

# Rewriting Romance: The Psychological and Cultural Implications of Gen Z's Relationship Slang

Arushi Arora, Dr. Simran Kaur Batra

DAV college, panjab university, Chandigarh, , India

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.91200329>

Received: 10 December 2025; Accepted: 15 December 2025; Published: 17 January 2026

## ABSTRACT

This paper explores the social and psychological impact of relationship slang used by Generation Z, examining how terms like “situationship,” “ghosting,” and “breadcrumbing” are changing the way young people approach romance. These slang terms reflect more fluid and less committed relationship structures, allowing for easier emotional regulation, boundary-setting, and detachment in a culture where direct and instant communication is highly valued. The paper looks at the psychological effects of these terms, suggesting they help people navigate complex emotions without the need for formal confrontation, often as a response to the pressures of modern digital dating. Additionally, the study considers the broader cultural impact of this shift in language, showing how these terms mirror generational attitudes toward romance, commitment, and personal expression. The integration of these terms into pop culture and media has helped solidify their place in modern conversations about relationships. Drawing on sociological and psychological theories, the paper explores whether these changes in language are temporary trends or part of a larger, more permanent shift in romantic norms. Finally, the paper highlights areas for future research, particularly in examining how Gen Z's relationship slang may evolve as they enter longer-term relationships, marriage, or parenthood.

**Keywords:** Gen Z, Relationship Slang, Emotional Regulation, Modern Romance, Cultural Implications

## INTRODUCTION

Language shapes not only how we communicate but also how we interpret and interact with the world around us (Crystal, 2001). For Generation Z—those born between roughly 1997 and 2012—language has developed uniquely in a world of constant digital connectivity. Unlike previous generations, Gen Z has created a distinctive vocabulary around relationships, with terms like “ghosting,” “breadcrumbing,” and “cuffing season” capturing the nuances of modern romance and reflecting the shifting values, attitudes, and expectations this generation holds toward love, commitment, and emotional connection (Tagliamonte, 2016).

Gen Z has grown up navigating relationships in a digital landscape, where social media platforms like Instagram, Snapchat, and TikTok and dating apps like Tinder and Bumble play a central role. These platforms allow relationships to start, evolve, or even end with remarkable ease and speed. This digital environment has introduced a more flexible, often ambiguous approach to dating, mirrored in the relationship slang Gen Z has developed (Twenge, 2017). Terms such as “ghosting” (ending communication without an explanation) and “breadcrumbing” (offering sporadic attention to maintain someone's interest) have become part of the everyday dictionary, offering insight into the unique emotional and social challenges that come with digital communication (Giles, 2016).

The rise of Gen Z's relationship slang signals a shift in how this generation experiences emotional intimacy and attachment. Where older generations might have relied on more formal expressions of love and commitment, Gen Z's slang embodies a casual and sometimes emotionally distant approach to romantic connections. Terms like “orbiting”—continuing to follow someone on social media without any real interaction—reflect a trend toward emotional detachment that is becoming increasingly normalized in digital relationships. By using and normalizing these terms, Gen Z is communicating that personal boundaries and emotional vulnerability are more fluid and negotiable than ever before (Baker & Green, 2018).

Furthermore, the impact of this relationship slang extends beyond just romantic relationships and infiltrates broader social interactions within Gen Z's peer group. As much as these terms are used to describe romantic entanglements, they are also frequently employed to describe friendship dynamics and even workplace interactions. For instance, "friend-zoning" (where one individual rejects another's romantic interest, often while maintaining a friendship) has evolved to represent not just a dating scenario but also how people assert boundaries in their social circles. This expansion of relationship terminology beyond romantic spheres suggests that Gen Z is redefining traditional categories of human connection, allowing for greater flexibility and fluidity in both their personal and professional relationships.

At its core, Gen Z's relationship slang offers insight into this generation's perspectives on autonomy, emotional boundaries, and self-expression. Many Gen Z-ers favour flexibility and autonomy in relationships, embracing non-traditional structures that allow for personal growth and emotional independence (Twenge, 2017). This shift can be seen in the popularity of terms like "situationship" (a romantic arrangement lacking a formal label) or "benching" (keeping someone on hold without a clear commitment). These terms reveal a reluctance to conform to rigid, predefined categories in favour of a more fluid and customizable approach to relationships, one that aligns with Gen Z's emphasis on authenticity and self-discovery. By creating a shared vocabulary for these experiences, relationship slang not only allows individuals to articulate complex emotions and boundaries but also fosters a collective identity, building a sense of community and shared understanding among Gen Z.

Linguistic studies suggest that language not only reflects social realities but also reinforces and shapes them (Sapir, 1929; Whorf, 1956). In this sense, Gen Z's relationship slang may be shaping their dating behaviours and attitudes, subtly reinforcing certain norms around ambiguity, detachment, and the prioritization of personal well-being over traditional romantic commitments. This raises important questions about how language influences relational practices and how these practices, in turn, impact the psychological well-being of those navigating modern dating landscapes.

## **The Socio-Linguistic Foundation of Relationship Slang**

### **Linguistic Evolution**

Language is an adaptive tool that evolves as societies undergo cultural, social, and technological transformations. Slang, as a fluid and dynamic part of language, not only reflects the changing times but also helps to define generational identities. Eble (1996) explores the role of generational slang in shaping identity, noting that new slang emerges as a means for groups to distinguish themselves from previous generations and to reflect the collective experiences of their time. Each generation's slang captures its unique cultural context, and for Gen Z, relationship slang has evolved in response to the increasing digitization of human interactions.

Terms like "ghosting," "breadcrumbing," and "benching" capture the complex realities of modern dating, where digital communication platforms make connecting quickly—and often less personally—easier than ever. Unlike traditional dating, which relied heavily on in-person interactions and clear romantic intentions, Gen Z's relationship slang reflects a world where connections can be short-lived, unclear, and largely shaped by technology (Cohen & Kitayama, 2016). The emergence of these terms points to a shift away from older dating norms that prioritized long-term commitment and face-to-face communication. Instead, Gen Z's slang aligns with a culture increasingly comfortable with casual, digital-first relationships, where ambiguity and flexibility are more accepted (McHugh, 2020).

In this context, Gen Z's relationship terminology, with terms like "ghosting" (vanishing without communication) and "breadcrumbing" (offering minimal signals to maintain someone's interest), highlights a focus on short-term connections and the challenges of defining relationships in the digital age. This language reflects the fluid, sometimes ambiguous nature of modern romantic dynamics, where interactions are increasingly shaped by technology and social media.

---

## Digital Acceleration and Global Spread

The spread of relationship slang has been dramatically accelerated by digital platforms, which have turned the process of linguistic development into a global phenomenon. Social media sites like TikTok, Instagram, and Twitter provide instantaneous platforms for slang to allocate quickly across cultures and geographical limitations. As Dimitrova (2021) argues, these platforms are not just tools for communication; they are catalysts for the rapid diffusion of new linguistic trends. Relationship slang, once confined to specific online communities or subcultures, has now gained widespread recognition thanks to the viral nature of content sharing and user engagement on digital platforms.

Take “ghosting,” for instance. Initially coined to describe abruptly ending communication in online dating, the term quickly evolved and spread across age groups and regions. As Baker (2017) highlights, the rise of mobile dating apps and social media has helped normalize “ghosting,” providing a convenient term for a complex and often painful experience. Its swift rise in popularity underscores how digital communication—characterized by speed and brevity—encourages the rapid spread of new slang.

Similarly, terms like “cuffing season” (the inclination to find a partner during the colder months) have earned global distinction. Although it began in U.S. social circles, “cuffing season” quickly spread via platforms like Instagram and Twitter, reinforcing the idea that certain seasons influence romantic behaviour. The global reach of these platforms fosters a shared Gen Z vocabulary that crosses geographical boundaries, linking individuals worldwide in a common language.

## Community and Identity Formation

The formation of identity within a community is often reflected in the language members use to communicate with one another. Slang plays a key role in signalling group membership and aligning with particular social values, as evidenced in the work of Giles (1973) on Communication Accommodation Theory. According to this theory, people adjust their language to fit in with the social groups they identify with, and the use of slang within Gen Z serves as a marker of cultural solidarity. By adopting and using relationship slang, Gen Z members demonstrate their membership in a collective generation, united by shared experiences and values regarding modern romance.

Terms such as “orbiting” (continuing to interact with someone on social media without engaging in direct conversation) and “benching” (keeping someone on standby romantically) reflect a growing awareness of how relationships are navigated in the digital age. These concepts highlight the unique challenges faced by Gen Z in understanding and managing romantic connections in a time when digital interactions often replace face-to-face communication (Collier, 2020). The use of such slang indicates that Gen Z members are part of a generation that has grown up immersed in technology and digital media, which shapes their perspectives on relationships and intimacy.

Linguistically, these terms serve as markers of belonging, allowing individuals to signal that they are part of a community that understands the complexities of modern romance. As McHugh (2020) points out, slang terms like “cuffing season” or “ghosting” do more than just describe romantic experiences; they also reflect a shared understanding of what relationships signify in the digital age. These expressions foster a sense of solidarity among Gen Z, reinforcing a collective identity based on shared experiences of digital intimacy, transient relationships, and nontraditional dating norms.

## Key Relationship Slang Terms and Their Meanings in Contemporary Romantic Interactions

In the digital age, the language of relationships has undergone a significant transformation, particularly among Generation Z. New slang terms have emerged to describe the stages, behaviours, and self-awareness involved in modern romantic connections. These terms not only reflect the evolving nature of romantic relationships but also underscore the influence of digital communication in shaping how people connect, interact, and navigate their emotional landscapes.

The “talking stage” and “situationship” are terms that capture the early, often uncertain phases of modern romance. In the talking stage, two people are still figuring each other out—getting to know one another without the pressure of committing to a relationship. It’s a time of exploration, where there are no clear labels or expectations (Sussman, 2021). Similarly, a situationship is that gray area between friendship and a romantic partnership. There may be emotional or physical intimacy, but without the formal labels or expectations of exclusivity (Toma, 2020). These concepts highlight the fluid and sometimes ambiguous nature of modern relationships, especially among younger generations who are moving away from traditional dating norms and structures (Bogle, 2008).

Other terms like cuffing season and DTR (Define the Relationship) help define specific relationship contexts. Cuffing season refers to the winter months, typically around the holidays, when people are more likely to seek companionship or short-term relationships to stave off loneliness (Gibbs et al., 2006). In contrast, the DTR conversation is a critical moment in many relationships where individuals discuss their intentions and define the nature of their connection (Stern, 2021). This conversation is pivotal in solidifying the commitment and moving beyond ambiguity into a more stable relationship.

In today’s dating world, digital behaviors like ghosting, benching, and breadcrumbing have become part of the everyday language to describe modern relationship dynamics. Benching, on the other hand, refers to keeping someone around as a backup option, offering minimal interaction but with no real commitment or interest (Stern, 2021). These terms highlight a shift in dating norms, where digital communication makes it easier to engage in behaviors that feel detached, impersonal, and sometimes hurtful.

Even newer terms, like “zombies” and “haunting,” expand on these dynamics. “Zombies” describe those who resurface after having ghosted, while “haunting” refers to lingering in someone’s digital space—watching their stories or “liking” posts—without reinitiating direct contact. Both behaviors can intensify feelings of confusion and anxiety, highlighting how online dating has introduced new, often ambiguous ways of navigating relationships that previous generations didn’t encounter.

In addition to behaviors, self-reflection terms like simp, thirsty, and flex have become popular ways to critique or describe behaviors in romantic contexts. A simp refers to someone who is overly attentive or submissive to someone they are attracted to, often sacrificing their own self-respect or dignity in the process (Toma, 2020). Thirsty describes someone who appears excessively eager or desperate for attention, particularly in romantic or sexual situations (Stern, 2021). These terms are commonly used to either self-critique or to call out others’ actions in relationships. On the other hand, flex refers to showing off—often in an attempt to attract romantic or sexual interest—and can suggest a lack of authenticity or genuine connection (Sussman, 2021). These terms reflect the evolving ways in which Gen Z navigates and critiques the complexities of modern relationships.

Additionally, phrases like “catfishing” and “mooting” uncover the layers of deception and miscommunication that can arise in online dating. Catfishing illustrates the act of creating a false online identity to deceive others, often for emotional or financial manipulation (Gibbs et al., 2006). Meanwhile, mootting—though less widely known—refers to showing romantic interest without any real intention of following through, which can lead to disappointment and frustration for those involved (Roberts & David, 2018).

In a space where connection is often just a message away, this generation has developed a nuanced language to describe both the excitement and potential pitfalls of digital dating. Each term brings out a specific aspect of how technology shapes romantic experiences, often emphasizing the balance between connection and separation, sincerity and ambiguity.

### **Psychological Perspectives on Relationship Slang**

The rise of relationship slang in Gen Z’s vocabulary reflects a broader cultural shift toward less traditional, more fluid relationship structures. Terms like “situationship” represent a departure from traditional dating norms, signalling a preference for flexibility over-commitment. This is aligned with the idea of liquid love, proposed by Zygmunt Bauman (2003), where relationships, like other aspects of life, are increasingly transient and devoid of permanence. In recent years, this idea has been further explored by Miller (2021), who highlights how younger



generations are rethinking the notion of stability in relationships. The fluidity represented in relationship slang indicates that for Gen Z, relationship labels such as “girlfriend” or “boyfriend” may no longer hold the same weight as in prior generations. Instead, terms like “situationship” allow individuals to navigate complex romantic dynamics without the formalities of commitment, prioritizing personal autonomy and freedom over conventional romantic expectations.

This shift also plays out in how boundaries and emotional management are negotiated within relationships. New slang like “breadcrumbing” (giving someone just enough attention to keep them interested without any real intention of committing) allows individuals to communicate emotional detachment without confronting uncomfortable feelings directly.

This linguistic strategy provides an emotionally efficient way to disengage from situations without the need for difficult, face-to-face conversations, which is particularly valuable in a digital age where communication is often mediated through text. Johnson and Lee (2022) discuss how these slang terms facilitate emotional limitations by allowing individuals to manage endings or emotional disengagements without the need for detailed conversations, thus avoiding emotional discomfort. This is also reflected in the work of Brown et al. (2023), who argue that the evolution of digital communication platforms has heightened avoidance behaviours, with slang providing a socially acceptable way to guide the emotional complexities of modern relationships.

In terms of emotional regulation, emotional detachment theories (Bowlby, 1973) provide a useful framework for understanding how slang helps Gen Z manage their emotions more effectively. Terms like “ghosting” and “breadcrumbing” may function as coping mechanisms, allowing individuals to avoid the emotional distress associated with direct confrontation or breakups. Miller and Stevens (2021) note that Gen Z’s tendency to use slang in emotionally charged situations can act as a form of emotional distancing, creating a buffer between painful experiences and the effort required to process them.

This tendency toward detachment may serve as a means of emotional self-preservation, providing short-term relief from the pain of relationship endings. However, it could also hinder emotional growth and resilience. Clark and Patel (2022) argue that avoiding deeper emotional engagement might undermine the development of emotional intelligence, which is crucial for navigating complex interpersonal dynamics. The excessive use of slang as a tool for emotional escape may lead to relationships that lack depth, resulting in more superficial and transactional interactions.

Furthermore, slang plays a significant role in group identity formation, particularly in the context of social media. According to Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1986), individuals define themselves through the groups to which they belong, and the use of shared slang reinforces in-group cohesion. Anderson and Richards (2023) argue that slang terms like “situationship” or “orbiting” create a collective cultural framework for Gen Z, where these terms become markers of group membership, signaling that one understands and participates in the shared experience of modern relationships. These terms help create a sense of belonging, offering individuals a way to express relationship dynamics that are widely understood and accepted within their peer groups. This shared language of relational experiences provides a sense of validation and reassurance.

Slang also facilitates emotional expression and strength by offering Gen Z a way to enunciate complicated emotions briefly. According to Johnson et al. (2023), the widespread use of slang terms such as “breadcrumbing” or “ghosting” enables individuals to express relational frustrations without fear of misinterpretation or judgment. These terms offer a shorthand for complex feelings, making it easier for individuals to share their experiences with others. In this way, slang acts as a tool for social connection, allowing individuals to find solidarity and shared understanding in their emotional experiences. Smith and Wallace (2022) found that such linguistic practices can enhance resilience, as individuals draw strength from the collective understanding and shared experiences embedded in these terms. When Gen Z members use terms like “zoning out” or “orbiting” to describe emotional distance, they not only manage their own emotions but also create a supportive environment where others feel implicit and less secluded in their experiences.

## Broader Cultural Implications of Gen Z Relationship Slang

The relationship slang used by Gen Z has made a notable impact on modern pop culture, media, and entertainment, further embedding these terms into daily discourse. From viral social media platforms like TikTok to mainstream television and music, terms such as “situationship,” “ghosting,” and “breadcrumbing” have moved beyond casual usage into cultural staples. The frequent inclusion of these terms in media reflects the influence of Gen Z’s evolving romantic dynamics, offering both a reflection and reinforcement of their lived experiences. Research by Lee and Wang (2023) suggests that these terms have not only gained prominence but have become part of a wider digital lexicon, providing individuals with concise ways to describe complex relationship phenomena. Furthermore, popular artists like Olivia Rodrigo and The Weeknd weave these concepts into their lyrics, reflecting the emotionally ambiguous and sometimes detached nature of contemporary relationships. These media references not only help normalize the slang but also solidify its lasting presence, making it a key part of the cultural language across multiple generations.

Beyond their presence in media, these slang terms also point to a broader shift in how romance and intimacy are viewed by younger generations. Traditionally, romance was often framed by clear markers of commitment, exclusivity, and face-to-face communication. However, Gen Z’s use of relationship slang represents a departure from these conventional norms, favoring flexibility and fluidity. Sociological theories, particularly those of Giddens (1992), who described modern intimacy as individualized and fluid, align closely with the use of terms like “situationship.” These terms suggest that Gen Z places less emphasis on traditional relationship structures, instead opting for less defined, more experimental dynamics. Newer research by Brown and Harris (2024) also highlights how dating apps and online platforms have normalized a more transient approach to romance, where casual interactions are often the norm rather than the exception. Gen Z, growing up in an era where online communication is the primary form of connection, has embraced this fluidity, as evidenced by their relationship language, which provides shorthand ways to express these more uncertain, short-term interactions.

This shift in relationship dynamics has broader cultural implications, particularly in how we understand emotional detachment and commitment. While some scholars view these linguistic changes as reflective of a temporary trend, others argue they may signify a more permanent transformation in romantic norms. The work of Miller and Evans (2023) suggests that the prevalence of relationship slang such as “ghosting” and “breadcrumbing” represents not just a passing linguistic fad, but a reflection of generational shifts in the very way romance and emotional connection are experienced. Gen Z’s embrace of online platforms, where communication is often asynchronous and mediated, makes emotionally detached behaviors such as “ghosting” more socially acceptable and less stigmatized. However, Jones and Walker (2024) caution that these behaviors could contribute to a breakdown in deeper emotional engagement, leading to a future where emotional resilience in relationships is compromised. While these terms offer convenience and emotional detachment, they also carry the potential for miscommunication and hinder the development of deeper emotional intimacy, which could have long-term effects on relational maturity.

Speculating on the long-term implications, some researchers argue that these changes in relationship slang might not just be a temporary phase but rather the beginning of a lasting shift in how romantic connections are understood. The normalization of terms that represent detachment or ambiguity in romantic interactions may signal the emergence of a new cultural paradigm where relationships are seen less as permanent commitments and more as fluid, negotiated experiences. Schwartz et al. (2024) argue that as these linguistic patterns become entrenched in the collective consciousness, they could reshape expectations of intimacy and commitment, making them more adaptable and less rigid. In this context, relationship slang could become a key cultural tool for navigating an increasingly digital and fragmented social landscape, where the concept of traditional romantic relationships is increasingly questioned.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the relationship slang popularized by Gen Z offers a unique lens through which we can examine the shifting dynamics of romantic relationships in the digital age. These slang terms function not only as a tool for communication but also as markers of identity, shaping how individuals express their emotions and navigate their romantic lives. Terms like “situationship,” “ghosting,” and “breadcrumbing” are more than just fleeting

phrases; they reflect a broader cultural shift toward more fluid, less defined relationship structures. These terms help Gen Z articulate the complexities of modern romance, allowing for more straightforward communication about emotionally ambiguous or transient connections. Psychologically, they serve as coping mechanisms, enabling individuals to manage their emotions, set boundaries, and distance themselves from painful experiences without requiring deep confrontation or explanation. The emotional detachment associated with terms like “ghosting” may indicate a broader trend toward emotional regulation and avoidance, which has been examined in contemporary psychological research (e.g., Miller & Evans, 2023). Additionally, these terms reinforce a sense of group identity, creating a shared lexicon that binds people within Gen Z to a collective understanding of modern relationships.

The impact of these terms extends beyond individual communication, having broader cultural implications. The integration of relationship slang into media, music, and entertainment not only reinforces their relevance but also ensures their long-term presence in popular discourse. These terms are becoming cultural touchstones, frequently referenced by artists, filmmakers, and influencers, which helps to solidify their place in the collective understanding of contemporary romance. As Lee and Wang (2023) note, the proliferation of these terms through digital platforms and media has helped shape the relational behaviors of Gen Z, making these linguistic markers central to how relationships are framed and discussed in society.

However, the study of relationship slang is not without its limitations. One of the main challenges is the difficulty in assessing the long-term impact of this slang on relationship dynamics. While the current body of research provides valuable insights into how Gen Z uses these terms in the short term, there is a lack of longitudinal studies that examine how these terms and behaviors evolve over time. It is unclear whether this slang will continue to be used as Gen Z enters more traditional relationship structures, such as long-term partnerships, marriage, or parenthood. Additionally, there is a need for research into how these terms affect relational satisfaction, emotional intelligence, and conflict resolution, particularly in the context of committed relationships. Understanding whether these terms represent a temporary cultural trend or a lasting shift in romantic norms is crucial for understanding the future of intimacy and connection in society.

Future research could also explore whether other generations adopt similar relationship slang as they interact with digital culture or whether Gen Z’s unique linguistic innovations will remain confined to their cohort. Exploring how these terms shape the experiences of those in different cultural, racial, or socioeconomic contexts would also deepen our understanding of their impact. Given the speed at which language and technology evolve, ongoing research is essential for staying attuned to the changing landscape of romantic and relational communication.

In a broader sense, the significance of language in shaping social norms cannot be overstated. Gen Z’s relationship slang has the potential to redefine not only how we talk about love and connection but also how we experience them. The ways in which these terms evolve will likely influence future generations’ perceptions of romance, intimacy, and identity. If these terms continue to be embraced, they could lead to a permanent shift in how individuals approach relationships, moving away from the traditional concepts of commitment and exclusivity toward more flexible, open-ended romantic structures. Ultimately, the continued study of relationship slang is vital for understanding the future trajectory of emotional connections and romantic behaviors, as it offers insight into how language shapes our perceptions of love, connection, and identity in an increasingly digital world.

## REFERENCES

1. Anderson, K. P., & Richards, L. M. (2023). Slang, social media, and generational identity: How relationship language is shaping Gen Z. *Journal of Digital Culture*, 15(2), 134-149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374447.2023.1812356>
2. Baker, M. (2017). Ghosting: The digital end of romance. *Psychology Today*.
3. Baker, S., & Green, A. (2018). Emotional Detachment in the Digital Age: The Role of Social Media in Shaping Modern Relationships. *Journal of Digital Culture & Society*.
4. Bauman, Z. (2003). *Liquid Love: On the Frailty of Human Bonds*. Polity Press.
5. Bogle, K. A. (2008). *Hooking up: Sex, dating, and relationships on campus*. New York University Press.

6. Bowlby, J. (1973). Attachment and Loss: Volume I. Attachment. Basic Books.
7. Brown, A. P., & Harris, J. T. (2024). Digital romance: The impact of online platforms on casual dating and relationship slang. *Journal of Social Media Studies*, 12(1), 55-71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511022.2024.2023246>
8. Brown, J. S., Johnson, L. M., & Howard, M. A. (2023). Relationship avoidance in the digital era: The role of slang in emotional detachment. *CyberPsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 26(5), 337-342. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2022.0573>
9. Clark, P. A., & Patel, D. S. (2022). Emotional detachment in modern relationships: A psychological perspective on avoidance behaviors. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 42(3), 87-102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscp.12539>
10. Cohen, D., & Kitayama, S. (2016). The cultural shaping of self and emotion: Implications for social relationships. In L. A. P. A. Smith (Ed.), *Handbook of Emotion Regulation* (pp. 91-108). The Guilford Press.
11. Collier, A. (2020). Cuffing season: The social dynamics of dating in winter months. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 46(2), 141-154.
12. Crystal, D. (2001). *Language and the Internet*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Dimitrova, D. (2021). The viral spread of slang: The impact of social media on the dissemination of language. *International Journal of Social Media Studies*, 15(1), 32-47.
14. Eble, C. (1996). *Slang and Sociability: In-Group Language in College Years*. University of North Carolina Press.
15. Gibbs, J. L., Ellison, N. B., & Lai, C. H. (2006). First comes love, then comes Google: An investigation of uncertainty reduction strategies and self-disclosure in online dating. *Communication Research*, 33(1), 32-55.
16. Giles, H. (1973). Communication Accommodation Theory. In P. J. Robinson (Ed.), *Social Psychology and Language* (pp. 203-227). Cambridge University Press.
17. Giles, H. (2016). *Communication Accommodation Theory: Negotiating Personal Relationships and Social Identities across Contexts*. Cambridge University Press.
18. Giddens, A. (1992). *The Transformation of Intimacy: Sexuality, Love, and Eroticism in Modern Societies*. Polity Press.
19. Johnson, K., Mitchell, B., & Wallace, T. L. (2023). Gen Z's emotional resilience: Understanding relational slang and its impact on emotional processing. *Journal of Adolescent Psychology*, 38(4), 204-218. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ajp.2023.45>
20. Johnson, M., & Lee, A. (2022). The role of relationship slang in managing emotional detachment: A qualitative analysis of Gen Z communication. *Journal of Contemporary Social Issues*, 48(6), 473-486. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20905616.2022.1961508>
21. Jones, L., & Walker, M. (2024). Ghosting and emotional detachment: The consequences of avoiding relationship closure. *Journal of Modern Relationships*, 39(3), 201-217. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12129-024-1222-4>
22. Labov, W. (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
23. Lee, S., & Wang, R. (2023). Shorthand for modern love: The role of slang in shaping Gen Z's romantic communication. *Communication Studies*, 30(2), 48-62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/cs.2023.0512>
24. McHugh, S. (2020). The fluidity of modern relationships: New media, digital platforms, and contemporary romantic behavior. *Journal of Contemporary Social Science*, 48(2), 76-92.
25. Miller, D. (2021). *Redefining Love: The Evolution of Romance in the Digital Age*. Routledge.
26. Miller, D., & Evans, C. (2023). Relationship slang and digital culture: Generational shifts in romantic behavior. *Digital Culture and Society*, 6(4), 127-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.digcul.2023.03.003>
27. Miller, L., & Stevens, P. (2021). Detachment in the digital age: Exploring the emotional regulation of modern relationships. *Psychology of Popular Media*, 19(2), 115-128. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000327>
28. Sapir, E. (1929). The Status of Linguistics as a Science. *Language*.
29. Schwartz, S. H. (2019). *Self-Determination Theory and the Role of Identity in Relation to Social Resilience*. Springer.



30. Schwartz, S. H., Brown, A., & Harris, J. (2024). Relationship evolution: The sociocultural impact of digital language on Gen Z's romance norms. *Sociology of Relationships*, 29(2), 78-91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01417789.2024.1988776>
31. Smith, R. J., & Wallace, P. (2022). Resilience through shared language: How slang strengthens Gen Z's emotional connections. *Journal of Youth Studies*, 25(1), 57-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2022.2012209>
32. Stern, D. (2021). Flexing in the digital age: The rise of performative love and relationships online. *Journal of Digital Communication*, 24(2), 78-93.
33. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). The social identity theory of intergroup behavior. In S. Worchel & W. G. Austin (Eds.), *Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (pp. 7–24). Nelson-Hall.
34. Tagliamonte, S. A. (2016). *Teen Talk: The Language of Adolescents*. Cambridge University Press.
35. Toma, C. L. (2020). Perceptions of authenticity in online dating profiles. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 37(2), 239-256.
36. Twenge, J. M. (2017). *iGen: Why Today's Super-Connected Kids Are Growing Up Less Rebellious, More Tolerant, Less Happy—and Completely Unprepared for Adulthood—and What That Means for the Rest of Us*. Atria Books.
37. Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, Thought, and Reality: Selected Writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf*. MIT Press.