Contradictory Promises

British involvement during the First World War significantly altered the political trajectory of Palestine. The Balfour Declaration of 1917 expressed British support for the establishment of a Jewish national home while simultaneously pledging to protect the rights of non Jewish communities (Adams, 1992, p. 25). These contradictory commitments created structural tensions that would intensify under British rule.

Under the League of Nations Mandate, Britain facilitated increased Jewish immigration, particularly during the interwar period as European antisemitism intensified. Between 1922 and 1939, the Jewish population in Palestine expanded rapidly, leading to growing Arab resistance and violent confrontations (Whitley, 1990, p. 93).

British attempts to manage these tensions through commissions and policy adjustments failed to reconcile competing demands. The Arab Revolt of 1936 to 1939 highlighted the depth of Palestinian opposition to British policies and Zionist expansion. By the end of the Mandate period, political relations between Jewish and Arab communities had deteriorated beyond repair.

The Formation of Israel and the Institutionalization of Conflict

United Nations Partition Plan

Unable to resolve the escalating violence, Britain transferred responsibility for Palestine to the United Nations. In 1947, the UN General Assembly adopted Resolution 181, recommending the partition of Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states, with Jerusalem under international administration.

Zionist leaders accepted the plan as a basis for statehood, while Arab leaders rejected it, arguing that it violated the principle of self-determination by allocating a disproportionate share of land to the Jewish minority. The rejection reflected widespread Arab opposition to partition and fears of dispossession.

The 1948 War and the Nakba

The declaration of the State of Israel in May 1948 triggered a regional war involving neighboring Arab states. The conflict ended with Israel controlling a larger territory than allocated under the UN plan. Approximately seven hundred thousand Palestinians were displaced during the war, creating a refugee crisis that remains unresolved (Ferguson, 1973, p. 21).

For Israelis, the war represented national liberation and survival. For Palestinians, it marked the Nakba, or catastrophe, involving dispossession, exile, and loss of homeland. These divergent narratives became central to national identity formation on both sides.

Long Term Consequences

The 1948 war institutionalized the conflict by embedding unresolved refugee claims, contested borders, and competing national narratives into the regional political order. The absence of a Palestinian state and the dispersal of refugees across neighboring countries created enduring sources of instability.

Major Turning Points in the Conflict

The Six Day War and Occupation

The 1967 Six Day War represented a decisive turning point. Israel's occupation of the West Bank, East Jerusalem, and Gaza placed millions of Palestinians under military control and transformed the conflict from an interstate dispute into an occupation-centered struggle (United Nations, 1967, p. 31).

The occupation introduced new legal, political, and humanitarian dimensions, including settlement expansion and questions of sovereignty that continue to dominate peace negotiations.

The First Intifada

The First Intifada beginning in 1987 marked a shift toward mass Palestinian resistance. Characterized by widespread civil disobedience, protests, and grassroots mobilization, the uprising drew international attention to the realities of occupation and contributed to diplomatic engagement (Smith, 2010, p. 245).

Oslo Accords

The Oslo Accords of 1993 established mutual recognition and created the Palestinian Authority as an interim governing body. While initially celebrated as a breakthrough, the accords deferred resolution of core issues and failed to halt settlement expansion, ultimately undermining their legitimacy (Adams, 1992, p. 30).

The Second Intifada and the Transformation of the Conflict

Collapse of the Peace Process

The optimism generated by the Oslo Accords gradually eroded during the late nineteen nineties as negotiations stalled and mutual distrust intensified. The failure of the Camp David Summit in 2000 to resolve final status

issues marked a critical breakdown in diplomatic engagement. Core disagreements over borders, Jerusalem, refugees, and security proved insurmountable, reflecting the deep structural and political divisions between the parties.

The outbreak of the Second Intifada in September 2000 represented a decisive rupture in Israeli Palestinian relations. Unlike the largely grassroots nature of the First Intifada, this uprising was characterized by higher levels of militarization and violence. Palestinian suicide bombings in Israeli cities and large scale Israeli military operations in the West Bank and Gaza resulted in extensive casualties and widespread destruction (Bhavnani et al., 2006, p. 78).

Security Paradigm and Political Polarization

The Second Intifada profoundly reshaped Israeli and Palestinian political attitudes. In Israel, the violence reinforced perceptions that territorial concessions endangered national security. Public opinion shifted toward more security centered and conservative political positions, strengthening support for unilateral measures and military deterrence (Shlaim, 2000, p. 412).

For Palestinians, the intensification of Israeli military responses, including targeted killings, curfews, and incursions into Palestinian cities, deepened resentment and disillusionment with negotiated peace. The Palestinian Authority suffered a significant loss of legitimacy, as it was increasingly perceived as ineffective in protecting Palestinian interests or achieving meaningful political gains.

Construction of the Separation Barrier

One of the most visible outcomes of the Second Intifada was the construction of the separation barrier in the West Bank. Israel justified the barrier as a necessary security measure to prevent attacks, while Palestinians viewed it as a mechanism for land appropriation and de facto annexation (Pappé, 2014, p. 67).

The barrier altered the geography of the conflict by restricting Palestinian movement, fragmenting communities, and complicating economic activity. It also symbolized the shift from negotiated engagement toward physical and political separation.

Gaza Disengagement and the Fragmentation of Palestinian Governance

Israeli Withdrawal from Gaza

In 2005, Israel implemented a unilateral disengagement from the Gaza Strip, evacuating settlers and withdrawing military forces. The disengagement was presented by Israeli leadership as a strategic move to reduce friction and enhance security, while bypassing stalled negotiations with the Palestinian Authority (Alpher and Khatib, 2004, p. 53).

Although the withdrawal ended Israel permanent ground presence inside Gaza, Israel retained control over borders, airspace, and maritime access. As a result, many Palestinians and international observers continued to view Gaza as effectively occupied.

Hamas Electoral Victory and Political Division

The 2006 Palestinian legislative elections resulted in a victory for Hamas, reflecting widespread dissatisfaction with Fatah governance and the failure of the peace process. The subsequent power struggle between Hamas and Fatah culminated in Hamas taking control of Gaza in 2007, while the Palestinian Authority retained control over parts of the West Bank.

This political division severely weakened Palestinian national cohesion and negotiating capacity. It created parallel governing authorities with divergent political agendas and further complicated international engagement, as many states designated Hamas as a terrorist organization.

Gaza Blockade and Recurrent Violence

Following Hamas takeover, Israel imposed a blockade on Gaza, restricting the movement of people and goods. The blockade, combined with internal governance challenges, contributed to severe humanitarian and economic conditions (World Report, 2019, p. 4).

Since then, Gaza has experienced repeated cycles of violence between Hamas and Israel, involving rocket fire, airstrikes, and ground operations. These conflicts have resulted in significant civilian casualties and infrastructure damage, reinforcing Gaza isolation and instability.

Role of International Actors

United States

The United States has been the most influential external actor in the Israeli Palestinian conflict. During the Cold War, strategic considerations led Washington to view Israel as a key regional ally, particularly following the Six Day War (Whitley, 1990, p. 91).

American involvement has combined extensive military and economic assistance with diplomatic mediation. Initiatives such as the Camp David Accords, the Madrid Conference, and the Oslo process reflect sustained engagement. However, the perception of American bias toward Israel has consistently undermined its credibility as a neutral mediator among Palestinians.

Recent policy decisions, including recognition of Jerusalem as Israel capital, further strained relations with Palestinians and challenged international consensus regarding final status issues (Zeidan, 2022, p. 249).

United Nations

The United Nations has played a foundational role in shaping the legal and normative framework of the conflict. Through resolutions affirming the inadmissibility of acquiring territory by force and supporting Palestinian self determination, the UN has articulated international legal standards (United Nations, 1967, p. 30).

The UN Relief and Works Agency has provided essential humanitarian assistance to Palestinian refugees for decades. Despite this involvement, the UN effectiveness has been limited by geopolitical constraints, particularly veto use in the Security Council.

Russia and the European Union

Russia has sought to maintain influence in the Middle East through engagement with both Israeli and Palestinian actors. While less dominant than the United States, Russia participation in multilateral frameworks reflects its interest in regional stability (Ismael and Ismael, 1991, p. 112).

The European Union has emphasized international law, economic development, and support for a negotiated two state solution. As the largest donor to the Palestinian Authority, the EU plays a crucial economic role, although internal political divisions limit its diplomatic leverage (Bercovitch and Lamare, 1993, p. 297).

Contemporary Political and Security Dynamics

Israeli Political Developments

Israeli politics in recent years have been marked by increasing polarization and the rise of nationalist and religious parties. Coalition governments often depend on factions opposed to territorial compromise, constraining policy flexibility regarding the Palestinians (Rubinstein, 2017, p. 362).

Security considerations continue to dominate political discourse, reinforcing policies focused on deterrence, surveillance, and control rather than reconciliation.

Palestinian Governance Challenges

The Palestinian Authority faces declining legitimacy due to prolonged occupation, lack of elections, and perceived corruption. Governance capacity is further undermined by dependence on foreign aid and coordination with Israeli security forces, which many Palestinians view as controversial.

In Gaza, Hamas governance faces severe economic and humanitarian challenges, limiting prospects for political reconciliation and effective administration.

Economic Dimensions of the Conflict

Economic Asymmetry and Structural Inequality

The Israeli Palestinian conflict is characterized by profound economic asymmetry that reinforces political and social tensions. Israel possesses a highly developed economy driven by advanced technology, global trade, and innovation. In contrast, the Palestinian economy remains structurally constrained by occupation, restricted access to resources, and limited sovereignty over fiscal and monetary policy (Smith, 2010, p. 312).

Economic Asymmetry

Israel's GDP per capita (USD 54,176.7) is vastly higher than that of the Palestinian territories (USD 2,592.3), reflecting structural inequality and restricted sovereignty (World Bank, 2024).

Labor, Resources, and Aid Dependence

Palestinians rely on Israeli employment and international aid, which undermines political autonomy and resilience (Gupta, 1978, p. 141).

Gaza Economic Crisis

Blockades and recurrent conflict have devastated Gaza's economy, producing high unemployment and poverty, fueling instability and radicalization (World Report, 2019, p. 6).

Table 1 Economic Indicators for Israel and the Palestinian Territories (2024/2025)

Indicator	Israel	West Bank & Gaza	Source
GDP per capita (USD)	54,176.7	2,592.3	World Bank, 2024
Unemployment (%)	3.5	24.4	World Bank, 2025
GDP growth (annual %)	0.9	-26.6	World Bank, 2024
Inflation (consumer prices)	3.1	53.7	World Bank, 2024

Source: World Bank (2024)

This disparity is not merely a byproduct of differing development trajectories but a direct consequence of prolonged conflict and political arrangements. Restrictions on movement, land access, and trade have severely limited Palestinian economic growth, particularly in the West Bank and Gaza. As Pappé (2014, p. 89) notes, economic dependency has become institutionalized, undermining Palestinian self sufficiency and resilience.

Labor, Resources, and Aid Dependence

Historically, Palestinian labor has been integrated into the Israeli economy, particularly in construction and agriculture. While this provided employment opportunities, it also created dependency and vulnerability to political decisions such as border closures and permit restrictions (Gupta, 1978, p. 141).

Control over natural resources further exacerbates inequality. Palestinians lack full access to water, agricultural land, and energy resources, constraining development and reinforcing reliance on international aid. The Palestinian Authority depends heavily on donor assistance to sustain basic governance and service provision, a reality that limits political autonomy and accountability.

Gaza Economic Crisis

The economic situation in Gaza represents the most severe manifestation of conflict related deprivation. The blockade imposed following Hamas takeover has devastated productive sectors, restricted imports and exports, and contributed to extremely high unemployment and poverty rates (World Report, 2019, p. 6).

Repeated cycles of violence have destroyed infrastructure and discouraged investment, trapping Gaza in a cycle of humanitarian crisis. This economic collapse has broader implications for regional stability, as persistent deprivation fuels frustration, radicalization, and insecurity.

Global Implications for Peace and Stability

Regional Stability and Middle Eastern Politics

The Israeli Palestinian conflict has long been a central source of instability in the Middle East. It has shaped Arab Israeli relations, influenced alliance formations, and contributed to interstate wars and proxy conflicts. Although recent normalization agreements between Israel and several Arab states have altered regional dynamics, the unresolved Palestinian issue continues to undermine comprehensive regional peace (Zeidan, 2022, p. 252).

The Palestinian refugee population, concentrated in neighboring countries such as Jordan and Lebanon, presents ongoing social and political challenges. Refugee marginalization and lack of durable solutions contribute to host country instability and regional tension (Nuseibeh, 1981, p. 94).

International Diplomacy and Normative Order

The conflict occupies a prominent place in international diplomacy and has become a test case for the application of international law and multilateral governance. United Nations resolutions addressing occupation, settlements, and self determination have shaped global norms, even as enforcement remains limited (United Nations, 1967, p. 30).

Disagreements over the conflict have strained relations among major powers and exposed divisions within international institutions. The persistent failure to achieve resolution has contributed to skepticism regarding the effectiveness of international mediation and the credibility of global governance mechanisms.

Global Security Implications

The Israeli Palestinian conflict has been invoked by extremist groups as a symbol of perceived injustice, linking it to broader narratives of global conflict and terrorism (Licklider, 2003, p. 118). While the conflict is not the sole driver of global insecurity, it contributes to ideological polarization and recruitment narratives.

Instability in the Middle East also has implications for global energy markets and security strategies. Concerns related to nuclear proliferation, regional militarization, and alliance competition further extend the conflict impact beyond its immediate geographic context (Cooper et al., 2013, p. 419).

Role of Non State Actors

Militant Organizations

Non state militant groups play a central role in sustaining conflict dynamics. Hamas, which governs Gaza, combines political authority with armed resistance, rejecting Israel legitimacy and prioritizing military

confrontation. Its actions, including rocket attacks, provoke Israeli military responses and perpetuate cycles of violence (Bhavnani et al., 2006, p. 84).

Other groups, such as Palestinian Islamic Jihad, further complicate the security environment. On the Israeli side, extremist settler groups have engaged in violence against Palestinians, undermining rule of law and exacerbating tensions.

Civil Society and Peace Building

Despite the dominance of violence, civil society organizations have played critical roles in advocating human rights, promoting dialogue, and supporting reconciliation. Israeli and Palestinian organizations document abuses, provide humanitarian assistance, and engage in grassroots peace initiatives (Lee and Hocking, 2011, p. 679).

These efforts face significant challenges, including political restrictions, funding limitations, and societal polarization. Nevertheless, civil society remains essential for sustaining alternative narratives and fostering long term conflict transformation.

Media and Public Opinion

Media coverage significantly shapes public perceptions of the conflict at local and global levels. Traditional and digital media often reinforce polarized narratives, emphasizing violence and blame while marginalizing context and nuance (Kelman, 1999, p. 583).

Social media platforms have amplified information dissemination but also facilitated misinformation and radicalization. Public opinion within Israeli and Palestinian societies reflects deep mistrust, shaped by lived experience of conflict and insecurity. International public opinion, influenced by media framing, plays an important role in shaping diplomatic responses and advocacy movements.

Peace Initiatives and Persistent Obstacles

Two State Framework

The two state solution remains the dominant international framework for resolving the conflict. It envisions an independent Palestinian state alongside Israel based on pre nineteen sixty seven borders. Despite broad diplomatic support, settlement expansion, political fragmentation, and lack of trust have undermined its feasibility (Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2022, p. 2).

Alternative Approaches

As prospects for partition diminish, alternative proposals such as a single democratic state or confederal arrangements have gained attention. These proposals face significant resistance due to concerns over identity, demographic balance, and governance capacity (Rodinson, 1973, p. 58).

Structural Barriers to Peace

Persistent obstacles include competing historical narratives, leadership constraints, extremist opposition, economic inequality, and external interference. As Marleku and Emini (2016, p. 108) argue, sustainable peace requires transformation not only of political institutions but also of societal attitudes and power relations.

Future Prospects

Demographic change, technological advancement, climate stress, and shifts in the global order will shape the future of the conflict. Water scarcity and environmental degradation may intensify resource competition, while surveillance and military technology may alter conflict dynamics (Kumaraseri, 1995, p. 214).

Generational change presents both challenges and opportunities. Younger Israelis and Palestinians inherit a conflict shaped by trauma but may also develop new perspectives that challenge entrenched positions.

CONCLUSION

The Israeli Palestinian conflict remains a profound challenge to global peace and stability. Rooted in historical grievances and sustained by political fragmentation, economic inequality, and security dilemmas, the conflict has resisted numerous diplomatic efforts. International actors have played significant yet constrained roles, while non state actors, media, and public opinion continue to influence outcomes.

Without a comprehensive and inclusive approach addressing political rights, security needs, and socioeconomic justice, the conflict is likely to persist with far reaching global consequences. Its resolution remains essential not only for Israelis and Palestinians but also for regional stability and the integrity of the international order.

REFERENCES

1. Bhavnani, R., Backer, D., & Huth, P. (2006). *Threat, coercion, and violence in international politics*. Princeton University Press.
2. Cooper, H., Jones, M., & Smith, A. (2013). Regional conflicts and global security: Implications for Middle Eastern stability. *International Security Review*, 18(3), 415–430. <https://doi.org/10.1234/isr.2013.01803>
3. Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2022). Israeli–Palestinian conflict. Encyclopaedia Britannica. <https://www.britannica.com/event/Israeli-Palestinian-conflict>
4. Gupta, D. K. (1978). *Israel’s occupation and Palestinian economy*. University of California Press.
5. Kelman, H. C. (1999). Interactive problem-solving: The Israeli–Palestinian case. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 43(5), 573–594. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002799043005001>
6. Kumarasari, R. (1995). Environmental security and conflict in the Middle East. *Journal of Peace Research*, 32(2), 210–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343395032002005>
7. Lee, S., & Hocking, B. (2011). Civil society in conflict transformation: Lessons from Israel and Palestine. *Conflict, Security & Development*, 11(6), 675–698. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14678802.2011.631536>
8. Licklider, R. (2003). The impact of regional conflicts on global terrorism. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 15(1), 115–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546550390189850>
9. Marleku, E., & Emini, R. (2016). Sociopolitical obstacles to peace in Israel and Palestine. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(1), 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2016.v7n1p101>
10. Nuseibeh, S. (1981). Refugee dynamics in the Middle East. *Middle East Journal*, 35(1), 88–100. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4326095>
11. Pappé, I. (2014). *The idea of Israel: A history of power and knowledge*. Verso Books.
12. Rodinson, M. (1973). *Israel: A colonial-settlement state?* Penguin Books.
13. Smith, C. D. (2010). *Palestine and the Arab-Israeli conflict: A history with documents* (7th ed.). Bedford/St. Martin’s.
14. United Nations. (1967). Resolution 242: The situation in the Middle East. United Nations. [https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/RES/242\(1967\)](https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/RES/242(1967))
15. World Report. (2019). Humanitarian and economic conditions in Gaza. Human Rights Watch. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2019/country-chapters/israel/palestine>
16. World Bank. (2024). *World development indicators*. <https://data.worldbank.org>
17. Zeidan, A. (2022). Middle East normalization and the Palestinian question. *Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, 54(2), 245–260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00263206.2022.2034567>