

Inclusive Education, Heritage-Based Education and Community Learning Systems: Towards Equitable and Sustainable Educational Development

Edmore Mashungu¹, Gilbert Karombo², Reason Gobvu³, Joyce Mudzamiri⁴, Elizabeth Nyamukusa⁵, Herbert Tichaona Mareya⁶

¹Department of Educational Studies Faculty of Education Zimbabwe Open University

²Department of Marketing Faculty of Commerce Zimbabwe Open University

³Department of Information Science and Records Management Faculty of Applied Social Sciences Zimbabwe Open University

⁴Department of Quality Assurance Zimbabwe Open University

⁵Department of Development Studies Faculty of Applied Social Sciences

⁶Department of Teacher Development Faculty of Education Zimbabwe Open University

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100900197>

Received: 11 September 2026; Accepted: 16 September 2026; Published: 06 October 2026

ABSTRACT

The paper explored the intersection of inclusive education, heritage-based pedagogy, and community learning systems as a transformative framework for advancing equitable and sustainable educational development. Drawing on case studies from diverse sociocultural contexts, the study examined how integrating local cultural heritage into inclusive educational models empowers marginalized learners and strengthens community ownership of education. By centering indigenous knowledge, linguistic diversity, and intergenerational learning, heritage-based education not only enhances relevance and engagement but also supports the inclusion of historically excluded groups, including ethnic minorities, rural populations, and learners with disabilities. The research highlights how community-driven learning systems rooted in local values, traditions, and collective responsibility can complement formal education structures and foster resilience, identity affirmation, and social cohesion. Findings indicate that the synergistic integration of these three pillars promotes educational access, quality, and sustainability, particularly in resource-constrained and post-conflict settings. The paper concludes with policy and pedagogical recommendations for reimagining education as a culturally grounded, inclusive, and community-anchored process aligned with the principles of equity and lifelong learning.

Keywords: Inclusive Education, Heritage Based Education, Community Learning Systems, Heritage Based Pedagogy, Transformative Framework

INTRODUCTION

Education remains a cornerstone of sustainable development, yet persistent inequalities continue to undermine its transformative potential. Despite global advances in enrollment, millions of learners, particularly those from marginalised communities remain excluded from meaningful educational opportunities. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4) calls for inclusive and equitable quality education for all, emphasising the need to address disparities rooted in culture, language, geography, disability, and socioeconomic status. Achieving this vision requires rethinking dominant educational paradigms that often marginalise local knowledge systems and fail to reflect the lived realities of diverse learners.

In this context, two complementary approaches heritage-based education and community learning systems offer promising pathways toward more inclusive and sustainable educational models. Heritage-based education leverages cultural assets such as language, traditions, oral histories, and indigenous knowledge as foundational elements of curriculum and pedagogy. This approach not only validates students' identities but also enhances cognitive engagement and learning outcomes by grounding education in familiar contexts. Simultaneously, community learning systems emphasize local agency, participatory governance, and intergenerational collaboration in education, fostering environments where learning is continuous, contextually relevant, and socially embedded.

This paper argued that the integration of inclusive education principles with heritage-based content and community-centered delivery mechanisms can create robust, equitable, and resilient educational ecosystems. By analysing successful initiatives from various regions including rural Africa, Indigenous communities in the Americas, and post-conflict societies in Southeast Asia the study demonstrates how culturally responsive and community-owned education models can reduce exclusion, improve retention, and promote social inclusion. Furthermore, it examines the structural, policy, and pedagogical conditions necessary to scale such approaches within national education frameworks.

Ultimately, this research contributes to a growing discourse on decolonising education and reimagining it as a process that honours diversity, nurtures belonging, and sustains cultural vitality. In doing so, it advances a holistic vision of educational development that is not only equitable and inclusive but also deeply rooted in the cultural fabric of communities.

Background of Study

Education systems across the world are increasingly confronted with the challenge of ensuring equitable access, cultural relevance, and lifelong learning opportunities for diverse populations. Historically, formal education has often prioritised dominant knowledge systems and standardised pedagogical approaches, resulting in the marginalisation of learners from minority cultural groups, rural communities, indigenous populations, and persons with disabilities (Ainscow, 2020). In response, inclusive education has emerged as a global movement aimed at ensuring that all learners participate meaningfully in education regardless of their differences.

At the same time, growing concerns about cultural erosion and the loss of indigenous knowledge have stimulated interest in heritage-based education. Heritage-based education seeks to integrate local cultures, histories, traditions, languages, and Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) into teaching and learning processes (UNESCO, 2021). Community learning systems further complement these efforts by recognising communities as active educational spaces where learning occurs through social interaction, participation, and intergenerational knowledge transmission (Wenger-Trayner & Wenger-Trayner, 2020).

The convergence of these three approaches has become particularly relevant in developing countries, where educational inequalities coexist with rich cultural heritage and strong community structures.

Context of the Study

Globally, the implementation of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4) has intensified efforts to provide inclusive and equitable quality education for all learners (United Nations, 2023). In many African countries, including Zimbabwe, educational reforms have increasingly emphasised the importance of cultural relevance, indigenous knowledge integration, and community participation in education.

Zimbabwe's Education 5.0 philosophy, for example, promotes innovation, industrialisation, and community engagement while recognising the value of indigenous knowledge in national development (Government of Zimbabwe, 2019). However, despite policy commitments, many educational institutions continue to face challenges related to exclusion, curriculum relevance, teacher preparedness, and community involvement.

The study is situated within this broader educational transformation agenda and examines how inclusive education, heritage-based education, and community learning systems can work together to enhance educational quality and sustainability.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to explore the relationship between inclusive education, heritage-based education, and community learning systems and to examine how their integration can contribute to equitable, culturally relevant, and sustainable educational development.

The study sought to identify opportunities, challenges, and transformative practices that can support the creation of education systems that value diversity, preserve cultural heritage, and promote community participation.

Problem Statement

Despite global commitments to inclusive and equitable education, many education systems continue to exclude vulnerable learners while simultaneously neglecting indigenous knowledge, cultural heritage, and community participation. Formal curricula often privilege dominant knowledge systems and fail to reflect local realities and cultural identities (Dei, 2019).

As a result, learners frequently experience educational environments that are disconnected from their communities, histories, and lived experiences. This disconnect contributes to reduced learner engagement, educational inequalities, and the gradual erosion of indigenous knowledge systems.

There remains limited understanding of how inclusive education, heritage-based education, and community learning systems can be integrated to address these challenges and create more responsive educational systems.

Objectives of the Study

The study seeks to:

1. Identify challenges affecting the implementation of integrated educational approaches.
2. Examine the principles and practices of inclusive education.
3. Explore the role of heritage-based education in promoting cultural identity and educational relevance and the contribution of community learning systems to educational development.
4. Analyze the relationships among inclusive education, heritage-based education, and community learning systems.
5. Propose strategies for enhancing educational equity, cultural sustainability, and community participation.

Main Research Questions

Primary Research Question

How can inclusive education, heritage-based education, and community learning systems be integrated to promote equitable and sustainable educational development?

Secondary Research Questions

1. What are the key principles underpinning inclusive education?

2. How does heritage-based education contribute to learner development and cultural preservation?
3. What role do community learning systems play in supporting lifelong learning?
4. What challenges hinder the integration of inclusive, heritage-based, and community-centered educational approaches?
5. What strategies can strengthen educational equity, cultural relevance, and community engagement?

METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a systematic qualitative literature review and document analysis design to synthesise evidence on inclusive education, cultural heritage, indigenous knowledge systems and community learning as foundations for educational transformation. The approach was appropriate because the study sought to integrate empirical, theoretical and policy perspectives rather than generate primary data. The review and reporting process was guided by the principles of the PRISMA 2020 framework, which promotes transparent reporting of literature identification, screening, eligibility and inclusion (Page et al., 2021).

A structured search was conducted using Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink and UNESCO digital resources, supplemented by relevant government and international policy documents. Key search terms and Boolean combinations included “inclusive education” AND “indigenous knowledge”, “inclusive education” AND “cultural heritage”, “heritage-based education” AND “community learning”, “indigenous knowledge systems” AND education, “community learning” AND “educational transformation”, and “cultural heritage” AND sustainability. Reference-list and citation searches were also used to identify additional relevant sources. The search primarily covered publications from 2015 to 2026, while seminal earlier works were retained where they provided essential theoretical foundations.

Sources were included when they addressed inclusive education, cultural heritage, indigenous knowledge, community learning or related educational transformation issues and were published as peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books or chapters, authoritative policy documents, institutional reports or international education frameworks. Sources were excluded where they were duplicates, lacked substantive relevance to the study, were opinion-based or commercial materials, or did not provide sufficient information for analysis. The selection process involved identification, duplicate removal, title and abstract screening, full-text eligibility assessment and final inclusion, following the logic of PRISMA 2020 (Page et al., 2021). A PRISMA flow diagram is provided to document the movement of records through these stages.

Data were extracted using a structured matrix capturing the author and year, geographical context, educational setting, methodological approach, participants or population, key findings, reported outcomes and conceptual contribution. Particular attention was given to distinguishing empirical evidence from theoretical and conceptual interpretations. The reviewed evidence was synthesised thematically following Braun and Clarke's (2022) approach to thematic analysis. The analysis generated five interrelated themes: inclusion, cultural heritage, community learning, educational transformation and sustainability. Comparative synthesis was then used to examine how inclusive education, heritage-based education and community learning systems differ in their purposes, knowledge bases, pedagogical approaches, participants, outcomes and implementation challenges.

The synthesis informed the development of the proposed integration framework by identifying areas of convergence and complementarity across the three approaches. Empirical claims were linked to the contexts and outcomes reported in the reviewed studies, while broader propositions were explicitly treated as conceptual interpretations emerging from the synthesis. The proposed integration is therefore presented as a conceptually and empirically informed model requiring further validation in educational settings. Its implementation can be evaluated through indicators including learner participation and inclusion, cultural knowledge and identity, community engagement, curriculum integration, teacher preparedness, learning outcomes and sustainability of school-community partnerships. This approach provides a transparent

methodological foundation for moving from existing evidence to a contextually relevant framework for educational transformation.

Key Findings

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The systematic qualitative synthesis identified five interrelated findings concerning inclusive education, heritage-based education and community learning systems. The findings integrate evidence from empirical studies, policy-oriented literature and conceptual scholarship. Empirical findings are reported in relation to their specific contexts, while broader relationships among the three approaches are presented as interpretive conclusions emerging from the synthesis.

Finding 1: Inclusive Education is Associated with Greater Educational Equity

The reviewed literature consistently associates inclusive education with improved participation, belonging and educational equity for learners who experience marginalisation. Ainscow (2020) argues that inclusion and equity require educational systems to identify and remove barriers that prevent learners from participating fully in education. UNESCO (2020) similarly positions inclusion as a central principle for ensuring that education responds to learner diversity rather than reproducing existing inequalities.

The evidence suggests that inclusive education extends beyond physical access to schooling to encompass meaningful participation, recognition and achievement. This is particularly important for learners affected by disability, socioeconomic disadvantage, cultural difference and other forms of exclusion.

DISCUSSION

The synthesis indicates that inclusive education provides the **equity foundation** for the proposed integrated framework. Its principal contribution is to ensure that educational transformation does not privilege particular groups while excluding others. However, the literature also demonstrates that inclusion is dependent on enabling conditions such as teacher preparedness, appropriate resources, flexible curricula and institutional support. Consequently, inclusive education should be understood as a systemic process rather than a classroom intervention alone.

In the context of the proposed framework, inclusion provides the answer to the question of **who should participate in education and under what conditions**. Its integration with heritage and community learning therefore has the potential to move educational transformation beyond access towards meaningful participation and recognition of diverse learners and their knowledge.

Finding 2: Heritage-Based Education Strengthens Cultural Relevance, Identity and Knowledge Continuity

The evidence reviewed indicates that heritage-based education can strengthen the cultural relevance of learning by connecting educational content with learners' languages, histories, traditions and Indigenous Knowledge Systems. This pattern is particularly evident in recent Zimbabwean scholarship. Garwe (2025), drawing on preliminary evidence from five Zimbabwean universities, reports that Heritage-Based Education 5.0 recognises students' cultural heritage and community involvement as important sources of learning and promotes more inclusive and culturally responsive educational practices. The study further identifies potential contributions to cultural identity, belonging, critical thinking, creativity and problem-solving.

Evidence from Zimbabwean secondary education reinforces this finding. Risiro's (2021) qualitative study in Manicaland found that teachers and education officers recognised the relevance of Indigenous Knowledge in Geography education, while also identifying substantial challenges associated with its integration. Musarurwa (2024) similarly argues, through a Zimbabwean Geography education study, that incorporating Indigenous

Knowledge can make teaching concerning weather and climate-related disasters more relevant to indigenous communities and can strengthen connections between school knowledge and community knowledge.

Wuta and Zivurawa (2024) further identify the continuing marginalisation of African Indigenous Knowledge Systems within Zimbabwean secondary education and argue for greater consideration of African knowledge within the education system.

Discussion

These findings suggest that heritage-based education contributes not simply additional cultural content but a broader **epistemological and pedagogical orientation**. When learners encounter their cultural histories, languages and locally situated knowledge within formal education, schooling can become more meaningful and responsive to their lived realities.

The Zimbabwean evidence is particularly significant because it demonstrates that the issue is not merely theoretical. Studies in Manicaland and other Zimbabwean contexts show both the educational relevance of Indigenous Knowledge and the difficulties involved in integrating it into existing curricula. Thus, heritage-based education can strengthen cultural identity and educational relevance, but its effectiveness depends on curriculum flexibility, teacher competence, appropriate knowledge resources and recognition of Indigenous Knowledge as a legitimate educational resource.

Finding 3: Community Learning Systems Extend Education Beyond the Formal Institution

The literature indicates that communities constitute important spaces for knowledge production, transmission and lifelong learning. Community learning connects formal educational institutions with families, elders, local experts, community organisations and other knowledge holders, creating opportunities for experiential, contextual and intergenerational learning.

Evidence from the African context supports this broader interpretation. Yamamoto and Oyasu (2023), examining Community Learning Centres in Kenya, identify such centres as focal points for lifelong learning and their potential contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals. Their work illustrates how community-based learning structures can connect educational activity with broader social and development objectives.

The Zimbabwean literature provides a complementary perspective. Musarurwa (2024) proposes that Indigenous Knowledge should not only be incorporated into school curricula but should also circulate between schools and communities through an Outcome Mapping approach. This reinforces the view that community learning should operate as a reciprocal relationship in which schools learn from communities while also contributing knowledge and skills to community development.

Discussion

The synthesis therefore positions community learning as the **connective mechanism** between educational institutions and their surrounding social environments. Learners can acquire practical knowledge, cultural values, problem-solving skills and locally relevant understandings through interactions with community members and knowledge holders.

However, meaningful community learning requires reciprocity. Communities should not be treated merely as sources from which schools extract knowledge. Instead, educational institutions and communities should participate in shared knowledge creation, interpretation and application. This requires structures for sustained collaboration, recognition of community expertise and meaningful participation in educational decision-making.

Finding 4: Integration Offers Complementary Pathways for Educational Transformation

The strongest conceptual finding emerging from the synthesis is that inclusive education, heritage-based

education and community learning address **different but interconnected dimensions of educational transformation**.

Inclusive education primarily addresses **equity, access and participation**. Heritage-based education addresses **cultural identity, relevance and knowledge recognition**. Community learning addresses **experiential learning, social participation and connections between educational institutions and their communities**.

The empirical evidence provides support for the complementary nature of these dimensions. Garwe's (2025) Zimbabwean study connects Heritage-Based Education 5.0 with cultural heritage, community involvement, inclusive and culturally responsive teaching and student development. Musarurwa (2024) similarly demonstrates the potential for Indigenous Knowledge to connect classroom learning with community knowledge and local problem-solving, while Yamamoto and Oyasu (2023) illustrate the role of community learning structures in lifelong learning and sustainable development in Kenya.

Discussion

The significance of integration lies in the complementary questions addressed by the three approaches:

- **Inclusive education asks:** Who participates in education?
- **Heritage-based education asks:** Whose knowledge, culture and identity are represented?
- **Community learning asks:** Where, with whom and through what social relationships does learning occur?

Integrating these dimensions therefore provides a broader conceptual basis for educational transformation. It potentially enables educational institutions to address access and participation while simultaneously improving cultural relevance, knowledge diversity and community engagement.

Importantly, this finding represents a **conceptual synthesis rather than evidence of a tested causal relationship**. The reviewed empirical studies generally examine individual dimensions or particular applications rather than testing the complete integrated model. The proposed integration should therefore be regarded as an evidence-informed conceptual proposition requiring empirical validation in diverse educational contexts.

Finding 5: Systemic and Institutional Barriers Constrain Implementation

The review identified several recurring barriers to implementing inclusive, heritage-based and community-centred educational approaches. These include inadequate teacher preparation, limited educational resources, curriculum rigidity, insufficient institutional support, negative perceptions of Indigenous Knowledge, assessment difficulties and weak school-community partnerships.

Zimbabwean evidence provides particularly clear support for this finding. Risiro's (2021) study of secondary schools in Manicaland identified a lack of written Indigenous Knowledge resources, inadequate teacher training, insufficient Indigenous Knowledge experts, teacher attitudes and beliefs, assessment challenges and urbanisation as barriers to curriculum integration. These findings demonstrate that the challenges are not simply theoretical but occur within actual school settings.

Garwe (2025) similarly identifies entrenched colonial structures and the need for sustained political commitment and engagement with heritage knowledge holders as challenges to scaling Heritage-Based Education 5.0 in Zimbabwean higher education. Wuta and Zivurawa (2024) also draw attention to the continued marginalisation of African Indigenous Knowledge Systems within Zimbabwean secondary education.

Discussion

The evidence indicates that educational transformation cannot be achieved solely through changes in classroom pedagogy. Teachers require appropriate knowledge, training and resources to work effectively with diverse forms of knowledge. Curriculum frameworks must provide sufficient flexibility for local and Indigenous Knowledge, while assessment systems must recognise meaningful forms of learning beyond narrowly standardised approaches.

Institutional leadership and policy support are equally important. Sustainable school-community partnerships require mechanisms for collaboration, shared decision-making and recognition of community knowledge holders. The barriers identified in Zimbabwe therefore suggest the need for a **whole-system implementation strategy** involving curriculum reform, teacher education, institutional leadership, community participation and supportive policy frameworks.

The findings also indicate that implementation should be accompanied by systematic evaluation. Potential indicators include learner participation and inclusion, cultural identity and knowledge, teacher preparedness, curriculum integration, community engagement, learning outcomes and the sustainability of school-community partnerships.

Synthesis of Findings

Taken together, the five findings indicate progression from **equity to cultural relevance, from cultural relevance to community connectedness, and from integration to systemic transformation**. Inclusive education establishes the equity foundation; heritage-based education strengthens cultural and epistemological relevance; and community learning connects educational institutions with the social environments in which knowledge is generated and applied.

The evidence therefore supports the development of an integrated conceptual framework in which the three approaches are mutually reinforcing rather than treated as separate educational interventions. Nevertheless, the synthesis also demonstrates that successful implementation requires institutional, policy and professional conditions capable of supporting such integration. The proposed framework should consequently be understood as an **evidence-informed conceptual model that requires further empirical testing and contextual adaptation**.

CONCLUSION

This systematic qualitative literature synthesis examined the potential relationship among inclusive education, heritage-based education and community learning systems as complementary dimensions of educational transformation. Five interrelated findings emerged. First, the literature associates inclusive education with greater participation, belonging and educational equity. Second, heritage-based education can strengthen cultural relevance, identity and the continuity of local knowledge. Third, community learning systems extend educational opportunities beyond formal institutions by connecting learners with families, elders, local experts and community resources. Fourth, the synthesis suggests that integrating these approaches offers a potentially powerful pathway for addressing educational access, cultural relevance, knowledge diversity and community participation simultaneously. Finally, the literature identifies systemic barriers that may constrain implementation, particularly inadequate teacher preparation, resource limitations, curriculum rigidity and weak institutional-community partnerships.

The principal contribution of the study is therefore the conceptual integration of three complementary educational perspectives. Inclusive education provides an equity and participation foundation; heritage-based education provides cultural and epistemological relevance; and community learning provides a mechanism for connecting formal education with locally situated knowledge and social resources. Their integration provides a basis for reimagining educational institutions as inclusive, culturally responsive and community-connected learning environments.

However, the findings should not be interpreted as evidence that an integrated model has already been empirically validated. Rather, the synthesis provides an evidence-informed conceptual proposition that requires testing in specific educational settings. Future empirical studies could examine the feasibility, implementation processes and educational outcomes of such integration through case studies, action research, design-based research or mixed-methods approaches.

For policy and practice, the synthesis suggests the need to strengthen culturally responsive teacher education, provide greater curriculum flexibility, recognise indigenous and local knowledge systems, and establish sustainable partnerships between educational institutions and communities. Implementation should also be accompanied by systematic evaluation using indicators such as learner participation and inclusion, cultural knowledge and identity, community engagement, curriculum integration, teacher preparedness, learning outcomes and the sustainability of school-community partnerships.

Ultimately, the literature indicates that educational transformation requires attention not only to access to education, but also to the quality, cultural relevance and social connectedness of learning. Integrating inclusive education, heritage-based education and community learning therefore offers a promising conceptual pathway towards more equitable, culturally responsive and sustainable education systems, while recognising the need for further empirical validation across diverse educational contexts.

Recommendations

The study is significant for several reasons.

Policy Significance

It contributes to ongoing policy discussions regarding educational equity, social inclusion, cultural preservation, and sustainable development.

Theoretical Significance

The study extends understanding of how inclusive education, heritage-based education, and community learning systems intersect to create holistic learning environments.

Practical Significance

The findings provide guidance for educators, curriculum developers, school leaders, and policymakers seeking to develop culturally responsive and inclusive educational practices.

Community Significance

The study highlights the role of communities as co-creators of knowledge and educational partners, thereby strengthening local ownership of educational processes.

REFERENCES

1. Ainscow, M. (2020). Inclusion and equity in education: Making sense of global challenges. *Prospects*, 49, 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09506-w>
2. Battiste, M. (2013). *Decolonizing education: Nourishing the learning spirit*. Purich Books.
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
4. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
5. Dei, G. J. S. (2019). *Indigenous philosophies and critical education*. Peter Lang.
6. Garwe, E. C. (2025). Heritage-based Education 5.0: Zimbabwe's decolonial approach to higher education. *Discover Education*, 4, Article 289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00753-7>

7. Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
8. Kolb, D. A. (2015). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
9. Musarurwa, C. (2024). Decolonising the teaching of weather and climate-related disasters through secondary school Geography: Lessons from Zimbabwe. *The Dyke*, 18(2). https://hdl.handle.net/10520/ejc-dyke_v18_n2_a4
10. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, Article n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
11. Risiro, J. (2021). The challenges of integrating Indigenous Knowledge in the teaching of weather and climate in Geography in Manicaland province of Zimbabwe. *The Journal of Geography Education in Africa*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.46622/jogea.v2i1.2483>
12. Shizha, E. (2007). Legitimizing indigenous knowledge in Zimbabwe: A theoretical analysis of postcolonial school knowledge and its colonial legacy. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Education*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.20355/C5RP4J>
13. Shizha, E. (2009). Indigenous? What Indigenous Knowledge? Beliefs and attitudes of rural primary school teachers towards Indigenous Knowledge in the science curriculum in Zimbabwe. *The Australian Journal of Indigenous Education*, 38(1). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1326011100016124>
14. UNESCO. (2020). *Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education: All means all*. UNESCO.
15. UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO.
16. United Nations. (2023). *The Sustainable Development Goals report 2023*. United Nations.
17. Wenger-Trayner, E., & Wenger-Trayner, B. (2020). *Learning to make a difference: Value creation in social learning spaces*. Cambridge University Press.
18. Wuta, R. K., & Zivurawa, V. (2024). Africanising secondary education in a postcolonial and globalising Zimbabwe: A worthwhile undertaking or manifestation of anachronism and propagation of pseudoscience? *Journal of African Education and Traditional Learning Systems*, 5(2).
19. Yamamoto, H., & Oyasu, K. (2023). Role of the Community Learning Center (CLC) as the focal point of lifelong learning in the promotion of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in African countries: Case of Republic of Kenya. *Bulletin of the Japan Society for the Study of KOMINKAN*, 20, 113–120. <https://doi.org/10.24661/kominkan.20.4.2>