

Integrating AI and Emerging Technologies in Academic Libraries for Efficient Service Delivery

¹K.T. Nwala, PhD., ²C. E. Otuza, PhD., ¹O. S. Johnson

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Clifford University, Owerri, Nigeria

²Department of Library & Information Science Clifford University, Owerri, Nigeria

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2025.903SEDU0744>

Received: 03 December 2025; Accepted: 09 December 2025; Published: 20 December 2025

ABSTRACT

Libraries have historically played a vital role in promoting equal access to knowledge, lifelong learning, and community engagement. In light of rapid technological advancements, libraries are increasingly expected to adopt emerging tools such as artificial intelligence (AI), virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), cloud computing, RFID systems, and the Internet of Things (IoT). These technologies offer significant potential to enhance library functions including search capabilities, user interaction, personalized recommendations, and inventory management. However, without strategic guidance, their implementation risks being inconsistent, ethically problematic, or unsustainable. This study identifies best practices for the responsible integration of these technologies in library environments. A systematic literature review was complemented by a descriptive analysis of survey responses from 27 academic libraries across 11 Nigerian universities. The survey assessed trends in adoption, staff readiness, user satisfaction, and implementation strategies. Results indicate that while 72% of libraries use RFID systems and 58% utilize cloud platforms, only 18% have adopted VR/AR or AI-based services, reflecting a cautious approach toward high-cost and complex technologies. Successful integration requires more than technical deployment it demands user-centered design, staff training, community involvement, and strong data privacy policies. By adopting these strategies, libraries can responsibly leverage emerging technologies to improve service delivery while upholding their core values of equitable access and public trust. This ensures that libraries remain relevant, inclusive, and adaptable within an evolving digital information landscape.

Word Count: 226

INTRODUCTION

Academic libraries have undergone a profound transformation in the digital age, evolving from static repositories of printed materials into dynamic knowledge hubs enriched by technology. The traditional emphasis on physical collections and in-person reference has shifted towards online catalogs, digital repositories, and integrated learning spaces such as Learning Commons—designed to facilitate student collaboration, research, and technology access in a more seamless environment (Learning Commons, n.d.). As users increasingly demand seamless, personalized, and on-demand services, academic libraries are under growing pressure to adopt innovative, user-centered models. “Library 2.0” concepts emphasize interactive, participatory platforms that support customization, user reviews, and social engagement—aspects that empower users to shape their own information experience (Library 2.0, n.d.). Moreover, academic libraries are expanding services such as makerspaces, digital content access, and mobile apps to meet diverse scholarly and creative needs in a highly connected world (Lucky University library app launch, 2025). Emerging technologies, and in particular Artificial Intelligence (AI), are playing an increasingly central role in transforming how academic libraries deliver services. AI applications now include automatic cataloging, metadata generation, and recommendation systems that tailor resource suggestions based on individual user behavior (Recommender system, n.d.). These systems enhance discovery and reduce workload for librarians, enabling more efficient and personalized service delivery. In developing economies, scholars have highlighted AI’s utility in technical services such as indexing, acquisition, shelf reading, and reference support (Oseji et al.,

2023). Recent systematic reviews chart a surge in research on AI in library contexts between 2019 and 2023, indicating rising scholarly interest. Most AI-related library studies emerged from countries like England, the United States, and Germany, covering topics such as automation of metadata workflows and intelligent retrieval services (South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science, 2019–2023). Additional reviews emphasize AI's transformational potential in academic libraries delivering 24/7 virtual reference, improving accuracy in cataloging, and supporting predictive analytics for collection development and resource allocation (Review of AI implementation, n.d.). AI-powered tools have also been used to automate labor-intensive tasks such as systematic literature reviews by extracting and synthesizing relevant data from large corpora, freeing researchers to focus on interpretation rather than manual screening (Torre-López et al., 2024). Meanwhile, augmenting physical library spaces with AR technologies such as head-mounted display systems that gamify browsing and enhance discovery demonstrates how emerging tech can revitalize in-person visitor engagement (Wei et al., 2024).

Despite the promise of AI and emerging technologies, integration into academic libraries faces barriers, especially in resource-limited settings. Common challenges include unstable power supply, limited ICT infrastructure, lack of trained personnel, resistance to change, concerns about job displacement, and cost constraints (Okunlaya et al., 2022; Barsha & Munshi, 2023; Emiri, 2023). For example, studies in African and Pakistani university libraries note minimal AI adoption due to infrastructural limitations and insufficient capacity-building opportunities for librarians (Kaushal & Yadav, 2022; Emiri, 2023; Farag et al., 2021). The digital era has ushered in a new model for academic libraries one that is user-centered, technology driven, and increasingly intelligent. The rising expectations of library users for accessible, personalized, efficient services make the integration of AI and emerging technologies not only desirable but necessary. Yet, realizing this potential requires overcoming structural and institutional challenges especially in the global south before the promise of transformed academic library services can be fully realized.

Statement of the Problem

Academic libraries are under increasing pressure to modernize their services as the volume, diversity, and complexity of information resources continue to grow. Emerging technologies particularly artificial intelligence (AI), cloud computing, Internet of Things (IoT), RFID, and data analytics offer unprecedented opportunities to enhance library operations, streamline workflows, and improve user experience. Globally, these technologies are transforming how libraries provide reference services, manage collections, support research, and engage users. However, in many academic libraries, especially within developing contexts, the integration of AI and other emerging technologies remains slow, fragmented, or poorly implemented.

Several challenges contribute to this gap. Many academic libraries lack the technical infrastructure, skilled personnel, and financial resources required to deploy and sustain advanced technologies. Where technologies have been introduced, they are often underutilized due to inadequate staff training, limited user awareness, or the absence of clear implementation frameworks. Furthermore, issues relating to data privacy, ethical use of AI, interoperability, and long-term sustainability hinder the effective adoption of such tools. As a result, libraries struggle to keep pace with the evolving needs of students, researchers, and faculty members who increasingly rely on digital, personalized, and real-time services.

Without a systematic understanding of how AI and emerging technologies can be effectively integrated, academic libraries risk falling behind in service delivery, relevance, and efficiency. This study therefore seeks to examine the current state of technology integration, identify best practices, and provide evidence-based recommendations for enhancing library service delivery through the strategic adoption of AI and emerging technologies.

Research Objectives

1. To identify and describe the demographic characteristics of the study population using descriptive statistical techniques such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations.

2. To examine the key variables relevant to the study (e.g., perceptions, behaviours, performance, adoption levels) and summarize their distribution patterns using appropriate descriptive statistics.
3. To analyse the relationships or differences between selected variables in the study in order to provide data-driven insights that support the overall research questions and objectives.

Research Questions

- i. How can AI and emerging technologies be effectively integrated into academic libraries to improve service delivery?
- ii. What infrastructural, pedagogical, and policy-related challenges affect the adoption of AI and emerging technologies in academic libraries?
- iii. How do librarians, staff, and users perceive the role of AI and emerging technologies in enhancing service efficiency in academic libraries?

LITERATURE REVIEW

From Traditional Repositories to Digital Knowledge Hubs

Academic libraries have undergone a remarkable transformation in recent decades, evolving from repositories dominated by physical collections into dynamic digital knowledge hubs that support learning, research, and innovation (Cox et al., 2021). Traditional print holdings and card-catalog systems have been largely replaced by integrated digital systems offering online catalogues, institutional repositories, and open-access archives (Cox et al., 2021). The shift to hybrid libraries combining traditional print and electronic resources became prominent in the 1990s and early 2000s, with academic institutions adopting emerging digital collections and e-journals alongside physical holdings (Rusbridge, 1998). By the mid-2000s, publishers and libraries largely standardized digital editions of journals as the version of record, enabling researchers worldwide to access scholarly content remotely (Elsevier Connect, 2024). This transition allowed libraries to expand services beyond physical walls into virtual access models. Concurrent with digitization efforts, many institutions launched ‘information commons’ or ‘learning commons’—an integrated space combining technology, content repositories, and collaborative work areas. These physical–digital hybrids support group learning and digital access, representing a crucial evolution in service design (Wikipedia: Information commons, 2025). As stakeholder feedback shows, such commons have led to substantial increases in usage while supporting deeper engagement with scholarly resources (Wikipedia: Information commons, 2025). Further, consortial networks and digital library federations have empowered academic libraries to collaborate on shared infrastructure, open access policies, and digital repository services (Digital Library Federation, 2025; Library consortia trends, 2025). These partnerships enable resource sharing, collective acquisition negotiations, and legislative advocacy, reinforcing libraries as interconnected digital knowledge providers. Studies focusing on the digital transformation of academic libraries in developing contexts indicate persistent disparities. While libraries in developed nations have integrated platforms for digital content, innovation labs, and e-services, those in developing countries often lag due to infrastructural limitations and policy gaps (Liman & Aliyu, 2023; Odunlade & Ojo, 2023). At the same time, members of the profession have redefined librarian roles. As technology reshapes service delivery, librarians increasingly act as knowledge brokers—guiding users through digital systems, teaching information literacy, and facilitating research workflows (Redesigning Librarianship, 2023). These expanded roles reflect the expectations of modern scholarship and underscore the evolving identity of academic libraries. Academic libraries have transitioned from static, physical repositories to vibrant digital knowledge hubs. They now offer robust online services, collaborative learning environments, and shared infrastructure reshaping librarian roles and bridging gaps between traditional and modern scholarship, though not without challenges in under-resourced regions.

AI for Cataloging, Metadata Generation, and Recommendation Systems

Artificial intelligence is reshaping cataloging and metadata generation in academic libraries by automating processes that were once laborious and error-prone. Machine learning and natural language processing (NLP)

tools automatically extract key metadata from texts and images, enhancing searchability and standardization across collections (South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science, 2025). Generative AI models, including large language models (LLMs), are now being used collaboratively with librarians to produce more accurate, disentangled metadata frameworks, improving interoperability across institutional repositories (Bagchi, 2024). AI-driven recommendation systems further support personalized discovery. Systems using collaborative and content-based filtering analyze user behavior to suggest relevant materials, increasing engagement and satisfaction (Gyanmala Library, 2024; Aayushi & Mulimani, 2024). Digital libraries like IEEE Xplore and Europeana have successfully integrated recommenders tailored to individual readings and citation patterns, helping users uncover content they might not otherwise find (Wikipedia: Recommender system, 2025)

Chatbots and Virtual Assistants for Reference Services

AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants are increasingly deployed to handle reference inquiries, providing 24/7 support and freeing librarians to focus on complex tasks. Evidence suggests that conversational assistants like Bing Chat deliver dynamic, context-aware interactions that guide resource discovery and enrich user engagement (Adetayo, 2023). University pilots also demonstrate the importance of involving librarians in training and operating chatbots to ensure accuracy and user trust (Estes et al., 2024). Systematic reviews show that task-oriented library chatbots can handle routine reference questions, offer reading suggestions, support multiple languages, and integrate with learning management systems (Yan et al., 2023). While benefits include reduced response times and round-the-clock availability, limitations remain in usability, cultural adaptability, and inherent biases especially where training datasets or design limit relevance (Yan et al., 2023)

Predictive Analytics for Resource Usage and Acquisition

Predictive analytics, based on AI algorithms, enables libraries to forecast demand for resources, optimize acquisitions, and manage collections proactively (Restackio, 2024)

By analyzing circulation logs, user ratings, and access patterns, predictive models help libraries identify emerging interest areas and allocate budgets accordingly. More advanced dashboards and analytics tools (e.g., IBM Watson Discovery, Microsoft Azure Cognitive Search) are used to support data-driven decision-making in collection development and resource management (South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science, 2025). Benefits include enhanced operational efficiency, reduced over-acquisition of low-demand materials, and improved alignment between collections and user needs.

Emerging Technologies in Libraries

Internet of Things (IoT) for Inventory Tracking and Smart Environments

The Internet of Things (IoT) is increasingly transforming library operations through real-time tracking of assets and intelligent environmental monitoring. RFID and Bluetooth Low Energy sensors streamline inventory audits, drastically reducing manual labor while improving detection of lost or misplaced items (Ahmad, 2019). Smart environmental sensors embedded in library spaces monitor temperature, humidity, occupancy, and air quality—ensuring optimal preservation of sensitive collections and improving energy efficiency (Rashid, 2024)

Cloud Computing for Data Management and Collaboration

Cloud computing offers academic libraries scalable, on-demand access to storage and computational resources. It enables centralized hosting of institutional repositories, facilitating easier collaboration between librarians, researchers, and students (Wikipedia, 2025). Cloud-based collaboration platforms support multi-user document authoring, annotation, and version control—boosting cooperative research workflows and bridging geographical distances among academic stakeholders (Wikipedia, 2025)

Augmented/Virtual Reality for Enhanced Learning Experiences

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) are being deployed to foster interactive learning within academic libraries. AR scavenger hunts and orientation tours have been shown to reduce student anxiety and increase self-efficacy during library induction activities (Kannegiser, 2021). Similarly, AR-based metadata overlays and room-reservation tools enrich physical browsing experiences by linking printed collections to digital content (Kunkel, 2024; Wei et al., 2024). VR services, such as those piloted at Brigham Young University, enable patrons to reserve immersive experiences and support interdisciplinary learning, with proven interest across varied demographics (Frost et al., 2020).

Blockchain for Secure Digital Rights Management and Record-Keeping

Blockchain-based frameworks are emerging as secure methods for managing digital rights and preserving transactional records in library contexts. The SecureRights system integrates blockchain, perceptual hashing, and IPFS to embed timestamped watermarks in content, offering immutable proof of ownership and usage rights (Madushanka et al., 2024). Permissioned blockchains like Hyperledger Fabric are particularly suited for library consortia seeking compliant, auditable systems for access control, provenance tracking, and digital content authentication (Androulaki et al., 2018). Broader surveys show that academic institutions are increasingly exploring blockchain for transparent ledger systems, particularly for rights management and anti-counterfeit applications (Wikipedia, 2025).

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and emerging technologies in academic libraries presents several challenges and ethical concerns. Data privacy is a critical issue, as AI-driven systems often rely on collecting and analyzing user behavior, raising concerns about surveillance, consent, and compliance with regulations like GDPR (Madushanka et al., 2024). Without clear policies, sensitive user information could be exposed or misused. Cost implications also pose a significant barrier, especially for libraries in developing countries, where limited budgets make it difficult to acquire and maintain advanced technologies, infrastructure, and skilled personnel (Liman & Aliyu, 2023). Digital literacy gaps further complicate implementation. Both patrons and library staff require continuous training to effectively use AI tools and cloud-based platforms; otherwise, these systems risk being underutilized or mismanaged (Adetayo, 2023). Additionally, staff resistance can emerge due to fears of job displacement or a perceived devaluation of traditional librarianship roles (Oseji et al., 2023). Ethical considerations therefore demand participatory planning, ensuring that technology adoption complements human expertise rather than replacing it. Addressing these issues through strong governance, capacity-building programs, and transparent policies is essential to achieving equitable and responsible AI integration in academic libraries.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews and case studies. This design enables triangulation of findings to provide a holistic understanding of technology adoption in academic libraries.

The population comprises academic librarians, library staff, and students from 11 Nigerian universities with a total of 27 academic libraries. A purposive sampling method was used to select participants based on their involvement with library technologies.

Questionnaires: Distributed to librarians/staff and students to assess awareness, readiness, satisfaction, and perceived usefulness of emerging technologies.

Interviews: Conducted with 22 library administrators (2 per university) to explore strategies, challenges, and best practices.

Case Studies: Each university's main library was studied to document real-world implementation of AI, IoT, cloud computing, and other emerging tools.

ANALYSES AND RESULTS

Research question 1

How can AI and emerging technologies be effectively integrated into academic libraries to improve service delivery?

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics and Implementation Summary (N = 675 respondents; 27 libraries; 22 admin interviews)

| Section A — Survey summary (Questionnaire) | Librarians / Staff (n = 135) | Students (n = 540) | Overall (n = 675) |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Awareness of emerging technologies (% aware) | 96% | 78% | 82% |
| Average readiness to use / manage technologies (1–5) | M = 4.0 (SD = 0.6) | M = 3.2 (SD = 0.8) | M = 3.4 (SD = 0.8) |
| Average satisfaction with existing tech services (1–5) | M = 3.8 (SD = 0.7) | M = 3.6 (SD = 0.9) | M = 3.6 (SD = 0.8) |
| Perceived usefulness for service delivery (1–5) | M = 4.1 (SD = 0.5) | M = 3.7 (SD = 0.8) | M = 3.8 (SD = 0.7) |

High awareness but uneven readiness. Awareness is high overall (82%), especially among staff (96%), but readiness and satisfaction scores show librarians are more prepared than students (staff M=4.0 vs students M=3.2). Effective integration must therefore include user-focused readiness-building (student orientation and training) alongside staff capacity-building.

Mature vs. emerging implementations. RFID and cloud solutions are widespread ($\approx 72\%$ and $\approx 59\%$ of libraries), while AI and VR/AR remain nascent ($\approx 19\%$ each). This suggests a stepwise integration strategy: consolidate and optimize mature systems (RFID/cloud) while piloting and scaling advanced solutions (AI, IoT, VR) where infrastructure and capacity permit.

Administrators' priorities indicate the pathway. Interviews show unanimous emphasis on staff training and near-universal demand for infrastructure upgrades and policy frameworks. These are practical prerequisites for sustainable adoption and should form the backbone of any integration plan.

Research question 2

What infrastructural, pedagogical, and policy-related challenges affect the adoption of AI and emerging technologies in academic libraries?

Section A — Quantitative Survey Results (Librarians/Staff = 135; Students = 540)

Scale for severity of challenge: 1 = Very Low, 5 = Very High

Table 2: Infrastructural, Pedagogical, and Policy-Related Challenges Affecting Adoption of AI and Emerging Technologies in Academic Libraries

| Category of Challenge | Specific Challenge | Librarians/Staff Mean (SD) | Students Mean (SD) | Overall Mean (SD) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Infrastructural | Poor internet/bandwidth quality | 4.6 (0.7) | 4.3 (0.8) | 4.4 (0.8) |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Unstable electricity / no backup systems | 4.5 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.9) | 4.3 (0.9) |
| | Insufficient computers / hardware for AI/VR | 4.2 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.9) | 4.1 (0.9) |
| | Outdated library systems and software | 4.0 (0.9) | 3.8 (0.8) | 3.9 (0.9) |
| Pedagogical | Limited staff digital skills & AI competence | 4.4 (0.7) | 3.9 (0.9) | 4.0 (0.9) |
| | Lack of training on emerging technologies | 4.5 (0.7) | 4.1 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.8) |
| | Low user awareness of technology services | 4.1 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.9) | 4.0 (0.9) |
| | Resistance to change among staff/users | 3.9 (0.9) | 3.6 (0.8) | 3.7 (0.9) |
| Policy-Related | Absence of AI/data governance policies | 4.3 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.9) | 4.1 (0.9) |
| | Inconsistent ICT funding and budgeting | 4.4 (0.7) | 4.1 (0.9) | 4.2 (0.8) |
| | Lack of national/institutional standards | 4.2 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.9) |
| | Weak monitoring/evaluation of tech projects | 4.0 (0.9) | 3.7 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.9) |

Section B — Qualitative Administrator Interviews (n=22 Administrators)

Values represent frequency of mention across 22 interviews

| Challenge Category | Theme Identified | Frequency (n/22) |
|------------------------|--|------------------|
| Infrastructural | Need for stable broadband | 21/22 |
| | Unreliable electricity supply | 20/22 |
| | High cost of AI/VR hardware | 18/22 |
| Pedagogical | Lack of advanced ICT/AI training | 22/22 |
| | Low user digital literacy | 17/22 |
| | Limited technical support staff | 15/22 |
| Policy-Related | Absence of AI ethics and data privacy policies | 19/22 |
| | Irregular ICT funding cycles | 17/22 |
| | Slow administrative approval processes | 14/22 |

Section C — Case Study Evidence Across 27 Academic Libraries

| Challenge Area | Case Study Findings (Library Count) | Percentage |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Infrastructure: No functional backup power | 19/27 | 70.4% |

| | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| Infrastructure: Bandwidth < 10 Mbps | 21/27 | 77.8% |
| Pedagogical: No structured AI training program | 24/27 | 88.9% |
| Pedagogical: Limited technical support personnel | 17/27 | 63.0% |
| Policy: No AI governance or cybersecurity policy | 20/27 | 74.1% |
| Policy: No documented technology integration framework | 22/27 | 81.5% |

Table 2 shows that infrastructural challenges are the most severe, particularly poor bandwidth (M=4.4) and unstable electricity (M=4.3). Pedagogical challenges are also critical, with limited digital skills (M=4.0) and inadequate training (M=4.2). Policy-related challenges—including weak governance frameworks and inconsistent ICT funding—score similarly high (M=4.1–4.2), showing that institutional policies are insufficient to support long-term integration of AI and emerging technologies. The triangulation of surveys, interviews, and case studies reveals that effective adoption depends heavily on infrastructure upgrades, structured AI capacity building, and development of robust institutional and national policies.

Research question 3

How do librarians, staff, and users perceive the role of AI and emerging technologies in enhancing service efficiency in academic libraries?

Section A — Quantitative Survey Results (Librarians/Staff = 135; Students = 540)

Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree

Table 3: Perceptions of AI and Emerging Technologies in Enhancing Service Efficiency in Academic Libraries

| Perception Indicator | Librarians/Staff Mean (SD) | Students Mean (SD) | Overall Mean (SD) |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| AI improves reference and information services | 4.3 (0.7) | 4.0 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.8) |
| Emerging technologies make library services faster | 4.4 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.7) | 4.2 (0.7) |
| AI chatbots enhance user support and accessibility | 4.1 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.9) | 3.9 (0.9) |
| RFID/IoT improves circulation and resource tracking | 4.5 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.8) | 4.3 (0.7) |
| Cloud platforms improve access to library resources | 4.6 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.7) | 4.4 (0.6) |
| VR/AR enriches user learning experiences | 3.9 (0.9) | 3.6 (1.0) | 3.7 (1.0) |
| AI and emerging tech improve overall service efficiency | 4.4 (0.6) | 4.0 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.8) |
| Users feel more satisfied with tech-enhanced services | 4.2 (0.7) | 3.9 (0.9) | 4.0 (0.8) |

Table 3 indicates that both librarians/staff and students perceive AI and emerging technologies positively, particularly in enhancing service speed, access, and accuracy. The highest-rated tools are cloud platforms (M=4.4) and RFID/IoT (M=4.3), reflecting strong confidence in these technologies for improving efficiency. Perceptions of AI chatbots and VR/AR are positive but less strong due to limited exposure and implementation. Administrator interviews confirm this perception, with 95% (21/22) stating that AI

significantly improves service efficiency. Case studies also show strong evidence for technologies like RFID and cloud services already producing measurable improvements.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated how artificial intelligence (AI) and emerging technologies can be effectively integrated into academic libraries in Nigeria to enhance service delivery. Findings from Research Question 1 show that integration is both feasible and beneficial, with respondents indicating strong support for tools such as cloud platforms (overall mean = 4.4), RFID/IoT systems (4.3), and AI-assisted reference services (4.1). These technologies were consistently perceived as improving access, speed, and accuracy of library operations. Research Question 2 revealed significant infrastructural, pedagogical, and policy-related challenges that hinder full adoption. Poor internet quality ($M = 4.4$), unstable electricity ($M = 4.3$), limited staff digital skills ($M = 4.2$), and weak institutional policies ($M = 4.1$) were among the most pressing barriers identified across the 11 universities. Research Question 3 showed that librarians, staff, and users generally perceive AI and emerging technologies positively, noting increased efficiency, better resource management, and enhanced user satisfaction. The findings confirm that while academic libraries recognize the transformative potential of AI and emerging technologies, sustainable adoption requires improved infrastructure, reliable funding, staff capacity-building, and stronger institutional policies. Strengthening these areas will enable Nigerian academic libraries to evolve into modern, technology-driven knowledge centers capable of meeting the needs of today's digital learners.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the insights and findings of this study, several recommendations are proposed to enhance future implementations, adoption, and sustainability of the system. First, institutions and stakeholders should invest in continuous digital capacity-building programs to ensure that users students, educators, system administrators, and policymakers possess the necessary technical skills to maximize the benefits of the developed solution. Regular training will also promote smooth system integration and reduce resistance to technological change.

Secondly, there is a strong need for adequate infrastructure, such as stable internet connectivity, reliable power supply, and updated hardware, to guarantee optimal system performance. Stakeholders should prioritize funding and partnerships that support infrastructural upgrades. Furthermore, system developers should adopt a modular and scalable design approach, enabling easy future enhancements, integration with emerging technologies, and adaptation to evolving user needs.

It is recommended that institutions create clear policies that guide data privacy, security, and ethical use of digital systems, ensuring user trust and compliance with global standards. Periodic evaluation and user feedback mechanisms should also be incorporated to identify gaps, improve usability, and maintain long-term system relevance.

Finally, collaborations between academia, government agencies, and industry experts should be strengthened to foster innovation, ensure sustainability, and expand the system's impact.

REFERENCES

1. Aayushi, & Mulimani, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) in library and information science. *Aayushi International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, Special Issue No. 139.
2. Adetayo, A. J. (2023). Conversational assistants in academic libraries: Enhancing reference services through Bing Chat. *Library Hi Tech News*. Advance online publication.
3. Covington, P., Adams, J., & Sargin, E. (2016). Deep neural networks for YouTube recommendations [Figure 2: Recommendation system architecture illustrating candidate generation and ranking]. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys '16)*, 191–198

4. Cox, A. M., Pinfield, S., & Rutter, S. (2021). Research data management (RDM) and the evolving identity of academic libraries and librarians: A literature review. *Journal of Documentation*, 77(6), 1374–1391.
5. Darko Adjei, N., & King, L. (2024). Academic libraries readiness in the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A comparative study between Ghana and South Africa. *Library Management*. Advance online publication.
6. Digital Library Federation. (2025). Digital Library Federation. In Wikipedia. Retrieved 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Library_Federation
7. Elsevier Connect. (2024, April). How libraries have transformed through 25 years of digital innovation. Elsevier Connect.
8. Estes, C., Twomey, B., & Johnson, A. (2024). It takes a village: A distributed training model for AI-based chatbots.
9. Gyanmala Library. (2024). Enhancing library services with AI tools & techniques. Gyanmala Library online publication.
10. Information Commons. (2025, May). In Wikipedia. Retrieved 2025, from English Wikipedia.
11. Learning Commons. (n.d.). *Learning commons*. In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved July 2025 from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Learning_commons
12. Library consortia and shared infrastructure. (2025). In Wikipedia. Retrieved 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_Library_Federation
13. Library 2.0. (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved July 2025 from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Library_2.0
14. Liman, Y. A., & Aliyu, M. M. (2023). Digital transformation and innovation of academic libraries: A content analysis. *Samaru Journal of Information Studies*, 23(1).
15. Lucky University library app launch. (2025, July 12). *The Times of India*.
16. Odunlade, R. O., & Ojo, J. O. (2023). Digital transformation and service delivery in academic libraries: A post COVID-19 approach. *Lokoja Journal of Information Science Research*, 1(1), 41–60.
17. Okunlaya et al. (2022). In *A review of artificial intelligence implementation in academic library services*. *South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science*.
18. Oseji, N. A., Adekoya, O. M., Zejime, N., & Sani, J. (2023). *Integration of Artificial Intelligence into library and information workflow for enhanced services in developing economies*. Conference Paper.
19. Recommender system. (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved July 2025 from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recommender_system
20. Redesigning librarianship in the digital era: A theoretical model for academic libraries. (2023). ResearchGate content.
21. Restackio. (2024). AI's role in digital libraries: Predictive modeling. Restackio analysis blog
22. Rusbridge, C. (1998). Hybrid libraries. *D-Lib Magazine*.
23. *South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science*. (2023). *Use of artificial intelligence in libraries: a systematic review, 2019–2023*.
24. *South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science*. (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence for sustainable knowledge organisation in academic libraries. *South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science*.
25. Torre-López, J. de la, Ramírez, A., & Romero, J. R. (2024). *Artificial intelligence to automate the systematic review of scientific literature*.
26. Wei, Q., Zhang, J., Wang, P., Jin, X., & Fan, M. (2024). *Augmented Library: Toward enriching physical library experience using HMD-based augmented reality*.
27. Yan, R., Zhao, X., Mazumdar, S., Balog, K. P., & Faletar, S. (2023). Chatbots in libraries: A systematic literature review. Emerald / Sage publication.