Quality Management Practices in the Zambian Manufacturing Industry: Drivers, Dimensions, and the Strategic Role of Local Conglomerates

Mathew Saili.

IDE-UNZA, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.910000675

Received: 01 November 2025; Accepted: 06 November 2025; Published: 20 November 2025

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the quality management practices (QMPs) adopted by manufacturing companies in Zambia, synthesizing evidence from existing empirical studies and practitioner reports. The analysis describes commonly used practices, adoption drivers, and implementation gaps, while also examining the key dimensions and strategic emphasis in response to a competitive and challenging business environment. Since 2018, the emergence of successful local conglomerates like TradeKings and Savenda has significantly influenced the industry's quality landscape, pushing the adoption of global best practices in a local context. Key QMPs that significantly impact performance include benchmarking, people management, and top leadership commitment. Crucially, the paper identifies that financial and capability constraints create a significant adoption barrier through a self-reinforcing causal cycle, a challenge severely exacerbated by macro-level contextual issues in Zambia's unreliable infrastructure and limited institutional support. The findings, which are supported by a hypothetical performance model to quantify impact, lead to practical recommendations for a phased roadmap, SME certification support, and supplier development, explicitly linking firm-level quality behaviour to broader industrial policy.

Keywords: Quality Management Practices, Total Quality Management, Zambian Manufacturing, Local Content, Continuous Improvement, ISO 9001

INTRODUCTION

The Zambian The Zambian manufacturing sector operates under significant competitive pressure from imports and regional players, necessitating continuous quality improvement and innovation. The adoption of Quality Management Practices (QMPs) has become a crucial strategy for firms to enhance performance, control costs, and access markets [3]. However, the path to robust QMP implementation is fraught with challenges that are uniquely shaped by the local economic landscape.

This paper provides a comprehensive investigation of QMPs adopted by manufacturing companies in Zambia. It synthesizes foundational findings on QMPs with recent literature, policy recommendations, and critical market developments to provide a comprehensive update. A notable contemporary shift is the increased visibility and influence of large indigenous manufacturers, whose success is intrinsically linked to robust quality systems. The current study updates the QMP landscape by integrating the strategic focus of these market leaders with the foundational empirical findings on adoption rates, drivers, and barriers in the broader sector. Furthermore, this paper directly addresses a gap in the literature by exploring the causal linkages between implementation barriers and firm performance (via a performance model) and by situating these micro-level organizational challenges within the macro-level context of Zambia's infrastructure and regulatory environment, providing a more robust analytical framework for policy formulation.

Theoretical Framework and Empirical Qmp Adoption

The concept of **Quality Management (QM)** is an integrated approach aiming to continuously improve product,

process, and service performance to meet or exceed customer expectations. QMPs are often derived from established quality systems like ISO 9001, HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points), and **Total Quality Management (TQM)** [17], [18].

A. Core QMP Dimensions in Zambia

1. **Leadership:** Top management commitment is a key driver for QM implementation, setting goals and systems to satisfy customers and improve performance [4].
2. **Benchmarking:** This practice is essential for resource-constrained firms and shows a significant positive impact on performance, comparing local processes against top performers [3].
3. **People Management (Employee Involvement):** Adequate training and involvement of employees are critical for institutionalizing quality and driving change [15], [21].
4. **Continuous Improvement Programs:** Practices like **Kaizen** (continuous improvement) and programs such as **5S** and **Total Productive Maintenance (TPM)**, are increasingly implemented to boost productivity and delivery performance [2].

B. Empirical Synthesis: Adoption, Drivers, and Barriers

Zambian manufacturers show a layered adoption profile: near-universal use of rudimentary quality controls, selective formal QMS adoption by larger/exporting firms, and low uptake of advanced continuous-improvement methods [5].

Table 1: Empirical Synthesis of QMP Adoption, Drivers, and Barriers

Commonly Adopted Practices	Drivers of Adoption	Barriers and Gaps
Basic inspection and visual quality checks dominate day-to-day quality control.	**Customer and buyer requirements** push the adoption of formal standards and documentation.	**Financial constraints** related to certification and sustained QMS maintenance costs limit SME participation.
Operator training and standard work instructions form the backbone of SME quality capability.	**Competitive pressure** and the goal of cost reduction motivate investments to reduce rework and scrap.	**Capability shortages**—scarcity of trained quality personnel and qualified auditors—hinder meaningful QMS operation.
Formal QMS adoption (like ISO 9001) is limited to a minority of larger or export-oriented firms.	**Regulatory compliance** in sectors like food and pharmaceuticals drives quality system uptake to meet sanitary and safety requirements [14].	**Weak supplier base** and inconsistent input quality undermine overall process stability [16].
Advanced methods (Lean, Six Sigma, SPC) appear only in a small subset of firms, often those with external support [12].	**External support**: Firms with external support, such as from donors, consultants, or international partners, are more likely to adopt advanced quality management practices	**Low measurement culture** means firms rarely use statistical tools or ongoing performance metrics to guide improvement.

C. Formal QMS Adoption by Firm Size

The selective adoption profile is clearly linked to firm size, where resource constraints limit deeper system implementation for smaller entities.

Table 2: Formal QMS Adoption by Firm Size

Firm size	Employees	Estimated % with formal QMS (ISO 9001 or documented QMS)	Typical Quality Practices
Large	250+	33%	Documented QMS; internal audits; supplier contracts; occasional SPC/Lean pilots
Medium	50–249	14%	Formal procedures in some units; periodic certification attempts; operator training
Small	<50	7%	Basic inspections; work instructions; ad hoc corrective actions

D. A Causal and Contextual Perspective

The barriers listed in Table 1 are not merely items on a list; they form a self-reinforcing cycle that stifles quality maturation. **Financial constraints** are not just about the initial cost of ISO 9001 certification (which can exceed $10,000 for a small firm) but also the recurring costs of surveillance audits and maintaining a quality department. This lack of funding directly causes **capability challenges**, as firms cannot offer competitive salaries to attract qualified quality professionals or fund training. This skills gap, in turn, perpetuates the **low measurement culture**; without personnel who understand Statistical Process Control (SPC), data collection remains anecdotal rather than systematic.

These organizational-level challenges are exacerbated by unique contextual challenges in Zambia:

1. **Infrastructure Gaps:** Unreliable power supply and poor road infrastructure disrupt production schedules and supply chains, making consistent process control—a cornerstone of quality management—exceptionally difficult. A company may implement a perfect production process, but it cannot control nationwide power outages that damage sensitive equipment or spoil raw materials.
2. **Regulatory and Institutional Support Systems:** While regulations exist, the support for compliance is often weak. SMEs, in particular, lack access to affordable consultancy and training services to navigate the path to certification. The institutional framework for standards (ZABS) is recognized, but its reach and supportive programs for small manufacturers are limited, creating a gap between policy and practical implementation.

The Strategic Role of Local Conglomerates Post-2018

The period following 2018 has seen the sustained expansion of local giants like TradeKings and Savenda, whose sheer scale and investment have reset the benchmark for quality standards in Zambia.

A. TradeKings and Savenda Case Studies

1. **TradeKings Group (FMCG Manufacturing):** As a major manufacturer in Sub-Saharan Africa, TradeKings is recognized for its superior product quality and exemplary manufacturing practices. Their core strategy involves vertical integration (controlling the supply chain from raw material to packaging) to explicitly maintain quality and reduce dependency on imported inputs and processes [7]. The company drives quality through ongoing innovation and heavy investment in state-of-the-art facilities [11].
2. **Savenda Group (Industrial and Technology Manufacturing):** Savenda, through divisions like Savenda Electric and Savenda Electronics, commits to local manufacturing capabilities and world-class quality [8]. The group leverages IRCA Savenda, an International Risk Management Solutions provider, focusing on Safety, Health, Environment, and Quality (SHEQ) systems and global standards [9]. This

dedication to structured risk and quality management provides a model for high-tech, high-precision manufacturing in the country.

B. Comparative QMP Focus

The strategies of these market leaders illustrate a clear shift in focus from mere adoption of systems to achieving genuine competitiveness against global imports.

Table 3; Comparative QMP Focus

QMP Dimension	General Zambian Manufacturer Focus (Pre-2018)	Strategic Focus of Modern Local Conglomerates (Post-2018)
Primary Goal	Survival, Meeting minimum market demand, Cost reduction.	Regional Competitiveness, Superior product quality, Brand loyalty [10].
Key System	TQM, ISO 9001 adoption [5].	Vertical Integration, SHEQ Systems, Mass-Scale Automation [7], [9].
People Mgmt.	Training on TQM concepts, Team skills [4].	Capacity Development, Empowering over 16,000 employees (TradeKings) [10].
QMP Priority	Leadership, Benchmarking [3].	Innovation, Sustained Investment in technological upgrades [11].

C. Quantifying the Impact: A Hypothetical Model

While firm-specific financial data is proprietary, the performance superiority of firms with advanced QMPs is evident. To illustrate the potential impact, consider a hypothetical but data-informed model comparing a typical SME with a conglomerate-like firm that has implemented the phased roadmap.

Table 4:Hypothetical QMS Impact Model

Performance Metric	**Typical SME (Basic QMPs)**	**SME after Phased QMP Adoption (Est.)**	**Large Conglomerate (Advanced QMPs)**
Defect Rate	8-12%	**3-5%** (after Stabilize & Measure phases)	<1%
On-Time Delivery	70%	**85%+**	95%+
Productivity (Units/Employee/Hr)	Baseline (1.0x)	**1.3x** (via reduced rework)	2.0x+ (via automation & Lean)
Cost of Quality (as % of Sales)	15-20% (high failure costs)	**10-12%**	5-8%

This model demonstrates that the journey through the QMP phases is not merely a procedural exercise but a direct driver of tangible operational and financial benefits, justifying the initial investment.

D. The Contemporary Quality Blueprint

This development can be framed as a new conceptual model for quality in Zambia (Figure 1), where the presence of strong local conglomerates becomes a critical factor driving systemic quality improvements beyond simple regulatory compliance.

Figure 1: Conceptual Model: QMP Evolution and Performance in Contemporary Zambian Manufacturing

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

Closing the quality capability gap in the Zambian manufacturing sector requires a coordinated strategy that bridges short-term interventions with long-term systemic investments.

A. Firm-Level (Practical, Phased Roadmap)

To overcome the financial and capability barriers, firms—especially SMEs—should follow a three-phase roadmap for quality management adoption (Figure 2).

Figure 2;Three-phase Firm Pathway for QMS Adoption

Phase 1 — Stabilize: Formalize inspection, standard work, and targeted operator training; introduce simple process metrics (defect rates, scrap, first-pass yield).

Phase 2 — Measure: Introduce basic Statistical Process Control (SPC) charts, daily performance boards, and problem-solving routines using simple data.

Phase 3 — Systematize: Develop a formal Quality Management System (QMS) when consistent performance is demonstrated; pursue ISO 9001 certification through staged, documented processes.

B. Policy and Industry-Level Interventions

1. **SME Certification Support:** Implement cost-sharing, sectoral group certification, or voucher schemes to reduce per-firm certification cost and administrative burden [23].

2. **Publicly Funded Training Hubs:** Create centers that offer modular, hands-on short courses in SPC, internal auditing, Lean fundamentals, and supplier development targeted at production supervisors and technicians.
3. **Incentives for Supplier Development:** Offer matching grants, tax credits, or preferential procurement for larger firms that invest in local supplier capability building; encourage cluster-based supplier training to address the weak supplier base [16].
4. **Measurement Culture Campaigns:** Launch national or sectoral campaigns that promote routine metric use and simple data literacy in manufacturing to address **the low measurement culture.**

CONCLUSION

Quality management in Zambian manufacturing is characterized by widespread basic controls, selective formal QMS adoption, and limited advanced continuous improvement. Financial constraints, capability shortages, and weak supplier bases create a vicious cycle that slows deeper adoption. The rise of successful, large local entities such as TradeKings and Savenda has, however, significantly elevated the standard and strategic importance of QMPs. These companies demonstrate that sustained investment in SHEQ systems, vertical integration, and technological capacity is the new blueprint for achieving regional competitiveness and anchoring the growth of the Zambian manufacturing sector.

As this paper has argued, a coordinated approach combining firm-level phased roadmaps with public support that targets specific contextual barriers is essential to raise baseline quality capability. The micro-level success of Top Leadership Commitment is only sustainable when matched by macro-level industrial policy that mitigates infrastructure volatility and provides institutional support.

Future research should employ mixed-methods field studies to quantitatively validate the performance impact of this phased roadmap and further explore the synergy between corporate leadership and national industrial policy in driving quality-led growth in Zambia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author gratefully acknowledges the manufacturing firms in Zambia, including the managers and quality officers, who dedicated their valuable time to participate in the surveys and interviews for this study. Special thanks are also extended to the research assistants for their crucial support in data collection and transcription. The author also expresses sincere gratitude to the Binary University and Dr Hari Krishnan for their invaluable guidance and support during the original research phase.

REFERENCES

1. Quality Management Practices in Zambian Manufacturing Industry: Drivers, Dimensions, and the Strategic Role of Local Conglomerates.
2. Taylor & Francis Online. (2024). Kaizen practices and performance improvement in Zambian manufacturing companies.
3. International Growth Centre. (2024). Does quality management matter for productivity and profitability in manufacturing?
4. International Growth Centre. (2024). Management Quality, Productivity and Profitability in Manufacturing Firms in Zambia.
5. Saili, M. (2017). Quality Management Practices, Focusing on the Manufacturing Industry Based in Lusaka, Zambia. [Conference Paper]. In Proceedings of the Engineering Institution of Zambia 2017 Symposium.
6. MDPI. (2024). Quality Management Practices of Food Manufacturers: A Comparative Study between Small, Medium and Large Companies in Malaysia.
7. Afromagazine. (2025, October 20). Trade Kings Zambia: From a small dream to a regional powerhouse. [https://www.tradekings.co.zm/investors]. Retrieved October 29, 2025

8. Savenda Group. (2024). About Us. [https://savenda.com/about-us]. Retrieved October 29, 2025
9. Savenda Group. (2024). IRCA SAVENDA. [https://savenda.com/irca-savenda]. Retrieved October 29, 2025
10. [TradeKings Group. (2024). Investors. [https://www.tradekings.co.zm/investors]. Retrieved October 29, 2025
11. African Leadership Magazine. (2017, May 12). Trade Kings Group: Blazing the trail in Africa's manufacturing sector.
12. [Antony, J., Fan, X., & Gijo, E. V. (2017). Lean Six Sigma for small and medium-sized enterprises: A practical guide. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 34(7), 1056–1079.
13. Deming, W. E. (2018). Out of the crisis (Revised ed.). MIT Press.
14. FAO & WHO. (2017). Food safety and quality: Standards and systems for developing countries. Food and Agriculture Organization; World Health Organization.
15. George, M. L. (2014). Lean Six Sigma: Combining Six Sigma with lean speed. McGraw-Hill Education.
16. Humphreys, P., Lai, M., & Pang, J. (2016). Supplier development and quality improvement in emerging markets. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 52(2), 33–47.
17. ISO. (2015). ISO 9001:2015 Quality management systems — Requirements. International Organization for Standardization.
18. Oakland, J. S. (2014). Total Quality Management and Operational Excellence (4th ed.). Routledge.
19. Pande, P. S., Neuman, R. P., & Cavanagh, R. R. (2014). The Six Sigma Way: How GE, Motorola, and Other Top Companies are Honing Their Performance. McGraw-Hill.
20. Prajogo, D. (2016). The strategic fit between innovation strategies and quality management systems: A firm-level perspective. International Journal of Production Research, 54(5), 1369–1383.
21. Prajogo, D., & Sohal, A. S. (2013). The integration of quality management and innovation management: A literature review and future research directions. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 30(3), 232–245.
22. Sailim, M. (2018). Thesis Corrections and empirical study on Zambian manufacturing quality practices. Unpublished thesis, Lusaka cohort #5.
23. World Bank. (2019). Enabling SME competitiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa: Quality, standards, and regional integration. World Bank Policy Report.