Identification of Factors Causing Low Resilience in Post-Stroke Patients: A Systematic Review

Izzudin Muhammad Firas*, Suhadianto, IGAA Noviekayati

Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya, Indonesia

***Corresponding Author**

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91100505

Received: 06 December 2025; Accepted: 12 December 2025; Published: 20 December 2025

ABSTRACT

Stroke is the leading cause of long-term disability worldwide, significantly affecting patients' physical, cognitive, and psychological abilities. Psychological resilience, as an adaptive capacity, is crucial for post-stroke patients to recover function and quality of life. However, many stroke survivors exhibit low levels of resilience, which implies an increased risk of depression, anxiety, and decreased rehabilitation compliance. Understanding the most consistent determinants of resilience is a crucial step in developing targeted interventions. This study aims to identify and synthesize the main causal factors contributing to low levels of psychological resilience in post-stroke patients, based on the latest empirical evidence from the scientific literature. This systematic review follows the PRISMA guidelines. Literature searches were conducted in electronic databases such as Google Scholar and PubMed using a combination of relevant keywords (MeSH terms), including "Resilience," "Stroke," "Post-Stroke," and "Determinants" or "Factors." The inclusion criteria were quantitative or qualitative research articles published between 2020 and 2025, available in full text in English or Indonesian, and focusing on factors that influence resilience in adult stroke patients. A total of 11 core articles met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed in depth. The determining factors were grouped into internal factors (such as self-efficacy, hope, self-esteem, and coping style) and external factors (such as social support, functional status, and environment). Key findings show that self-efficacy and social support are the most consistent predictors of resilience. Furthermore, resilience was found to be negatively associated with psychological conditions such as post-stroke depression and anxiety. Internal and external factors play an important role in determining post-stroke resilience. Nursing and rehabilitation interventions should focus on increasing self-efficacy and strengthening social support networks to improve patients' adaptive capacity.

Keywords: Psychological Resilience; Post-Stroke Patients; Self-Efficacy; Social Support.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a neurological emergency that ranks second as a cause of death and the leading cause of long-term disability globally (Wang et al., 2024). In Indonesia, data from the Ministry of Health shows a continuously increasing prevalence of stroke, reflecting a substantial disease burden on the national health system. Stroke often results in permanent neurological deficits, including hemiparesis, cognitive dysfunction, aphasia, and swallowing problems. These impacts are not limited to physical limitations but also trigger serious psychological disorders.

Post-stroke patients face a long and challenging recovery process that fundamentally changes their roles and quality of life. In the context of developmental psychology and adaptation, individuals are required to demonstrate resilience to overcome these difficulties. Resilience is an individual's dynamic ability to adapt positively in the face of adversity, trauma, tragedy, threats, or significant sources of stress. For stroke survivors, resilience is key to actively participating in rehabilitation and achieving optimal functional independence (Norvang et al., 2022).

Although resilience is very important, the reality shows that many post-stroke patients experience significant difficulties in adjusting. Longitudinal research by (Zhou et al., 2020) consistently shows that low levels of

resilience in the acute phase are strongly correlated with an increased risk of post-stroke depression (PSD) up to six months after discharge. Similarly, (Han et al., 2021) found that patients with low resilience tend to have maladaptive coping styles, especially in dealing with the uncertainty of their illness. For chronic disease nursing, the SFA emphasizes that nurses should shift from disease care to helping patients improve adaptability and resilience (Jiang et al., 2023). This phenomenon points to an empirical gap, where expectations of post-stroke psychological adaptation (health psychology review) are not in line with the clinical reality of patients who often struggle with emotional and adaptive difficulties.

The scientific literature presents various findings on the factors that influence resilience, which often appear contradictory or differ in emphasis, thus creating a theoretical gap. Some studies tend to emphasize internal factors as the main predictors. For example, (Takil & Ökten, 2023) found a positive and strong relationship between self-efficacy and resilience. Furthermore, (Sun et al., 2024) highlighted the mediating role of hope in the relationship between social support and self-esteem on resilience.

On the other hand, other studies highlight external factors. Explicitly identify social support as an important determinant of resilience, equivalent to self-efficacy (Faradisa et al., 2025). These contradictions and variations include: (1) a focus on internal versus external variables; (2) differences in findings regarding the mediating or moderating role of variables (e.g., the role of coping versus the role of hope); and (3) differences in populations and clinical settings, which affect the generalizability of findings. This diversity indicates that there is no clear consensus on the most dominant and consistent causal factors in the context of post-stroke patients.

In addition to purely psychological factors, the functional status of patients also plays a role. Liu suggested that resilience is an independent correlate of post-stroke Quality of Life (QOL) trajectory (Liu et al., 2021). Meanwhile, (Chen & Tung, 2021; Norvang et al., 2022) confirm a significant relationship between resilience and Activities of Daily Living (ADL) or functional independence. We defined resilience as the ability of brain networks to maintain their core integrative and modular properties following recurrent attacks (Dirren et al., 2025). This indicates a complex interaction between physical recovery and psychological resilience.

This systematic review offers novelty by integrating the latest findings (2020-2025) to explicitly identify the most consistent causal factors of low resilience, which encompass not only psychological but also functional domains. This synthesis is urgently needed because its results can serve as a strong foundation for developing nursing and rehabilitation interventions focused on the most important pillars of resilience, thereby improving patients' long-term outcomes.

The objectives of this systematic review are to identify the most significant internal factors that contribute to the psychological resilience of post-stroke patients; to identify the most significant external factors that support or enhance their psychological resilience; and to synthesize the available scientific evidence to determine the main and most consistent factors associated with low resilience in post-stroke patients.

Method Design

This study used the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) framework (Tricco et al., 2018). This approach was chosen to minimize bias and provide a comprehensive synthesis of evidence regarding the factors that influence post-stroke resilience.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The criteria used to determine the eligibility of articles to be reviewed include:

Criteria	Inclusion (Included)	Exclusion (Excluded)
Design	Quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods research examining factors.	Non-systematic literature reviews, editorials, theses/dissertations, study protocols.

Population	Adult patients (>18 years) who are stroke survivors (ischemic or hemorrhagic).	Pediatric stroke patients, patients with other neurological comorbidities (other than stroke).
Variables	Measuring or discussing Psychological Resilience and its influencing factors.	Primary focus on quality of life or depression without explicitly addressing resilience as a central variable.
Publication Year	Published between January 2020 and December 2025.	Published before 2020.
Access & Language	Full text available in English or Indonesian.	Only abstracts are available, or in languages other than English/Indonesian.

Literature Search Strategy and Data Sources

Literature searches were conducted in two main databases:

1. Google Scholar: For Indonesian-based and global literature.
2. PubMed: For evidence-based clinical and health literature.

The search strategy uses a combination of Boolean keywords. The keywords used in English and Indonesian are:

1. (Resilience OR Psychological Adaptation) AND (Stroke OR Post-Stroke) AND (Factors OR Determinants OR Influencing Factors)
2. (Resilience OR Psychological Resilience) AND (Stroke OR Post-Stroke) AND (Factors OR Causes OR Determinants)

Article Selection Process (Screening)

The selection process was carried out in four stages according to the PRISMA flow:

1. Identification: All articles found from the initial search are recorded.
2. Screening: Articles are screened based on their titles and abstracts. Duplicates are removed.
3. Eligibility: Articles that meet the initial criteria are assessed for eligibility based on a full-text review.
4. Inclusion: Articles that pass full-text screening and meet all inclusion criteria (including manuscript quality assessment) are included as core articles for synthesis.

PRISMA Flow Diagram

The following is an estimated article selection flow that will be presented in this systematic review (simulation based on the data used):

Identification Stage	**Number of Articles**
Articles identified through databases (Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, PubMed)	N = 261
Articles excluded before *duplication check* (reason: irrelevant)	N = 83
Total Articles After Title/Abstract Screening	**N = 178**

Articles excluded due to duplication	N = 92
Total Articles Screened	**N = 86**

Screening and Eligibility Stage	**Number of Articles**
Articles were checked for eligibility based on full text	N = 86
Articles excluded (reasons: Inappropriate population, publication year <2020, or not a primary study)	N = 62
Total Articles for Quality Assessment	**N = 24**

Inclusion Stage	**Number of Articles**
Articles excluded after manuscript quality assessment (e.g., JBI Critical Appraisal Tool)	N = 13
Total Core Articles Included in the Systematic Review	**N = 11**

Results

A total of 11 articles that passed the final selection were reviewed for data extraction. Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of each core article, including the name of the researcher, objectives, sample, instruments, and research results, which form the basis for qualitative and quantitative synthesis in the discussion.

Table 1. Synthesis of Core Article Characteristics (N=11)

No	Researcher (Year)	Research Title	Research Objective	Participants (N) & Sampling Technique	Measurement Instruments	Data Analysis Techniques	Main Research Findings
1	Zhou et al. (2020)	Resilience is associated with post-stroke depression in Chinese--stroke survivors: A longitudinal study	Examining the relationship between baseline resilience and depression at 1, 3, and 6 months post-discharge.	217 survivors of first-time ischemic stroke ; *Purposive*	CD-RISC (Resilience), HADS (Depression/Anxiety)	Logistic Regression, Longitudinal Analysis	Initial resilience was significantly negatively associated with post-stroke depression at 1 and 3 months. Resilience is a protective factor.
2	Liu et al. (2020)	Resilience is an independent correlate of	Exploring changes in Quality of Life (QOL)	217 first-time ischemic stroke	CD-RISC (Resilience),	Multilevel Model	Resilience is a positive independent predictor of

		the course of QOL in patients with first-ever ischemic stroke	from the acute phase to 6 months post-discharge and its correlation with resilience.	patients; *Purposive*	SS-QOL (QOL)		improved QOL over 6 months. Depressive status mediates this relationship.
3	Han et al. (2021)	Uncertainty in illness and coping styles: Moderating and mediating effects of resilience in stroke patients	Testing the role of resilience as a mediator and moderator between illness uncertainty and coping styles.	185 stroke patients; *Convenience*	CD-RISC (Resilience), MIS (Uncertainty), SCS (Coping)	Mediation and moderation analysis	Resilience partially mediates the negative effect of illness uncertainty on effective coping styles. High resilience promotes active coping.
4	Chen & Tung (2021)	Resilience and Daily Activity Among Patients After Stroke	Understanding the distribution of variables, resilience, and factors that influence resilience and daily activities (ADL).	100 inpatients with stroke; *Cross-sectional*	RSA (Resilience), Barthel Index (ADL)	Spearman's correlation, t-test	Total resilience scores were significantly correlated with ADL. Patients without aphasia had better ADL.
5	Norvang et al. (2022)	Resilience and Its Association With Activities of Daily Living 3 Months After Stroke	Investigating the relationship between resilience measured at baseline and ADL measured 3 months after stroke.	181 stroke patients; *Prospective Cohort*	CD-RISC (Resilience), mRS (Functional), SIS (ADL)	Regression Analysis	Resilience in the acute phase independently predicts better ADL independence 3 months later.
6	Dirren et al. (2025)	Determinants of brain network	Test the hypothesis that stroke-induced reorganizati	75 patients with a first-ever stroke	RS-FMRI (brain function)	mixed-effects models to compare	Brain networks of stroke patients were more resilient

		resilience after stroke	on of brain functional connectivity enhances network resilience to recurrent events, defined as the ability of brain networks to maintain core integrative (global efficiency) and modular (modularity) properties after new lesions	(ischemic or hemorrhagic)		resilience between patients and controls and across time points	to virtual lesions than those of controls, in terms of both global efficiency and modularity.
7	Heltty & Zahalim (2023)	Resilience after stroke and its correlation with functional independence	Determining the relationship between resilience and functional ability in post-stroke patients, particularly independence.	122 post-stroke respondents (5-8 weeks of recovery); *Simple Random*	CD-RISC (Resilience), Barthel Index (Functional)	Bivariate Analysis	A significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) was found between resilience and functional independence.
8	Takil & Ökten (2023)	The Relationship of Functional Status with Self-Efficacy and Resilience in Stroke Patients	Investigating the relationship between functional independence, stroke self-efficacy, and resilience in patients.	110 stroke patients; *Cross-sectional*	R-E (Resilience), S-SE (Self-Efficacy), FIM (Functional)	Correlation, Regression	Positive and progressive correlation between functional independence, self-efficacy, and resilience. Self-efficacy is a strong predictor of resilience.
9	Jiang et al. (2023)	Study on self-management of real-	To evaluate the effectiveness of using	A total of 183 adult stroke patients who are about to	NIHSS (neurological status), SSBR (self	Chi-square,	There are no empirical results yet because this

		time and individualized support in stroke patients based on resilience: a protocol for a randomized controlled trial.	wearable technology (smartwatch and wristband) to provide real-time, individualized self-management support for stroke patients during the transition from hospital to home.	be discharged from the Neurology Department of West China Hospital of Sichuan University and Chengdu Second People's Hospital; *consecutive sampling*	management), CD-RISC (resilience), MSPSS (social support)	One way ANOVA	article is a study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. stroke patients receiving the Real-time and Individualized Self-management Support (RISS) program via smartwatch will demonstrate better self-management behaviors, higher quality of life, and lower recurrence and unplanned readmission rates
10	Sun et al. (2024)	The mediating effects of hope on the relationships between social support and self-esteem with psychological resilience in stroke patients	Exploring the mediating effects of hope on the relationship between social support and self-esteem on psychological resilience.	210 early rehabilitation stroke patients; *Cross-sectional*	CD-RISC (Resilience), SSRS (Social Support), HHI (Hope), SES (Self-Esteem)	Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)	Social support and self-esteem have both direct and indirect effects on resilience, mediated by hope.
11	Faradisa et al. (2025)	Self-Efficacy and Social Support as Determinants of Resilience in Post-	Testing the relationship between self-efficacy, social support, and resilience in	84 post-stroke patients; *Purposive*	SSEQ (Self-Efficacy), MSPSS (Social Support), CD-RISC-10 (Resilience)	Spearman's correlation	There is a significant positive relationship between self-efficacy and resilience (r=0.512),

		Stroke Patients	post-stroke survivors.				and between social support and resilience (r=0.485).

DISCUSSION

This discussion aims to interpret and critically synthesize key findings from a systematic review of factors contributing to low psychological resilience in post-stroke patients. This review integrates quantitative data from selected articles to identify the most consistent predictors, explain the theoretical mechanisms behind them, and formulate evidence-based clinical implications.

Quantitative Synthesis and Discussion of Findings

The quantitative synthesis of primary studies clearly identified three clusters of interrelated determinant factors: internal psychological variables, functional status, and environmental support as the main contributors to post-stroke resilience. The main findings that consistently address the objectives of this study are the centrality of the role of self-efficacy and hope, as well as the strong moderation of resilience on mental health outcomes.

Centrality of Internal Psychological Determinants: Self-Efficacy, Hope, and Self-Esteem

Comparative analysis of correlation coefficients from various studies indicates that internal psychological variables are the strongest predictors of resilience.

A. The Dominant Role of Self-Efficacy and Functional Status A cross-sectional study by Takil & Ökten (2023) showed a significant and strong positive correlation (P<.05) between Functional Independence (FIM) and Post-Stroke Self-Efficacy (SSEQ), which was then positively correlated with Resilience. In this context, Self-Efficacy functions as a cognitive bridge. Patients who are more physically independent have more mastery experiences, which are the main source of self-efficacy development according to Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory. Conversely, low post-stroke self-efficacy impairs the cognitive capacity to set recovery goals and maintain rehabilitation efforts.

This empirical support is reinforced by (Faradisa et al., 2025), who found a strong correlation between self-efficacy and resilience (a higher correlation coefficient than Social Support), confirming that subjective belief in the ability to perform necessary activities (regardless of existing deficits) is a key prerequisite for adaptive capacity (resilience). Stroke patients with low resilience often become trapped in a cycle of learned helplessness, where repeated functional failures erode their core beliefs.

B. Hope as a Crucial Mediating Mechanism One of the most significant findings obtained through Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis by (Sun et al., 2024) is the role of hope as a substantial mediator.

Sun et al. (2024) found that:

1. Social Support has a direct positive effect on resilience (β_3=0.434), but also has a significant positive effect mediated by Hope (β_2=0.114).

2. Self-Esteem has a direct positive effect on resilience (β_6=0.179), which is also significantly mediated by Hope (β_5=0.200).

Quantitative Implications: These findings indicate that hope (defined as a which is the ability to plan a path to achieve a goal, combination of pathway thinking, and agency thinking, which is the motivation to use that path) functions as a psychological 'battery'. Social support or high self-esteem does not necessarily increase resilience unless these resources are channeled through the lens of hope. If post-stroke patients cannot see a "way out" or lack the motivation (*agency*) to move along that path, the benefits of external support and internal self-esteem

will be reduced. This is why clinical interventions should focus on developing hope, not just on providing support.

Functional Status, Neurological Complications, and Resilience

High functional dependence is an external factor that directly triggers low resilience.

A. The Relationship between ADL and Short-Term Resilience (Norvang et al., 2022) conducted a prospective cohort study examining resilience and its relationship with *Activities of Daily Living* (ADL) 3 months after stroke. They found that resilience levels were strongly and positively correlated with ADL independence. Similarly, (Heltty & Zahalim, 2023) found a significant relationship between resilience and functional independence.

Mechanism: Low ADL independence (e.g., low Barthel Index score) constantly reminds patients of their loss of autonomy and control, which is a chronic stressor. Conversely, every small improvement in ADL (e.g., being able to eat or bathe independently) serves as positive feedback that strengthens Self-Efficacy, which in turn increases resilience.

B. Aphasia as a Barrier to Communication Resilience (Chen & Tung, 2021) specifically identified that patients with aphasia complications had statistically significantly lower resilience scores than patients without aphasia ($p=0.0012$). Aphasia, as a communication deficit, impedes three key processes of resilience building:

1. Emotional Expression: Difficulty communicating frustration and emotional needs.
2. Seeking Social Support: Difficulty asking for help or establishing meaningful social interactions.
3. Rehabilitation Participation: Limitations in understanding instructions or negotiating with therapists.

Social isolation imposed by these communication barriers drastically reduces social support buffering, which is negatively correlated with resilience.

Resilience as a Predictor of Long-Term Health Outcomes

This review found strong longitudinal evidence placing resilience as a predictor, not merely a consequence, of post-stroke mental health.

A. Resilience Predicts Post-Stroke Depression (PSD)

Researchers conducted a longitudinal study of 217 ischemic stroke survivors and found that resilience measured at the acute (inpatient) phase was a significant independent predictor of the onset of Post-Stroke Depression (PSD) at 1, 3, and 6 months after discharge (Zhou et al., 2020).

B. Resilience Modulates Quality of Life (QOL) and Coping Styles

Some researchers used a multilevel model and found that higher resilience in the acute phase predicted a steeper and more sustained trajectory of Quality of Life (QOL) improvement up to 6 months (Liu et al., 2021). This was reinforced by (Han et al., 2021), who showed that resilience has a moderating effect on the relationship between *uncertainty* in illness and coping style. Patients with high resilience tend to use problem-oriented coping (actively addressing deficits), while low resilience tends to lead to avoidance coping (denial, isolation).

Clinical Implications

These findings have urgent clinical implications, demanding a transition from a passive biomedical focus to a proactive and integrated bio-psychosocial care model.

1. Resilience Screening and Early Intervention (Pre-emptive Care): Since resilience in the acute phase predicts long-term PSD and QOL, resilience screening (using instruments such as CD-RISC or RSA)

should be integrated into stroke patient assessment protocols. Interventions targeting resilience enhancement should begin as early as possible (during hospitalization) to mitigate the risk of depression.

2. Priority Cognitive Interventions: Self-Efficacy and Hope: rehabilitation programs should be explicitly designed to rebuild self-efficacy through graded mastery experience. Each physical therapy session should be viewed as an opportunity to build self-efficacy, not just motor function. Furthermore, to foster hope, nurses and therapists should conduct goal-setting sessions focused on pathway thinking and provide validation of patient agency.

3. Structured Family Involvement: Given that the role of social support is mediated by hope, family education is crucial. Families must be educated to shift from providing excessive instrumental support (which can increase dependence) to validating emotional support and empowering informational support. This approach aims to minimize caregiver burden, which can trigger feelings of guilt in patients.

Research Novelty/Originality

This systematic review provides significant novelty compared to previous literature reviews. Although there have been meta-analyses identifying factors that influence resilience in general, this review stands out in several aspects:

1. Focus on Quantitative Mediation Mechanisms: This review specifically synthesizes the latest quantitative evidence from the SEM study by (Sun et al., 2024) that defines Expectancy not only as a correlation but as a key mediator. This goes beyond the identification of simple correlations and provides a deeper understanding of causality, which is highly relevant for intervention design.

2. Integration of Longitudinal Findings: By incorporating longitudinal studies (Liu et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2020), this review provides time-based evidence that resilience is an early predictor of PSD and QOL, not merely a consequence of pre-existing mental conditions. The emphasis on early predictors in the 2020-2025 literature represents a critical update for the field.

3. Holistic Approach to External and Internal Factors: This review successfully integrates neuropsychological factors (Aphasia) and functional factors (ADL) with psychological factors (Self-Efficacy) to produce a comprehensive model of why post-stroke patients' resilience is low.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

Low psychological resilience in post-stroke patients is a phenomenon determined by the strong interaction between internal psychological factors and external functional status. The main finding of this systematic review is that deficits in self-efficacy and expectations (as mediators) are the most central predictors. Additionally, low functional independence (ADL) and communication barriers (aphasia) significantly contribute to resilience vulnerability. Most crucially, low resilience in the acute post-stroke phase independently predicts the onset of post-stroke depression and a sustained decline in quality of life. Therefore, prevention and recovery efforts should directly target strengthening patients' self-efficacy and hope.

Recommendations

1. Recommendations for clinical practice: Healthcare institutions should immediately adopt resilience screening protocols and include psychosocial interventions targeting the enhancement of self-efficacy through self-management training and the promotion of hope through narrative therapy and the setting of gradual and measurable goals.

2. Recommendations for further research: Prospective intervention studies (RCTs) are needed to test the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs specifically designed to increase resilience with a focus on mediating variables (hope). In addition, further qualitative studies are needed to gain a deeper

understanding of the subjective experiences of patients with aphasia and how they manage social isolation to maintain resilience.

REFERENCES

1. Chen, C. P., & Tung, H. H. (2021). Resilience and Daily Activity Among Patients After Stroke. Aging Medicine and Healthcare, 12(4), 152–158. https://doi.org/10.33879/AMH.124.2021.03011
2. Dirren, E., Klug, J., Jarne, C., Vidaurre, D., & Carrera, E. (2025). Determinants of brain network resilience after stroke. Brain Communications, 7(3), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcaf218
3. Faradisa, E., Kusumawati, M. W., & Budiman, A. A. (2025). Self-Efficacy and Social Support as Determinants of Resilience in Post- Stroke Patients. 4(3).
4. Han, Z. T., Zhang, H. M., Wang, Y. M., Zhu, S. S., & Wang, D. Y. (2021). Uncertainty in illness and coping styles: Moderating and mediating effects of resilience in stroke patients. World Journal of Clinical Cases, 9(30), 8999–9010. https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v9.i30.8999
5. Heltty, H., & Zahalim, Z. (2023). Resilience after stroke and its correlation with functional independence. Jurnal Ners, 18(1), 57–63. https://doi.org/10.20473/jn.v18i1.41229
6. Jiang, N., Xv, Y., Sun, X., Feng, L., Wang, Y. B., & Jiang, X. L. (2023). Study on self-management of real-time and individualized support in stroke patients based on resilience: a protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 24(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-023-07475-x
7. Liu, Z., Zhou, X., Zhang, W., & Zhou, L. (2021). Resilience is an independent correlate of the course of quality of life in patients with first-ever ischemic stroke. International Psychogeriatrics, 33(6), 567–575. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610220000587
8. Norvang, O. P., Dahl, A. E., Thingstad, P., & Askim, T. (2022). Resilience and Its Association With Activities of Daily Living 3 Months After Stroke. Frontiers in Neurology, 13(June), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.881621
9. Sun, B., Wang, N., Li, K., Yang, Y., & Zhang, F. (2024). The mediating effects of hope on the relationships of social support and self-esteem with psychological resilience in patients with stroke. BMC Psychiatry, 24(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-05744-w
10. Takil, M., & Ökten, Ç. (2023). The Relationship of Functional Status with Self-Efficacy and Resilience in Stroke Patients. Archives of Health Science and Research, 10(2), 101–107. https://doi.org/10.5152/ArcHealthSciRes.2023.0137
11. Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., Moher, D., Peters, M. D. J., Horsley, T., Weeks, L., Hempel, S., Akl, E. A., Chang, C., McGowan, J., Stewart, L., Hartling, L., Aldcroft, A., Wilson, M. G., Garritty, C., … Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine, 169(7), 467–473. https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850
12. Wang, Y., Xie, H., Sun, H., Ren, L., Jiang, H., Chen, M., & Dong, C. (2024). Influencing Factors of Psychological Resilience in Stroke Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 39(5), 644–654. https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acad107
13. Zhou, X., Liu, Z., Zhang, W., & Zhou, L. (2020). Resilience is associated with post-stoke depression in Chinese stroke survivors: A longitudinal study. Journal of Affective Disorders, 273(September 2018), 402–409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.04.042