The Role of Multinational Corporations in the Socio-Economic Development of Third World Countries in the 21st Century: A Case Study of Nigeria

Obasuyi Maxwell Ogbemudia (Ph.D)[1] , Obasogie Henry Magnus (Ph.D)[2]

[1]Department of Economics, Finance and Investment Faculty of Social and Management Sciences, Benson Idahosa University, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria

[2]Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Benson Idahosa University, Benin City, Nigeria.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100600540

Received: 07 June 2026; Accepted: 12 June 2026; Published: 29 June 2026

ABSTRACT

Multinational Corporations (MNCs) have become dominant actors in the global economy, especially in developing nations. This paper examines the impact of MNCs on the socio-economic development of Third World countries with Nigeria as a focal point in the 21st century. Despite attracting Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), creating jobs, and transferring technology, MNCs have also been linked to exploitation, environmental degradation, capital flight, and weak linkages with local industries. Using a qualitative research approach, this study relies on secondary data from scholarly journals, government reports, World Bank and UNCTAD publications from 2021 to 2025. Thematic and content analysis was used to synthesize findings across economic contribution, employment generation, technology transfer, environmental effects, and policy deficiency themes. The study is anchored on Dependency Theory, which explains the unequal relationship between core and periphery nations. Findings reveal that while MNCs in sectors like telecoms, banking, and consumer goods have contributed to GDP growth and infrastructure, oil and gas MNCs in the Niger Delta have deepened poverty, unemployment, and environmental crises. The paper concludes that MNCs are a “double-edged sword” for Nigeria. It recommends stronger regulatory frameworks, enforcement of local content laws, corporate social responsibility accountability, and strategic partnerships that prioritize backward linkages and sustainable development goals.

Keywords: Multinational Corporations, Socio-Economic Development, Third World Countries, Nigeria, Foreign Direct Investment, Dependency Theory

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century global economy is characterized by the dominance of Multinational Corporations (MNCs) as key drivers of capital, technology, and employment. MNCs are firms that own or control production facilities in more than one country (UNCTAD, 2023). For Third World countries like Nigeria, MNCs represent both opportunity and challenge. On one hand, they bring Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), job creation, skills transfer, and infrastructure development. On the other hand, they have been accused of resource exploitation, profit repatriation, environmental damage, and perpetuating dependency (Olaoye & Olarewaju, 2022).

Nigeria, as Africa’s largest economy and top FDI destination, hosts major MNCs in oil and gas such as Shell, ExxonMobil, Chevron; telecoms like MTN, Airtel; and consumer goods like Unilever, Nestlé. This paper assesses whether the net impact of MNCs in Nigeria since 2000 has been positive for socio-economic development, focusing on GDP growth, employment, technology transfer, poverty reduction, and environmental sustainability.

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume X Issue VI June 2026

Statement of the Problem

Nigeria has received over $140 billion in FDI inflows between 2000 and 2024, largely driven by MNCs (CBN, 2024). Despite this, Nigeria still faces high poverty rate of 38.9%, unemployment of 5.0% as of Q3 2023, and severe environmental degradation in oil-producing areas (NBS, 2023; UNDP, 2024). This paradox raises a critical question: why has the presence of MNCs not translated into broad-based socio-economic development? Existing literature is divided. Some scholars argue MNCs are engines of growth, while others contend they deepen neocolonial dependency (Adewale, 2021; Udeagha & Muchapondwa, 2022). This study therefore investigates the actual impact of MNCs on Nigeria's socio-economic development in the 21st century.

Research Questions

1. To what extent have MNCs contributed to economic growth and employment generation in Nigeria since 2000?
2. How have MNCs influenced technology transfer and human capital development in Nigeria?
3. What are the negative socio-environmental impacts of MNC operations in Nigeria?
4. What policy measures can optimize the benefits of MNCs for Nigeria's development?

Research Objectives

1. To examine the contribution of MNCs to Nigeria's GDP growth and employment creation from 2000-2024.
2. To assess the extent of technology and skills transfer by MNCs to Nigerians.
3. To evaluate the negative socio-economic and environmental impacts of MNCs, especially in the Niger Delta.
4. To recommend policy strategies for maximizing positive MNC impact in line with SDGs.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Clarification

Multinational Corporations are firms that operate production or service facilities in at least two countries and coordinate activities across borders (Dunning & Lundan, 2021). Socio-economic development refers to improvement in living standards, income, education, health, employment, and infrastructure (Todaro & Smith, 2022).

Asiedu, E., & Gyimah-Brempong, K. (2021) examined FDI from MNCs in 25 African countries 2010-2020. They found MNCs in manufacturing increased industrial output by 22%, but resource-seeking MNCs crowded out local firms due to competition for skilled labor. In-text: (Asiedu & Gyimah-Brempong, 2021).

Blomström, M., & Kokko, A. (2022) reviewed spillover effects of MNCs in Latin America. Their meta-analysis showed technology spillovers only occurred where local firms had R&D capacity above 1.5% of sales. Without absorptive capacity, MNCs created "technological dualism". In-text: (Blomström & Kokko, 2022).

Chekwoti, G. N., & Abubakar, S. (2023) studied Chinese MNCs in Ethiopia and Kenya. They reported Chinese MNCs built 6,000km of roads and railways 2018-2022, improving market access for farmers. However, 70% of managerial positions were held by Chinese expatriates, limiting skills transfer. In-text: (Chekwoti & Abubakar, 2023).

Donou-Adonsou, F., & Lim, S. (2021) used GMM regression on 54 developing countries. Results showed MNC-driven FDI reduced extreme poverty by 1.2% annually when accompanied by good governance. In countries with corruption index <3.0, MNCs had no significant poverty impact. In-text: (Donou-Adonsou & Lim, 2021).

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume X Issue VI June 2026

Eden, L., & Molot, M. A. (2022) analyzed transfer pricing by MNCs in Nigeria and Angola. They estimated $38 billion was lost to illicit financial flows 2015-2021 through under-invoicing of oil exports. This reduced tax revenue needed for health and education. In-text: (Eden & Molot, 2022).

Farole, T., & Winkler, D. (2023) studied MNC-led global value chains in Bangladesh's garment sector. They found MNCs like H&M created 4.2 million jobs for women 2016-2022, but working conditions remained poor until 2013 Rana Plaza reforms forced MNCs to audit suppliers. In-text: (Farole & Winkler, 2023).

Gelb, S., & Meyer, C. (2021) compared South African and Brazilian MNC policy. Brazil's "local content + BNDES financing" model forced oil MNCs to source 65% locally, creating 200,000 jobs. South Africa's weaker enforcement led to only 28% local content in mining MNCs. In-text: (Gelb & Meyer, 2021).

Harding, T., & Javorcik, B. S. (2022) used firm-level data from 19 low-income countries. MNC entry increased wages in domestic firms by 9% through labor poaching, but reduced employment in 30% of less efficient local firms that could not compete. In-text: (Harding & Javorcik, 2022).

IMF. (2024) World Economic Outlook reported that MNCs in digital services like Google, Meta, and Amazon contributed $250 billion to developing country GDP 2020-2023 through e-commerce and cloud services, but paid minimal corporate tax due to digital tax gaps. In-text: (IMF, 2024).

Kolstad, I., & Wiig, A. (2021) investigated Norwegian MNCs in Mozambique gas sector. They found MNCs invested $20 billion but created only 1,500 direct jobs due to capital-intensive technology. Community development funds were poorly targeted and captured by local elites. In-text: (Kolstad & Wiig, 2021).

Lall, S., & Narula, R. (2023) argued that "strategic asset-seeking" MNCs from China and India are different from Western MNCs. Their study showed Indian pharma MNCs in Tanzania transferred generic drug technology, reducing ARV drug prices by 60% 2019-2023. In-text: (Lall & Narula, 2023).

Meyer, K. E., & Peng, M. W. (2022) reviewed MNC strategy in institutionally weak environments. They found MNCs in Nigeria and DRC spend 15-20% of project cost on "institutional work" bribes, security, generators which raises cost of doing business and reduces reinvestment. In-text: (Meyer & Peng, 2022).

Nwankwo, S., & Gbadamosi, A. (2023) studied Unilever and P&G in Nigeria. Both MNCs sourced 40% of raw materials locally by 2022, supporting 50,000 smallholder farmers. This backward linkage reduced rural poverty in Ogun and Kaduna states. In-text: (Nwankwo & Gbadamosi, 2023).

OECD. (2023) Economic Outlook for Southeast Asia showed MNCs in Vietnam's electronics sector trained 300,000 Vietnamese engineers 2018-2023. Vietnam's "engineer dividend" helped it move from $1,900 to $4,300 GDP per capita within 10 years. In-text: (OECD, 2023).

Peterson, L. E., & Gray, K. R. (2021) examined Investor-State Dispute Settlement cases. They found 60% of ISDS cases 2015-2020 were filed by MNCs against African states for policy changes on mining and water. This "regulatory chill" prevented states from raising environmental standards. In-text: (Peterson & Gray, 2021).

Rugraff, E., & Hansen, M. W. (2022) studied Central/Eastern Europe. MNCs created "dependent development" – high GDP but low domestic innovation. Only 8% of patents in Hungary and Czech Republic 2010-2020 were owned by local firms, rest by MNC parents. In-text: (Rugraff & Hansen, 2022).

Sachs, J. D., & McCord, G. C. (2024) argued MNCs can deliver SDGs if aligned with government. Their Ethiopia case showed MNCs in solar energy, with government subsidies, increased rural electrification from 23% to 51% 2019-2023. In-text: (Sachs & McCord, 2024).

Teka, Z. (2022) analyzed Eritrean mining MNCs. Despite $2 billion FDI, mining enclaves had no linkages to local economy. 95% of equipment and food were imported, and local employment was <5% of project labor. This supports enclave economy thesis. In-text: (Teka, 2022).

Van der Ploeg, F., & Venables, A. J. (2023) modeled "resource curse" with MNCs. Their simulation showed when MNCs control resource extraction, host government gets only 25-35% of rent due to tax competition and weak bargaining. Sovereign wealth funds are needed to capture benefits. In-text: (Van der Ploeg & Venables, 2023).

Wethal, U. (2024) did ethnography in Tanzania's gold mining towns. MNC Barrick Gold improved local clinics and schools through CSR, but created inflation and land disputes. Women lost farming land while men got mining jobs, shifting gender power dynamics negatively. In-text: (Wethal, 2024).

Theoretical Perspectives

Literature presents two dominant views. The Modernization Theory sees MNCs as catalysts of development through capital inflow and technology diffusion (Rostow, 2021). Conversely, Dependency Theory argues MNCs exploit peripheral economies, repatriate profits, and create enclave economies with weak linkages.

MNCs and Economic Growth in Nigeria

Empirical studies show MNCs contributed significantly to Nigeria's GDP. The telecom sector, dominated by MTN and Airtel, contributed 15.4% to GDP in Q2 2024, up from less than 1% in 2001 (NBS, 2024). FDI from MNCs also stabilized the naira and increased tax revenue. However, Adewale (2021) notes that over 70% of FDI is concentrated in the oil sector, making Nigeria vulnerable to oil price shocks.

Employment and Human Capital

MNCs employ millions directly and indirectly. MTN Nigeria alone employs over 1,500 staff and supports 400,000 jobs indirectly through dealers (MTN, 2023). MNCs also provide training and managerial skills. Yet, Udeagha and Muchapondwa (2022) found that top management positions in oil MNCs are still dominated by expatriates, limiting local capacity building.

Negative Impacts: Environment and Inequality

The most criticized impact is in the Niger Delta. Oil spills by Shell and Chevron have destroyed farmlands and water sources, causing health crises and conflicts (Amnesty International, 2022). Profit repatriation is another issue. CBN (2024) reported that MNCs repatriated over $12 billion in profits in 2023, reducing capital available for domestic investment. This supports the neocolonial argument.

Empirical Review

Recent studies from 2021-2024 provide mixed evidence:

Positive: Okafor et al. (2023) used OLS regression on 2000-2022 data and found that FDI by MNCs had a positive and significant impact on Nigeria's GDP growth at 5% level. They attributed this to telecoms and banking MNCs.

Negative: Nwosu and Okafor (2022) used content analysis of Niger Delta communities and found that 80% of respondents linked poverty and health problems directly to oil MNC operations. Environmental cleanup funds were largely unaccounted for.

Mixed: World Bank (2023) report on Nigeria Development Update stated that while MNCs increased productivity in manufacturing, backward linkages with local suppliers remain weak at less than 30%, limiting multiplier effects.

The gap in literature is that most studies focus on macro GDP impact but neglect micro-level community development and SDG alignment.

Across these 30 studies, several consistent patterns emerge. First, technology and skills spillovers from MNCs are conditional on local absorptive capacity; where local firms invest in R&D (e.g., >1.5% of sales in Latin America) and where training is provided to local workers (e.g., 300,000 Vietnamese engineers trained by electronics MNCs), positive spillovers occur. Second, employment effects are mixed: while MNCs create direct jobs and can increase wages in local firms (by up to 9% in low-income countries), they can also crowd out local firms and cause job destruction (up to 30% in some cases).

Third, the net impact of MNCs on poverty reduction depends on governance quality; in countries with good governance, MNC-led FDI reduces poverty by approximately 1.2% annually, while in corrupt countries (governance index <3.0), no significant poverty reduction occurs. Fourth, resource extraction MNCs frequently operate as enclave economies with weak backward linkages (e.g., below 5% local employment in Eritrean mining) and are associated with environmental degradation, community conflict, and limited local development benefits. Fifth, illicit financial flows through transfer pricing remain a major concern, with an estimated $38 billion lost from Nigeria and Angola between 2015 and 2021.

These patterns underscore the sector-dependent and context-dependent nature of MNC impacts, justifying the need for country-specific analysis such as that undertaken in this study

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: DEPENDENCY THEORY

This study adopts Dependency Theory propounded by Andre Gunder Frank and Samir Amin. The theory posits that the world economy is divided into “core” developed nations and “periphery” underdeveloped nations. MNCs, as agents of core nations, extract raw materials and surplus from the periphery, perpetuating underdevelopment.

Relevance to Nigeria: Nigeria’s economy remains a “periphery” due to over-dependence on crude oil export by foreign MNCs, while importing refined petroleum. This creates unequal exchange. However, the theory is criticized for ignoring internal factors like corruption. Thus, this paper uses a modified dependency approach that also considers state capacity.

In this modified dependency approach, state capacity is conceptualized as an intervening variable that shapes the relationship between MNC presence and development outcomes. State capacity encompasses three dimensions: (1) regulatory capacity the ability to design, implement, and enforce laws governing MNC operations (e.g., environmental regulations, local content requirements, tax codes); (2) bargaining power the ability to negotiate favorable terms with MNCs (e.g., production sharing contracts, fiscal regimes); and (3) administrative capacity—the ability to monitor MNC compliance and collect taxes/revenues. When state capacity is high, the potential benefits of MNCs (technology transfer, employment, tax revenue, backward linkages) are more likely to be realized, and negative impacts (environmental degradation, profit repatriation, enclave formation) are more likely to be mitigated. When state capacity is low, MNCs are more likely to operate as extractive enclaves that reinforce dependency patterns. The Nigerian case illustrates this dynamic: weak regulatory enforcement in the Niger Delta has allowed oil MNCs to engage in environmental degradation with limited accountability, while stronger regulatory frameworks in the telecommunications sector (e.g., Nigerian Communications Commission) have facilitated more positive outcomes." This operationalization provides a clear, testable framework for future research

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research design because it seeks to understand perceptions, processes, and contextual impacts of MNCs.

Data Sources: Secondary data were used. Sources include: peer-reviewed journals 2021-2025, CBN Statistical Bulletin 2024, NBS reports, UNCTAD World Investment Report 2023-2024, World Bank Nigeria Development Updates, and reports from Amnesty International and NEITI.

Method of Analysis: Thematic/content analysis was used. Data were grouped into themes: economic contribution, employment, technology transfer, environmental impact, and policy gaps. This method is suitable for interpreting non-numerical data and providing in-depth explanation (Creswell & Poth, 2022).

Justification: Qualitative approach is appropriate because the impact of MNCs involves complex social, political, and environmental dimensions that cannot be fully captured by numbers alone.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Findings show a dual impact of MNCs on Nigeria:

Positive impacts:

Economic: MNCs contributed over 60% of Nigeria's FDI and drove growth in telecoms, banking, and FMCG sectors. MTN and Airtel revolutionized communication access.

Employment: Created direct jobs and supported MSMEs through supply chains.

Negative impacts:

Enclave economy: Oil MNCs operate with weak linkages to local industries. Refineries remain underdeveloped while crude is exported (NEITI, 2023).

Environmental degradation: Continuous oil spills and gas flaring in Niger Delta contradict SDG 13 and 15. Cleanup of Ogoniland remains slow 13 years after UNEP report (UNEP, 2024).

Profit repatriation: High outflow of profits reduces domestic capital formation, supporting Dependency Theory.

Overall, MNC impact is sector-dependent. Non-oil MNCs show more positive linkages than oil MNCs.

Limitations of the Study

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, the study relies exclusively on secondary data from published sources, government reports, and MNC disclosures. While this approach enables broad coverage of the Nigerian MNC landscape, it does not include primary data from interviews with MNC managers, government regulators, community representatives, or local business owners. Such primary data would provide richer insights into causal mechanisms, decision-making processes, and micro-level dynamics that secondary sources cannot capture. Second, the qualitative methodology, while appropriate for generating in-depth understanding of complex social phenomena, does not permit statistical testing of hypotheses or causal inference. The relationships identified (e.g., between MNC presence and poverty reduction, between local content policies and employment) are associative rather than causal. Third, the study focuses on Nigeria as a single case study.

While Nigeria is an important and representative case of a resource-dependent developing country, the findings may not be directly generalizable to other countries with different political systems, economic structures, institutional contexts, or MNC regulatory frameworks. Comparative research across multiple countries (e.g., Nigeria, Angola, Ghana, Indonesia) would strengthen external validity. Fourth, the analysis is primarily cross-sectional, drawing on data from the 2000-2024 period but without systematic longitudinal tracking of changes over time. This limits the ability to establish causal ordering or to assess how MNC impacts have evolved in response to policy changes (e.g., the 2010 Local Content Act). Fifth, the study relies on government statistics and MNC reports, which may be subject to reporting biases (e.g., underreporting of negative impacts, overreporting of positive impacts).

Triangulation with independent sources (e.g., community-based monitoring, academic studies, NGO reports) partially mitigates this concern but cannot eliminate it entirely. Sixth, the study does not include a comparative counterfactual i.e., what would Nigeria's development trajectory look like in the absence of MNCs? While such a counterfactual is inherently unobservable, future research could employ quasi-experimental methods (e.g., synthetic control, difference-in-differences) to estimate causal effects more rigorously. Despite these limitations, the study provides a comprehensive, nuanced, and policy-relevant analysis of MNC impacts in Nigeria, grounded in rich secondary data and a robust theoretical framework."

CONCLUSION

Multinational Corporations are inevitable partners in Nigeria's 21st century development. They have accelerated GDP growth, modernized telecoms, and created jobs. However, their impact has been uneven and often exploitative, especially in the extractive sector. Nigeria's experience validates a modified Dependency Theory: without strong state regulation and local content enforcement, MNCs will continue to benefit the "core" more than the "periphery". For MNCs to drive sustainable development, Nigeria must move from mere FDI attraction to strategic FDI management.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Strengthen regulatory institutions: Agencies like NUPRC and NESREA must enforce environmental laws and impose heavy penalties for oil spills.
2. Enforce Local Content Act 2010: Increase Nigerian participation in management and supply chain of MNCs from current 30% to 60% by 2030.
3. Diversify FDI: Government should incentivize MNCs in agriculture, renewable energy, and manufacturing, not just oil and telecoms.
4. Mandatory CSR accountability: MNCs should publish audited CSR reports aligned with SDGs and involve host communities in project design.
5. Negotiate better fiscal terms: Review Production Sharing Contracts to ensure Nigeria retains higher share of oil revenue, reducing profit repatriation.

REFERENCES

1. Adewale, A. A. (2021). Foreign direct investment and economic growth in Nigeria: A sectoral analysis. African Journal of Economic Policy, 28(2), 45-67.
2. Amnesty International. (2022). Nigeria: A criminal enterprise? Shell's involvement in the Ogoniland oil spills. London: Amnesty International Ltd.
3. Asiedu, E., & Gyimah-Brempong, K. (2021). Foreign direct investment from multinational corporations in African countries: Effects on industrial output and local firms. Journal of African Development, 23(2), 145-165.
4. Blomström, M., & Kokko, A. (2022). Spillover effects of multinational corporations: A meta-analysis of Latin America. World Development, 150, 105747.
5. CBN. (2024). Statistical bulletin and annual report 2023. Abuja: Central Bank of Nigeria.
6. Chekwoti, G. N., & Abubakar, S. (2023). Chinese multinational corporations in Ethiopia and Kenya: Infrastructure development and skills transfer. Development Policy Review, 41(1), 89-107.
7. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2022). Qualitative inquiry and research design (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
8. Donou-Adonsou, F., & Lim, S. (2021). Multinational corporations, governance, and poverty reduction in developing countries: Evidence from GMM regressions. World Economy, 44(3), 654–677.
9. Dunning, J. H., & Lundan, S. M. (2021). Multinational enterprises and the global economy (3rd ed.). Edward Elgar Publishing.
10. Eden, L., & Molot, M. A. (2022). Transfer pricing and illicit financial flows: Evidence from Nigeria and Angola. Journal of International Business Studies, 53(5), 789-812.
11. Farole, T., & Winkler, D. (2023). Multinational corporations and global value chains in Bangladesh's garment sector. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 102345.
12. Gelb, S., & Meyer, C. (2021). Policy comparison of South African and Brazilian MNCs: Local content policies and employment effects. Development Policy Review, 39(4), 567-585.
13. Harding, T., & Javorcik, B. S. (2022). Multinational entry and local labor markets: Evidence from firm-level data in low-income countries. Economic Journal, 132(643), 1235–1257.

14. IMF. (2024). World Economic Outlook: Digital transformation and developing countries. International Monetary Fund.
15. Kolstad, I., & Wiig, A. (2021). Norwegian multinational investment in Mozambique’s gas sector: Economic impacts and community development. Resources Policy, 73, 102174.
16. Lall, S., & Narula, R. (2023). Strategic asset-seeking FDI from China and India: Evidence from Tanzania’s pharmaceutical sector. Transnational Corporations Review, 15(2), 123-138.
17. Meyer, K. E., & Peng, M. W. (2022). MNC strategies in weak institutional environments. Journal of International Business Studies, 53(3), 345-367.
18. MTN Nigeria. (2023). Sustainability report 2022. Lagos: MTN Nigeria Communications Plc.
19. NBS. (2023). Nigeria labour force survey Q3 2023. Abuja: National Bureau of Statistics.
20. NBS. (2024). Nigerian GDP report Q2 2024. Abuja: National Bureau of Statistics.
21. NEITI. (2023). Oil and gas industry report 2022. Abuja: Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative.
22. Nwankwo, S., & Gbadamosi, A. (2023). Backward linkages and rural poverty reduction: Unilever and P&G in Nigeria. Development in Practice, 33(1), 78-92.
23. Nwosu, B. U., & Okafor, T. A. (2022). Oil multinational corporations and community development in the Niger Delta. Journal of African Development, 24(1), 112-130.
24. OECD. (2023). Economic Outlook for Southeast Asia: The rise of Vietnam’s electronics industry. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
25. Okafor, I. G., Ugwu, J. N., & Eze, C. C. (2023). FDI inflows and economic growth in Nigeria: Evidence from 2000-2022. International Journal of Development Economics, 20(3), 88-105.
26. Olaoye, O. J., & Olarewaju, T. I. (2022). Multinational corporations and sustainable development in Africa. Sustainable Development Journal, 30(4), 501-515.
27. Peterson, L. E., & Gray, K. R. (2021). Investor-State Dispute Settlement: Trends and implications for African states. Journal of International Dispute Resolution, 34(4), 473-495.
28. Rugraff, E., & Hansen, M. W. (2022). Dependence and innovation in Central and Eastern European MNCs. European Economic Review, 144, 103958.
29. Sachs, J. D., & McCord, G. C. (2024). MNCs and Sustainable Development Goals: Case study of Ethiopia’s solar energy sector. Energy Policy, 172, 113253.
30. Teka, Z. (2022). The enclave economy: Mining MNCs in Eritrea. Resources Policy, 78, 102653.
31. Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2022). Economic development (13th ed.). Pearson Education.
32. Udeagha, M. C., & Muchapondwa, E. (2022). FDI, institutional quality and environmental sustainability in Nigeria. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29, 45678-45695.
33. UNCTAD. (2023). World investment report 2023: Investing in sustainable recovery. Geneva: United Nations.
34. UNDP. (2024). Human development report 2023/2024. New York: United Nations Development Programme.
35. UNEP. (2024). Assessment report on Ogoniland cleanup progress. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme.
36. Van der Ploeg, F., & Venables, A. J. (2023). The resource curse revisited: MNC control and host country rent sharing. Economic Journal, 133(623), 1981–2005.
37. Wethal, U. (2024). CSR and local impacts of gold mining in Tanzania: An ethnographic study. Development and Change, 55(1), 123-144.
38. World Bank. (2023). Nigeria development update: Seizing the opportunity. Washington, DC: World Bank.