

Governance and Conflict Management Strategies against Banditry in Katsina State: The Dikko Umar Radda Administration

¹Kabir Isah Gambarawa, ²Musbahu Hassan PhD

¹Department of General studies, Yusuf Bala Usman College of Education and Legal studies Daura
Katsina state, Nigeria

²Department of Business Education, Yusuf Bala Usman College of Education and Legal studies Daura
Katsina state, Nigeria

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100700082>

Received: 10 July 2026; Accepted: 16 July 2026; Published: 25 July 2026

ABSTRACT

This study examines governance and conflict management strategies employed in combating armed banditry in Katsina State, Nigeria, under the administration of Governor Dikko Umar Radda. Using a qualitative research design based on content analysis of secondary data, the study evaluates institutional reforms, security coordination mechanisms, and community-based initiatives. The findings reveal that while the administration has achieved measurable reductions in banditry through enhanced inter-agency collaboration and grassroots security engagement, persistent structural challenges such as poverty, unemployment, and weak institutional capacity—continue to undermine sustainable peace. The study argues that effective governance, when combined with inclusive conflict management frameworks, is essential for long-term security. It concludes by recommending integrated socio-economic policies, institutional strengthening, and community-driven peace building mechanisms.

Keywords: governance, conflict management, banditry, security, Katsina State, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, armed banditry has emerged as one of the most pressing security challenges confronting northern Nigeria, particularly in Katsina State. The phenomenon, which initially manifested as localized cattle rustling and rural criminality, has evolved into a complex and highly organized form of violence characterized by kidnapping for ransom, mass killings, destruction of property, and large-scale displacement of communities (Izah et al., 2023). The escalation of banditry has not only threatened human security but has also significantly undermined socio-economic development across affected areas.

Katsina State, due to its geographical location and porous borders with neighboring states such as Zamfara, Kaduna, and Sokoto, has become a major hotspot for banditry activities. The situation has been exacerbated by limited state presence in rural areas, weak law enforcement capacity, and long-standing socio-economic challenges. Rural communities, which depend largely on agriculture and livestock for their livelihoods, have been particularly vulnerable. Frequent attacks on villages and farmlands have disrupted agricultural production, leading to food insecurity, loss of income, and increased poverty (Ademola et al., 2025). In addition, the persistent threat of violence has forced many residents to abandon their homes, thereby contributing to internal displacement and humanitarian concerns.

The roots of banditry in Katsina State are deeply embedded in a combination of structural and proximate factors. Structural factors include poverty, youth unemployment, inequality, and weak governance systems, while proximate factors involve the proliferation of small arms, the influence of criminal networks, and the erosion of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms (Atiyaye et al., 2025). The interaction of these factors has created a conducive environment for the growth and sustenance of banditry, making it a multifaceted problem that transcends conventional security responses.

Historically, government responses to banditry in northern Nigeria have largely relied on military and law enforcement approaches. While such measures have achieved some short-term successes, they have often failed to address the underlying drivers of the conflict. This has led to a growing recognition among scholars and policymakers that sustainable solutions to insecurity require a broader governance-based approach that integrates security interventions with socio-economic development and conflict management strategies (Abdulyakeen & Aliyu, 2025).

It is within this context that the administration of Governor Dikko Umar Radda has introduced a range of governance and conflict management initiatives aimed at combating banditry in Katsina State. Since assuming office, the administration has emphasized improved coordination among security agencies, the establishment of community-based security structures, and the adoption of policies designed to restore public confidence in government institutions. Notably, the creation of the Community Watch Corps represents an effort to institutionalize community participation in security governance, while the administration's non-negotiation policy with bandits signals a commitment to upholding the rule of law.

Despite these efforts, the extent to which these strategies have effectively addressed the problem of banditry remains a subject of scholarly and policy debate. While some reports indicate a reduction in the frequency and intensity of attacks, concerns persist regarding the sustainability of these gains, particularly in the face of enduring socio-economic challenges and institutional limitations. Furthermore, the coexistence of formal state policies and informal community-led conflict resolution practices raises important questions about the coherence and inclusiveness of current approaches.

This study therefore seeks to critically examine the governance and conflict management strategies adopted by the Radda administration in addressing banditry in Katsina State. Specifically, it aims to assess the effectiveness of these strategies, identify their strengths and limitations, and explore their implications for sustainable peace and security. By focusing on a state-level case study, the research contributes to the broader discourse on subnational governance and security management in Nigeria.

In doing so, the study advances the argument that addressing banditry requires more than military intervention; it necessitates a comprehensive approach that combines effective governance, inclusive conflict management, and socio-economic transformation. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for policymakers, scholars, and practitioners seeking to develop sustainable solutions to insecurity not only in Katsina State but also in other conflict-affected regions.

Conceptual Review

This section examines the key concepts underpinning the study, namely good governance, conflict management, and banditry, and explains their relevance to the analysis of insecurity in Katsina State.

Good Governance

Good governance refers to the effective and efficient management of public resources and institutions in a manner that promotes accountability, transparency, participation, and the rule of law (World Bank, 1992). It emphasizes the responsibility of government to provide public goods, including security, while maintaining legitimacy and public trust.

In the context of security, good governance plays a critical role in shaping the effectiveness of state responses to conflict. When governance systems are weak and characterized by corruption, lack of accountability and limited institutional capacity like criminal activities such as banditry tend to flourish. Conversely, strong governance structures enhance coordination among security agencies, improve service delivery, and foster citizen cooperation.

Within Katsina State, the relevance of good governance lies in its ability to address both the immediate and underlying causes of banditry. Measures such as improved security coordination, community engagement, and

policy responsiveness reflect attempts to strengthen governance and restore public confidence in state institutions.

Conflict Management

Conflict management refers to the processes and strategies employed to prevent, control, and resolve conflicts in a constructive manner (Fisher et al., 2000). It involves a range of approaches, including negotiation, mediation, dialogue, and institutional reforms, aimed at reducing violence and promoting peaceful coexistence.

In situations of armed banditry, conflict management extends beyond traditional dispute resolution to include efforts at de-escalation, community reconciliation, and the creation of mechanisms that address grievances. It recognizes that conflicts are often rooted in deeper social and economic conditions, and therefore requires both short-term and long-term interventions.

The application of conflict management strategies in Katsina State is evident in community-based initiatives and informal peace efforts aimed at reducing tensions between affected populations and armed groups. However, the effectiveness of these strategies depends on their integration with formal governance structures and their ability to gain public trust.

Banditry

Banditry refers to organized criminal activities involving violence, such as armed robbery, kidnapping, cattle rustling, and attacks on communities. In northern Nigeria, banditry has evolved into a complex security challenge with significant socio-economic and political implications.

Unlike conventional crime, banditry in Katsina State is often linked to broader structural issues, including poverty, unemployment, and weak governance (Atiyaye et al., 2025). It is also facilitated by factors such as the proliferation of small arms and the presence of ungoverned spaces.

Understanding banditry as both a security and development issue is essential for designing effective responses. This perspective highlights the need for integrated strategies that combine law enforcement with socio-economic interventions.

Linkage between the Concepts

The relationship between governance, conflict management, and banditry is both direct and interdependent. Weak governance creates conditions that enable the emergence and persistence of banditry, while effective governance enhances the capacity of the state to manage and resolve conflicts.

Conflict management serves as a bridge between governance and security outcomes by providing tools for addressing disputes and reducing violence. When supported by strong governance structures, conflict management strategies can contribute to sustainable peace.

In the case of Katsina State, the interaction of these concepts underscores the importance of a comprehensive approach to security—one that integrates institutional effectiveness, community participation, and socio-economic development.

Empirical Review

Empirical studies on banditry and insecurity in northern Nigeria provide important insights into the causes, dynamics, and responses to the phenomenon, particularly in states such as Katsina.

Izah et al. (2023) examined state responses to banditry in Nigeria and found that security interventions have largely focused on military operations, with limited attention to underlying socio-economic drivers. Their study revealed that while military actions can suppress attacks temporarily, they often fail to achieve sustainable peace due to weak institutional capacity and lack of community trust.

Similarly, Ademola et al. (2025) investigated the impact of armed banditry on educational outcomes in rural communities of Katsina State. The study found that persistent insecurity led to school closures, displacement of students, and declining academic performance. This highlights the broader socio-economic consequences of banditry beyond immediate security concerns.

Atiyaye et al. (2025) explored the root causes and effects of banditry in Katsina State, identifying poverty, unemployment, and poor governance as key drivers. The study emphasized that economic deprivation and social marginalization create conditions that facilitate recruitment into bandit groups. It further noted that weak state presence in rural areas contributes to the persistence of criminal activities.

In another study, Abdulyakeen and Aliyu (2025) assessed governance responses to banditry, particularly the use of amnesty and peace agreements. Their findings suggest that while such approaches may reduce violence in the short term, they often lack sustainability when not supported by strong institutional frameworks and development policies.

Momoh et al. (2025) examined governance and security in northern Nigeria and concluded that effective conflict management requires a combination of military action, community engagement, and socio-economic interventions. The study underscored the importance of legitimacy and trust in enhancing the effectiveness of security strategies.

Media-based empirical reports also provide evidence of recent developments in Katsina State. For instance, reports by Guardian Nigeria (2024) and Tribune Online (2025) indicate that the Radda administration has achieved a reduction in banditry incidents through improved security coordination and community-based initiatives. Similarly, New Telegraph Nigeria (2024) highlighted the role of the Community Watch Corps in strengthening local participation in security efforts.

Overall, the empirical literature demonstrates that banditry is a multi-dimensional problem requiring integrated responses. While existing studies provide valuable insights into the causes and impacts of banditry, there remains a gap in state-specific analyses of governance and conflict management strategies. This study addresses this gap by focusing on the Radda administration in Katsina State.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative research design based on content analysis. Data were collected from academic journal articles, Government reports and policy statements, Reputable media sources. The data were analyzed thematically, focusing on governance strategies, conflict management approaches, and their outcomes.

Governance Strategies under the Radda Administration

The administration of Governor Dikko Umar Radda has adopted a multi-dimensional governance approach to addressing the challenge of armed banditry in Katsina State. This approach reflects a deliberate effort to move beyond conventional security responses by integrating institutional reforms, community participation, and strategic policy frameworks aimed at restoring public confidence and enhancing security outcomes.

Strengthened Security Coordination and Institutional Synergy

A central pillar of the Radda administration's strategy is the enhancement of coordination among formal security agencies, including the military, police, and other paramilitary institutions. Recognizing the limitations of fragmented security operations, the government has prioritized intelligence sharing, joint operations, and unified command structures.

This coordinated approach has improved operational efficiency and responsiveness to security threats, contributing to reported reductions in banditry incidents. Furthermore, the administration has sought to reinforce accountability within the security architecture by aligning local security actors with formal

institutional frameworks. This has helped to reduce duplication of efforts and foster a more cohesive security response across affected areas.

Institutionalization of Community-Based Security Initiatives

The establishment of the Katsina Community Watch Corps represents a significant innovation in the state's security governance framework. This initiative institutionalizes the role of local communities in maintaining security by recruiting and training indigenous youths to support formal security agencies.

By leveraging local knowledge and social networks, the program enhances intelligence gathering and early warning mechanisms. It also promotes a sense of ownership and responsibility among community members, thereby strengthening trust between citizens and the state. Importantly, the integration of community actors into formal security structures reflects a participatory governance model that recognizes the limitations of state-centric approaches in addressing localized security challenges.

Policy Framework: Non-Negotiation and Rule of Law

Another defining feature of the Radda administration's governance strategy is its firm policy stance of non-negotiation with bandits at the official level. This approach is intended to discourage criminality by denying armed groups legitimacy and preventing the institutionalization of ransom-based negotiations.

At the same time, the administration has acknowledged the complex realities faced by affected communities, where informal negotiation mechanisms sometimes emerge as survival strategies. While the government maintains its official position, it has indirectly supported community-level conflict de-escalation efforts, particularly those aimed at reducing immediate violence.

This dual approach reflects an attempt to balance the principles of rule of law with pragmatic conflict management considerations. However, it also highlights the need for more structured and institutionalized peace building mechanisms that can bridge the gap between formal policy and local realities.

Governance Reforms and Public Trust Building

Beyond direct security interventions, the Radda administration have emphasized broader governance reforms aimed at restoring public trust and strengthening state legitimacy. These efforts include improving responsiveness to citizens' concerns, enhancing transparency in security-related matters, and promoting inclusive governance practices.

By engaging traditional leaders, community stakeholders, and civil society actors, the government has sought to create platforms for dialogue and collaboration. Such initiatives are essential for building confidence in government institutions and encouraging citizen cooperation in security efforts.

The governance strategies adopted under the Radda administration demonstrate a shift toward a more integrated and participatory approach to security management. By combining enhanced institutional coordination, community engagement, and firm policy direction, the administration has laid a foundation for improved security outcomes. However, the long-term effectiveness of these strategies will depend on their sustainability, institutionalization, and ability to address the underlying socio-economic drivers of banditry.

DISCUSSION

This study set out to examine how governance and conflict management strategies have been deployed to address armed banditry in Katsina State under the administration of Governor Dikko Umar Radda. The findings reveal that the state's approach reflects a shift from a predominantly reactive security model to a more integrated governance framework that combines coercive measures with participatory and preventive strategies. This section interprets these findings in relation to existing theories and empirical literature.

One of the key insights from the study is the central role of governance quality in shaping security outcomes. The observed reduction in banditry incidents, as reported in official and media sources, suggests that improved coordination among security agencies and the alignment of local vigilante groups with formal structures have enhanced operational effectiveness. This aligns with the argument of the World Bank (1992) that effective governance characterized by accountability, coordination, and responsiveness directly influences the capacity of the state to deliver public goods, including security. In this context, the Radda administration's emphasis on inter-agency collaboration can be interpreted as an effort to strengthen institutional coherence, which has historically been a major weakness in Nigeria's security architecture.

Furthermore, the introduction of community-based security initiatives, particularly the Community Watch Corps, reflects an application of participatory governance principles. The involvement of local actors in security provision enhances intelligence gathering and facilitates early detection of threats. This finding is consistent with conflict management literature, which emphasizes the importance of local participation in achieving sustainable peace (Fisher et al., 2000). By leveraging indigenous knowledge and community networks, the government has been able to bridge the gap between formal institutions and grassroots realities. However, the effectiveness of such initiatives depends largely on adequate training, supervision, and integration into formal security frameworks. Without these, there is a risk of abuse, politicization, or fragmentation of authority.

Another significant dimension of the findings relates to the administration's zero-negotiation policy with bandits. This approach represents a deliberate attempt to delegitimize criminal actors and avoid incentivizing violence through ransom payments or political concessions. From a governance perspective, this policy reinforces the rule of law and signals a commitment to state authority. However, the study also reveals a paradox: while the government maintains a non-negotiation stance, some communities continue to engage in informal negotiations with bandits as a survival strategy. This divergence highlights the complexity of conflict management in fragile contexts, where state capacity is uneven and citizens often resort to self-help mechanisms. It also raises important questions about the balance between principled governance and pragmatic conflict resolution.

The persistence of such informal practices underscores a broader issue of state legitimacy and trust. Although the administration has made efforts to improve security, the continued reliance on community-led negotiations suggests that public confidence in formal institutions remains limited in certain areas. This finding supports the argument that legitimacy is a critical component of effective governance. When citizens perceive the state as unable to guarantee their safety, they are more likely to adopt alternative security arrangements, which may undermine long-term stability.

In addition, the study highlights the enduring impact of structural and socio-economic factors on the dynamics of banditry. Despite improvements in security operations, issues such as poverty, unemployment, and underdevelopment continue to drive participation in criminal activities. This finding reinforces the human security perspective, which posits that economic and social vulnerabilities are key determinants of conflict (Izah et al., 2023). The implication is that security interventions, no matter how effective in the short term, cannot achieve lasting results without addressing these underlying drivers. In this regard, the Radda administration's efforts would benefit from deeper integration of economic and social policies into its security strategy.

Moreover, the findings suggest that the current approach, while commendable, remains largely state-centric. Although community participation is encouraged, there is limited evidence of fully institutionalized conflict resolution mechanisms that systematically incorporate non-state actors such as civil society organizations, traditional institutions, and peace building experts. The absence of such structures may limit the sustainability of conflict management efforts, particularly in the face of evolving security threats.

From a comparative perspective, the strategies adopted in Katsina State reflect broader trends in conflict management across northern Nigeria, where hybrid approaches combining military action with community engagement are increasingly being utilized. However, the effectiveness of these approaches varies depending on contextual factors such as geography, political will, and resource availability. Katsina State's experience

demonstrates that while subnational governments can play a proactive role in addressing insecurity, their success is contingent upon support from federal institutions and collaboration with neighboring states.

Importantly, this study contributes to the literature by providing empirical evidence that supports the argument for integrated governance approaches in conflict-prone environments. It shows that neither military force nor dialogue alone is sufficient; rather, a combination of security enforcement, participatory governance, and socio-economic development is required. This integrated model aligns with contemporary conflict management theories that advocate for multi-level and multi-actor interventions.

Nevertheless, the study is not without limitations. The reliance on secondary data means that the findings are dependent on the accuracy and reliability of existing sources. Additionally, the rapidly evolving nature of banditry in northern Nigeria implies that some observations may change over time. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating primary data through fieldwork, interviews, and surveys to provide a more nuanced understanding of local dynamics.

In sum, the discussion highlights that while the Radda administration has made significant strides in improving security through governance and conflict management strategies, the sustainability of these gains depends on addressing deeper structural issues, strengthening institutional frameworks, and fostering greater trust between the state and its citizens. The experience of Katsina State offers valuable lessons for other regions facing similar security challenges, particularly in demonstrating the importance of combining state authority with community engagement in the pursuit of lasting peace.

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the role of governance and conflict management strategies in addressing the persistent challenge of armed banditry in Katsina State under the administration of Governor Dikko Umar Radda. The analysis demonstrates that the adoption of a multi-dimensional approach combining enhanced security coordination, community-based initiatives, and firm policy positions such as the non-negotiation stance has contributed to measurable improvements in the security landscape. These efforts reflect an emerging model of subnational security governance in Nigeria, where state-level leadership plays a critical role in complementing federal security structures.

The findings underscore that good governance remains a central determinant of security outcomes. The principles of accountability, participation, and responsiveness have been partially operationalized through initiatives such as the Community Watch Corps and strengthened collaboration among security actors. These measures have improved intelligence gathering, increased community involvement, and enhanced the overall effectiveness of security interventions. Consequently, there is evidence of reduced banditry incidents, gradual restoration of socio-economic activities, and the return of some displaced populations.

However, the study also reveals that these gains are fragile and unevenly distributed. Structural challenges including widespread poverty, youth unemployment, weak institutional capacity, and limited state presence in rural areas continue to create conditions conducive to the persistence of banditry. The endurance of these underlying drivers highlights the limitations of security-focused interventions when not adequately integrated with long-term development strategies. In this regard, banditry should not be viewed solely as a criminal or security issue but as a complex socio-economic and governance problem requiring holistic solutions.

Furthermore, the reliance on informal and community-led negotiation mechanisms in certain contexts points to a gap in formal conflict management frameworks. While such local initiatives may provide short-term relief, their sustainability and alignment with state policy remain uncertain. This underscores the need for institutionalized peace building mechanisms that can bridge the divide between state authority and community realities, thereby fostering legitimacy and trust.

From a theoretical perspective, the study reinforces the relevance of the human security paradigm, which emphasizes the interconnectedness of economic, social, and political stability in achieving sustainable peace. It

also contributes to the growing body of literature advocating for integrated governance approaches that combine coercive, preventive, and developmental strategies in conflict-prone environments.

In conclusion, while the Radda administration has made commendable progress in mitigating banditry through governance and conflict management strategies, achieving lasting peace in Katsina State will require a sustained and comprehensive approach. This must involve not only strengthening security institutions but also addressing the socio-economic inequalities and governance deficits that fuel insecurity. Ultimately, the success of these efforts will depend on the government's ability to institutionalize reforms, build public trust, and maintain a consistent commitment to inclusive and accountable governance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 Implementation of Comprehensive Youth Employment Programs aimed at addressing youth unemployment is critical to reducing the recruitment base for banditry. The Katsina State Government should design and implement structured employment schemes targeting rural and at-risk youth populations. These programs should include vocational training, agricultural empowerment initiatives, and small-scale enterprise development. Specifically, the government can establish skills acquisition centers across vulnerable local government areas, provide start-up grants and microcredit facilities for young entrepreneurs, promote agro-based industries, such as irrigation farming and livestock production.

Such initiatives will not only reduce economic desperation but also enhance social inclusion and community stability. Empirical studies suggest that gainful employment significantly reduces youth involvement in violent activities (Atiyaye et al., 2025).

8.2 Strengthening Institutional Capacity of Security Agencies: Effective security governance depends on well-equipped and properly trained institutions. The state government should invest in improving the operational capacity of both formal security agencies and community-based security outfits. Key measures include provision of modern surveillance technologies (e.g., drones, communication systems), regular training and retraining programs on intelligence gathering and counter-insurgency, and Improved logistics and welfare packages for security personnel to boost morale.

Additionally, enhancing coordination among the military, police, and local vigilante groups will ensure a unified and efficient response to security threats. Strengthened institutions contribute to accountability and improved service delivery.

8.3 Promotion of Inclusive Governance and Community Participation: Inclusive governance fosters trust and legitimacy, which are essential for sustainable peace. The government should deepen community engagement by integrating traditional rulers, religious leaders, and civil society organizations into decision-making processes. This can be achieved through establishing community security forums for dialogue and feedback, encouraging participatory policy formulation at the local level, and strengthening the role of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms

By giving communities a sense of ownership in governance, the state can enhance cooperation and improve intelligence sharing. Studies show that community participation significantly increases the effectiveness of conflict management strategies (Fisher et al., 2000).

8.4 Expansion of Rural Development Initiatives: Under development in rural areas remains a major driver of insecurity. The government should prioritize rural infrastructure and social services to improve living conditions and reduce vulnerability to banditry. Priority areas include construction and rehabilitation of roads, schools, and healthcare facilities, expansion of access to clean water and electricity, development of agricultural value chains to support farmers, improved rural development will strengthen economic resilience and discourage migration into criminal networks. It will also reinforce state presence in previously neglected areas.

8.5 Enhancement of Regional and Inter-State Security Collaboration: Banditry in northern Nigeria often involves cross-border movements across states and even neighboring countries. Therefore, tackling the problem requires coordinated regional efforts. The government should collaborate with neighboring states such as Zamfara, Kaduna, and Sokoto in joint security operations, share intelligence through inter-state security networks, engage federal authorities and regional bodies to address transnational crime dynamics, regional cooperation will help to dismantle criminal networks and prevent displacement of bandit activities from one state to another.

8.6 Institutionalization of Conflict Resolution and Peace building Mechanisms: Beyond immediate security responses, there is a need for structured and institutionalized conflict resolution frameworks. The government should establish formal peace building structures that complement existing security strategies. These may include creation of Peace and Reconciliation Committees at state and local levels, deployment of trained mediators and conflict resolution experts, integration of early warning and early response systems. Such mechanisms will address grievances, prevent escalation of conflicts, and promote long-term peace building.

8.7 Strengthening Transparency and Accountability in Governance: Transparency and accountability are fundamental to good governance and public trust. The government should ensure that security expenditures and interventions are properly monitored and evaluated. This can be achieved through regular public reporting on security outcomes and expenditures establishment of independent oversight bodies, use of digital platforms for tracking projects and complaints, Accountability mechanisms will reduce corruption, enhance efficiency, and build citizen confidence in government actions.

REFERENCES

1. Abdulyakeen, A., & Aliyu, M. (2025). Peace overture and amnesty for banditry in Katsina State: Governance and community resilience. ADSU International Journal of Politics, Administration and Management.
2. Ademola, A. S., Iyoboyi, M., Veronica, L., & Abdurraheem, A. (2025). Effect of armed banditry on educational outcomes in rural communities of Katsina State. Wah Academia Journal of Social Sciences.
3. Ahmed, S., et al. (2025). Security challenges and rural development in northern Nigeria. Journal of African Development Studies.
4. Atiyaye, D. M., Kaku, M., Kele, A., & Goni, U. M. (2025). Unveiling the roots and ripple effects of banditry in Katsina State. Kashere Journal of Politics and International Relations.
5. Fisher, S., Abdi, D. I., Ludin, J., Smith, R., Williams, S., & Williams, S. (2000). Working with conflict: Skills and strategies for action. Zed Books.
6. Guardian Nigeria. (2024). We've reduced banditry by 70% – Radda.
7. Guardian Nigeria. (2025). Katsina government not part of communities' negotiation with bandits.
8. Izah, P. P., Nzeako, U. S., Garba, D., & Gyong, Y. E. (2023). Interrogating state response in the management of banditry activities in Nigeria. University of Nigeria Journal of Political Economy.
9. Momoh, A., et al. (2025). Governance and security in northern Nigeria. African Security Review.
10. New Telegraph Nigeria. (2024). Radda empowers Katsina communities to counter bandit attacks.
11. Tribune Online. (2025). My administration has reduced banditry by 70% in Katsina—Gov Radda.
12. World Bank. (1992). Governance and development. World Bank Publications.