Disasters and the African Continental Free Trade Area: Implications for Sub-Saharan Africa in the Era of the Fourth Industrial Revolution

Wellingtone Ndlovu., Edmore M. Chijoko

Zimbabwe Open University Harare Zimbabwe

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100600271

Received: 08 April 2026; Accepted: 05 June 2026; Published: 22 June 2026

ABSTRACT

Disasters, both natural and anthropogenic, pose significant threats to socioeconomic development across the African continent. This paper examines how such disasters affect the implementation of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), with particular focus on infrastructure disruption, supply chain fragmentation, and the erosion of institutional capacity for regional integration in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Drawing on the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, political economy perspectives on regional integration, and document analysis of secondary data from the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) and international organisations, the study argues that effective disaster risk management is a prerequisite for the sustained advancement of the AfCFTA agenda. The findings indicate that inadequate political will, fragmented policy frameworks, and limited technological infrastructure collectively impede disaster preparedness and response, thereby undermining trade integration across SSA. The study concludes with targeted policy recommendations aimed at strengthening disaster resilience in support of the AfCFTA and the Africa Agenda 2063.

Keywords: Disaster Risk Reduction; African Continental Free Trade Area; Regional Integration; Sub-Saharan Africa; Fourth Industrial Revolution: Sustainable Development

INTRODUCTION

Sub-Saharan Africa is undergoing a critical developmental transition, driven by initiatives such as the African Continental Free Trade Area and Africa's Agenda 2063. These frameworks seek to accelerate regional integration, reduce poverty, and promote sustainable economic growth through the liberalisation of trade in goods and services. However, the realisation of these objectives is increasingly threatened by the persistent occurrence of disasters, defined here as serious disruptions to the functioning of a community or society that exceed its capacity to cope using its own resources (Staupe-Delgado, 2019). These include natural hazards such as floods, droughts, and cyclones, as well as human-induced disasters, including conflict, industrial accidents, and forced displacement.

The concept of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR) refers to the fusion of digital, physical, and biological technologies that transform production systems and economies globally (Schwab, 2016). In the African context, the 4IR presents both an opportunity and a vulnerability: it offers new tools for disaster risk reduction and trade facilitation, yet also exposes underdeveloped technological infrastructure to new forms of systemic risk. Against this backdrop, this paper investigates how disasters affect the AfCFTA's progress and what institutional and policy mechanisms are required to build disaster resilience in support of continental trade integration.

This study identified several structural variables that impede effective disaster management in SSA: insufficient political will, constrained economic resources, weak legal and institutional frameworks, and poor inter-state coordination. These deficiencies not only intensify disaster impacts but also directly undermine the operational continuity of trade routes, supply chains, and critical infrastructure upon which the AfCFTA

depends. The paper proceeds as follows: Section 2 presents the theoretical and conceptual framework; Section 3 reviews relevant literature; Section 4 outlines the methodology; Sections 5 and 6 present regional case evidence; Section 7 discusses the findings; and Section 8 offers conclusions and policy recommendations.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in three theories: Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) theory, regional integration theory, and the Political Economy of Development theory. The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (2015–2030) provides a normative foundation for understanding how states and regional bodies should organise preparedness, mitigation, and recovery. The framework emphasises the need for inclusive, multistakeholder governance of disaster risk and calls for the integration of DRR into broader development planning, a proposition directly applicable to the AfCFTA context. The Regional Integration theory, particularly the neo-functionalist by Haas (2020), posits that economic interdependence creates spill-over effects that deepen integration over time. However, this process is vulnerable to external shocks. Disasters represent precisely such shocks. They disrupt the flows of goods, services, people, and capital that sustain integration and may provoke institutional regression if not well managed (Cavallo et al., 2013). The Political Economy of Development theory highlights the role of governance quality, institutional capacity, and power relations in shaping developmental outcomes. In Sub-Saharan Africa, low political will and fragmented institutional arrangements for disaster management create a structural gap between policy aspirations and implementation realities (Van-Niekerk, 2019). This framework guides the analysis of regional case studies and the formulation of policy recommendations.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The AfCFTA, which entered into force in 2019, represents the most ambitious regional trade integration initiative in African history. By establishing a single continental market for goods and services, the AfCFTA aims to boost intra-African trade, attract foreign direct investment, and catalyse the development of regional value chains (Bari & Dessus, 2022). Its implementation is aligned with the Pan-African development vision articulated in Agenda 2063. However, the operational effectiveness of the AfCFTA is contingent on robust and resilient infrastructure, including road and rail networks, digital connectivity, energy systems, and functional port facilities. The economic toll of disasters in Sub-Saharan Africa is well documented. According to the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (Van Niekerk et al., 2020), disasters in the region accounted for approximately USD 38.5 billion in economic losses during the period under review, with storms and floods responsible for 37% and 34% of reported losses, respectively. These figures underscore the scale of disaster-induced economic disruption and its implications for development trajectories.

Disasters lead to direct losses, including physical damage to infrastructure, productive assets, and human capital. These are compounded by indirect losses, including disruptions to service delivery, supply chains, employment, and revenue flows (Loayza et al., 2012). Buchenrieder et al. (2021) note that while indirect losses have sometimes been characterised as more potential than real, their cumulative economic significance is substantial, and their measurement is essential for informing disaster risk management policy. Africa's heightened vulnerability to climate-related disasters is attributable to several structural factors, including high poverty rates, dependence on climate-sensitive livelihoods, and limited adaptive capacity (Van Niekerk et al., 2020). Climate change compounds these pre-existing vulnerabilities, increasing the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events. The resultant impacts directly undermine the Sustainable Development Goals, Africa Agenda 2063, and AfCFTA by eroding the economic and social foundations on which these frameworks depend. However, there is a notable gap in the existing literature on the specific intersection of disaster risk and regional trade integration in Africa. Most studies address either the macroeconomic impacts of disasters or the political economy of regional integration in isolation; few systematically analyse how disaster events shape the conditions under which trade integration frameworks, such as the AfCFTA, can be successfully implemented.

A substantial body of literature examines the macroeconomic effects of disasters. Neo-liberal growth models, as applied by Albala-Bertrand (1993), show that disasters reduce real GDP per capita by destroying productive

capital, thereby shifting the production possibility frontier inward. This finding is supported by Felbermayr and Groschl (2013), who demonstrate that natural disasters negatively affect production inputs and infrastructure, and by Díaz et al. (2020), who provide cross-country evidence linking temperature shocks to reduced economic output. A contrasting perspective, advanced by Cavallo et al. (2013), draws on endogenous growth theory to argue that post-disaster reconstruction may stimulate economic activity by replacing outdated infrastructure and technology. However, this view has limited applicability in the SSA context, where reconstruction heavily depends on external borrowing at high interest rates, resulting in debt accumulation that further constrains development (Strobl, 2020). The qualitative dimensions of disaster impact, including psychosocial trauma, loss of livelihoods, and community disintegration, are also frequently underweighted in purely quantitative assessments.

At the sub-national level, evidence from the Global Population Distribution shows that large segments of the SSA population reside in informal settlements characterised by inadequate infrastructure and high exposure to hazards. McDermott et al. (2014) also show that the cumulative impacts of localised, small-scale disasters exceed those of major events in their aggregate effect on development, yet they receive disproportionately little attention in policy and research. This gap points to the need for integrated urban–rural planning approaches that account for the full spectrum of disaster risk. This study addresses these gaps by zooming in on the impact of disasters in Sub-Saharan Africa, recommending action plans for governments to be informed on their cooperative efforts towards setting conditions conducive for this historic economic integration across Africa.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a qualitative approach based on the document analysis method, which involved the systematic identification, selection, appraisal, and interpretation of literature as data sources (Bowen, 2009). Document analysis is well-suited to the study of complex policy phenomena for which experimental or large-N quantitative data are unavailable or insufficient, and it enables the triangulation of evidence from multiple source types. The main data source was the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT), maintained by the Centre for Research on Epidemiology of Disasters (Van Niekerk et al., 2020). EM-DAT compiles standardised data on natural and technological disasters worldwide. The inclusion criteria were based on the following: ten or more deaths; 100 or more persons affected, injured, or made homeless; or an official declaration of emergency or appeal for international assistance. Inclusion was also targeted on disasters in Southern and Eastern Africa to enable regional comparison.

A purposive sampling technique was used to cover the following categories: peer-reviewed academic literature on disaster economics and regional integration, official reports and policy documents from international organisations (including the World Bank, UNOCHA, UNHCR, and UNISDR), national government reports and regional bodies such as SADC, and credible media accounts of specific disaster events. Selection was guided by relevance to the research question, source credibility, and temporal coverage (primarily 2013–2024). Data were analysed thematically, based on guided by themes emanating from the theoretical framework. The analysis focused on identifying patterns in disaster impacts on trade infrastructure, institutional responses, and policy gaps relevant to the AfCFTA. Limitations of this approach include the risk of source bias in official documents and the reliance on aggregate rather than disaggregated data for economic loss estimation. These limitations were acknowledged in the interpretation of the findings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regional Evidence: Southern Africa

The Southern African Development Community (SADC) region has experienced a sustained pattern of disaster-related mortality and economic disruption. Between 1998 and 2017, interconnected disasters claimed approximately 1.3 million lives in the region (Bari & Dessus, 2022). Climate-induced hazards, particularly droughts, floods, and cyclones, remain the predominant disaster types, with their frequency and intensity increasing in line with regional climate change projections. Climate-related disasters have demonstrably affected agricultural productivity in the region. Evidence from the 2023–2024 rainy season indicates that

agricultural output was reduced by approximately 35% in parts of southern Africa, reflecting the acute sensitivity of food systems to meteorological shocks. The resulting disruption to agricultural trade flows directly constrains intraregional commerce and undermines the food security objectives embedded in the AfCFTA framework.

The governance dimensions of disaster management in SADC presented further challenges. McDermott et al. (2014) document the institutional fragmentation characterising disaster risk governance across the region, including duplication of mandates between agencies, incoherent policy frameworks, and competition for resources. Heterogeneity across SADC member states complicates the design of harmonised policy responses, particularly for cross-border disasters with significant spill-over effects. The political economy dimension was also significant: disaster management functions are frequently deprioritised in favour of political considerations, limiting the institutional capacity available for preparedness and response. The cumulative economic impact of these dynamics was substantial. Available evidence indicated a net loss of approximately USD 320 million in the region during the 2020–2021 disaster cycle, with critical infrastructure sustaining significant damage across both the social and economic sectors. In the context of the AfCFTA, such losses directly translate into disruptions to intraregional trade, supply chain discontinuities, and reduced investor confidence.

Regional Evidence: Eastern Africa

Eastern Africa offers a complementary case study of the intersection between disaster risk and development. According to Housaini (2024), flooding events in the region during April–May 2024 resulted in at least 473 deaths, with the majority occurring in Kenya (291), Tanzania (155), and Uganda (49). An estimated 1.6 million people were affected across the region, including approximately 560000 in Ethiopia, 412760 in Kenya, 239780 in Burundi, 225760 in Somalia, 126000 in Tanzania, and 40000 in Uganda. On the humanitarian front, over 400000 people were displaced, including many who had been previously displaced by earlier events, resulting in compound vulnerability. UNHCR (2024) noted that the most severe damage occurred in densely populated urban areas characterised by fragile infrastructure, inadequate drainage, and structurally deficient housing, as well as in refugee and displacement settlements. The concentration of impact on low-income urban areas highlights the intersection of socioeconomic marginalisation and disaster exposure.

The economic implications were equally severe. In Kenya alone, nearly 12000 livestock were killed, approximately 48000 acres of cropland were flooded, and more than 1000 small businesses were directly affected (UNHCR, 2024). In Burundi, UNHCR reported the destruction of approximately 10% of the total farmland. Rental costs in disaster-affected areas increased markedly, also eroding household economic resilience. The National Disaster Operations Centre in Kenya reported that all seven (07) of the country's hydroelectric dams were overtopped at some point during the event, underscoring the vulnerability of energy infrastructure to hydrological extremes.

From a trade integration perspective, these events underscore the fragility of the infrastructure underpinning the AfCFTA in Eastern Africa. Disruptions to road networks, energy systems, and communication infrastructure reduce the operational efficiency of trade corridors, increase logistics costs, and interrupt the digital transaction systems on which modern trade facilitation depends. The absence of real-time data systems for disaster monitoring and response, as noted by several sources, represents a significant technological deficit that compounds the impacts of physical infrastructure damage.

The regional evidence presented in Section 5 confirms the central argument of this paper that disasters represent a structural impediment to the implementation of the AfCFTA, operating through multiple channels, including infrastructure damage, supply chain disruption, institutional capacity erosion, and macroeconomic contraction. Three crosscutting themes emerged from the analysis.

- The first is the governance deficit in disaster risk management across SSA. The AfCFTA's success depends not only on tariff reduction and market access provisions, but also on the institutional infrastructure required to facilitate trade, including customs agencies, transport authorities, digital payment systems, and regulatory bodies. Disasters disrupt these institutions directly and indirectly, and the weak

baseline capacity of many SSA states limits their ability to recover. Strengthening disaster governance at both national and regional levels is therefore a trade integration imperative, not merely a humanitarian one.

- The second is a significant financing gap in disaster response. In 2021, USD 105 million was committed in response to disasters in the region, against documented losses of approximately USD 320 million, a gap of over USD 200 million. This shortfall reflects both insufficient domestic resource mobilisation and the limited effectiveness of external aid mechanisms. Closing this gap will require innovative financing instruments, including regional disaster risk financing facilities, public-private partnerships, and parametric insurance schemes tailored to the SSA's risk profile.

- The third presents both a challenge and an opportunity for disaster resilience in the AfCFTA context. On the one hand, the digitalisation of trade systems creates new vulnerabilities to technology-related disruptions. On the other hand, digital technologies, including remote sensing, early warning systems, and big data analytics, offer powerful tools for disaster risk reduction and post-disaster recovery. Harnessing these technologies will require targeted investment in digital infrastructure and capacity building across SSA.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This research examined the relationship between disasters and the AfCFTA, arguing that effective disaster risk management is a necessary condition for the sustainable advancement of Africa's flagship trade integration initiative. The evidence from Southern and Eastern Africa demonstrates that disasters impose substantial direct and indirect costs on the infrastructure, institutions, and economic activities that underpin intra-African trade. These impacts are compounded by governance deficits, financing shortfalls, and technological limitations that reduce the capacity of SSA states to prepare for, respond to, and recover from disaster events.

These findings have significant implications for the AfCFTA's implementation agenda. Policymakers, regional organisations, and development partners must recognise disaster risk management not as a peripheral concern but as a core element of the trade integration project. The following recommendations are proposed based on the evidence and analysis presented in this study.

- Mainstream disaster risk reduction into the AfCFTA implementation frameworks. The AfCFTA Secretariat and member states should develop explicit disaster resilience protocols for trade corridors, cross-border infrastructure, and supply chain continuity planning, drawing on the Sendai Framework.

- Strengthen the regional legal and institutional architecture for disaster management. A consolidated, legally binding regional instrument governing disaster preparedness, response, and recovery across SADC and IGAD member states would reduce the policy fragmentation observed.

- Establish a continental disaster risk financing mechanism. A dedicated AfCFTA Disaster Resilience Fund, capitalised through member state contributions, development bank support, and private sector participation, would reduce reliance on ad hoc external assistance and accelerate recovery.

- Invest in resilient infrastructure. Infrastructure development under the AfCFTA must integrate disaster-resistant design standards, with priority given to road, energy, and digital communication networks in high-risk areas.

- Enhance information and data systems. Interoperable, real-time disaster monitoring and early warning systems, linked to trade facilitation platforms, are required to enable timely and evidence-based policy responses. This includes investment in the 4IR technologies identified in Section 7.

- Build institutional capacity for disaster risk governance. Regular joint training, peer learning exchanges, and technical assistance programmes should be institutionalised within the AfCFTA framework to build the human capital required for effective disaster risk management.

- Engage the private sector. Public-private partnerships should be leveraged to finance resilient infrastructure, develop innovative risk transfer instruments, and integrate private sector logistics capabilities into national and regional disaster response plans.

The AfCFTA represents a transformative opportunity for Africa's economic future, but its potential can only be realised if the continent simultaneously addresses the disaster risks that threaten its foundations. Integrating disaster resilience into the AfCFTA's operational and governance architecture is not an optional complement to trade integration—it is a prerequisite for its success.

REFERENCES

1. Albala-Bertrand, J.M. (1993). Natural disaster situations and growth: a macroeconomic model for sudden disaster impacts. World Development, 21(9), pp.1417–1434.
2. Bari, M., & Dessus, S. (2022). Adapting to natural disasters in Africa (No. 38337). The World Bank Group.
3. Buchenrieder, G., Brandl, J., & Balgah, A. R. (2021). The perception of flood risks: A case study of Babessi in rural Cameroon. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 12(4), 1-21.
4. Cavallo, E., Galiani, S., Noy, I., & Pantano, J. (2013). Catastrophic natural disasters and economic growth. Review of Economics and Statistics, 95(5), 1549-1561.
5. Bowen, G.A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40.
6. Desai, H. (2020). States of fragility and official development assistance (Vol. 76). Paris: OECD Publishing.
7. Díaz, M., Jones, F.B. and Olken, A.B. (2020). Temperature shocks and economic growth: Evidence from the last half century. American Economic Journal. Macroeconomics, 4(3). 66–95.
8. Felbermayr, G., & Gröschl, J. (2013). Naturally Negative: The growth effects of natural disasters. Journal of Development Economics. 111. 92-106.
9. Haas, E. (2020). Uniting of Europe: Political, social, and economic forces. 1950-1957. University of Notre Dame.
10. Housaini, F. (2024). Eastern Africa: Heavy Rains and Flooding-Flash Update No. 4 (May 2024).
11. Klomp, J. (2016). Economic development and natural disasters: A satellite data analysis. Global Environmental Change. 36. 67-88.
12. Leiter, A. M., Oberhofer, H., & Raschky, P. A. (2009). Creative disasters? Flooding effects on capital, labour and productivity within European firms. Environmental and Resource Economics. 43(3). 333-350.
13. Loayza, N. V., Olaberria, E., Rigolini, J., & Christiaensen, L. (2012). Natural disasters and growth: Going beyond the averages. World Development. 40(7). 1317-1336.
14. McDermott, T. K., Barry, F., & Tol, R. S. (2014). Disasters and development: Natural disasters, credit constraints, and economic growth. Oxford Economic Papers. 66(3).750-773.
15. Schwab, K. (2017). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. Crown Publishing Group. New York.
16. Staupe-Delgado, R. (2019). Analysing changes in disaster terminology over the last decade. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 40. 101-161.
17. Strobl, E. (2012). The economic growth impact of natural disasters in developing countries: Evidence from hurricane strikes in the Central American and Caribbean regions. Journal of Development Economics. 97(1). 130-141.
18. UNHCR (2024). Heavy rainfall in East Africa forces thousands of refugees from their homes. Geneva: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. https://reliefweb.int/report/burundi/heavy-rainfall-east-africa-forces-thousands-refugees-their-homes
19. Van Niekerk, D., Coetzee, C., & Nemakonde, L. (2020). Implementing the Sendai Framework in Africa: Progress against the Targets (2015–2018). International Journal of Disaster Risk Science. 11(2).