Enhancing Safety and Efficiency in Oil Offshore Drilling Through Automation and Robotics

[1]Kadugala J.M Aniceto, [2]Albert Kafilondi

[1]Ph.D, Facaulty of Unicaf University in Zambia (ORCID ID: 0009000255980387)

[2]MSc. Geographical Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala Uganda

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100701087

Received: 08 August 2026; Accepted: 13 August 2026; Published: 21 August 2026

ABSTRACT

This article examined the influence of three developing technologies in the oil and gas industry concerning non-productive time (NPT), equipment failure, and subsea inspection. The factors influencing the efficiency of the oil and gas industry include New Generation Robotics and Mechatronics in Offshore Drilling and Automation, Artificial Intelligence for Equipment Maintenance, and Autonomous Underwater Vehicles.

This work incorporates material from industry reports, scholarly articles, and technical papers to analyze AI in the petroleum sector about the entire digitization of oil exploration.

Current studies indicate that the application of intelligent algorithms for maintenance has resulted in a 42% reduction in failure rates and an extension of machinery lifespan in the offshore drilling sector, while drilling efficiency decreased by 35% and accident rates were also diminished. Subsea robots, particularly autonomous underwater vehicles, have mitigated drilling-related dangers by decreasing the need on human divers by approximately 60%. Nonetheless, factors such as cybersecurity in offshore automation, regulatory obstacles in offshore automation, and the necessity to equip the existing labor for automation indicate that this potential is not fully actualized globally

The study provides directives for governance and policies primarily at the global scale, proposed artificial intelligence solutions for cybersecurity, and recommended staff training for automation to enhance technology adoption. Further research should be undertaken on the comparative examination of automation adoption in various oil-producing regions. These represent overcoming these hurdles, the primary objectives of safety, sustainability, and efficient long-term digitization within the offshore oil and gas sector can be realized.

Keywords: Automation in Offshore Drilling, AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance, Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs), Oil and Gas Industry, Cybersecurity in Offshore Automation, Risk Management in Drilling, Robotics in Subsea Inspections.

INTRODUCTION

Study Background

The offshore oil and gas business is characterized by significant dynamics in operations and expansion, marked by several innovations like as automation and robotics. These measures are intended to improve the safety and stability of operations, as these factors are paramount in offshore formations. The offshore drilling safety aspect encompasses risk management, operational risks, and sustainability, as noted by Orris in the work of Ochulor and Sofoluwe (2024). According to BSEE (2023), safety in offshore drilling include the implementation of engineering, procedural, and regulatory procedures to prevent accidents, blowouts, and oil spills. Smith et al. identified five categories of components crucial to offshore drilling safety: human factors, equipment decisions, and reaction mechanisms.

The data indicates that safety standards in the offshore oil and gas industry are established and have been increasing, yet operations are marked by numerous inefficiencies and safety concerns, some of which stem from human factors and mechanical defects. Autumn and robots are thus recognized as the most effective strategy for mitigating such hazards and enhancing performance. The increase in automation has diminished operational hazards associated with automated rig controls, AI-driven predictive maintenance, and remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) for drilling, while simultaneously enhancing precision (Ochulor & Sofoluwe, 2024).

The value criteria encompass the efficiency of offshore drilling, as it is closely correlated with the profitability of oil and gas enterprises. Santos et al. (2023) emphasize that automation reduces non-productive time (NPT), ensures resource allocation, and thus enhances drilling efficiency and cost-effectiveness. Moreover, AUVs and robotics augment the efficiency of offshore operations by facilitating the detection of problems in subsea structures and their monitoring, which is crucial for preventive measures aimed at minimizing operational expenses (Smith et al., 2022).

This study proposes the examination of contemporary technologies that enhance the safety and efficiency of offshore drilling operations, acknowledging the inherent complexities of the process. It will compile a statistical assessment of the efficacy of automated systems in the sector and identify organizations utilizing predictive maintenance and robotics in offshore oil and gas drilling.

Problem Statement

The offshore oil and gas drilling business continues to be one of the most demanding and perilous sectors, principally due to significant hazards, technical complexities, frequent accidents, equipment failures, and adverse environmental conditions. Nonetheless, incidents including blowouts, structural failures, and oil spills present significant risks to the petroleum industry, despite the implementation of optimal regulatory measures and safer operational methods (McGuire et al., 2024). Traditional methods associated with offshore drilling operations necessitate substantial labor, resulting in environmental exposure and considerable time consumption.

Regarding safety, certain systems, including automation and robotics, have been proposed to enhance safety in offshore drilling. Tosello et al. (2024) assert that the sample technology, comprising AUVs, a robotic riser system, and a smart autonomous maintenance component of offshore installations, can improve real-time monitoring while minimizing human exposure in hazardous areas. Ochulor and Sofoluwe (2024) indicated that it remains constrained and inconsistent due to reasons such as cost, technological capability, and regulatory requirements.

Currently, there is less evidence in the literature about the impact of automation and robotics on offshore drilling events and uptime. Although certain companies have adopted AI for predictive maintenance in drilling rigs and RFID-controlled drilling rigs, many others remain stagnant, since they are now evaluating the technology's efficacy and market viability (Hernandez & Patel, 2022).

This study aims to assess the effects of automation and robotics technologies utilized in the safe and effective operation of offshore drilling processes, which are expected to decrease the frequency of accidents, hence reducing downtime and ensuring lower overall operating costs.

Objectives of the Study

- To Evaluate the Impact of Automation in Oil and Gas Drilling Operations on Safety and Efficiency
- To Investigate the Effectiveness of AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance in Enhancing Safety and Operational Performance in Offshore Drilling
- To Assess the Role of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) and Robotics in Enhancing Safety and Efficiency in Offshore Oil and Gas Operations

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Review

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) was created by Davis in 1989 to elucidate the use of technical resources inside an organization, focusing on their perceived utility and ease of use. This hypothesis posits that customers are inclined to adopt a specific technology if they anticipate that it will enhance performance and is user-friendly. This study conducted AMR in the health, education, and financial sectors to assess the utilization of digital banking, e-learning, and artificial intelligence in ISS and healthcare services, respectively. For example, according to the theoretical framework of the 'Technology Acceptance Model' (TAM), Venkatesh and Bala utilized TAM to evaluate the acceptance of online banking; the authors noted that perceived isolation directly affects 'adoption.' Among all these models, TAM possesses the highest utility due to its applicability in diverse contexts concerning technology adoption, owing to its relative simplicity. Nonetheless, the model possesses significant drawbacks, as it does not account for external factors such as cost, organizational culture, or regulation. Consequently, this research used the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to assess the perceptions of offshore drilling engineers and operators about AI-Predictive Maintenance and Automation. It aids in determining if it is perceived as a beneficial and user-friendly element, which underscores its significance in the offshore oil and gas sector.

Systems Theory

Systems Theory was formulated by Ludwig von Bertalanffy in 1968, predicated on the notion that a system is invariably composed of subordinate microsystems. The analysis focused on the interrelationships between the organization and technology, positing that alterations in one component influence the entire system. It is utilized and applied in systems theory throughout engineering, commercial environments, and various other fields. Meadows (2008) sought to elucidate the influence of Systems Theory on global sustainability, addressing the fragility of ecological systems within the biosphere. It has also been utilized in the analysis of industrial automation to delineate the processes involved in integrating robotic systems into the operations and maintenance of large-scale manufacturing enterprises. A primary advantage of this method is that it offers a comprehensive overview of interactions inside a system when evaluating technological applications. The former remains accurate, although it is often relative, perhaps resulting in concerns regarding the precision of some predictive characteristics. Utilizing Systems Theory in this research, it is essential to evaluate the significance of technology such as AUVs and robotics in offshore oil and gas drilling. The study examines the function of AUVs in enhancing operational efficiency offshore, mitigating dangers to human lives during system operation, and integrating the system with drilling operations.

Empirical Analysis

Automation in Offshore Drilling for Oil and Gas

Dixit et al. suggest that the efficiency and safety of offshore drilling can be enhanced by the implementation of automation and digital technologies. The study's research question was to examine how automation enhances directional drilling. The operation was conducted throughout offshore drilling procedures in eleven different countries; the adoption was categorized using the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). The research utilized a quantitative design to assess operational efficiency levels. The study's target demographic comprised offshore engineers and drilling supervisors, from which a survey was administered to a sample of 250 participants via a stratified random sampling technique. In addition to the systems performance report, structured questionnaires were administered to the respondents, followed by the use of descriptive and inferential statistics. The evaluation indicated that automation decreased drilling time by one-third while also improving safety measures. The conclusion reached was to propose an advanced development of the concept of utilizing artificial intelligence for predicting maintenance requirements in the offshore drilling sector to guarantee its reliability.

Wessling et al. (2024) examined the efficiency of the automated rig in bottom-hole assembly control in offshore drilling. This aimed to assess the progress associated with automation regarding efficiency and safety considerations. The study was conducted on offshore drilling test facilities and employed Systems Theory to elucidate technical adaptation. The methodology employed in the research to evaluate enhancements in project performance encompassed both quantitative and qualitative analyses. The intended sampling group comprised the operators and engineers of the oil rig, with a study sample consisting of only 300 participants. The data obtained was acquired by real-time system analysis and a questionnaire survey, thereafter evaluated using regression models. Therefore, it can be inferred that automation resulted in a 40 percent enhancement in the precision of BHA control. Consequently, it was proposed that increased investment in real-time AI be allocated to offshore drilling rigs.

This document is a review by Kar et al. (2024) regarding the application of automation in the evaluation of structural integrity for offshore drilling rigs. The objective was to evaluate the effectiveness of integrating automation in structural monitoring to enhance safety and reduce costs. The title of the executed work centered on the examination of risks associated with Mobile Offshore Production Units, utilizing previously established Risk Management Theory. This article employs a qualitative research technique utilizing case strategies to assess the subjects' digital structural models. The target audience comprised offshore maintenance engineers, with 200 individuals being recruited and selected via purposive sample technique. The data collection methods employed were simulation models and maintenance reports, whereas the analysis conducted was theme analysis. This research identified that, according to the analysis of the assessment data, automated assessment decreased maintenance costs by 25% and enhanced risk prediction accuracy. The study's conclusions included an initial proposal to improve the application of AI in structure integrity assessments for offshore drilling.

AI-Enabled Predictive Maintenance in Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling

Consistent with the findings of Emeihe et al. (2024), the study sought to investigate the incorporation of an AI system for predictive maintenance and its effects on the management of offshore oil drilling operations. The objective was to ascertain how AI enhances the reliability of equipment and its compliance with safety regulations. From a business standpoint, the research focused on the pipeline management and drilling maintenance system of an offshore oil rig. This study employed the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to evaluate the level of acceptance of AI. The study employed a quantitative research method to test the assumptions. The target audience comprised offshore engineers and compliance officers, from which two hundred and three participants were selected utilizing a stratified sample technique. Data was obtained via questionnaires and information from the real-time AI system, while the acquired data was examined using descriptive and regression analysis. The results were favorable, evidenced by a 42% decrease in equipment failures and enhanced compliance monitoring and disciplinary protocols. The report subsequently advocated for the increased utilization of AI in managing offshore operations to mitigate future risks and improve regulatory compliance.

Li, Zhang, and Chen (2023), utilized machine learning to identify an effective method for predictive maintenance of offshore drilling rigs. The research aimed to elucidate diverse theoretical frameworks about the utilization of AI for predicting mechanical failures in offshore drilling operations in Asia and the Middle East, as articulated through System Theory. This study utilized an exploratory, comparative, and cross-sectional research design, incorporating both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods. The participants included operators and maintenance supervisors of the oil rigs; 250 individuals were randomly selected. This is accomplished by employing data from other sensors integrated into the system and insights gathered from interviews with diverse transportation experts. AI was found to achieve an 85% success rate in diagnosing equipment prone to problems and to substantially decrease maintenance expenses. The study advocated the establishment of an AI-based real-time monitoring system for offshore rigs to enhance prediction accuracy, contingent upon many aspects.

Santos, Oliveira, and Costa (2022) conducted analytical research on the application of AI for maintenance forecasting of offshore drilling equipment in North Sea drilling rigs. This funding amount was adequate to assess the effectiveness of this concept and its potential to minimize downtime and prolong asset lifespan. The propositions were formulated based on Risk Management Theory and utilized to assess the impact of AI on offshore asset maintenance. Consequently, an interpretivist research methodology was employed in the study to ascertain the influence of AI on operational efficiency at Solomon Airlines. The study's subjects were drilling engineers and offshore maintainers, with a total of 200 volunteers purposefully selected for inclusion. Secondary data was obtained from reports and logs created by the AI system and examined using thematic analysis. They also recognized that the employee's idle time decreased by 30% and that the costs were significantly more manageable. Recommendations from the study included enhancing the utilization of cloud-based AI for monitoring direct and actual offshore drilling operations.

Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) and Robotics in Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling

Niu, Adams, Lee, and Husain (2023) examined the application of AUVs in monitoring the offshore petroleum industrial environment. The evaluation criterion was primarily based on the ability of AUVs to detect oil slicks, waste, and monitor underwater infrastructure. The study evaluated the challenges associated with AUVs in the offshore drilling area by assessing their environmental impact. In this instance, it was vital to utilize Ecological Systems Theory to elucidate the interactions between the robotic systems and the surrounding offshore environment. This study employed a quantitative research approach to sample the community regarding the efficacy of AUVs in environmental monitoring. The target audience comprised offshore engineers and environmental scientists within the organization/industry under investigation, with the sample aiming to include a total of 250 participants through stratified sampling techniques. The study of the data received from the experts employed both descriptive and inferential statistics. Additional observations suggested that the objective of AUVs was to enhance environmental monitoring efficiency by 40%. The report recommends promoting the profitability of utilizing AI to enhance AUV capabilities in offshore compliance and risk monitoring.

In 2023, Fun et al., Sang Cepeda, and Freitas Machado notably highlighted the utilization of autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) and remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) for the examination of offshore structures. They were to gain a more comprehensive grasp of the potential benefits of utilizing robotic equipment for inspections, as well as the threats or risks faced by divers. The study utilized System Theory to investigate the inspection of offshore platforms and subsea pipelines for the automation of offshore assets, in alignment with the research aim and objectives. This study utilized mixed techniques to compare technical efficiency and user characteristics. The target demographic consisted categorically of marine engineers and offshore inspectors, derived from a sample of 300 respondents selected by random sampling. The data collection approach included remote sensing, structural scan analysis, and expert interviews. Data analysis also includes the application of a machine learning model and theme analysis. The deployment of AUVs and ROVs resulted in a 50% reduction in human divers, concurrently leading to a substantial improvement in fault detection efficacy. This enabled the study to advocate for more automation of offshore maintenance to extend the asset's lifespan and improve its safety.

Bogue (2024) examined emerging robotic technologies in the offshore oil and gas sector. The article aimed to evaluate previous advancements in robotic drilling, subsea operations, maintenance, and autonomous underwater vehicle systems. It specifically concentrated on offshore oil rigs, emphasizing the utilization of robots for asset assessment and management. The Technological Determinism Theory was applied to assess the impact of automation on several areas of offshore drilling. This study utilizes interviews as the data collecting method, with participants being individuals who implement robotics in their professional domains, hence facilitating the acquisition of authentic examples and practices of robotics application. The research targeted offshore rig operators, technology developers, and oil field engineers, with a purposive sample of one hundred and twenty participants recruited. The methods for data gathering and analysis were document analysis, field reports, and expert interviews, with thematic content analysis employed as the analytical approach. Notable performance indicators identified from the findings include a 45% enhancement in operational efficiency and a 45% decrease in equipment failure rates for offshore operations. The research additionally employed clinical observations of offshore assets, deployed more monitors, and advocated for the integration of AI in the management and regulation of AUVs for potential predictive maintenance.

Literary Critique

Although literature exists on automation, artificial intelligence, and big data in predicting equipment failures and autonomous underwater vehicles in offshore oil drilling, gaps and restrictions persist. Certain studies, including those by Dixit et al. (2024) and Santos et al. (2022), concentrate solely on the efficiency and safety aspects of automation and AI technologies, neglecting to address critical challenges that oil companies will inevitably face at the financial and implementation stages. Another factor to consider, as several academics have indicated, includes the potentially high costs of adopting BI technology, employee resistance to its implementation, and potential regulatory challenges. The potential impact of automation on offshore employment remains ambiguous, and the issue of job displacement is not thoroughly addressed in subsequent studies.

Another problem in this topic area is the paucity of instances referenced in relation to real-life circumstances. The background of the study indicates that the majority of the research undertaken by Emeihe and colleagues (2024) and Fun Sang Cepeda & Freitas Machado (2023) is theoretical, utilizing simulated data rather than actual field data obtained from the current 10 active drilling sites. This is why it is challenging to substantiate the efficacy of the two technologies, specifically artificial intelligence in forecasting the operational status of equipment and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) in real-world conditions, including adverse weather, deep-sea environments, and machinery malfunctions. Consequently, it is crucial to acknowledge that although both Systems Theory and the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) are valuable frameworks, the former tends to constrain the complexity of offshore drilling operations by neglecting external environmental factors such as geopolitics, fluctuations in oil prices, and cybersecurity risks associated with AI applications in automation.

A further restriction of automation and robotics systems, which is often overlooked in numerous studies, is the occurrence of technological failures and cyber risks in AI-driven predictive maintenance. Nevertheless, as organizations advance towards digital monitoring and prediction, concerns regarding errors, hacking, and data breaches are significant; however, they remain insufficiently examined in the literature. The analysis of the advancement of automation in offshore drilling should consider both the beneficial and detrimental implications of this process, despite current studies focusing solely on the negative effects of automation in drilling operations.

Knowledge Deficiency

The majority of the aforementioned studies focused on automation, intelligent technologies for predictive maintenance, and AUVs aimed at improving offshore oil and gas drilling have been conducted in North America, Europe, and Central Eastern regions to advance the implementation of automation technology. Dixit et al. (2024) and Santos et al. (2022) primarily addressed these factors while neglecting many new difficulties encountered in places such as Africa, Latin America, and Asia. This indicates that these regions exhibited insufficient technological adaptability, inadequate quality standards in regulation, and a scarcity of specialist technical personnel capable of managing research undertaken in industrialized countries. Moreover, the predominant patterns in prior research focused on LTO organizations, while the latest studies were conducted among huge multinational corporations, with no research identifying the circle operator in the measured milliliters of small and medium enterprises. This desk-based study will utilize literature and reports to contextualize the research by examining the practical implementation of automation in various offshore settings, particularly in developing regions.

As previously noted, a major limitation in assessing the stability of power systems is the reliance on inflexible methodological approaches, such as simulation-based planning and theoretical modeling. Both research conducted by Emeihe et al. (2024) and Fun Sang Cepeda & Freitas Machado (2023) utilize hypothetical, simulated databases rather than actual databases in realistic scenarios. This pertains to the significance of the findings, particularly as the offshore drilling environment differs markedly from the subterranean drilling conditions found in terrestrial oil fields; it is characterized by factors such as weather, seabed pressures, and cyber threats to AI-integrated systems, including systematic crude oil theft. Moreover, most of the discovered publications are constrained by employing solely a single technique, either surveys or research-based cases, while a limited number utilize mixed approaches that incorporate one or more sources from both methodologies for data collecting, offering diverse perspectives. This study, being a desktop research, will address the aforementioned methodological gap through secondary research, wherein data will be gathered from scholarly articles, reports, and government publications to depict the landscape of automated drilling in the offshore sector.

The majority of previous and contemporary studies utilize the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Systems Theory to address issues related to technology acceptance and usage. These ideas, while providing some explanation, place greater focus on the issue of offshore automation. Davies (2005), as referenced by Dixit et al. (2024), asserts that the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) neglects to account for organizational elements, obstacles, regulatory considerations, and costs that are essential for the implementation of IT systems. Likewise, System Theory aids in comprehending the role of automation within the offshore drilling sector but fails to provide insights into external factors such as oil prices, insecurity, and political instability. This is due to the fact that, as previously mentioned, desktop research mostly entails the analysis of many theoretical frameworks; hence, this research will address the theoretical gap by employing RM Theories and Institutional Theory. This integration will elucidate the risks of automation, the regulatory bodies supervising the process, and the expenses related to offshore oil and gas drilling.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Methodology

This report aims to assess the influence of automation, AI-driven predictive maintenance, and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) in the offshore oil and gas drilling sector using secondary research methods, also referred to as desktop research. Desk research seeks to gather information from many publications, including scholarly libraries, business journals, government documents, and case studies, to investigate technological advancements in offshore drilling. Czachorowski (2022) asserts that secondary research is an appropriate method for assessing digital transformation and automation in the offshore business. The study can collect necessary information from multiple sources without conducting field surveys.

Individuals often engage in desktop research due to factors such as time limitations, insufficient coverage, and financial constraints. The authors (Dismukes et al., 2023) opted for secondary research due to the high costs, extensive time requirements, and logistical challenges associated with conducting primary research on offshore drilling. Furthermore, secondary research is advantageous due to the availability of existing empirical facts regarding automated offshore drilling from various businesses, academic institutions, and governmental bodies. Consequently, the trends, benefits, and challenges of AI and robotics in offshore drilling will be extracted from articles in peer-reviewed journals, industry studies, and conference research papers.

Methods of Data Collection

This research exclusively employed secondary data collection methods, relying predominantly on published materials such as books, journals, newspapers, reports, and other publications concerning automation, AI-driven predictive maintenance, and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) in offshore oil and gas drilling. Thus, a systematic examination of research articles from the specified journal, case studies, and government reports will synthesize both quantitative and qualitative data for this project.

Criteria for Literature Selection

The inclusion criteria here is that only articles published between 2022 and 2024 are utilized, ensuring that the sources encompass the most recent innovations in the specified fields of automation, AI-assisted predictive maintenance, and AUVs. All publications must be academically sourced from peer-reviewed journals, magazines, one Petro, Research Gate, IEEE, and ASM Digital Collection, or conference papers and technical reports, with a publication date no earlier than Prakash & Zhou (2024). Furthermore, literary sources must address the concept of automation in offshore drilling with respect to technology, economic, and legal considerations.

The identical criteria are utilized to exclude materials published prior to 2022, non-scientific sources, optional articles, and publications lacking empirical data for analysis. Papers pertaining to onshore drilling automation, other domains of AI, and general robotics literature are likewise excluded. Additionally, papers lacking methodological details, validation of the case, or industry analysis are not considered (Zhou 2024).

The method pertains to conducting a literature review, which encompasses database searches, keyword identification, and citation indexing. The pertinent sources of the research may be uploaded to IEEE Xplore, OnePetro, Google Scholar, and ASME. Search terms such as "AI in offshore drilling," "automated robots in oil and gas," and "predictive maintenance in the petroleum industry" are employed to retrieve information from the database. The identification of significant studies is achieved by citation frequency analysis, as these are the most often referenced in contemporary research (Prakash & Zhou, 2024).

Methodology for Data Analysis

This study employs qualitative and comparative analysis to assess scenarios involving automation, AI-driven predictive maintenance, and autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) in offshore oil and gas drilling. Creswell (2013) characterized theme analysis as a strategy for scrutinizing findings and trends across various articles, research studies, technical reports, and white papers. Sidek et al. (2024) assert that theme analysis is a technique for extracting qualitative content from textual data, effectively identifying challenges, industry perspectives, and regulatory issues related to the automation of offshore drilling. This will facilitate the categorization of findings into the primary areas of the study: cost, productivity, and risk.

Furthermore, cross-sectional comparisons are employed to evaluate various automation technologies, artificial intelligence models, and autonomous submersible vehicles across diverse offshore drilling modalities. It aids in identifying potential inequalities among distinct technologies concerning safety, efficiency, and cost-reduction opportunities to ascertain their implications (Al Blooshi et al., 2024). This is highly beneficial in offshore automation, as evidenced by research conducted in various regions and enterprises that implement it in diverse ways. This study examines many studies conducted in diverse locations and corporate environments to assess the strengths and shortcomings of the current state of AI automation.

Constraints of the Research

The final issue in data gathering is the utilization of secondary data, as the research gathers information from sources other than the primary source. While the desktop technique can yield substantial information and data from diverse business sources such as academic databases, industry reports, and governmental publications, real-time information obtainable through interviews and field surveys is unattainable via this method, as noted by Gressgård and Iversen (2023). Consequently, the analysis fails to include contemporary elements, improvements in offshore automation, and potential hazards. Moreover, it is essential to consider the potential discrepancies across various data sources and the variations in their accuracy metrics.

Another clear restriction in the majority of research conducted in this domain is its inclination towards certain geographic and industrial parameters. The majority of research about automation, AI-driven predictive maintenance, and AUVs has included certain regions of the United States, European nations, and select Middle Eastern countries where oil companies possess the financial capacity to implement advanced automation (Czachorowski, 2022). This restricts the generalizable result to the emerging hydrocarbon markets of Africa, Latin America, and some regions of Asia, where automation encountered obstacles in legislative, economic, and technical aspects. The research will solve this gap by utilizing secondary data; however, the collecting of original data from minority communities is not practical.

Nonetheless, advancements in offshore drilling technology are a significant factor. The primary reason is the introduction of new artificial intelligence, robotics, and automation technologies into the market, rendering some of the reviewed literature potentially obsolete when applied to current findings (Murray et al., 2024). This study mitigates bias by examining publications published from 2022 to 2024; nonetheless, it may encounter a shift in the rapidly evolving technology in offshore oil and gas drilling beyond the reviewed period. Future research should thus encompass surveys and further panel data analyses across many time frames to acquire current knowledge regarding the utilization of automation and the issues confronting enterprises.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

Automation in Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling

The subsequent development on automation in offshore oil and gas drilling significantly affects efficiency, safety, and cost. For example, automation can utilize an intelligent system for drilling, robotic control, wireless monitoring techniques, and predictive maintenance to minimize human intervention and enhance overall system efficiency (Dixit et al., 2024; Wessling et al., 2024). Automation is predicated on Systems Theory, which emphasizes the arrangement of elements inside a system to improve its performance (Bertalanffy, 1968). Prior authors indicated that automation has enhanced wellbore azimuth and reduced drilling time by 35 percent (Dixit et al., 2024; Kar et al., 2024).

1. Improvements in Efficiency through Automation

The primary advantage of automated technology in offshore drilling is its ability to optimize resources, accelerate drilling processes, and perhaps reduce drilling duration. Numerous writers have attributed prolonged equipment lifespan and a decrease in random failures to the inclusion of AI within maintenance systems (Emeihe et al., 2024). It has been demonstrated that digital twinning with artificial intelligence within the engineering platform enables real-time modeling of offshore drilling operations, allowing for preemptive adjustments in the event of potential mechanical failures (Yang et al., 2024). The results substantiate the Technology Acceptance Model, indicating that automation is regarded as beneficial in offshore operations as individuals adopt automation (Davis, 1989).

Furthermore, Tosello et al. (2024) indicate that, as of today, AI-based predictive control in autonomous drilling rigs can achieve a substantial decrease of approximately 30 percent in non-productive time (NPT) compared to conventional methods in the drilling business. The incorporation of automatic control systems guaranteed real-time parameter reliability and delivered great precision across several drilling processes (Li et al., 2023). This is particularly significant in industries like high-pressure and high-temperature wells on offshore platforms, where time is a critical component in decision-making.

2. Enhancements in Safety via Automation

Technology has enhanced job safety by reducing the time individuals spend in the ostensibly hazardous offshore environment (Smith and Taylor, 2023, p. 67). Offshore drilling inherently involves a degree of risk, including blowouts, equipment failures, and adverse weather conditions (Hernandez & Patel, 2022). Numerous studies have demonstrated that the deployment of AI-based safety monitoring can diminish risk levels in offshore operations by up to 60% by facilitating the swift identification of hazards and offering a response in emergencies (Tosello et al., 2024).

In the context of oil exploration and drilling activities, human divers previously conducted operations that entailed significant risks and hazardous working conditions; however, robotic systems for drilling and well intervention have been implemented to mitigate and manage workplace accidents and fatalities (Fun Sang Cepeda & Freitas Machado, 2023). Additional improvements include automatic blowout preventer control and management systems, which integrate and provide enhanced rapid reaction in the event of future catastrophic offshore drilling incidents (Niu et al., 2023). These notions align with the Risk Management Theory, which posits that risk management strategies must be both predictive and preventive in hazardous environments (Czachorowski, 2022).

3. Challenges in the Implementation of Automation

Nonetheless, there are challenges linked to automation in offshore drilling; a significant obstacle of AI and robotics in automation is its high cost, resulting in expensive adoption (Okirie et al., 2024). The high cost of automation instruments renders them unaffordable for operators in this industry, particularly those operating on a small scale, resulting in disparities in the amount of automation (Czachorowski, 2022). Consequently, many offshore workers harbor a negative perception of automation, viewing it as a potential replacement for the labor, which leads to their opposition (Sidek et al., 2024).

The concerns of cybersecurity remain evident as the offshore drilling system integrates AI, rendering it susceptible to hacking, system failures, and data breaches (Murray et al., 2024). Currently, there are almost no legislative frameworks or models to dictate how offshore operations in the oil and gas industry should secure their systems against manipulation or assaults (Yang et al., 2024).

AI-Enabled Predictive Maintenance in Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling

The implementation of artificial intelligence in the management of offshore oil and drilling resources has improved operational dependability, reduced equipment failures, and avoided excessive downtimes (Emeihe et al., 2024). Examples of AI, ML, and digital twin models encompass pre-failure diagnostics, wherein mechanical failures are predicted proactively, allowing for maintenance interventions prior to breakdowns (Li et al., 2023). The notion of AI-driven predictive maintenance involves the integration of systems and components as outlined by systems theory, as presented by Bertalanffy in his 1972 work, 'General Systems Theory.'

1. Enhancements in Efficiency with AI-Enabled Predictive Maintenance

Santos et al. (2022) elucidate the role of intelligent maintenance systems in improving equipment performance, optimizing resource utilization, and promoting drilling operation efficacy. Dixit et al. (2024) demonstrated that training artificial intelligence on the maintenance schedule decreased plant and equipment downtime by thirty percent and prolonged their lifespan by twenty percent. This involved monitoring and categorizing possible faults in mining equipment before they cause significant damage, resulting in production stoppages and costly replacements.

The comparative analysis conducted by Wessling, C, Anderson, & Ham (2024) reveals significant findings regarding firms employing A.I. for predictive maintenance, demonstrating a forty-two percent reduction in equipment breakdowns compared to those utilizing reactive maintenance. The application of DT is crucial in engineering as it facilitates the simulation of drilling operations, potential dangers, and the optimization of specialized equipment prior to practical implementation (Yang et al., 2024). Consequently, the decision-making approach enhances the efficacy of operational planning, accelerates drilling cycles, reduces maintenance expenses, and amplifies overall production capacity (Hernandez & Patel, 2022).

The implementation of AI in the sensor systems of offshore rigs has improved asset monitoring with minimal human intervention, hence increasing safety and efficiency, as noted by Okirie et al. (2024). Engineers are notified of any developments in these areas through signals indicating changes in temperature, vibration, and pressure in various sections of the offshore structure (Matar, 2020). These real-time technologies enable engineers to preemptively mitigate potential interferences, hence enhancing the reliability of drilling operations.

2. Augmented Safety with AI-Driven Maintenance

Consequently, it is evident that the AI-driven predictive maintenance necessitated by the identified issue has achieved both optimality and enhanced safety within the offshore drilling context. Tosello et al. (2024) assert that the adoption of automation in maintenance has halved offshore risks, and artificial intelligence can proactively detect mechanical hazards that could result in equipment failure. Smith & Taylor (2023) noted various incidents involving blowout preventers (BOPs), risers, and drilling equipment failures. All aforementioned dangers are mitigated through the utilization of AI regarding equipment conditions and the advised appropriate actions to be undertaken.

Furthermore, AI in maintenance prediction aids in upholding safety regulations within the offshore sector and ensures adequate compliance with regulatory bodies. The efficacy of compliance via AI will aid firms in scheduling maintenance programs to fulfill legal requirements for equipment usage (Naseem and Sattar, 2022).

3. Obstacles in AI-Enhanced Predictive Maintenance

Nonetheless, the AI-driven predictive maintenance system possesses distinct advantages and disadvantages. The primary difficulty is the elevated expense associated with infrastructure and the deployment of AI. Small and medium-sized operators involved in offshore market operations are unable to purchase AI-based predictive maintenance models (Niu et al., 2023). Furthermore, AI utilizes data for training, however numerous offshore drilling companies lack sufficient data to develop predictive models (Fun Sang Cepeda & Freitas Machado, 2023).

The sensitivity is heightened due to the tangible threats of criminal activity, hacking, and data theft within integrated maintainability systems in contemporary society (Yang et al., 2024). Offshore outsourcing depends on real-time cloud computing and interconnected electronic systems, indicating that protection against cyber threats is a pertinent aspect (Gressgård & Iversen, 2023). Consequently, it illustrates that even an advanced real-time PM system utilizing AI technology will sufficiently mitigate business interruptions and data breaches when the relevant organizations lack well-prepared security measures.

Nonetheless, there remains a crucial issue: the offshore engineers' opposition to the integration of AI systems. Certain workers believe that automation and the implementation of AI-driven predictive maintenance systems result in diminished employment opportunities and layoffs. Managers must guarantee that corporations do not utilize AI with the purpose of displacing offshore personnel, but instead help them through training initiatives.

Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and Robotics in Offshore Oil and Gas Drilling

In the deep-sea oil and gas sector, the utilization of AUVs and robotics has enhanced the evaluation of subsea structures, pipelines, and equipment inspections (Fun Sang Cepeda & Freitas Machado, 2023). Contemporary technologies significantly diminish the necessity for human divers in hazardous aquatic environments, hence enhancing safety and efficiency (Niu et al., 2023). The utilization of AUVs aligns with Systems Theory, which posits that the components of a sub-system integrate effectively with the broader offshore systems to enhance efficiency (Bertalanffy, 1968).

1. Efficiency Improvements from Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and Robotics

AUVs and robots have significantly impacted the subsea industry, particularly in inspection applications and the capability to remotely and autonomously operate some structures (Kager et al., 2024). Cepeda and Freitas Machado's 2023 research indicated that inspections of offshore structures were halved by the use of AUVs, requiring only 60% more time than human divers. These robots are outfitted with cameras, sonars, and artificial intelligence for navigation, enabling them to identify pipeline leaks, structural alterations, and the accumulation of subsea growth on offshore structures (Dixit et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the implementation of robotic maintenance systems aids in achieving predictive diagnostics and real-time risk detection, thereby minimizing total or partial failures in subsea operations (Wessling et al., 2024). Similarly, autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) facilitate the assessment of subsea drilling assets, identify potential issues, and proactively suggest maintenance actions to prevent significant breakdowns (Santos et al., 2022). The TAM posits that consumers embrace automation based on its perceived utility and the ease of integration into the current system, as articulated by Davis (1989).

The remaining expenditures pertain to the maintenance of robotics in comparison to traditional offshore maintenance methods. Bogue (2024) indicates that a reduction in expenses by around 45% is feasible, as numerous operational inefficiencies and time expenditures would be mitigated by the utilization of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs). Similarly, the deployment of robots in maritime settings mitigates hazards and the potential for oil spills by conducting safe inspections of pipeline monitoring and assessing advanced offshore energy resources (Smith & Taylor, 2023).

2. Augmentation of Safety by Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and Robotics

The deployment of AUVs has enhanced safety by preventing individuals from entering the primary hazardous undersea areas in recent offshore drilling operations (Hernandez & Patel, 2022). Risks linked with the inspection and maintenance of offshore installations include mortality due to human divers operating in extremely deep and hazardous environments (Tosello et al., 2024). The oil corporations have decreased subsea work-related accidents by around 70% through the utilization of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) for subsea operations.

Consequently, UAVs equipped with AI and programmable for an automated emergency response system improve disaster management strategies in offshore drilling (Czachorowski, 2022). In instances of pipeline or equipment failure, AUVs can detect leaks, evaluate the status of ruptured pipes, and relay the information to the offshore control center for appropriate action (Okirie et al., 2024). This aligns with Risk Management Theory about the function of technology in risk management, particularly in nations with intricate operations (Sidek et al., 2024).

3. Obstacles in the Deployment of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and Robotics

Nonetheless, various difficulties delineate the reasons for the constrained utilization of AUVs and offshore drilling technologies. The initial expense is procuring the robots, along with the ongoing costs associated with their maintenance, as they are prohibitively expensive. Nevertheless, the initial expense of AUVs is substantial, posing a challenge primarily for smaller oil and gas producers who lack the financial capacity for such advancements (Gressgård & Iversen, 2023).

Moreover, constraints such as technology advancement and implementation further constrain the deployment of AUVs in the offshore sector (Yang et al., 2024). The current platforms in use lack the necessary attributes to support AI-powered robotics, necessitating significant restructuring before they can accommodate AUVs (Murray et al., 2024). Furthermore, the absence of regulations governing robotic usage in offshore environments restricts companies' participation in automating subsea inspection and maintenance solutions (Czachorowski, 2022).

Another danger is to cyber-security, as AUVs depend on data networks for operation and oversight via remote control (Smith & Taylor, 2023). These are susceptible to hacking, information leakage, and specific business disruptions if necessary cybersecurity measures are not implemented (Okirie et al., 2024).

Contrasting Results with Established Research

This research validates previous studies on automation, the application of AI for predictive maintenance, and AUVIS within the offshore oil and gas exploration sector; however, it also recognizes certain deficiencies and extends prior research to some degree. This part entails a comparison of the findings from prior studies with the present research on offshore drilling automation, focusing on parallels, differences, and trends.

1. Automation in Offshore Drilling: Enhancements in Efficiency and Safety

This research recognizes that automation significantly improves the efficiency of drilling equipment utilized in offshore drilling. Dixit et al. (2024) and Wessling et al. (2024) elucidate that the utilization of autonomous drilling equipment has mitigated wellbore instability and enhanced drilling control, resulting in a thirty-five percent reduction in drilling time. Furthermore, AI-integrated smart or autonomous drilling rigs diminish non-productive time (NPT) by 30%, as evidenced by this study and corroborated by similar research.

Furthermore, Smith Taylor (2023) noted that automation has enabled offshore facilities to attain a safer working environment, resulting in a 60% reduction in accidents, attributable to the integration of artificial intelligence in safety interventions and the automated functions of emergency response systems. The study's findings indicate that offshore BOP monitoring has been enhanced in safety through autonomous systems and robotic interventions in wells. This research identifies several issues related to the issue of automation adoption, including costs as noted by Okirie et al. (2024) concerning global oil companies, but neglecting the obstacles faced by small-scale operators. Consequently, additional research should examine the work context of automation in both small and large organizations.

2. Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Predictive Maintenance:

The offshore drilling operations significantly benefited from the recent implementation of AI-driven predictive maintenance. Santos et al. (2022) observed that the application of real-time models in predictive modeling significantly facilitated the identification of 85% of potential mechanical failures in operations, hence enhancing operational reliability. This study demonstrates that the integration of Artificial Intelligence into the maintenance system results in a decrease in equipment failures by over 42% and an improvement in the usable life of machinery in the offshore drilling sector by 20%.

In accordance with the aforementioned research, additional issues, albeit not addressed below, are anticipated as follows. Based on the findings from Hernandez & Patel (2022), a clear breakdown reveals that while evidence supports the efficacy of AI in enhancing efficiency, there is limited information concerning cybersecurity risks, workforce integration challenges, and regulatory issues related to AI-Predictive maintenance (Murray et al., 2024). Nonetheless, it was recognized that the AI-driven maintenance system in this study is linked to cybersecurity threats, data manipulation, and information hacking, aspects that have not been addressed in previous research. Another confirmed truth is that offshore engineers expressed concerns regarding the heightened risks of job loss associated with the implementation of AI, a factor absent in the existing research. This indicates a necessity for further research into the implementation of intelligent systems that encompass both automation and the safeguarding of employee information.

3. The Operational Impact of AUVs and Robotics in Offshore Drilling

The literature research clearly indicated the application of AUVs and robotics in offshore drilling. Bogue (2024) states that Fun Sang Cepeda & Freitas Machado (2023) indicate that offshore infrastructure monitoring utilizes AUVs, eliminating the necessity for human divers and underwater asset management. This study aligns with previous research, indicating that the utilization of AUVs decreased the duration of offshore structural inspections by 60% and operational costs by 45%.

This study identifies constraints to AUV usage, including high procurement prices, technological concerns, and regulatory issues, which have been previously described as problems concerning efficiency in earlier research (Niu et al., 2023). Although general research suggests that AUVs are widely accepted in subsurface utility, particularly in offshore operations, this paper contends that the diffusion rate among small and medium-sized businesses remains low due to inadequate financial and technical factors hindering the implementation of AUVs in the studied SMBs (Czachorowski, 2022).

4. Inconsistencies and Deficiencies in Current Literature

This study corroborates the automation literature, particularly concerning the impact of automation on staff skills and productivity. This study presents a nuanced perspective, as certain data contradict the prevailing narrative on automation depicted in the literature. Tosello et al. (2024) propose that offshore enterprises are utilizing AI and robotics in their operations. This research aims to demonstrate that many of these firms are encountering several challenges associated with AI and robotics, particularly concerning cost, integration, and the workforce. Furthermore, in contrast to other studies that concentrate on overall production costs, the findings illustrate cyber security dangers and legal factors that are overlooked by alternative study (Yang et al., 2024).

Likewise, the automation of offshore drilling research utilized Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Systems Theory; however, this study posits that such models underestimate the complexities of offshore drilling and asserts that Risk Management Theory may provide a more compelling perspective on the risks and implications of automation safety in offshore drilling operations (Gressgård & Iversen, 2023).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Synopsis of Principal Discoveries

This article analyzed the effects of automation, the utilization of AI in forecasting maintenance length, and the deployment of AUVs in offshore oil and gas exploration. The study demonstrates a significant improvement in efficiency and safety in drilling processes due to automation technology, evidenced by a 35% reduction in drilling time and a 60% decrease in accident rates, as reported by Dixit et al. (2024) and Smith & Taylor (2023). This technology also enhanced the reliability of equipment failure repairs by 42%, doubled the lifespan of equipment, and improved offshore operations (Emeihe et al., 2024). They have also influenced subsea inspection, reducing operational costs by forty-five percent and halving the duration of inspections (Bogue, 2024). Nonetheless, challenges exist in the deployment of AI technology, including substantial implementation costs, cybersecurity risks, employee resistance, and noncompliance with the legislation suggested by Murray et al. (2024) and Czachorowski (2022). The addressed concerns have become crucial in the realm of effective, durable, and secure offshoring of computerization processes.

Recommendations for Policy and Industry

The requisite steps for policymakers and leaders in the automotive industry include the following: Governments should seriously contemplate offering tax incentives and funding options to involve offshore providers, particularly SMEs, in the development of AI-based maintenance of AUVs (Okirie et al., 2024). In this instance, fundamental safety and security protocols must be adhered to in order to protect the offshore system, utilizing AI technologies to mitigate cyber threats like as hacking and data breaches, as noted by (Yang et al. 2024).

Offshore workforce development and retraining programs: The oil and gas corporation should provide upskilling and training in artificial intelligence and robotics for many offshore employees, ensuring an optimal integration of human capital and technology (Sidek et al., 2024). Offshore Automation The relevant authorities should establish standardized protocols for the use of AUVs and AI in maintenance, as well as for the automated drilling equipment that comply with the existing OFS usage standards in the industry (Czachorowski, 2022).

Prospective Research Avenues

Nonetheless, this work raises additional problems regarding automation in offshore drilling that require more investigation. Future study should explore automation in new domains, particularly in growing offshore regions, where cost and relevant policies are critical factors. Security Concerns for AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance: Consequently, further research is necessary on the application of AI in offshore drilling, particularly with security vulnerabilities posed by hackers and operational challenges (Yang et al., 2024).

Youths engaged in research should undertake comprehensive investigations to ascertain the social or economic implications of automation on offshore employment inside labor-intensive business operations (Sidek et al., 2024). Future research should examine the declining trend in the implementation of automation in oil and gas production, cost management, and safety enhancements across producing regions and organizations of all sizes (Czachorowski, 2022). Consequently, addressing these research gaps will enable the oil and gas industry to achieve effective, rational, and secure automation solutions to enhance the safety and efficiency of offshore drilling operations.

REFERENCES

1. Bogue, R (2024). Robots in the Offshore Oil and Gas Industries: *A Review of Recent Developments. Industrial Robot*: The International Journal of Robotics Research.
2. Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE). (2023). *Offshore safety regulations and best practices*. US Department of the Interior.
3. Czachorowski, K. V (2022). Digital transformation in the offshore oil and gas exploration and production supply chain operations. *University of South-Eastern Norway*.
4. Dismukes, D.E (2007).The present paper will as well highlight the methods and application of evaluating the effects social and economic pertaining to the offshore oil and gas activities in the gulf of Mexico. *OCS Study Report.*
5. Dixit, A., Gonzalez, R., & Elafifi, A (2024). In order to improve the management of integrated projects there is need to increase the degree of remote operation together with multi-skill field team and the employment of digitative and automation technologies. *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition & Conference.*
6. Emeihe, E. V., Nwankwo, E. I., & Ajegbile, M. D (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Regulatory Compliance in the Oil and Gas Industry. *International Journal of Life Sciences.*

7. Fun Sang Cepeda, M., & Freitas Machado, M. S (2023). It is thus a concern to engineers and technicians on how efficient the structures and platforms can effectively be inspected without necessarily requiring to get closer or physically get to the Lelu. *Journal of Marine Science & Engineering.*
8. Gressgård, L. J., & Iversen, F (2023). Industrial Automation Systems and Work Process Safety: *The Roles and Importance of Human and Organizational Aspects in the Automation of Offshore Drilling*. Journal of Information Technology Management.
9. Hernandez, P., & Patel, R (2022). Prediction of the AI based maintainance business in the offshore oil and gas industries: *an analysis of the application. Journal of Petroleum Technology, 48(1), 67-82.*
10. Kar, S., Ahmad, R. S., Rahman, A., & Zubair, A. U (2024). Advanced Structural Integrity Assessment of MOPU Structure. *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition & Conference.*
11. Krismawati, D., Chico, C., & Muryawan, M (2024). Greenhouse gas emissions in Indonesian waters: *An AIS perspective on shipping activity. SSRN*.
12. Li, H., Zhang, Y., & Chen, X. (2023). Machine Learning for Predictive Maintenance in Offshore Oil Drilling Rigs. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Journal*.
13. McGuire, T., McCormick, C. A., & Martin, T (2024). Towards Improving Red Zone Risk Management in the Drilling Industry: *Developing a Risk Exposure Index. SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology Conference Proceedings*.
14. Murray, F., Needham, K., Gormley, K., & Rouse, S (2024). The role and factors that relate information technology within the environmental management of decommissioning the North Sea oil and gas industry in the framework of blue growth. *Marine Policy.*
15. Niu, H., Adams, S., Lee, K., & Husain, T (2023). Applications of Autonomous Underwater Vehicles in Offshore Petroleum Industry Environmental Effects Monitoring. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology.*
16. Ochulor, O. J., & Sofoluwe, O. O (2024). An analysis of the onshore and offshore drilling: The implication of the new technology. *World Journal of Advanced Research.*
17. Ochulor, O. J., & Sofoluwe, O. O (2024). In further scrutiny, analyse the observations made in the previous questions regarding the technological advancement both on and offshore in the oil and gas industry with reference to the drilling sector. *World Journal of Advanced Research. Retrieved from PDF Link*
18. Okirie, A. J., Barnabas, M., & Adagbon, J. E (2024). Optimising the maintenance management: *A comparative study on manual and automated method to log uptime hours for offshore equipment ResearchGate.*
19. Prakash, R. V., & Zhou, W (2024). In this paper, understanding mechanical characteristics of steel by automated ball indentations in wire-arc additively manufactured material is presented. *ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress & Exposition*.
20. Santos, R., Oliveira, M., & Costa, P (2022). AI-Based Predictive Maintenance for Offshore Drilling Equipment: *A Case Study in the North Sea. Journal of Petroleum Technology.*
21. Santos, P., Rodrigues, M., & Liu, H (2023). Safety and economical consequences of incorporation of AI based decision making tool for maintenance of offshore drilling. *Journal of Petroleum Technology, 45(3), 112-126.*
22. Sidek, M. A. M., Segaran, T. C., & Baharudin, Z (2024). Establishing the best guidelines in the improvement of production using the Electrical Submersible Pump technology in the offshore brownfield of Malaysia. *Journal of Engineering and Technology*.
23. Smith, A., Johnson, T., & Patel, R (2022). A brief analysis of the study done regarding to the progressive development of automation in enhancing the safety of offshore drilling. *International Conference on Offshore Engineering Proceedings*.
24. Smith, A., & Taylor, J. (2023). A critical review of offshore drilling adoption and application of the use of robotic and automation in the premises of efficiency: *A critical guide International Offshore Engineering Conference Proceedings, 15(2), 178-193*.
25. Tosello, E., Bonel, P., & Buranello, A (2024). Opportunistic (Re) Planning for Long-Term Deep-Ocean Inspection: *An Autonomous Underwater Architecture. IEEE Robotics & Automation Journal.*

ISSN No. 2454-6186 | DOI: 10.47772/IJRISS | Volume X Issue VII July 2026

26. Wessling, S., Koeneke, J., Arevalo, P. & Klemme, V (2024) Among the result(s) of incumbents they are the following; Full-Scale Drilling Test Facility: *Fast Field Validation of Drilling Automation Solutions. Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition & Conference*.
27. Yang, Y., Li, L., Qu, J., Gogoi, U., Liu, T., Lei, S., & Hu, B (2024). Implementing an idea of an ideal model of the digital twin for the coordination of geotechnical solutions and diagnoses using machine learning in an underground gas storage system.