Ethnic Dissonance and the Futility of Nationalism in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* and James Ile's *Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra*

John Ode Iyanya[1]*, Chinedu Aroh[2], Grace Adokwe[3], and Sabina Ugochi Mbadiwe[4]

[1] Centre for Preliminary and General Studies, Cosmopolitan University, Abuja, Nigeria

[2] General Studies Department, Enugu State Polytechnic, Iwollo, Enugu State, Nigeria

[3] Department of English, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria

[4] Department of English Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria

***Corresponding Author**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2026.100900318

Received: 21 September 2026; Accepted: 26 September 2026; Published: 10 October 2026

ABSTRACT

The plurality of ethno-cultural nationalities constitutes an indelible architectural and ontological feature of modern postcolonial African states. Rarely does an African state exhibit organic ethno-linguistic homogeneity; instead, the arbitrary cartographic demarcations orchestrated during the 1884–1885 Berlin Conference yoked disparate, historically sovereign civilizations into centralized, artificial polities. In postcolonial Nigeria, this unresolved heterogeneity has persistently yielded structural friction, institutionalized inequality, regional hegemony, and catastrophic civil conflicts.

This paper presents an extensive comparative textual investigation into the dialectics of ethnic dissonance and the contested legitimacy of the modern nation-state project in two Nigerian war and post-memory narratives: Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006) and James Onyebuchi Ile's *Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra* (2014). Employing structuralist narrative theory alongside postcolonial political sociologies, notably Peter Ekeh's paradigm of the "Two Publics," Mahmood Mamdani's citizen-subject dialectic, and Benedict Anderson's theorization of imagined communities, the study interrogates how the systemic subversion of democratic meritocracy, discriminatory state practices, asymmetrical resource distribution, and the suppression of historical memory render civic nationalism an ideological impossibility.

Through close comparative textual readings, this study establishes that both texts construct the Nigerian nation-state not as an inclusive, egalitarian commonwealth, but as a fractured, neo-colonial apparatus where the breakdown of institutional multiculturalism forces marginalized demographics to retreat into ethnic nationalism as a necessary mechanism for survival. The paper concludes by demonstrating that until the structural foundations of internal domination and regional hegemony are systematically redressed through constitutional equity and restorative justice, the assertion of postcolonial civic nationalism remains an exercise in ideological futility.

Keywords: Ethnic Dissonance, Civic Nationalism, Ethnic Nationalism, Structuralism, Postcolonial State, Biafra, Nigerian Civil War, Multiculturalism, Two Publics.

INTRODUCTION

The Postcolonial Cartographic Impasse

The crisis of nationhood in postcolonial Africa remains inextricably tied to the imperial architecture of its genesis. Modern African states did not evolve organically through historical processes of socio-linguistic convergence or shared civic consensus; rather, they were engineered through external imperial coercion during the Scramble for Africa, codified by the Berlin Act of 1885 (Adejumobi 148; Young 42). European colonial administrators superintended arbitrary territorial amalgamations, grouping historically distinct, autonomous, and frequently adversarial ethno-linguistic polities within common borders to serve the fiscal and bureaucratic expedience of the imperial metropole (Mamdani 17; Rodney 205). In the territory that became Nigeria, the British colonial apparatus, directed by Lord Frederick Lugard in 1914, forcibly united the Northern and Southern protectorates with the Lagos Colony, a geopolitical unification that political commentators and regional statesmen famously branded as an artificial construct, or in the enduring words of Chief Obafemi Awolowo, "a mere geographical expression" (Awolowo 47).

Within this framework, pluralism is not inherently destabilizing; rather, the institutional mechanisms designed to govern diversity determine whether difference matures into pluralistic multiculturalism or degenerates into violent ethnic dissonance. In normative political philosophy, the consolidation of a democratic state assumes an enlightened social contract between sovereign institutions and the body politic, wherein primordial affinities are progressively subordinated to a universal civic identity (Gellner 1; Habermas 225). Under such an arrangement, citizenship functions as an egalitarian, legal guarantee of parity, mutual obligation, and shared belonging within a clearly defined territorial space.

Yet, as Crawford Young observes, the colonial state was essentially an authoritarian "Bula Matari" (crusher of rocks)—an alien, extraction-oriented bureaucratic superstructure that governed not through civic consensus, but through administrative coercion and calculated institutional fragmentation (Young 56).

During the anti-colonial struggle in the mid-twentieth century, Nigerian nationalist leaders mounted a coordinated campaign against British imperial dominance (Coleman 63). For a transient historical moment, Pan-Africanist aspirations, shared trade union agitations, and the singular goal of self-determination appeared to transcend primordial regional divisions. Anticolonial intellectuals, journalists, and labor organizers forged cross-regional coalitions, subordinating ethno-linguistic claims to the overarching objective of sovereign independence. Early Nigerian literature echoed this nationalist optimism, presenting anti-colonial solidarity as the dawn of an egalitarian, unified postcolonial society (Ngũgĩ 38).

However, the formal attainment of political sovereignty on October 1, 1960, instantly dismantled this fragile, tactical solidarity. Deprived of the external imperial adversary that had served as the unifying "Other," the emergent political class quickly retreated into regionalist and ethnocultural strongholds to capture state resources, bureaucratic appointments, and economic patronage (Sklar 112; Joseph 56). The British colonial administration’s implementation of indirect rule in the North, juxtaposed with mission-educated administrative modernization in the South, had institutionalized stark regional disparities in educational attainment, political socialization, and civil service integration. Rather than addressing these structural disparities through genuine multicultural governance, the ruling political elites instrumentalized primordial sentiments, exploiting ethnic differences to secure territorial power bases.

As Sreelekha Mishra and C. Bharath Kumar note, genuine multiculturalism demands an intellectual, institutional, and political shift:

"The last four decades of the twentieth century witnessed the emergence of a cluster of intellectual and political movements led by such diverse groups as the indigenous people, national minorities, ethno-cultural nationals, old and new immigrants, feminist etc... movements primarily aimed at unifying all groups that goes beyond tolerance to acceptance in all ramifications especially cultural unification referred to as multiculturalism." (64)

In the absence of this institutional accommodation, the Nigerian postcolonial state rapidly devolved into an arena of zero-sum ethnic rivalry. Civic nationalism, characterized by unmediated legal parity and voluntary allegiance to the sovereign republic, was completely undermined by ethnic nationalism, wherein the individual's primary political loyalty is pledged exclusively to their linguistic, kinship enclave (Ekeh 91; Geertz 258). This structural breakdown directly precipitated the Western Region electoral crises, the census controversies of 1962–1963, the

military coups of January and July 1966, the widespread anti-Igbo pogroms in Northern Nigeria, and ultimately, the catastrophic 1967–1970 Nigerian-Biafran Civil War (Achebe 66; Kirk-Greene 45).

This study provides an exhaustive comparative textual investigation of how ethnic dissonance and the erosion of civic nationalism are figured in two key Nigerian novels: Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's internationally celebrated historical war novel, *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006), and James Onyebuchi Ile's *Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra* (2014). While Adichie revisits the historical trauma of the late 1960s to excavate the ideological, military, and human failures that led to the collapse of the First Republic, Ile interrogates the contemporary, post-war era, showing how institutionalized discrimination, the suppression of historical memory, and the resurgence of neo-Biafran agitations prove that the contradictions that sparked the civil war remain unresolved.

By applying structuralist literary theory alongside postcolonial political thought, this paper investigates how both texts demonstrate the futility of an enforced, synthetic Nigerian nationalism that operates without institutional justice, equity, or authentic multicultural accommodation.

Theoretical and Methodological Framework

Structuralist Literary Theory: Binary Systems and Narrative Syntax

To interrogate the complex ideological architectures of Adichie's and Ile's narratives, this study adopts structuralism as its primary interpretive methodology. Structuralism, rooted in the foundational linguistic theories of Ferdinand de Saussure and later expanded into anthropological and literary analyses by Claude Lévi-Strauss and Roland Barthes, posits that cultural phenomena, social practices, and literary texts cannot be understood in isolation. Instead, they derive meaning solely from their relational positions within broader semiotic systems, governing codes, and networks of binary oppositions (Saussure 67; Lévi-Strauss 21).

In structuralist narratology, literary texts are structured by underlying ideological grammars that mirror, contest, or negotiate the systemic arrangements of the real world. Lévi-Strauss demonstrated that mythic narratives mediate fundamental cultural and political contradictions through structural antinomies (34). Roland Barthes further developed this in *S/Z*, establishing that narrative meaning is sustained across multiple interlocking semiotic registers—most notably the proairetic code (actions), the hermeneutic code (narrative puzzles), the cultural or referential code (shared socio-historical knowledge), and the symbolic code, which organizes meaning across diametrical thematic binaries (Barthes 18–20).

Applied to the selected postcolonial war narratives, structuralism uncovers the foundational binary oppositions that organize both Adichie's and Ile's texts in the following ways: center (Federal Republic/Hegemonic Metropole) versus Margin (Secessionist Enclave/ Marginalized Ethnos); Civic Universalism (Pan-Africanism/Constitutional Nationalism) versus Primordial Reality (Ethnic Nationalism/Kinship Allegiance); Systemic Oppression (State Violence/Quotas/Exclusion) versus Defensive Resistance (Secession/Neo-Biafran Activism/Counter-Memory) and Cosmopolitan Indifference versus Historical Memory/ Subaltern Testimony. Through these structural binaries, both texts articulate the political and cultural impossibility of sustaining an abstract, monolithic nationalism when institutional apparatuses systematically marginalize and disempower a significant constituent nationality.

Postcolonial Political Sociology: The "Two Publics" and the Postcolonial Subject

To complement structuralist literary methodology with rigorous socio-political insights, this study draws on several postcolonial theories of the state, most prominently Peter Ekeh's paradigm of the "Two Publics." In his seminal 1975 treatise, *Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement*, Ekeh argues that colonialism in Africa created two distinct public realms that stand in radical moral opposition to one another: the primordial public and the civic public (91–92).

The primordial public is defined by ancestral, communal, and ethnic ties; it is deeply moralized, operating on principles of reciprocity, accountability, mutual protection, and cultural solidarity. To serve the primordial public

is considered an honorable moral obligation. Conversely, the civic public comprises the inherited colonial administrative structures: the civil service, the military, the judiciary, and the state treasury. Because these structures were established by an exploitative imperial regime to conquer and exploit indigenous societies, the civic public emerged as entirely amoral. It is perceived not as a commonwealth to be nurtured, but as an alien reservoir to be pillaged for the benefit of one's primordial group.

Ekeh's formulation explains why political actors within the postcolonial Nigerian state consistently prioritize ethnic consolidation over constitutional integrity. Loyalty to the civic public is purely instrumental, while authentic emotional, ethical, and existential allegiance belongs strictly to the primordial ethnos. This dynamic is reinforced by Anthony D. Smith's ethno-symbolic model of nationalism, which posits that enduring political legitimacy requires an *ethnie*—a cohesive constellation of shared myths, historical memories, symbols, and values. Because the colonial civic state lacked this affective bedrock, it remained structurally fragile.

This conceptualization directly interfaces with Mahmood Mamdani's thesis in *Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of Late Colonialism*. Mamdani demonstrates that the colonial state maintained power through a bifurcated institutional order: urban settlers were treated as civic citizens endowed with individual rights under secular civil law, while rural, colonized populations were categorized as ethnic subjects ruled by customary native authorities through tribal designations (18–22).

Postcolonial independence failed to dismantle this bifurcated structure. Instead, the post-independence constitutional order—anchored in the 1960 Independence Constitution and the 1963 Republican Constitution—inherited a deeply asymmetric tripartite federal architecture that structurally privileged the Northern Region in parliamentary representation and population metrics. The postcolonial state entrenched these divisions through legal mechanisms such as "indigeneity" clauses, quota systems, and regional residency restrictions, transforming ethnicity into the primary vehicle for political inclusion and exclusion. When an ethnic group is systematically denied full citizenship rights within the civic state and targeted by structural disenfranchisement, its members are relegated to the status of subjugated subjects, leaving ethnic nationalism and secession as their only viable avenues for self-determination.

Ethnic Dissonance, Nationalism, and Multiculturalism

The concepts of ethnic conflict, nationalism, and multiculturalism provide a framework for understanding the complexities of identity and conflict in multi-ethnic postcolonial states. Tina Kempin Reuter defines ethnic conflict as "a form of conflict in which the objectives of at least one party are defined in ethnic terms, and the conflict, its antecedents, and possible solutions are perceived along ethnic lines. The conflict is usually not about ethnic differences themselves but over political, economic, social, cultural, or territorial matters" (par. 1). Robert H. Bates et al. similarly describe it as "sustained violent conflict in which national, ethnic, and religious or other communal minorities challenge governments to seek major changes in status" (6). Building on these formulations, *ethnic dissonance* in this study refers to the systemic friction, psychological alienation, and political discord that arise when state institutions privilege particular ethno-cultural blocs while denying others equity, security, and equal dignity. It therefore captures not ethnicity itself, but the tensions produced when institutional arrangements convert ethnic difference into unequal citizenship.

This understanding is closely connected to nationalism. Kelechi Chika Ubaku, Chikezie Anyalewachi Emeh, and Chinenye Nkiru Anyikwa define nationalism as "a term generally used to describe two phenomena: first, the attitude which members of a nation have when they care about their natural identity; and second, the actions that the members of a nation take when seeking to sustain self-determination" (55). Similarly, A. I. Nwabughuogu describes nationalism as a "strong devotion to one's own country, patriotic feelings, efforts, principles... a consciousness on the part of individuals or groups of membership in a nation, or a desire to forward the strength, liberty or prosperity of a nation" (4). In this study, the distinction between civic nationalism and ethnic nationalism is therefore crucial. Civic nationalism is grounded in loyalty to the institutions and constitutional ideals of the sovereign state, whereas ethnic nationalism derives legitimacy from shared ancestry, language, and cultural survival (Smith 21). Where civic institutions fail to guarantee security, justice, and equal citizenship, attachment to the wider nation may become fractured, allowing ethnic identity to assume greater political and psychological significance.

Multiculturalism provides a further dimension to this relationship. John W. Berry argues that genuine multiculturalism requires: "…a national social framework of institutions (the larger society) that accommodates the interests and needs of the numerous cultural groups, and which are fully incorporated as ethnocultural groups into this national framework." (2.2)

Thus, multiculturalism requires more than demographic diversity; it requires institutional accommodation, political equity, and recognition of cultural difference. Where these conditions are absent, ethnic diversity can become a source of alienation, stereotyping, and conflict. Ethnic dissonance consequently emerges at the intersection of failed civic nationalism and inadequate multicultural accommodation, providing the conceptual basis for examining how both *Half of a Yellow Sun* and *Verses of Uncharted Heaven* represent the fragility of Nigerian national identity.

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun*: The Structural Disintegration of the Postcolonial Nation

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* provides a sophisticated fictionalized critique of the foundational crises of the postcolonial Nigerian nation-state. By focusing her narrative on the tumultuous historical decade between the early 1960s and 1970, Adichie excavates the ideological contradictions that led directly from post-independence euphoria to military coups, genocidal pogroms, and total civil war. Through a structuralist system of character foils and ideological polarities, Adichie demonstrates how the abstract ideal of a unified Nigerian civic consciousness collapsed under the weight of regional hegemony, post-independence corruption, and deep-seated ethnic suspicion.

The Academic Dialectic: Pan-African Idealism versus Primordial Authenticity

Both *Half of a Yellow Sun* and *Verses of Uncharted Heaven* interrogate the tension between civic universalism and primordial authenticity, particularly the difficulty of sustaining Nigerian identity across ethnic divisions. In Adichie's novel, this tension is articulated intellectually at the University of Nigeria, Nsukka, through Odenigbo's debate with Miss Adebayo, a Yoruba lecturer who advocates Pan-African solidarity:

"Of course we all alike, we all have white oppression in common." Miss Adebayo said dryly. "Pan-Africanism is simply the most sensible response." "Of course, of course, but my point is that the only authentic identity for the African is the tribe," Master said. "I am Nigerian because a white man created Nigeria and gave me that identity... But I was Igbo before the white man came." (Adichie 20)

Odenigbo's challenge to Pan-African and Nigerian identities finds a parallel in Ile's Jemis, who initially embraces Nigerian civic identity precisely because he believes it can transcend ethnicity. Choosing Zamad Bell University in the North, he declares: "...it is here in Nigeria and I'm a Nigerian as well as they are, I believe in merit, it stands above ethnic suspicion" (Ile 144).

The contrast is significant: while Odenigbo questions the authenticity of a colonial national identity, Jemis actively invests in its promise of equality. Yet both positions encounter institutional contradictions. Adichie develops Odenigbo's critique when Miss Adebayo praises Cardinal Rex Lawson as a "true Nigerian" for transcending his Kalabari identity. Odenigbo counters: "This nationalism that means we should aspire to indifference about our own individual cultures is stupid" (Adichie 109). Similarly, Jemis's commitment to merit is undermined by JAMB quota policies that favour lower-scoring local candidates on regional grounds. As Berry argues, sustainable multiculturalism requires institutional accommodation rather than cultural erasure (2.4).

The novels consequently move from intellectual debate to lived consequences. In *Half of a Yellow Sun*, Olanna's experience of the northern massacres, seeing her relatives butchered and encountering a mother carrying her daughter's severed head in a calabash, exposes the failure of the Nigerian state to protect citizens across ethnic boundaries (Adichie 149). In *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*, Jemis's exclusion similarly exposes the failure of institutions to uphold the civic equality he has chosen to believe in. Violence in Adichie and bureaucratic exclusion in Ile therefore reveal different manifestations of the same contradiction.

Thus, neither text simply opposes ethnic identity to Nigerian nationalism. Rather, both examine what happens when civic ideals encounter institutions that reproduce ethnic differentiation. Adichie traces this contradiction through intellectual contestation and state violence, while Ile traces it through educational aspiration and bureaucratic discrimination. In both, the credibility of civic nationalism ultimately depends upon institutions capable of protecting citizens and applying citizenship without ethnic privilege.

The January 1966 Coup: The Perversion of Civic Patriotism

The fragile civic structure of the First Republic reaches its breaking point during the January 15, 1966 military coup d'état. Adichie portrays the coup as an ideological event interpreted through radically opposing political lenses. Led primarily by idealistic, young, Sandhurst-trained junior officers, predominantly of Igbo origin, under the leadership of Major Chukwuma Kaduna Nzeogwu, the coup plotters justify their intervention through the universalist language of civic patriotism and moral regeneration:

My dear countrymen, the aim of the Revolutionary Council is to establish a nation free from corruption and internal strife. Our enemies are the political profiteers, the swindlers, the men in high and low places that seek bribes and demand ten per cent, those that seek to keep the country divided permanently so that they can remain in office, the tribalists, the nepotists, those that make the country look big for nothing before the international circles, those that have corrupted our society. (Adichie 123)

In its formal syntax and declared intent, Nzeogwu's broadcast reflects a textbook defense of civic nationalism. The coup plotters cast themselves as non-partisan, patriotic agents of modernization, intervening to save the state from political corruption, electoral fraud, and regional chauvinism. Within Odenigbo's intellectual circle, the coup is initially greeted with uncritical celebration. Okeoma, the radical poet, heralds the young majors as heroic saviours of the republic: "This is the end of corruption! This is what we needed to happen since that general strike... There was excitement in their voices even when they talked about the people who were killed" (Adichie 125).

Yet, within the structural reality of Peter Ekeh's "Two Publics," universal civic interventions cannot escape the distorting prism of ethnic suspicion. Because the coup resulted in the targeted execution of key Northern and Western leaders, including the Northern Premier, Sir Ahmadu Bello, Prime Minister Abubakar Tafawa Balewa, and Western Premier Ladoke Akintola, while prominent Igbo leaders such as President Nnamdi Azikiwe and Eastern Premier Michael Okpara were spared, the military intervention was instantly interpreted along regional and ethnic lines.

As Chinua Achebe dryly observes in *There Was a Country*, "those who knew Nigeria were not very surprised, because part of the way to respond to confusion in Nigeria is to blame those from the other ethnic group or the other side of the country" (66). Adichie captures this swift shift from patriotic optimism to ethnic paranoia in an exchange between Professor Ezeka and Odenigbo. When Ezeka points out that "it was mostly Northerners who were killed," Odenigbo responds defensively: "The BBC should be asking their people who put the Northerners in government to dominate everybody!" (125). Here, Adichie exposes the structural rot at the core of the postcolonial state: regional dominance had become deeply embedded within the institutions of governance.

As political scientist Milton Esman points out, "when an ethnic group gains control of the state, important economic assets are soon transferred to the members of that community" (229). The First Republic failed to establish transparent, meritocratic, and equitable institutional checks on power; consequently, political appointments, resource allocation, and military promotions were viewed as regional spoils won at the expense of rival groups. In such a compromised political environment, any claim to non-partisan "civic nationalism" is quickly unmasked as a strategic maneuver to advance particularistic regional interests.

The Pogroms and the Breakdown of the Sovereign Social Contract

The narrative turning point of *Half of a Yellow Sun* occurs when latent ethnic dissonance erupts into systematic, state-sanctioned violence. In the wake of the July 1966 Northern counter-coup led by officers seeking vengeance for the January assassinations, organized civilian mobs and military detachments execute coordinated pogroms

against Easterners living across the cities of Northern and Western Nigeria (Onukaogu and Onyerionwu 75). Adichie removes the violence from the realm of abstract statistics, grounding it in the visceral, bodily trauma suffered by civilian characters.

This horror is depicted through Olanna's journey to Kano to visit her former lover, Mohammed, and her relatives, Uncle Mbaezi and Aunty Ifeka. During her stay, Kano dissolves into an apocalyptic zone of communal violence. Adichie portrays this rapid descent through chilling imagery: "'A bus drove past, dusty and yellow, it looked like one of those campaign buses that politicians used to tour rival areas and give out rice and cash to villagers. The man was hanging out of the door, a loudspeaker pressed to his mouth, his slow Hausa words resonating. "The Igbo must go. The infidels must go. The Igbo must go.'" (Adichie 147)

Here, the apparatus of democratic political mobilization, the campaign bus and loudspeaker, is repurposed into an instrument of ethnic expulsion. The secular language of constitutional citizenship is replaced by a potent blend of religious fundamentalism and xenophobia: the Igbo are branded both as ethnic outsiders and as religious "infidels" whose extermination is presented as a moral duty.

Shortly thereafter, Olanna arrives at her relatives' compound to discover a scene of horrific slaughter: Uncle Mbaezi lies beheaded in the dirt, and Aunty Ifeka's severed limbs bear witness to indiscriminate, genocidal violence. Escaping the city on a refugee train bound for the East, Olanna witnesses a grief-stricken mother carrying a calabash containing the severed head of her young daughter, complete with braided hair and open, lifeless eyes (Adichie 149). This sequence illustrates the total collapse of the postcolonial state's social contract. In classical political theory, the absolute justification for state sovereignty is Thomas Hobbes's foundational compact: the citizen surrenders certain natural freedoms to the Leviathan in exchange for the absolute guarantee of physical survival and security (Hobbes 88).

When the apparatuses of the state namely the army, police, and local government, actively orchestrate, support, or permit the massacre of an identifiable demographic based solely on their ethnic origin, the state forfeits all moral, legal, and political authority. For Olanna, Odenigbo, and the millions of Easterners fleeing to their ancestral homeland, the concept of a shared "Nigerian nation" is shattered. Civic nationalism ceases to be a noble, patriotic ideal; it becomes a dangerous delusion that demands obedience to an apparatus of extermination.

Biafra as an Existential Sanctuary and the Re-inscription of Difference

Within this context of structural disenfranchisement and escalating instability—manifested in the 1962/63 census disputes, the violent 1965 Western Region electoral crisis, the January 15, 1966 coup led by Major Chukwuma Kaduna Nzeogwu, the July 1966 counter-coup, and the coordinated anti-Igbo pogroms—the novel frames secession not as an opportunistic rebellion, but as an unavoidable act of collective self-preservation. Crucially, following the diplomatic collapse of the January 1967 Aburi Accord, the Federal Military Government reneged on agreed confederal terms and unilaterally divided the Eastern Region into three states to sever the Igbo hinterland from the coastal oil fields. This cartographic fracture precipitated Lieutenant Colonel Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu's declaration of the Sovereign Republic of Biafra on May 30, 1967. As Terhemba Shija notes: "these sentiments create a fertile ground for Ojukwu's formal declaration of the Republic of Biafra which is essentially a choice between federalism and ethnicity suggested in an earlier discussion between Odenigbo and Miss Adebayo" (32).

Biafra emerges within the symbolic architecture of the text as an ideological counter-state founded on shared suffering, existential security, and technological innovation. Mobilizing the ethno-symbolic power of shared trauma and ancestral solidarity, the secession elicits intense patriotic commitment: civil servants donate savings, scientists engineer improvised weapons and bush refineries, and poets like Okeoma compose anthems for the young republic.

Adichie avoids romanticizing the secessionist state, chronicling the horrific thirty-month military blockade orchestrated by the Federal Military Government with British and Soviet backing. This campaign illustrates Achille Mbembe's concept of "Necropolitics"—the sovereign prerogative to dictate who may live and who must die. Federal sovereignty transforms into raw necropower through Chief Obafemi Awolowo's doctrine that

"starvation is a legitimate weapon of war" (Achebe 233). By operationalizing hunger, air raids on refugee markets, and total land and sea interdiction, the state manufactures a "death-world" where over one million civilians are rendered expendable. Adichie records the physical consequences of this necropolitical siege: widespread kwashiorkor, mass starvation, and citizens reduced to eating lizards and raw starch.

Through this devastation, Adichie exposes the internal contradictions that undermined the secessionist dream. The pressures of total warfare reproduced the very structural inequalities Biafra sought to escape: minority groups like the Efik, Ibibio, and Ogoni were treated with suspicion as saboteurs, while elite hoarding corrupted food aid distribution.

The collapse of Biafra in January 1970 subjected survivors to punitive policies, including the twenty-pound currency exchange ceiling and the Abandoned Properties Act in Port Harcourt (Achebe 235). While General Yakubu Gowon declared "No Victor, No Vanquished" alongside the unfulfilled "Three Rs" (Reconciliation, Rehabilitation, and Reconstruction), the state instituted an official regime of enforced amnesia. In response, Adichie's narrative operates within what Paul Gilroy terms the counter-culture of modernity: invoking subaltern counter-memory to resist hegemonic state erasure and expose how the utopian promise of ethnic nationalism was shattered by the brutal realities of modern geopolitical conflict.

James Onyebuchi Ile's *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*: The Post-Memory of Structural Marginalization

While Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* investigates the historical crisis of the first generation of Biafrans, James Onyebuchi Ile's *Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra* interrogates its contemporary, unresolved aftermath. Ile shifts the narrative focus to the post-war era, tracing the pervasive socio-political alienation that has characterized the experiences of younger generations of Igbos in the decades following the civil war. Ile demonstrates that the official federal slogan of "No Victor, No Vanquished," declared by General Yakubu Gowon at the end of the war, was a political myth designed to obscure the systematic institutional disenfranchisement of the defeated population.

Metafiction and the Repression of Memory

The narrative architecture of *Verses of Uncharted Heaven* is characterized by self-reflexivity and intertextuality. The novel opens with the arrest and imprisonment of Lumumba, a radical intellectual and activist detained by state security operatives for authoring a fiercely pro-Biafran political novel. Terhemba Shija unpacks this structural device: through a matrix of inter-textuality, metafiction and cross-characterisation, the author does not only link Lumumba with the legendary nationalist figure of the Congo, but his main character, James or Jemis is also the name of the author and is depicted as having read German as a course in the university as well as written the fiercely pro-Biafran novel, *Memory Lane* that is the bone of contention in the novel. ("The Ethnic Novel Genre" 176)

By naming his political martyr after Patrice Lumumba who is the the icon of authentic Pan-African liberation who was assassinated through the collusion of Western imperialist forces and local neocolonial agents, Ile establishes an explicit ideological parallel. Just as the imperialists murdered Lumumba to crush the Congolese revolution, the post-war Nigerian state apparatus uses state security agencies, censorship, and political imprisonment to suppress historical memories of Biafra.

The embedded text, *Memory Lane*, operates as a literary counter-monument. In post-war Nigeria, where the history of the civil war was systematically excised from secondary school curricula for decades to preserve an artificial national unity (Achebe 228), writing about Biafra is treated by the state as an act of subversion. As Dul Johnson observes:

"given the developments in Nigeria's politics of ethnicity, no Nigerian will ignore or even take lightly the subtitle of Ile's novel: *The Second Coming of Biafra*, or the novel's ethnic references... the title of the novel itself hinges literally by the book's cover design which paints a picture that appears to represent Igbo settlement being razed or ravaged by soldiers, with a few casualties on the ground." ("The Ethnic Novel" 8)

By introducing the novel with an epigraph from the biblical Book of Habakkuk, which asserts that the appointed vision will surely come to pass, Ile structures his work as a warning to the Nigerian state. He posits that the continued suppression of historical trauma and the ongoing structural marginalization of the Igbo will inevitably lead to the re-emergence of neo-Biafran resistance.

The Illusion of Meritocracy: Educational Disenfranchisement and Structural Quotas

The coming-of-age trajectory of the protagonist, Jemis (James), serves as a devastating critique of post-war civic nationalism. Jemis's father, Papa Ndudim, embodies a quietist, devout Christian worldview. Having migrated with his family to the village of Guzegu, Papa Ndudim attempts to transcend local ethnic prejudices by focusing on a transcendent, heavenly homeland. He urges his son to acquire Western secular education through his patron, Mr. Obeifuna, believing that academic merit provides an unassailable path to social mobility and civic integration (Ile 34).

Yet, Jemis's experiences reveal that the state's educational institutions are designed to maintain regional hegemony rather than reward individual merit. Even as a child preparing for his national common entrance examinations, Jemis is burdened by regional suspicions: "I was being haunted by the fear that I could fail these examinations: not because I did not know what I wrote, but because the markers of the examination sheets could be men from different ethnic group than mine, for examination papers of people from Biafra were always marked in another region and vice versa". (Ile 38)

Although Jemis overcomes this fear and secures admission into a prestigious federal unity college, the civic idealism taught within the institution proves to be an empty promise. The college principal addresses the incoming students, charging them to embrace a post-ethnic civic identity:

I hope you know now that you are no longer in primary schools... This is one of the colleges ear-marked to typify our Nigerianness. You must be ready to carry the torch of the new-awakening", he spoke with a baritone. Singing the school anthem lifted your spirit as its content effaced any trace of ethnic feeling in your mind... You beseeched God for unity in spite of your differences and asked together that gift of light: the will to shine as one. (Ile 112)

This scene illustrates the state's ideological apparatus in action (Althusser 142). The school utilizes state anthems, patriotic rituals, and moral appeals to assimilate marginalized youths into a standardized patriotic mold. The institution demands that students abandon all "ethnic feeling" in service to an idealized "Nigerianness." The hypocrisy of this educational assimilation project is brutally exposed when Jemis applies to university. Strongly believing in national unity, Jemis rejects the advice of his peers to attend an Eastern institution and instead applies to Zamad Bell University, Zina (a thinly disguised fictionalization of Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, located in Kaduna State). When his master's daughter, Maria, questions why he would choose an institution located in a region historically hostile to his people, Jemis responds with unwavering civic optimism: "...it is here in Nigeria and I'm a Nigerian as well as they are, I believe in merit, it stands above ethnic suspicion" (Ile 144). However, Jemis's belief in civic meritocracy is crushed by institutional gatekeeping. He achieves exceptional scores on the unified Joint Admissions and Matriculation Board (JAMB) examinations, easily surpassing the published merit cut-off for his chosen program. Unfortunately, his admission is denied, while candidates from the host region who scored far below the national average are admitted in his place under the protection of the federal government's "catchment area" and "educationally less developed states" quota policies.

When Jemis confronts this injustice, his mentor, Mr. Obeifuna, provides a biting analysis of the socio-political reality governing the post-war state:

...know it now son that your identity as a man of Biafra, for a fact, does not augur well with some people: Your presence as a man of Biafra will spark off feelings of uneasiness in certain circle—you can't escape the experience. Reasons: You fought a daring war of self-determination; you have both the mental and material resources; you are adventurous; you're self-assertive—these tend to put you at a disadvantage: qualities needed in men for a nation to grow." (Ile 147–148)

Mr. Obeifuna's diagnosis reveals the structural trap confronting the vanquished group in the post-civil war state. The very attributes essential for national modernization, entrepreneurial initiative, educational excellence, and self-assertiveness, are viewed by the dominant ruling class with deep suspicion. The post-war state uses institutional quotas not to build an equitable, inclusive society, but to handicap the defeated population and maintain regional political hegemony. This reality is confirmed when Jemis meets an Igbo professor working within the university's admissions office. The professor offers no administrative remedy, offering only weary resignation: "That is it. They don't want you... I have not been able to be convinced that I belong here, either, neither will you be" (Ile 174). Through this encounter, Ile illustrates how the post-war settlement reduces the promises of shared citizenship to empty slogans.

Ethnic Stereotyping, Communal Lynching, and State Fragility

Ile expands his structural critique beyond institutional gatekeeping, illustrating how deep-seated ethnic prejudice permeates everyday life. The text constructs a stark cultural dichotomy between the entrepreneurial Igbo diaspora and their northern hosts in the city of Hafana:

The growth of Hafana as a major northern city attracted many from the south, who were relatively more qualified than their northern counterparts... Many (Igbos) were shocked by the crass ignorance of the hosts, for whom religion and tradition mattered most. Patrick could not understand why the people had to be picked while they were grazing their herds of cattle and brought to school. Did they not know that education held the key to their future, he wondered shrugging his shoulders. Wherever he (Patrick) went, he saw how children and adults balled their hands in a demonstration of power and greeted him: *rankadede*, as if he was one of their leaders, who seemed to be God's representatives on earth. (Ile 8–9)

This characterization highlights the lack of mutual cultural understanding between the groups. While the southern characters view their hosts as fatalistic, uneducated, and bound by feudal traditions, the host population views the Igbo merchants as arrogant, materialistic, and culturally abrasive outsiders who exploit the local economy while harboring contempt for local Islamic traditions. Ile captures this mutual contempt through street encounters. A young northern beggar solicits alms from Patrick in broken, ungrammatical Pidgin: "since yesterday, Uga, I never chof. I go give me money to chof, please" (Ile 9). The beggar demands charity with an underlying sense of entitlement, treating the southern merchant as an outsider obligated to support the local population.

This cultural friction turns deadly through the tragic experience of Patrick Ndudi, Jemis's relative and a philanthropic patent medicine vendor in Hafana. Patrick serves the marginalized urban poor, treating indigent residents who cannot afford state hospitals. He successfully treats a local northern man, Ismail Musa, who was suffering from a severe venereal infection that threatened to leave him impotent. Although Patrick saves his life, Musa becomes impotent from the advanced stages of the disease. Instead of recognizing his medical condition, Musa accuses Patrick of using occult rituals to steal his manhood to build his business wealth: "...he began to tell people that Patrick had used his private part for money ritual; and that was why he was very rich. The prejudicial rumour of his people's love for money became the topic of discussion at every mosque. Hate built up gradually." (Ile 10)

This incident exposes the power of the referential code of ethnic stereotyping. The common stereotype of the Igbo as obsessively materialistic and ruthless in pursuit of wealth is mobilized through religious networks to justify ethnic violence. The consequences are swift and brutal. Returning home from a rural market, Patrick is ambushed by three young northern vigilantes armed with concealed daggers: "Nyamiri! Stop there! I no de tire for money? I go even kill my mother for ritual bekwos of money." (Ile 10) The vigilantes yell the derogatory anti-Igbo slur "*Nyamiri*" (a bastardization of the Igbo phrase *nye m mmiri*—"give me water," dating back to early colonial contact) before stabbing Patrick to death on the street.

Patrick's murder sets off widespread communal violence and nationwide retaliatory riots that bring the state to the verge of collapse. The civilian government is overthrown in a military coup led by General Mohammed Aliyu. In an effort to contain the violence, the new military dictator declares a state of emergency and convenes a national sovereign conference. In an internal monologue, General Aliyu acknowledges the fundamental

instability of the postcolonial state: “Nigeria was a mere geographical expression—comprising many nations. There was no case parrying, for we all, boldly faced the fact—that is, that for peace to reign in the geographical entity, the ethnic groups that live in it must be independent of one another, that the name, Nigeria must be rendered down to three or more different countries.” (Ile 12–13) By having the military head of state quote Chief Obafemi Awolowo’s 1947 description of the country as a "mere geographical expression," Ile demonstrates that decades of independence, military interventions, and constitutional revisions have failed to solve the fundamental problem of the Nigerian state. The nation remains an unstable collection of historically distinct nationalities trapped within an imperial border.

As one of Ile’s characters concludes, the ship of state cannot function because: "every issue in the polity was interpreted on ethnic lines, every stronghold of a group was suspected as ploy to dominate others, life was, therefore, compelled to be lived in fragments so that the ship of state could only function as desired by the group in power." (38)

Ile’s novel demonstrates that the contemporary resurgence of neo-Biafran separatist movements such as the Movement for the Actualization of the Sovereign State of Biafra (MASSOB) and the Indigenous People of Biafra (IPOB) is not an irrational, nostalgic aberration. Rather, it is the predictable consequence of an exclusionary postcolonial state that systematically marginalizes its citizens while repressing their historical memories.

Comparative Structural and Thematic Analysis

A comparative structural reading of *Half of a Yellow Sun* and *Verses of Uncharted Heaven* reveals how both texts use similar narrative strategies to critique the myth of Nigerian civic nationalism. Although writing from different temporal and physical vantage points Adichie reconstructing historical war trauma from the diaspora, and Ile examining post-war structural marginalization from within Nigeria both authors demonstrate that postcolonial civic nationalism cannot survive within an inequitable state structure.

Comparative Structural Analysis

A comparative reading of Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie’s *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006) and James Onyebuchi Ile’s *Verses of Uncharted Heaven* (2014) reveals two distinct but interconnected representations of Nigerian political history, ethnic identity, marginalisation, and the persistence of secessionist consciousness. In *Half of a Yellow Sun*, the narrative is temporally situated between 1960 and 1970, encompassing Nigerian independence, the First Republic, the Northern pogroms, the counter-coup, and the Nigerian–Biafran Civil War. Its spatial geography moves across Lagos, Nsukka, and Kano before narrowing into the shrinking Biafran enclaves of Enugu, Umuahia, and Orlu. The novel's primary structural conflict is between civic Pan-Africanism and primordial ethnocultural survival, embodied in the competing ideological positions of characters such as Odenigbo, whose commitment to cultural authenticity shapes his political consciousness; Miss Adebayo, associated with Pan-Africanist ideals; and Olanna, whose experience of war produces a more complex cosmopolitan awakening.

In *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*, by contrast, the temporal setting extends from the post-Civil War period into the early twenty-first century, encompassing military rule, the Fourth Republic, and the emergence of contemporary Neo-Biafran consciousness. Its spatial geography encompasses Guzegu, unity secondary colleges, Hafana in the North, Zamad Bell University, and Zina. The novel's central structural conflict is framed as ideological meritocracy versus institutionalised marginalisation, particularly through the operation of quotas and other forms of systemic exclusion. This conflict is articulated through figures such as Jemis, the disillusioned student; Lumumba, the martyred writer; and Mr Obeifuna, the socio-political critic. While Adichie's novel locates the immediate catalyst of violence in the Northern pogroms of May–September 1966 and the atrocities surrounding the counter-coup, Ile's narrative relocates the problem into a later historical context, where the communal lynching of Patrick Ndudi in Hafana and the state's detention of dissident intellectuals demonstrate the continuing relationship between ethnic suspicion, institutional power, and political violence.

The structural binary in *Half of a Yellow Sun* is consequently constructed around Federal Hegemony at the centre and Biafran self-preservation at the periphery. In *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*, this binary is reformulated as state-sanctioned quotas and institutional systems on one side and individual academic merit and subaltern

aspiration on the other. The two novels also assign significant importance to historical memory as a means of contesting official narratives. In Adichie's novel, suppressed wartime trauma is recovered through the experiences of subaltern characters, while Ugwu's authorship of the historical account becomes an important means of reclaiming the Biafran experience from dominant historical representations. In Ile's novel, resistance to state censorship is similarly mediated through *Memory Lane*, presented as a banned but emancipatory counter-text through which suppressed histories and dissenting voices can survive.

Ultimately, the two novels offer related but historically differentiated diagnoses of Nigerian nationalism and the conditions that generate secessionist consciousness. *Half of a Yellow Sun* suggests that civic nationalism becomes increasingly untenable when the state itself becomes an agent of atrocity and insecurity, making secession appear to some characters as an existential form of self-preservation. *Verses of Uncharted Heaven* extends this logic beyond the Civil War, suggesting that the persistence of structural exclusion, institutional discrimination, and the suppression of dissent can reproduce the conditions from which renewed secessionist sentiments emerge. Read together, the novels therefore establish a historical continuum in which the unresolved questions of citizenship, ethnic belonging, institutional justice, and collective memory continue to shape the relationship between Nigerian national identity and Biafran consciousness.

Thematic Synthesis: The Failure of the Postcolonial "Melting Pot"

The structural trajectories of both texts converge on a shared realization that points towards the conclusion that the postcolonial Nigerian state has failed to function as an inclusive melting pot of cultures. Instead, both novels portray the state as an arena of constant ethnic competition, where dominant groups capture the institutions of governance to subjugate rival nationalities.

In *Half of a Yellow Sun*, Adichie demonstrates how the cosmopolitan pretensions of the educated elite collapse under the weight of regional violence. Characters who initially champion universal civic values such as Olanna and Odenigbo are forced by state-sanctioned violence to retreat into their ethnic identities for basic survival. The state does not operate as an impartial arbiter of justice, but as an instrument of terror deployed by one regional coalition against another. Equally, in *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*, Ile demonstrates that the end of military hostilities in 1970 did not resolve this fundamental contradiction. Instead, the post-war state institutionalized discriminatory practices under the guise of constitutional mechanisms like the "Federal Character" principle and regional admissions quotas. When young, brilliant citizens like Jemis are systematically denied academic opportunities simply because of their ethnic heritage, the state proves to its youth that primordial identity remains more powerful than constitutional citizenship.

Furthermore, both novels examine the vital role of the literary artist as a keeper of collective memory against state-enforced historical amnesia. In *Half of a Yellow Sun*, this is symbolized by Ugwu, the houseboy who rises from rural poverty to become the historian of his people's suffering, authoring the manuscript *The World Was Silent When We Died*. In *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*, this responsibility is embodied by Lumumba and Jemis, who risk state surveillance and imprisonment to publish *Memory Lane*. In both works, the act of writing functions as a structural act of resistance against an oppressive state that seeks to erase the traumatic history of its marginalized populations.

Socio-Political Implications: Rethinking Multiculturalism and Postcolonial Federalism

The literary critiques presented by Adichie and Ile carry profound socio-political implications for the governance of plural postcolonial states. Decades after the conclusion of the civil war, the "National Question" in Nigeria remains unresolved. The recurring crises of governance that keep manifesting in pastoralist-farmer conflicts, resource control agitations in the Niger Delta, Boko Haram terrorism in the North, and the militant resurgence of neo-Biafran secessionism in the Southeast confirm that the structural contradictions exposed in these texts continue to destabilize the Nigerian polity.

The Failure of Coercive Integration

Both texts illustrate that national cohesion cannot be forged through forced assimilation, military coercion, or empty patriotic slogans. In *Verses of Uncharted Heaven*, the state attempts to force students in federal unity

colleges to sing patriotic anthems that claim to "efface any trace of ethnic feeling." Yet, outside the school gates, the very institutions of the state practice ethnic favoritism and structural exclusion.

As John W. Berry warns, when a state demands that minority groups assimilate into a broader national identity without providing structural equity and institutional inclusion, the result is deep alienation and resistance (2.6). National unity cannot be achieved by commanding citizens to ignore their cultural identities; it can only be built on a foundation of institutional justice, the rule of law, and equal access to political and economic opportunities.

The Imperative of Authentic Federal Restructuring

To move beyond the destructive cycle of ethnic dissonance and the futility of an enforced nationalism, the Nigerian state must fundamentally restructure its constitutional architecture. The current over-centralized federal system, an inheritance from decades of military rule, concentrates power and resources in the central government, turning federal elections into high-stakes ethnic battles for control of the national treasury (Suberu 45).

To overcome this crisis, the postcolonial state must move toward genuine federalism, characterized by devolution of Powers in a situation where administrative, police, and fiscal autonomy are returned to federating regions or states, allowing local communities to govern their internal affairs, preserve their cultural heritage, and manage their economic resources. Equally, conscious efforts should be made to dismantle discriminatory state policies through replacing divisive "state of origin" and "indigeneity" requirements with clear, residency-based citizenship rights, guaranteeing every Nigerian full legal, economic, and political protection wherever they choose to live. Next, there should be deliberate plan towards institutional meritocracy and targeted equity thereby ensuring that policies aimed at balancing regional development do not become institutional mechanisms for punishing academic excellence or excluding qualified citizens from national opportunities. Lastly, the state should cultivate the culture of transitional justice and inclusive history by integrating the history of the Nigerian-Biafran Civil War into the national educational curriculum, acknowledging state-sponsored atrocities, and providing restorative justice to communities that continue to bear the scars of historic violence.

CONCLUSION

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* and James Onyebuchi Ile's *Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra* stand as essential literary diagnostics of the crisis of nationhood in postcolonial Nigeria. Through sophisticated narrative structures, complex characterization, and deep historical insight, both authors expose the profound failure of the postcolonial state to build an inclusive, egalitarian, and enduring civic identity.

Adichie's historical novel lays bare the tragic flaws of the First Republic, demonstrating how regional political rivalries, military interventions, and state-sanctioned pogroms destroyed the fledgling post-independence nation and rendered the Biafran secession an unavoidable act of survival. Ile's post-war narrative extends this critique into the contemporary era, illustrating how institutionalized discrimination, educational quotas, ethnic stereotyping, and the violent suppression of historical memory perpetuate the marginalization of the defeated population, fueling the resurgence of neo-Biafran agitations.

Read together through the complementary lenses of structuralist literary theory and postcolonial political sociology, these novels establish that ethnic dissonance is not an accidental blemish upon an otherwise healthy postcolonial state. Rather, it is the predictable systemic consequence of a state founded on colonial convenience, sustained through internal hegemony, and maintained without institutional equity or genuine multicultural accommodation.

By exposing the ideological contradictions of postcolonial nation-building, Adichie and Ile demonstrate that genuine national unity cannot be built through silence, state coercion, or the forced erasure of ethnocultural identity. Lasting peace and national cohesion require dismantling the structures of internal dominance, confronting the unhealed traumas of history, and building a truly democratic, inclusive, and equitable society grounded in the universal dignity of all its citizens.

WORKS CITED

1. Achebe, Chinua. There Was a Country: A Personal History of Biafra. Penguin Books, 2012.
2. Adejumobi, Said. "Elections in Africa: A Fading Shadow of Democracy?" International Political Science Review, vol. 21, no. 1, 2000, pp. 59–73.
3. Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. Half of a Yellow Sun. Farafina, 2006.
4. Althusser, Louis. Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays. Translated by Ben Brewster, Monthly Review Press, 1971.
5. Anderson, Benedict. Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism. Verso, 1983.
6. Awolowo, Obafemi. Path to Nigerian Freedom. Faber and Faber, 1947.
7. Barthes, Roland. S/Z: An Essay. Translated by Richard Miller, Hill and Wang, 1974.
8. Bates, Robert H., et al. Political Instability Task Force Report: Phase IV Findings. Science Applications International Corporation, 2003.
9. Berry, John W. "Integration and Multiculturalism: Ways towards Social Solidarity." Papers on Social Representations, vol. 20, no. 2, 2011, pp. 2.1–2.21.
10. Coleman, James S. Nigeria: Background to Nationalism. University of California Press, 1958.
11. Ekeh, Peter P. "Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement." Comparative Studies in Society and History, vol. 17, no. 1, 1975, pp. 91–112.
12. Esman, Milton J. Ethnic Politics. Cornell University Press, 1994.
13. Geertz, Clifford. The Interpretation of Cultures. Basic Books, 1973.
14. Gellner, Ernest. Nations and Nationalism. Cornell University Press, 1983.
15. Habermas, Jürgen. "Citizenship and National Identity: Some Reflections on the Future of Europe." Praxis International, vol. 12, no. 1, 1992, pp. 1–19.
16. Hobbes, Thomas. Leviathan. Edited by Richard Tuck, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
17. Ile, James Onyebuchi. Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra. Rosen, 2014.
18. Johnson, Dul. "The Ethnic Novel: Impediment or Facilitator of Nationhood? The Example of James Onyebuchi Ile's Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra." Journal of the Literary Society of Nigeria, issue 8, 2016, pp. 1–14.
19. Joseph, Richard A. Democracy and Prebendal Politics in Nigeria: The Rise and Fall of the Second Republic. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
20. Kellaway, Kate. "Review: Half of a Yellow Sun by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie." The Observer, 19 Aug. 2006.
21. Kirk-Greene, Anthony H. M. Crisis and Conflict in Nigeria: A Documentary Sourcebook 1966–1970. Vol. 1, Oxford University Press, 1971.
22. Lévi-Strauss, Claude. Structural Anthropology. Translated by Claire Jacobson and Brooke Grundfest Schoepf, Basic Books, 1963.
23. Mamdani, Mahmood. Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of LateColonialism. Princeton University Press, 1996.
24. Mishra, Sreelekha, and C. Bharath Kumar. "Multiculturalism and Nation-Building: A Conceptual Analysis." Journal of Philosophy and Culture, vol. 4, no. 2, 2014, pp. 63–71.
25. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o. Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature. James Currey, 1986.
26. Nwabughuogu, A. I. Problems of Nation Building in Africa. FASMEN Communications, 2004.
27. Onukaogu, Allwell Abalogun, and Ezechi Onyerionwu. Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie: The Aesthetics of Commitment and Narrative. Kraft Books, 2010.
28. Reuter, Tina Kempin. "Ethnic Conflict." Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2020, https://www.britannica.com/topic/ethnic-conflict.
29. Rodney, Walter. How Europe Underdeveloped Africa. Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications, 1972.Saussure, Ferdinand de. Course in General Linguistics. Translated by Wade Baskin, Philosophical Library, 1959.
30. Shija, Terhemba. "Revisiting the De-Gaullean Philosophy of War: A Structuralist Analysis of the Ethnic, Class and Gender Struggles in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's Half of a Yellow Sun." Hyuku Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, vol. 1, no. 1, Sept. 2017, pp. 30–40.

31. ---. "The Ethnic Novel Genre and the Burden of National Integration: A Study of James Onyebuchi Ile's Verses of Uncharted Heaven: The Second Coming of Biafra and Mnguember Vicky Sylvester's Long Shadows." Nasarawa Journal of Political Science, vol. 4, nos. 3–4, Nov. 2016, pp. 174–183.
32. Sklar, Richard L. Nigerian Political Parties: Power in an Emergent African Nation. Princeton University Press, 1963.
33. Smith, Anthony D. The Ethnic Origins of Nations. Blackwell, 1986.
34. Suberu, Rotimi T. Federalism and Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria. United States Institute of Peace Press, 2001.
35. Ubaku, Kelechi Chika, Chikezie Anyalewachi Emeh, and Chinenye Nkiru Anyikwa. "Impact of Nationalist Movement on the Actualization of Nigerian Independence, 1914–1960." International Journal of History and Philosophical Research, vol. 2, no. 1, 2014, pp. 54–67.
36. Young, Crawford. The African Colonial State in Comparative Perspective. Yale University Press, 1994.