

Migration of Soil Ammonium- Nitrogen with Amended Poultry and Sheep Manures in Ultisols of Ihiagwa, Southeastern Nigeria

Saka, H. A.*, Uzoho, B. U., Ahukaemere, C. M. and Nkwopara, U. N.

Department of Soil Science and Technology, PMB 1526, School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2023.10721>

Received: 24 June 2023; Accepted: 17 July 2023; Published: 17 August 2023

Abstract: - Soil management to synchronizing nutrient release with crop uptake is essential for sustainable plant production and environmental quality. Ammonium- nitrogen (NH_4^+ - N) dynamics of poultry and sheep manures amended Ultisols in Ihiagwa, Southeastern Nigeria were evaluated. Their levels equivalent to 0, 30 and 60 % N of both poultry and sheep manures were applied in a 3^2 factorial arranged in randomized complete block setup with three replications. The NH_4^+ - N was determined from four soil depths (0 – 5, 5 – 10, 10 – 20 and 20 – 40 cm) at different weeks (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 12 weeks) after manures application (WAMA) in wet and dry seasons of 2019 and 2020. Soil NH_4^+ - N differed with rates of poultry and sheep manures only and the integration of both manure at various soil depths, seasons and years. Concentrations of NH_4^+ - N in most soil depths at both seasons and years were better with 60 % than 30 % N of poultry and sheep manures alone and with integrations of both manures better than single applications. Averaged over soil depths and periods after manure applications, soil ammonium- nitrogen was better in wet than dry seasons and in 2019 than 2020. Averaged over soil depths, manure rates, seasons and years, concentrations of NH_4^+ - N varied and better at 3rd WAMA. Equally, concentrations of NH_4^+ - N decreased down soil depths. Generally, good soil nutrient management will be useful for efficiency of nutrient and sustained crop yields. Further research is needed on the field to substantiate the findings.

Keywords: Soil ammonium- nitrogen, poultry manure, sheep manure, Ultisols, Southeastern Nigeria.

I. Introduction

Soil is an essential resource and a vital part of the natural environment from which most of the global food is produced [10]. It is the only medium that interacts with the atmosphere, lithosphere, biosphere and hydrosphere. It is one of the Earth's life-sustaining components which serve as physical support for plants and source of water and nutrients for plants and soil microbes [37]. It is a non-renewable resource over the human time scale [33], with its growing problems making it imperative for sound management. Soil degradation lowers its fertility and productivity and as such crop response to applied nutrient is influenced by soil properties especially, the nutrients reserve ([25], [29], [43]). Based on its properties, soils could be classified (USDA) into different types with Ultisols constituting about 72 % of soils of Southeastern, Nigeria [22].

Ultisols are group of soils with an argillic or kandic horizon (silicate clay) and a few basic cations that have developed under forest vegetation in humid climates [53]. They are found in intensely weathered humid areas and have a subsurface acidic horizon with appreciable translocated clays [39]. The warm temperatures and abundant variability of moisture enhance its weathering process and increase the rate of leaching. Its base saturation decreases with depth [48], with the clay types mainly 1: 1 types and varying amounts of hydrous oxides of Fe and Al. High kaolinite content of its mineralogy cause a low shrink-swell potential and thus relatively favorable for water retention. Also, most Ultisols have a thick sandy epipedons and/ or horizons with high bulk density that may limit water storage and root proliferation [53]. Typically, productivity of Ultisols of Southeastern Nigeria is constrained by high acidity and poor fertility status [28]. The soil pH often ranges between 4.8 and 5.5. Organic matter content, total N, available P, exchangeable bases (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and K^+) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) are invariably low to very low [8] while the sand fractions are dominated by such minerals as haematite, goethite, gibbsite with quartz dominating the clay mineralogy [45]. Some of the crop production problems in Southeastern Nigeria include low fertility status, highly weathered soils, high leaching, and low organic matter and available nutrients. Hence, organic manure can be used to restore such fertility.

Plants use nitrogen from soils in form of ammonium and nitrates. The (NH_4^+ - N) and (NO_3^- - N) are formed when nitrogen enters the soil solution. Nitrate – nitrogen accumulates in the soil, but ammonium – nitrogen does not. Nitrate – nitrogen can easily be leached from the soil than ammonium – nitrogen with rainfall or irrigation water [21]. Addition of manures to soils is accompanied by certain transformation processes that include mineralization, immobilization, nitrification and volatilization of nitrogen. There is therefore a need to understand the dynamics of NH_4^+ - N with widespread deficiencies in Ultisols of Southeastern,

Nigeria in order to sustain soil productivity. The main objectives of this study were to determine the effect of poultry and sheep manures on the: (i) soil NH_4^+ - N at varying soil depths, (ii) soil NH_4^+ - N at varying periods and years after manure application.

II. Materials and Methods

2.1 Site description

The study location was at Teaching and Research farm of Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State on Latitudes $5^\circ 05'$ and $5^\circ 23'$ N and Longitudes $7^\circ 02'$ and $7^\circ 21'$ E in the humid rain forest agro-ecological zone of Nigeria with altitude 482 m/ 1581 ft. Its mean annual rainfall, mean monthly temperature and relative humidity were 2,500 mm, 32°C and 85 % respectively [15]. Geographical positioning system (GPS) equipment was used to determine site specific coordinates which were Latitude $5^\circ 22' 55''$ N Longitude $6^\circ 59' 44''$ E and Latitude $5^\circ 22' 23''$ N Longitude $6^\circ 59' 26''$ E, Latitude $5^\circ 22'$ and $54''$ N Longitude $6^\circ 59' 32''$ E and Latitude $5^\circ 22' 48''$ and N Longitude $6^\circ 59' 29''$ E for the first, second, third and fourth seasons respectively. The climax vegetation of the site was dominated by *Acio bacteri* and *Abememia oduratum* with soil type being Arenic hapludult [47].

2.2 Soil and Manure Sampling and Preparations

The experimental design was a 3^2 factorial replicated three times in randomized complete block set-up. Pretreatment soil samples were collected from 0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm depths in each season while post-treatment soil samples were collected per treatment plots from same depths as the former at various intervals (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 12 weeks) treatment applications in the wet and dry seasons of 2019. These intervals consisted of weekly in the first month, fortnightly in the second and ones in the third month after treatment applications (MAT) and given a total of seven samplings per cropping cycle. Both pre and post treatments soil samples collected were prepared by air drying, sieving using a 2 mm diameter mesh and the fine earth fractions stored ready for laboratory analyses. The poultry and sheep manures were oven dried for 103°C for 12 hours and ground using wiley. They were digested using double acid (nitric/ perchloric acid) [52].

2.3 Laboratory Analyses

2.3.1 Manures, Pre - and Post Treatment Soil Analyses

The manures (poultry and sheep), pre and post treatment soil samples were subjected to laboratory analyses using standard methods. The pretreatment soil samples were analyzed for particle size fractions after dispersion with calgon [11], pH in 1: 2.5 sample/ water ratio using the glass electrode of a pH meter, total N [24], NH_4^+ - N and NO_3^- - N [14], total, Bray II and water-soluble P [30], EC [34], OM [27], exchangeable bases (K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and Na^+) [42], water- soluble K after extraction with 1: 5 soil/ water ratio and total K using double acid method [17]. Also, post treatment soil samples were analyzed for NH_4^+ - N using the method above. Equally, manure samples were analyzed for pH, EC, total N, P, K, Na, Ca, Mg and organic carbon [44].

2.4 Statistical Analysis

All data generated were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and means separated using Fisher's Protected Least Significant Difference Test at 5 % probability level. All analyses were computed using Genstat Statistical package (discovery edition 12 software) [12].

III. Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of the manures used for the study

Chemical properties of the poultry and sheep manures used for this study were presented in Table 1. The manures were alkaline [32] with a pH of 7.8 and suggesting promoting soil pH on application. It has been noted that application of organic manure can improve the soil pH [13]. Several researchers have reported an increase in soil pH with poultry and livestock manures ([16], [20]). Electrical conductivity was higher in poultry (14.23) than sheep (9.45 dS m^{-1}) indicating the tendency of high salt concentration in the former than later.

Table 1: Properties of Poultry and Sheep Manures Used for the Study.

Chemical	Manures	
	Poultry	Sheep
PH	7.8	7.8
EC (dS m ⁻¹)	14.23	9.45
Total nitrogen (g kg ⁻¹)	89.66	83.36
Total – phosphorus (g kg ⁻¹)	4.68	4.22
Total potassium (g kg ⁻¹)	9.13	8.93
Total sodium (g kg ⁻¹)	0.89	0.79
Total – calcium (g kg ⁻¹)	6.89	6.92
Total magnesium (g kg ⁻¹)	5.64	5.69
Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)	298.98	515.44
Carbon: Nitrogen	3.34	6.18
Exchangeable acidity (cmol ⁺ kg ⁻¹)	0.6	0.6

The total sodium contents of poultry and sheep manures were 0.89 and 0.79 g kg⁻¹ indicating more than 10 % higher in the former. Concentrations of nutrients such as N, P and K were higher in poultry manure while Ca, Mg and organic carbon were higher in sheep manure indicating variation in the nutrient contributions to the soil. The nutrients and pH concentrations in the manures (Table 1) relative to the soil (Table 2) indicates that the application to the soil could promote it. [32] classified pH range of between 7.4 – 7.8 as mildly alkaline and suggesting that the manures were alkaline in reaction. It is well known that poultry manure has higher nutritional value than sheep manure [1].

The EC values of both manures were above 3.2 dS m⁻¹ classified as very strongly saline by [19]. Its higher value in poultry manure than sheep manure could be due to the high soluble salt contents and as such might have increased salt contents of applied soils. Other researchers have also noted high EC values of poultry manure than cattle and goat manures ([5], [6], [49]). The high sodium content of poultry manure than sheep manure could be responsible for its high EC value.

Nutrient concentrations especially total N, P and K were better in poultry than sheep manure with the values equivalent to 89.66, 4.68 and 9.13 g kg⁻¹ in poultry manure and 83.36, 4.22 and 8.93 g kg⁻¹ for sheep manure. This corroborated the work of [49], who stated that the fertility status of the soil proved to be beneficial, with poultry manure than any other organic manure in his research. Conversely, sheep manure was higher in calcium, magnesium and organic carbon with values equivalent to 6.92, 5.69 and 515.44 g kg⁻¹ in sheep and 6.89, 5.64 and 298.98 g kg⁻¹ in poultry manure. Sheep manure increased soil organic matter and soil CEC, and therefore the soil nutrient retention capacity. Hence, manure also contains large amounts of organic P such as phospholipids and nucleic acids, which could be released to increase soil inorganic P concentrations by mineralization. Mixing manure with sandy soils help to retain moisture levels. Manure produces increased soil carbon, which is an important source of energy that makes nutrients available to plants. It reduced runoff and leaching of nitrates in the soil [31]. Manure was quickly decomposed under warm, moist soil conditions [7].

Variations in composition of poultry and sheep manures could be due to differences in dietary intake. Sheep diet was composed of roughages whereas, poultry consisted mainly of concentrates. Sheep manure application improves soil properties through improving physiochemical and biological conditions of the soil. Sheep manure increased soil available N and improved plant N status. The impact of diet in the manures was demonstrated by the high organic carbon and C: N contents of sheep relative to poultry manure due to its carbonaceous nature [31]. A C: N ratio of less than 30 had been noted to portend net N mineralization of soils [40] indicating the ability of the manures to promote soil N contents. Generally, both manures properties (Table 1) were better than those of the soils (Table 2a and 2b), suggesting their ability to improve soil fertility on application.

3.2 Characterization of Pretreatment Soils used for the Study

The Physico- chemical properties of the studied soils in wet (early) and dry (late) seasons in 2019 and 2020 are presented in Tables 2a and 2b, respectively. In Table 2a, sand, silt and clay contents ranged from 682.00 – 701.00, 41.00 – 48.00 and 25.70 – 28.80 and 726.00 – 741.00, 18.00 – 26.00 and 23.80 – 25.30 g kg⁻¹ in wet and dry seasons of 2019, respectively. In both seasons, distribution of the various soil particles were irregular with soil depths and with mean concentrations being an increasing order of sand > clay > silt, texture of the soils between sandy loam and loam sandy in wet and dry seasons, respectively.

In Table 2b, ranges of sand, silt and clay were respectively 886.00 – 912.00, 42.00 – 72.00 and 28.00 - 62.00 (wet season) and 884.00 – 902.00, 54.00 – 62.00 and 40.00 – 62.00 g kg⁻¹ (dry season), with their distributions down soil depths irregular for all fractions in the wet season and increase for sand, decrease for silt and irregular for clay in dry season. In both seasons and years, mean soil fractions decreased in the order sand > silt > clay with sand better than others. Generally, texture of the soils in both years (2019 and 2020) and seasons (wet and dry), was dominantly sandy, probably due to the nature of the parent material which is Coastal plain sand [50].

In Table 2a (2019), Soil pH, EC, total N, NH₄⁺- N, NO₃⁻-N ranged respectively from 4.10 – 4.80, 0.10 - 0.20 dS m⁻¹, 1.99 – 2.07 g kg⁻¹, 118.50 – 126.50 mg kg⁻¹ and 11.21 – 11.73 mg kg⁻¹ (wet season) and 4.4 – 4.6, 0.20 - 0.20 dS m⁻¹, 1.70 – 1.79 g kg⁻¹, 118.80 – 120.00 mg kg⁻¹ and 10.56 – 10.89 mg kg⁻¹ (dry season) (Table 2a). While the ranges in 2020 (Table 2b) were 4.45 – 4.87, 0.20 - 0.40 dS m⁻¹, 0.60 – 0.80 g kg⁻¹, 52.70 – 92.63 mg kg⁻¹ and 27.22 – 50.39 mg kg⁻¹ in the wet season and 4.03 – 4.33, 0.20 - 0.30 dS m⁻¹, 0.80 – 1.10 g kg⁻¹, 71.08 – 83.44 mg kg⁻¹ and 53.11 – 66.43 mg kg⁻¹ in the dry season (Table 2a). Values for soil pH indicated that they were slightly to moderately acidic ([2], [46]) with the degree greater down soil depth, probably due to its poor organic matter content. In both season and years, values of the soil pH were below 5.0. This shows that the soils may suffer from aluminum toxicity [26].

3.3 Ammonium- Nitrogen (NH₄⁺- N)

Ammonium- nitrogen (NH₄⁺- N) differed with manure types with concentrations for only poultry manure in wet and dry seasons of 2019 and 2020 respectively ranging from 129.91 - 134.02 and 124.57 - 126.84 and 23.03 - 157.97 and 84.40 - 130.13 in 1st week after manure application (1st WAMA), 134.85 - 138.30 and 126.52 - 127.79 and 32.46 - 155.31 and 81.27 - 116.67 mg kg⁻¹ (2nd WAMA), 147.48 - 149.15 and 128.16 - 136.39 and 83.10 - 147.77 and 99.00 - 116.67 mg kg⁻¹ (3rd WAMA), 145.61 - 148.87 and 133.52 - 141.39 and 115.97 - 161.40 and 95.03 - 129.00 mg kg⁻¹ (4th WAMA), 135.20 - 147.61 and 133.08 - 138.14 and 92.70 - 153.61 and 105.81 - 128.35 mg kg⁻¹ (6th WAMA), 143.69 - 147.39 and 136.65 - 139.68 and 118.40 - 141.42 and 105.47 - 29.27 mg kg⁻¹ (8th WAMA) and 129.19 - 145.84 and 137.38 - 142.36 and 109.93 - 132.67 and 95.77 - 115.57 mg kg⁻¹ (12th WAMA). This indicated the least and highest NH₄-N concentrations at specific soil depths and manure rates with the highest of 149.15 mg kg⁻¹ being with P₆₀ at 0 - 5 cm depth in wet season of 2019 after the 3rd WAMA. The values of soil NH₄⁺- N were high [21] and might be as a result of extremely wet soils or manure residue during the experiment. The high NH₄⁺- N would not be detrimental to both the soils and the crop planted because NH₄⁺- N does not accumulate in the soil. The soil temperature and moisture (wet) and 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 20 – 40 cm (dry seasons) of 2019 during the research (Table 3) were suitable for the plant growth and also ideal for conversion of NH₄⁺- N to NO₃⁻- N. In most weeks after manure application, the concentrations increased relative to the control with both P₃₀ and P₆₀ poultry rates at 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm depths in dry season of 2019, 0 - 5 and 5 - 10 cm (wet) and 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 10 - 20 cm (dry) seasons of 2020 at 1st WAMA, 0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and 20 - 40 cm (dry seasons) of 2019 and 0 - 5 and 5 - 10 (wet) and 0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (dry seasons) in 2020 at 2nd WAMA, 20 - 40 cm (wet) and 0 - 5, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (wet season) in 2019 and 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and all depths in dry season of 2020 in 3rd WAMA, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 10 - 20 cm (dry season of 2019) and all depths (0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm) in wet and dry seasons of 2020 at 4th WAMA. It also included 0 - 5 and 10 – 20 (wet) and 0 - 5 and 5 - 10 cm (dry seasons in 2019 and all depths (0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm) in wet and dry seasons of 2020 at 6th WAMA, 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 20 - 40 cm depths (0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20, and 20 - 40 cm) in wet and dry seasons of 2020 at 8th WAMA and finally at 0 - 5 and 5 - 10 (wet) and 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 10 - 20 cm (dry season of 2019) and all depths (0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm) in wet and dry seasons of 2020 at 12th WAMA. In some seasons, years, rates of manure and weeks of applications, NH₄⁺- N decreased down most soil depths.

Table 2a: Selected Physico-chemical Properties of the Soils Studied in Early and Late Seasons of 2019.

Physico chemical properties	Wet Season 2019					Dry Season 2019				
	Soil Depths (cm)					Soil Depths (cm)				
	0 - 5	0 - 10	10 - 20	20 - 40	Mean	0 - 5	0 - 10	10 - 20	20 - 40	Mean
Sand (g kg ⁻¹)	682	680	701	684	686.75	726	741	738	728	733.25
Silt (g kg ⁻¹)	46	41	42	48	44.25	21	18	24	26	22.25
Clay (g kg ⁻¹)	27.2	27.1	25.7	28.8	27.2	25.3	24.1	23.8	24.6	24.45
Textural Class	sandy loam					Loamy sand				
pH	4.8	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.45	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
EC (d Sm ⁻¹)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.175	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.25
Total N (g kg ⁻¹)	1.99	2.07	2.05	1.99	2.025	1.79	1.7	1.77	1.71	1.7425
NH ₄ ⁺ - N (mg kg ⁻¹)	124.6	118.5	123.8	126.5	123.35	116.3	118.8	120	119.2	118.575

Table 2b: Selected Physico-chemical Properties of the Soils Studied in Early and Late Seasons of 2020.

Physico chemical properties	Wet Season 2020					Dry Season 2020				
	Soil Depths (cm)					Soil Depths (cm)				
	0 - 5	0 - 10	10 - 20	20 - 40	Mean	0 - 5	0 - 10	10 - 20	20 - 40	Mean
Sand (g kg ⁻¹)	906	904	912	886	902.00	886	884	894	902	891.50
Silt (g kg ⁻¹)	66	48	42	72	57.00	62	58	58	54	58.00
Clay (g kg ⁻¹)	28	52	42	62	46.00	52	62	40	48	50.50
Textural Class	Sand					Loamy sand				
pH	4.53	4.87	4.76	4.45	4.65	4.03	4.07	4.23	4.33	4.17
EC (d Sm ⁻¹)	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.33	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.25
Total N (g kg ⁻¹)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.70	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.93
NH ₄ ⁺ - N (mg kg ⁻¹)	124.6	118.5	123.8	126.5	123.35	116.3	118.8	120	119.2	118.58

Averaged over manure rates and soil depths its mean contents in wet and dry seasons at 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 6th, 8th and 12th WAMA varied as 132.20 and 126.25 (2019) and 91.35 and 97.05 (2020), 136.41 and 126.95 (2019) and 87.46 and 97.83 (2020), 148.31 and 132.00 (2019) and 110.67 and 103.73 (2020), 147.00 and 136.62 (2019) and 133.35 and 109.54 (2020), 146.13 and 135.02 (2019) and 129.50 and 117.63 (2020), 146.22 and 138.26 (2019) and 118.75 and 119.92 (2020) and 138.67 and 140.19 (2019) and 121.18 and 109.87 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) respectively. This means that mean contents were better in wet than dry seasons of both years at most weeks after poultry manure applications. It was also better in 2019 than 2020. Also, range of soil NH₄⁺- N with addition of sheep manure only in rain and dry seasons were 129.75 - 134.57 and 124.91 - 126.84 (2019) and 14.63 - 128.86 and 75.70 - 113.37 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) in 1st WAMA, 134.58 - 137.58 and 126.62 - 127.77 (2019) and 32.15 - 160.92 and 81.93 - 121.93 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at

2nd WAMA, 147.50 - 149.06 and 128.03 - 136.12 (2019) and 81.90 - 154.33 and 96.30 - 114.70 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) in 3rd WAMA, 145.83 - 149.00 and 133.70 - 142.63 (2019) and 117.80 - 194.64 and 98.80 - 137.00mg kg⁻¹ (2020) in 4th WAMA, 144.97 - 147.74 and 133.67 - 136.72 (2019) and 104.91 - 152.33 and 111.00 - 123.97 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) in the 6th WAMA, 143.77 - 147.54 and 136.82 - 139.94 (2019) and 121.40 - 139.07 and 110.63 - 127.94 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) in 8th WAMA and 128.23 - 145.97 and 136.41 - 14.64 (2019) and 110.57 - 131.73 and 96.33 - 116.47 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) in 12th WAMA. This shows that the best and least concentrations at varying soil depths, manure rates, weeks of application, seasons and years were at 5 - 10 cm soil depth with S₆₀ in the second week after manure application in wet season of year 2020 and 10 - 20 cm with S₀ in the first week after manure application in the wet season of 2020. Also, addition of S₃₀ and S₆₀ sheep manure rates increased soil NH₄⁺ - N relative to the

Table 3: Ammonium - Nitrogen at various Soil Depths and Weeks After Manure Application (WAMA) in Wet and Dry Seasons of 2019 and 2020.

Years and Seasons	2019 a				2019 b				2020 a				2020 b			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Soil Depths																
Manure Rates	First Week After Manure Application															
P ₀ S ₀	132.50	131.60	128.60	127.50	125.63	125.23	124.70	122.53	89.30	81.60	8.40	46.88	82.30	83.00	76.20	77.20
P ₀ S ₃₀	134.37	133.83	132.87	132.60	125.90	126.03	126.23	125.90	103.56	104.40	107.82	141.96	85.50	87.00	87.80	121.60
P ₀ S ₆₀	135.20	134.23	132.10	129.87	127.63	126.80	125.90	125.27	92.78	89.40	71.81	70.57	85.40	87.00	83.40	84.60
Mean	134.02	133.22	131.19	129.99	126.39	126.02	125.61	124.57	95.21	91.80	62.68	86.47	84.40	85.67	82.47	94.47
P ₃₀ S ₀	134.93	134.40	132.43	132.13	126.20	126.03	125.63	125.40	159.13	142.50	16.72	61.66	128.40	129.10	80.10	70.70
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	134.50	132.73	129.60	131.23	125.90	125.70	126.33	126.60	124.96	109.60	11.60	109.82	127.00	92.00	81.60	93.90
P ₃₀ S ₆₀	132.50	132.23	131.03	131.80	126.80	127.10	127.30	127.40	171.81	159.60	134.43	102.44	141.00	138.50	115.40	123.10
Mean	133.98	133.12	131.02	131.72	126.30	126.28	126.42	126.47	151.97	137.23	54.25	91.31	132.13	119.87	92.37	95.90
P ₆₀ S ₀	133.36	132.73	131.03	129.63	127.83	127.37	127.27	126.80	100.47	91.30	18.77	52.27	97.40	86.80	82.10	79.20
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	134.83	134.03	128.80	129.47	125.47	125.97	126.60	127.10	158.05	144.90	24.94	77.48	127.60	125.10	88.30	94.80
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	133.83	133.27	132.60	130.63	126.10	126.43	127.03	126.80	97.87	86.00	25.37	98.42	86.90	86.30	85.30	92.40
Mean	134.01	133.34	130.81	129.91	126.47	126.59	126.97	126.90	118.80	107.40	23.03	76.06	103.97	99.40	85.23	88.80
S ₀	133.60	132.91	130.69	129.75	126.55	126.21	125.87	124.91	116.30	105.13	14.63	53.60	102.70	99.63	79.47	75.70
S ₃₀	134.57	133.53	130.42	131.10	125.76	125.90	126.39	126.53	128.86	119.63	48.12	109.75	113.37	101.37	85.90	103.43
S ₆₀	133.84	133.24	131.91	130.77	126.84	126.78	126.74	126.49	120.82	111.67	77.20	90.48	104.43	103.93	94.70	100.03
LSDs (0.05) P	7.16	5.20	18.52	6.32	4.98	4.48	6.75	19.10	1.67	8.56	1.01	1.62	20.34	9.26	5.96	21.35
S	7.16	5.20	18.52	6.32	4.98	4.48	6.75	19.10	1.67	8.56	1.01	1.62	20.34	9.26	5.96	21.35
P x S	12.40	9.01	32.08	10.95	8.63	7.77	11.70	33.08	2.89	14.82	1.74	2.80	35.23	16.03	10.32	36.98
	Second Week After Manure Application															
P ₀ S ₀	134.73	133.93	135.67	134.57	126.17	125.97	126.07	125.63	74.90	70.54	5.70	44.30	71.84	74.50	71.00	75.14
P ₀ S ₃₀	137.47	137.00	135.93	135.27	127.47	128.20	128.80	126.67	158.80	163.51	40.20	47.50	129.79	132.10	88.20	89.58
P ₀ S ₆₀	137.73	137.13	136.10	135.00	128.00	127.77	127.60	127.27	100.70	96.71	70.93	73.30	88.09	91.00	84.60	87.73
Mean	136.64	136.02	135.90	134.95	127.21	127.31	127.49	126.52	111.47	110.25	38.94	55.03	96.57	99.20	81.27	84.15
P ₃₀ S ₀	138.60	138.17	137.20	135.83	126.53	126.33	126.40	127.53	100.80	98.78	37.60	39.40	87.78	89.40	86.30	91.03
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	138.20	137.77	136.70	135.80	126.50	126.33	125.87	127.33	147.20	148.84	48.10	49.80	123.89	120.80	88.40	89.46
P ₃₀ S ₆₀	136.07	135.43	134.53	133.83	127.50	126.97	125.50	127.87	214.00	218.32	11.69	48.40	137.61	139.80	92.70	93.43
Mean	137.62	137.12	136.14	135.15	126.84	126.54	125.92	127.58	154.00	155.31	32.46	45.87	116.43	116.67	89.13	91.31
P ₆₀ S ₀	139.23	138.83	138.00	136.87	127.20	127.57	127.20	127.17	88.80	85.46	98.39	98.40	86.17	88.70	91.00	93.38
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	136.73	133.93	132.87	132.67	125.97	126.33	126.60	128.03	109.60	108.23	12.39	42.40	97.27	98.80	93.10	94.17
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	138.94	138.57	138.10	137.30	126.83	126.83	126.13	128.17	166.10	167.74	13.83	47.20	134.02	135.00	91.80	94.51

Mean	138.30	137.11	136.32	135.61	126.67	126.91	126.64	127.79	121.50	120.48	41.54	62.67	105.82	107.50	91.97	94.02
S ₀	137.52	136.98	136.96	135.76	126.63	126.62	126.56	126.78	88.17	84.93	47.23	60.70	81.93	84.20	82.77	86.52
S ₃₀	137.47	136.23	135.17	134.58	126.65	126.95	127.09	127.34	138.53	140.19	33.56	46.57	116.98	117.23	89.90	91.07
S ₆₀	137.58	137.04	136.24	135.38	127.44	127.19	126.41	127.77	160.27	160.92	32.15	56.30	119.91	121.93	89.70	91.89
LSDs (0.05) P	4.65	4.21	5.26	6.19	4.65	7.93	12.88	5.86	5.39	3.64	1.29	8.38	4.43	6.13	6.57	5.07
"	4.65	4.21	5.26	6.19	4.65	7.93	12.88	5.86	5.39	3.64	1.29	8.38	4.43	6.13	6.57	5.07
'	4.65	4.21	5.26	6.19	4.65	7.93	12.88	5.86	5.39	3.64	1.29	8.38	4.43	6.13	6.57	5.07
P x S	8.05	7.29	9.12	10.72	8.05	13.74	22.31	10.16	9.33	6.31	2.24	14.51	7.67	10.62	11.38	8.79

a = wet season, b = dry season, 1 = 0-5 cm, 2 = 5-10 cm, 3 = 10-20 cm, 4 = 20-40 cm depths, P = poultry, S = sheep and P x S = poultry and sheep manure interaction.

Table 3 cont'd.

Years and Seasons	2019 a				2019 b				2020 a				2020 b				
Soil Depths	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Manure Rates	Third Week After Manure Application																
P ₀ S ₀	148.23	147.20	146.87	146.37	134.13	132.43	128.80	127.07	114.40	141.90	62.17	55.30	98.50	102.20	86.70	91.00	
P ₀ S ₃₀	149.57	149.20	148.90	147.60	136.00	134.27	129.50	127.80	117.50	121.50	79.90	62.60	101.20	102.50	92.30	93.90	
P ₀ S ₆₀	149.03	148.77	148.53	148.47	135.60	133.97	128.80	129.60	114.40	117.80	125.40	131.40	97.30	101.50	108.20	112.10	
Mean	148.94	148.39	148.10	147.48	135.24	133.56	129.03	128.16	115.43	127.07	89.16	83.10	99.00	102.07	95.73	99.00	
P ₃₀ S ₀	148.97	148.20	147.77	147.33	136.13	134.47	131.83	129.60	118.70	132.00	71.80	77.30	106.10	113.00	95.50	92.90	
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	148.67	147.90	147.43	147.30	136.83	134.80	130.03	128.27	127.90	128.70	96.90	96.80	97.10	113.10	107.60	102.20	
P ₃₀ S ₆₀	148.20	147.87	147.47	148.20	136.20	132.80	129.93	128.80	130.60	144.60	91.60	87.70	107.60	123.90	102.00	96.40	
Mean	148.61	147.99	147.56	147.61	136.39	134.02	130.60	128.89	125.73	135.10	86.77	87.27	103.60	116.67	101.70	97.17	
P ₆₀ S ₀	148.93	148.50	148.57	148.80	134.83	133.40	129.23	127.43	165.70	189.10	122.40	126.70	121.40	128.90	125.50	117.50	
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	148.93	148.40	147.90	147.80	134.43	132.77	131.57	128.93	119.80	133.10	76.40	86.30	100.40	113.00	93.90	94.70	
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	149.60	149.80	149.37	148.60	136.57	132.77	132.43	129.80	114.50	121.10	89.10	91.10	96.80	104.20	96.80	98.80	
Mean	149.15	148.90	148.61	148.40	135.28	132.98	131.08	128.72	133.33	147.77	95.97	101.37	106.20	115.37	105.40	103.67	
S ₀	148.71	147.97	147.74	147.50	135.03	133.43	129.95	128.03	132.93	154.33	85.46	86.43	108.67	114.70	102.57	100.47	
S ₃₀	149.06	148.50	148.08	147.57	135.75	133.95	130.37	128.33	121.73	127.77	84.40	81.90	99.57	109.53	97.93	96.93	
S ₆₀	148.94	148.81	148.46	148.42	136.12	133.18	130.39	129.40	119.83	127.83	102.03	103.40	100.57	109.87	102.33	102.43	
LSDs (0.05) P	8.04	9.91	5.71	7.94	4.08	6.05	20.34	4.43	11.51	8.77	9.90	16.69	8.60	8.42	5.48	6.33	
"	S	8.04	9.91	5.71	7.94	4.08	6.05	20.34	4.43	11.51	8.77	9.90	16.69	8.60	8.42	5.48	6.33
'	P x S	13.93	17.16	9.88	13.75	7.07	10.47	35.23	7.68	19.94	15.19	17.14	28.91	14.90	14.58	10.96	

Fourth Week After Manure Application

P ₀ S ₀	147.60	146.30	144.43	144.80	135.97	138.67	132.37	132.47	115.10	115.50	57.30	17.61	92.20	91.60	81.48	78.39
P ₀ S ₃₀	148.80	146.83	145.50	145.53	138.57	139.23	133.97	135.57	117.50	120.80	117.00	113.32	94.90	98.50	103.99	109.87
P ₀ S ₆₀	148.93	148.07	146.90	146.70	137.73	139.40	134.40	136.40	115.30	118.00	149.70	190.66	98.00	99.70	117.15	136.36
Mean	148.44	147.07	145.61	145.68	137.42	139.10	133.58	134.81	115.97	118.10	108.00	107.20	95.03	96.60	100.87	108.21
P ₃₀ S ₀	148.40	147.37	146.40	145.80	141.13	141.23	133.43	133.47	121.00	133.80	133.50	132.60	101.70	109.10	113.58	119.90
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	148.33	147.80	146.70	146.43	138.77	140.10	134.33	134.43	123.90	127.70	122.70	114.74	101.80	102.40	106.05	112.04
P ₃₀ S ₆₀	148.50	147.13	145.60	145.43	137.53	141.00	133.33	132.67	127.50	135.60	158.90	170.17	102.30	111.90	123.21	130.54
Mean	148.41	147.43	146.23	145.89	139.14	140.78	133.70	133.52	161.40	132.37	138.37	139.17	101.93	107.80	114.28	120.83

P ₆₀ S ₀	148.77	147.50	146.27	146.47	136.83	142.23	134.50	133.90	120.80	166.10	140.80	138.09	116.80	124.10	119.92	122.88
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	148.83	147.73	146.57	146.53	136.63	142.63	135.63	135.30	120.80	136.10	146.20	157.89	104.50	110.80	118.52	126.52
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	149.00	147.27	146.90	145.83	138.03	138.70	133.70	134.03	117.80	125.50	174.00	194.64	98.80	104.20	125.41	137.76
Mean	148.87	147.50	146.58	146.28	137.16	141.19	134.61	134.41	119.80	142.57	153.67	163.54	106.70	113.03	121.28	129.05
S ₀	148.26	147.06	145.70	145.69	137.98	140.71	133.43	133.28	118.97	138.47	110.53	96.10	103.57	108.27	104.99	107.06
S ₃₀	148.65	147.45	146.26	146.16	137.99	140.65	134.64	135.10	120.73	128.20	128.63	128.65	100.40	103.90	109.52	116.14
S ₆₀	148.81	147.49	146.47	145.99	137.76	139.70	133.81	134.37	120.20	126.37	160.87	185.16	99.70	105.27	121.92	134.89
LSDs (0.05) P	5.42	6.49	8.89	2.44	21.35	6.85	6.55	8.21	11.38	9.15	12.32	1.59	10.55	7.56	4.19	2.73
" S	5.42	6.49	8.89	2.44	21.35	6.85	6.55	8.21	11.38	9.15	12.32	1.59	10.55	7.56	4.19	2.73
' P x S	9.39	11.25	15.39	4.23	36.99	11.87	11.34	14.22	19.72	15.84	21.34	2.75	18.28	13.10	7.26	4.72

a = wet season, b = dry season, 1 = 0-5 cm, 2 = 5-10 cm, 3 = 10-20 cm, 4 = 20-40 cm depths, P = poultry, S = sheep and P x S = poultry and sheep manure interaction.

Table 3 cont'd.

Years and Seasons	2019 a				2019 b				2020 a				2020 b			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Manure Rates	Sixth Week After Manure Application															
P ₀ S ₀	146.17	145.97	144.50	145.10	128.77	128.93	132.63	132.67	102.50	127.45	104.15	59.71	100.40	90.18	94.78	89.70
P ₀ S ₃₀	148.13	147.33	145.70	145.20	134.77	134.00	135.27	136.47	153.70	146.95	136.85	70.78	120.10	124.70	127.62	112.40
P ₀ S ₆₀	147.43	147.07	145.60	145.90	135.70	135.20	135.87	137.07	142.14	102.52	117.35	147.61	102.50	102.55	105.85	116.60
Mean	147.24	146.79	145.27	145.40	133.08	132.71	134.59	135.40	132.78	125.64	119.45	92.70	107.67	105.81	109.42	106.23
P ₃₀ S ₀	147.43	146.27	145.10	144.80	136.13	135.10	133.87	133.60	176.25	167.61	157.51	143.34	126.00	129.93	133.27	137.00
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	147.47	146.17	145.13	145.00	137.23	136.60	135.10	135.00	108.29	106.97	103.54	102.10	108.30	106.93	102.24	102.10
P ₃₀ S ₆₀	147.93	146.97	145.60	145.80	133.33	132.60	132.13	132.10	141.66	135.37	125.27	115.64	128.40	129.67	125.63	119.10
Mean	147.61	146.47	145.28	145.20	135.56	134.77	133.70	133.57	142.07	136.65	128.77	120.36	120.90	122.18	120.38	119.40
P ₆₀ S ₀	147.13	146.17	145.30	145.10	141.33	140.60	136.37	134.73	144.25	139.25	129.15	111.69	126.70	129.01	127.91	114.90
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	147.63	146.83	145.27	145.20	138.17	138.10	136.97	136.43	195.00	184.77	174.67	155.60	134.80	137.82	142.05	142.60
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	147.03	146.27	145.43	145.50	134.93	134.10	134.17	134.77	121.59	119.24	109.14	82.50	121.60	118.21	108.50	98.90
Mean	147.26	146.42	145.33	145.27	138.14	137.60	135.84	135.31	153.61	147.75	137.65	116.60	127.70	128.35	126.15	118.80
S ₀	146.91	146.14	144.97	145.00	135.41	134.88	134.29	133.67	141.00	144.77	130.27	104.91	117.70	116.37	118.65	113.87
S ₃₀	147.74	146.78	145.37	145.13	136.72	136.23	135.78	135.97	152.33	146.23	138.35	109.49	121.07	123.15	123.97	119.03
S ₆₀	147.46	146.77	145.54	145.73	134.65	133.97	134.06	134.65	135.13	119.04	117.25	115.25	117.50	116.81	113.33	111.53
LSDs (0.05) P	5.87	5.32	4.04	5.11	6.46	2.52	7.91	4.81	1.55	4.06	4.96	0.52	6.05	3.84	3.84	6.34
" S	5.87	5.32	4.04	5.11	6.46	2.52	7.91	4.81	1.55	4.06	4.96	0.52	6.05	3.84	3.84	6.34
' P x S	10.16	9.22	7.00	10.10	11.19	4.37	13.70	8.33	2.68	7.03	8.58	0.90	10.49	6.65	6.64	10.98

Eighth Week After Manure Application

P ₀ S ₀	136.07	144.47	146.70	144.60	136.37	138.37	135.47	134.00	96.90	122.43	114.86	100.10	99.30	106.40	107.00	94.30
P ₀ S ₃₀	147.73	146.50	147.60	146.20	137.03	139.37	137.80	138.33	137.00	139.95	137.28	117.80	116.50	127.00	130.33	123.70
P ₀ S ₆₀	147.27	146.23	147.50	145.80	138.93	139.80	138.80	137.63	124.00	101.27	119.71	137.30	100.60	106.20	109.40	117.60
Mean	143.69	145.73	147.27	145.53	137.44	139.18	137.36	136.65	119.30	121.22	123.95	118.40	105.47	113.20	115.58	111.87
P ₃₀ S ₀	147.73	146.97	146.70	145.20	137.60	139.67	138.40	139.20	156.60	161.94	158.85	155.70	137.30	140.00	141.60	138.30
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	147.47	146.43	146.40	146.10	137.80	139.23	137.80	139.00	103.00	103.82	105.02	105.50	101.90	104.00	103.90	102.10

P ₃₀ S ₆₀	146.10	147.37	146.29	145.80	138.20	140.80	139.10	139.50	124.30	129.73	133.35	131.00	119.40	123.70	127.60	125.30
Mean	147.10	146.92	146.46	145.70	137.87	139.90	138.43	139.23	127.97	131.83	132.41	130.73	119.53	122.57	124.37	121.90
P ₆₀ S ₀	147.50	145.53	146.80	145.50	136.83	140.07	136.60	138.80	127.60	131.59	128.21	129.00	118.30	125.00	123.50	123.30
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	147.43	146.03	147.20	146.40	138.23	139.73	136.40	138.97	168.40	173.44	170.68	162.60	142.10	146.40	149.60	145.80
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	147.23	146.00	146.80	146.20	137.40	139.23	137.23	139.77	115.90	119.23	120.16	107.50	111.90	115.30	114.70	104.90
Mean	147.39	145.85	146.93	146.03	137.49	139.68	136.74	139.18	137.30	141.42	139.68	133.03	124.10	128.90	129.27	124.67
S ₀	143.77	145.66	146.73	145.10	136.93	139.37	136.82	137.33	127.03	138.65	133.97	128.27	118.30	123.80	124.03	118.63
S ₃₀	147.54	146.32	147.07	146.23	137.69	139.44	137.33	138.77	136.13	139.07	137.66	128.63	120.17	125.80	127.94	123.87
S ₆₀	146.87	146.53	146.86	145.93	138.18	139.94	138.38	138.97	121.40	116.74	124.41	125.27	110.63	115.07	117.23	115.93
LSDs (0.05)																
P	5.72	5.40	19.14	6.12	6.00	7.07	8.75	14.89	8.28	4.57	5.17	18.62	6.02	7.04	6.93	9.12
"																
S	5.72	5.40	19.14	6.12	6.00	7.07	8.75	14.89	8.28	4.57	5.17	18.62	6.02	7.04	6.93	9.12
'																
P x S	9.91	9.35	33.15	10.60	10.39	12.24	15.15	25.79	14.34	7.92	8.95	32.24	10.43	12.20	12.00	15.80

a = wet season, b = dry season, 1 = 0-5 cm, 2 = 5-10 cm, 3 = 10-20 cm, 4 = 20-40 cm depths, P = poultry, S = sheep and P x S = poultry and sheep manure interaction.

Table 3 cont'd.

Years and Seasons	2019 a				2019 b				2020 a				2020 b			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Soil Depths																
Manure Rates	Twelfth Week After Manure Application															
P ₀ S ₀	143.93	143.20	128.10	129.10	134.83	131.13	136.60	138.83	92.50	117.40	103.40	108.00	91.60	93.40	96.10	93.40
P ₀ S ₃₀	144.40	145.27	131.30	131.80	142.60	141.20	140.03	139.03	124.70	128.30	132.60	109.11	103.30	108.00	116.90	109.40
P ₀ S ₆₀	144.93	145.33	131.60	131.20	140.83	139.80	140.13	139.83	112.60	98.10	113.40	118.60	92.40	96.40	100.00	106.30
Mean	144.42	144.60	130.33	130.70	139.42	137.38	138.92	139.23	109.93	114.60	116.47	111.90	95.77	99.27	104.33	103.03
P ₃₀ S ₀	145.30	147.30	128.40	131.53	139.37	139.10	138.97	138.80	139.20	143.60	146.50	150.30	124.90	125.50	128.90	131.60
P ₃₀ S ₃₀	146.73	146.30	128.93	130.20	142.03	141.13	141.33	138.40	94.30	97.80	103.60	104.80	94.10	97.60	102.10	103.50
P ₃₀ S ₆₀	145.50	146.77	130.23	132.03	143.60	142.10	141.30	140.20	114.30	120.00	123.00	126.90	101.90	105.60	112.00	112.70
Mean	145.84	146.79	129.19	131.25	141.67	140.78	140.53	139.13	115.93	120.47	124.37	127.33	106.97	109.57	114.33	115.93
P ₆₀ S ₀	146.27	145.00	128.20	130.80	141.50	139.60	140.40	141.10	119.90	124.90	123.90	124.60	107.20	111.90	114.20	117.80
P ₆₀ S ₃₀	145.67	144.73	132.03	130.43	143.30	141.60	141.33	140.80	148.70	154.10	159.00	159.30	118.30	126.80	130.40	134.50
P ₆₀ S ₆₀	145.53	145.80	130.57	130.83	142.28	140.80	141.30	141.63	104.80	109.20	115.10	104.80	94.70	96.40	102.10	101.50
Mean	145.82	145.18	130.27	130.69	142.36	140.67	141.01	141.18	124.47	129.40	132.67	129.57	106.73	111.70	115.57	117.93
S ₀	145.17	145.17	128.23	130.48	138.57	136.61	138.66	139.58	117.20	128.63	124.60	127.63	107.90	110.27	113.07	114.27
S ₃₀	145.60	145.43	130.75	130.81	142.64	141.31	140.90	139.41	122.57	126.73	131.73	124.40	105.23	110.80	116.47	115.80
S ₆₀	145.32	145.97	130.80	131.35	142.24	140.90	140.91	140.55	110.57	109.10	117.17	116.77	96.33	99.47	104.70	106.83
LSDs (0.05) P	2.40	2.24	6.12	12.81	13.40	2.95	5.28	4.67	9.02	7.78	7.04	14.69	10.50	0.09	7.11	6.42
"																
S	2.40	2.24	6.12	12.81	13.40	2.95	5.28	4.67	9.02	7.78	7.04	14.69	10.50	0.09	7.11	6.42
'																
P x S	4.16	3.89	10.60	22.18	23.22	5.12	9.14	8.09	15.63	13.47	12.19	25.44	18.19	0.14	12.32	11.13

a = wet season, b = dry season, 1 = 0-5 cm, 2 = 5-10 cm, 3 = 10-20 cm, 4 = 20-40 cm depths, P = poultry, S = sheep and P x S = poultry and sheep manure interactions.

control at 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 20 - 40 cm in wet season and all depths (0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm) in dry seasons of 2019 and all also all depths in wet and dry seasons of 2020 in 1st WAMA, 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 0 - 40 cm in dry season of 2019 and 0 - 5 and 5 - 10 and 0 - 5 (wet), 5 - 10 and 20 - 40 cm (dry) seasons of 2020 at 2nd WAMA, all depths (wet) and 0 - 5, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (dry) seasons in 2019 of 3rd WAMA, 0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (dry) seasons of 2019 and 0 - 5, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (dry) season of 2020 at 4th WAMA, 0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20

- 40 cm (wet) and 20 - 40 cm (dry) seasons in 2019 and 20 - 40 (wet) and 5 - 10 and 10 - 20 cm (wet) seasons of 2020 at 6th WAMA, 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and all depths in dry season in 2019 at 8th WAMA and 0 - 5, 5 - 10, 10 - 20 and 20 - 40 cm (wet) and 0 - 5, 5 - 10 and 10 - 20 cm (dry) seasons in 2019 at 12th WAMA. Concentrations at all other soil depths increased or decreased with either S₃₀ or S₆₀ additions relative to the control. Also, among seasons, years and rates, distribution of NH₄⁺- N decreased down soil depths at most weeks after sheep manure application. Averaged over soil depths and sheep manure rates, NH₄⁺- N contents in wet and dry seasons varied respectively as 132.19 and 126.24 (2019) and 91.35 and 97.02 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 1st WAMA, 136.41 and 126.95 (2019) and 85.04 and 97.82mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 2nd WAMA, 148.31 and 131.19 (2019) and 110.67 and 103.72mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 3rd WAMA, 147.00 and 136.62 (2019) and 130.4 and 109.55mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 4th WAMA, 146.16 and 135.02(2019) and 129.54 and 117.63mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 6th WAMA, 146.22 and 138.26 (2019) and 129.77 and 119.91 mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 8th WAMA and 137.92 and 140.19 (2019) and 121.43 and 108.31mg kg⁻¹ (2020) at 12th WAMA. This shows that NH₄-N contents were better in wet than dry seasons of both years and also in 2019 than 2020. The low soil NH₄⁺- N in dry seasons compared with wet in both years could be due to denitrification and volatilization of ammonia (NH_{3(g)}) gas which might have led to losses of ammonium ions (NH₄⁺) in the soils as a result of high atmospheric and soil temperatures during the periods (Table 3) because volatilization is favored by warm temperature, wet soils under drying conditions [18] and pH from 4.50 up to 8.50 [54]. According to [54], he proposed that volatilization commonly occurs after the application of manure. Increase in temperature could decrease the activities of nitrifying bacteria which might have resulted in a greater level of NH₄⁺ available for conversion to NH₃ during this work. According to [9], increased temperature at 30 °C increases the volatilization of NH₃ over 78 days from a sandy soil.

Equally, soil NH₄⁺- N differed with integration of manure rates. Best and least rates in wet and dry seasons were with P₃₀S₀ (134.93) and P₀S₀ (127.50) and P₆₀S₀ (127.83) and P₀S₀(8.40) in 2019 and P₃₀S₆₀ (171.80) and P₀S₀ (8.40) and P₃₀S₆₀ (141.00) and P₀S₀ (76.20 mg kg⁻¹) in 2020 at 1st WAMA, P₆₀S₀ (139.23) and P₆₀S₃₀ (132.67) and P₀S₆₀ (128.80) and P₃₀S₆₀ (125.50) in 2019 and P₃₀S₆₀ (218.32) and P₀S₀ (5.70) and P₃₀S₆₀ (139.80) and P₀S₀ (71.00 mg kg⁻¹) in 2020 at 2nd WAMA, P₆₀S₆₀ (149.80) and P₀S₀ (146.37) and P₃₀S₃₀ (136.83) and P₀S₀ (127.07) in 2019 and P₆₀S₀ (189.10) and P₀S₀ (55.30) and P₆₀S₀ (128.90) and P₀S₀ (91.00 mg kg⁻¹) in 2020 at 3rd WAMA, P₆₀S₆₀ (149.00) and P₀S₀ (144.43) and P₆₀S₀ (142.63) and P₀S₀ (132.47) in 2019 and P₆₀S₆₀ (194.64) and P₀S₀ (17.61) and P₆₀S₆₀ (137.00) and P₀S₀ (78.10 mg kg⁻¹) in 2020 at 4th WAMA, P₃₀S₆₀ (147.93) and P₃₀S₀ (144.80) and P₆₀S₀ (141.33) and P₀S₀ (128.77) in 2019 and P₆₀S₃₀ (195.00) and P₀S₀ (59.71) and P₆₀S₃₀ (142.05mg kg⁻¹) and P₀S₀ in 2020 at 6th WAMA, P₃₀S₀ (147.73) and P₀S₀ (136.07) and P₃₀S₆₀ (140.80) and P₀S₀ (134.00) in 2019 and P₆₀S₆₀ (173.44) and P₀S₀ (96.90) and P₆₀S₃₀ (149.60) and P₀S₀ (94.30 mg kg⁻¹) in 2020 at 8th WAMA and P₃₀S₀ (147.30) and P₃₀S₀ (128.40) and P₃₀S₆₀ and P₀S₀ (131.13) in 2019 and P₆₀S₃₀ (159.30) and P₀S₀ (92.50) and P₆₀S₃₀ (134.50) and P₀S₀ (91.60 mg kg⁻¹) in 2020 at 12th WAMA. In both seasons and years, best NH₄⁺-N at most weeks after manure applications varied with rates of application but occurred mainly within the 0 - 10 cm soil depths while least concentrations were mostly with P₀S₀ rate and at 20 - 40 cm depths. The highest and lowest concentrations in the soils were in wet season of 2020 using P₃₀S₆₀ (218.32) and P₀S₀ (5.70 mg kg⁻¹) at 5 - 10 and 10 - 20 cm depths respectively in the 2nd WAMA. The irregularity could be attributed to volatilization [23]. Averaged over manure rates, weeks after application and soil depths, soil NH₄⁺- N were better in wet (141.15 and 116.97) than dry (133.09 and 111.85 mg kg⁻¹) seasons of 2019 and 2020 respectively. Also, concentrations were better in 2019 than 2020 with integration of treatments as was the case for addition of only poultry and sheep manures. Equally, trend in NH₄⁺- N distribution was a decrease down soil depths with manure rates and weeks of application in most seasons and years. Generally, increased soil NH₄⁺- N with rates of poultry or sheep manures only and the integration relative to the control could be due to the high nutrient contents in the manures applied. Similar observations have been reported for only poultry ([3], [4]) and sheep ([41], [38]) and with the impact on soil NH₄⁺- N due to priming of organic nitrogen mineralization ([6], [36]). Nitrogen mineralization is the process by which organic nitrogen is converted to inorganic nitrogen due to the activities of nitrifying bacteria [51]. It involves a two-step process of ammonification and nitrification. Ammonification refers to the process in which organic nitrogen is converted to ammonium nitrogen by groups of nitrobacteria [35]. The nutrient contents in integration of both manures could be responsible for the NH₄⁺- N concentration. Also, the decreasing trend with soil depth could be due to low oxygen content and poor microbial activity with depths. It has been reported that mineralization and ammonification are promoted by conditions such as high oxygen content, temperature, moisture and soil reaction. Equally, increased NH₄⁺- N content in wet seasons of both years could be due to the enhanced moisture conditions in the wet than dry seasons during the experiment. Furthermore, due to probable better environmental conditions, ammonification and thus ammonium concentration was higher in 2019 than 2020.

IV. Conclusion

Generally, incorporation of poultry and sheep manures on the soils improved the soil ammonium- nitrogen compared with control. Highest application of integration of manures improved soil NH₄⁺- N with the trend of P₆₀S₃₀ > P₃₀S₆₀ > P₆₀S₆₀. In relation to soil depths, NH₄⁺- N decreased as the depth increased. Soil NH₄⁺- N during dry seasons at the weeks after treatments applications decreased relative to wet seasons in the year 2019 and 2020. The data demonstrated that interaction between poultry and sheep manures had a substantial impact on soil NH₄⁺- N than single application.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the people that contributed to the success of this research while the expressions, views and conclusions obtained are for the authors.

References

1. Abdelrazak, A. (2002). Effect of Chicken Manure, Sheep Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Yield and Nutrients Uptake by Onion. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5, 266- 268. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2002.266.268>
2. Adaikwu, A. O. & Ali, A. (2013). Assessment of some soil quality indicators in Benue State. *Nigeria Journal of Soil Science* 23(2): 66 - 75.
3. Ayeni, L. S. (2011). Integrated Plant Nutrition Management: A Panacea for Sustainable Crop Production in Nigeria. *International Journal of Soil Science*. 6: 19 –
4. Ayeni, L. S. & Adeleye, E. O. (2011). Soil nutrient status and nutrient interactions as influenced by agro wastes and mineral fertilizer in an incubation study in the South – west Nigeria. *International Journal of Soil Science*. 6: 60 – 68.
5. Azeez, J. O. & Van Averbek, W. (2010^a). Fate of manure phosphorus in a weathered sandy clay loam soil amended with three animal manures. *Bioresource Technology* 101. 6584 – 6588.
6. Azeez, J. O. & Van Averbek, W. (2010^b). Nitrogen mineralization potential of three animal manures applied on a sandy clay loam soil. 101. 5645 - 5651.
7. Christensen, P. (2017). Manure as a fertilizer. Cooperative extension . University of California. Pp 1 – 6.
8. Esu, I. E. (2005). Characterization, classification and management problems of the major orders in Nigeria. 26th Inaugural lecture, Department of Soil Science, University of Calabar, 38 – 59.
9. Fan, X. H. & Alva, A. K. (2010). Effects of temperature and soil type on ammonia volatilization from slow-release nitrogen fertilizers. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0013624.2011.566957>, Pp 1111 – 1122, vol. 42, issue 10.
10. FAO (2017). FAO Publications Catalogue. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United formation in a long-term field experiment. *Plant Soil Environ*, 49 (12); 531 – 535.
11. Gee, G. W. & Or, D. (2002). Particle size analysis. In: Dane, JH & Topps, GC (eds). *Methods of Soil analysis, Part 4. Physical methods*. Soil Science Society of America Book Series NO. 5, ASA & SSSA, Madison, WI. Pp 255 – 293.
12. Genstat (2012). Reference manual (Genstat Release 7.0) VSN International Limited, Oxford, UK. www.discovery.genstat.co.uk.
13. Hargreaves, J. C., Adi, M. S. & Warman, P. R. (2008). A review of the use of composted municipal Solid waste in agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. Vol. 123, issues 1 –3, Pp 1 – 14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2007.07.004>
14. IITA (1979). Selected methods of soil and plant analysis. Manual series International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Pp 1 – 70.
15. IPEDC (2006). Imo State of Nigeria Statistical Year Book. Published by Imo State Planning and Economic Development Commision, State Secretariat, Port Harcourt Road, Pp 282.
16. Iren, O. B., John, N. M., Imuk, E. A. (2014). Effects of sole and combined applications of organic manures and urea on soil properties and yield of fluted pumpkin (*Telfaria occidentalis*, Hook F.). *Nigerian Journal of Soil Science*, 24(1): 125 – 133.
17. Jackson, M. L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 498.
18. James, J. C. (2001). Nitrogen in soil and fertilizer. First Published in the: *Science Turfgrass Foundation News*. 8(1): 6 – 10.
19. LAS. 2014. Soluble Salts or Electrical Conductivity of Soils and Green house Media. Litchfield Analytical Services. Pp. 1 – 2.
20. Li, J. T., Zhong, X. L., Wang, F. & Zhao, Q. G. (2018). Effect of poultry litter and livestock manure on soil physical and biological indicators in a rice-wheat rotation system. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 57(8): 351 – 356.
21. Marx, E. S., Hart, J. & Stevens, R. G. (1999). *Soil Test Interpretation Guide*, Oregon State University. Pp. 1 – 8.
22. Mbagwu, J. S. C. (1992). Evaluation of shrink-swell potential of soils by two procedure. *Int. Agrophys.*, 6(3 - 4): 137 – 143.
23. Meissinger, J. J. & Jokela, W. E. (2000). Ammonium volatilization from Dairy and Poultry Manure. Natural Resource, Agriculture, and Engineering Service. www.nraes.org. Pp. 1 – 2.
24. Mulvaney, R. L. (1996). Nitrogen- Inorganic forms. Cover crops and soil ecosystem services. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3 Chemical methods*, 5.3, BookSeries, Chapter 38. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser>
25. Ndaeyo, N. U., Ukpong, E. S. & John, N. M. (2005). Performances of okra as affected by organic and inorganic fertilizers on an Ultisol. Proceedings of the 39th conference of Agricultural Society of Nigeria, Pp 9 – 13.

26. Neenu, S. & Karthika, K. S. (2019). Aluminium toxicity in soil and plants. *Harit Dhara*. 2(1): 15 – 19.
27. Nelson, D. & Sommers, L. E. (1996). Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In: Sparks DL (ed): methods of soil analysis. ASA. SSSA. Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Pp 961 – 1010.
28. Obiefuna, J. C., Ibeawuchi, I. I., Ekong, E. A., Okoli, N. A. & Alagba, R. A. (2012). Liming efficiency of arsenite in rice and their role in arsenic accumulation in rice grain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 105: 9931 – 9935.
29. Ogbonna, P. E. (2008). Effect of Combined Application of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Fruit Yield of Egg Plant (*Solanum melongena*). *Proceedings 42nd Annual Conference, Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN), Abakaliki*, 19 – 23, Pp 236 - 250.
30. Olsen, S. R. & Sommers, L. E. (1982). Phosphorus. In: Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. (eds.). *Methods of soil Analysis, Part 2.* (2nd ed). America Soc. Agron., Inc. Madison, WI.
31. Osama, H. M., Amro, E. G. & Salama, S. M. (2016). Effect of sheep manure application rate and method on growth, fruiting and fruit quality of Balady guava trees grown under mid- Sinai conditions. *Doi: 10.9790/2380-09115972*, Pp 58 – 72.
32. Pam, H. and Brian, M. (2007). *Interpreting Soil Test Results. What Do All the Numbers Mean?* Pam Hazelton and NSW Department of Natural Resources. Pp. 1 – 66.
33. Ratta, R. & Lal, R. (2000). *Soil Quality and Soil Erosion.* (first edition), *Earth Sciences, Environment and Agriculture*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203739266>, Pp 1- 352.
34. Richards, L. A. (ED). (1954). *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils.* United State Department of Agriculture Handbook. Pp. 60.
35. Romillac (2019). Ammonification. *Encyclopedia of Ecology (Second Edition)*.
36. Saka, H. A., Azeez, J. O., Odedina, J. N. & Akinsete, S. J. (2017). Dynamics of soil nitrogen availability indices in a sandy clay loam soil amended with animal manures. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*. Received: 7 July 2016/ Accepted: 22 April 2017, 6: 167 – 178. DOI 10.1007/s40093-017-0165-7.
37. Schoonover, J. E. & Crim, J. F. (2015). An introduction to soil concepts and the role of soils in watershed management. *Journal of Contemporary Water Research and Education*, vol. 154, issue 1, Pp 21 – 47.
38. Shunxin (2023). Sheep manure nutrient analysis. Yushunxin fertilizer machine.
39. SSSA (2017). Ultisols. Soil Science Society of America. www.soils.org/discover-soils/soil-basics/soil-types/ultisols.
40. Sylvia, D. M., Furmann, J. J., Hartel, P. G. & Zubere, D. A. (eds) (2004). *Principles and Applications of Soil microbiology*, Second Edition. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
41. Tanko, M. U., Momohjimoh, Y. & Roseline, J. E. (2021). Effect of sheep dropping manure application rate and methods of propagation on the growth and yield component of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) in Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Agricultural Science, Engineering and Technology*. Vol. 5, issue 2. Pp 215 – 225. DOI: 10.54536/ajaset.v5i2.100
42. Thomas, G. W. (1996). Soil pH and soil acidity. In: Sparks DL (ed): methods of soil analysis. Part 3. Chemical methods. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI. Pp 475 - 490.
43. Tisdale, S. L. & Nelson, W. L. (1975). *Soil fertility and fertilizers*. Macmillan, University of Minnesota.
44. Udo, E. J., Ibis, T. O., Ogunwale, J. A., Ano, A. O. & Esu, I. E. (2009). *Manual of soil, plant and water analysis*. Sibon books Ltd. Flat 15, Bk6 Fourth Avenue, Festas, Lagos.
45. Uduak, I. G., Ndubuisi-Nnaji, U. U., Ekong, U. J. & Ijah, C. J. (2012). Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on selected chemical and biological properties of an Ultisol under maize cultivation. *Proceedings of the 8th annual conference on organic agriculture, Organic Agriculture Project in Tertiary Institutions in Nigeria (OAPTIN)*, Pp 6 – 12.
46. Ukaegbu, E. P. & Nnawuihe, Cs. O. (2020). Assessing landuse effect on soil properties in the coastal plains sand, Imo State, Nigeria. *Africa Journal of Agricultural Resources*. Vol. 16(6), Pp 850 –859. DOI: 10.5897/AJAR2018.13809
47. USDA (2010). Soil texture calculator. United State Development of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Soils. www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps.
48. USDA (2017). Ultisols. Natural Resources Conservation Services. United States Development of Agriculture. Retrieved from www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/survey/class/maps/?cid=nrcs142p2_053609
49. Usman, M. (2015). Cow dung, goat and poultry manure and their effects on the average yields and growth parameters of tomato crop. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*. Pp 1 – 3.
50. Uzoho, B. U. (2010). Field and Laboratory Evaluation of dynamics in soil properties of selected land-use types in Owerri, Southeastern, Nigeria. *Inter. Journ. Agric & Rural Dev*. 13(2): 268 - 273.
51. Vinten, A., Whitmoore, A. P., Bloem, J., Howard, R. & Wright, F. (2002). Factors affecting Nitrogen immobilization or mineralization kinetics for cellulose-, glucose-, and straw amended sandy soils. *Biological Fertility of Soils* 36: 190 – 199.

52. Watanabe, P. H., Thomas, M. C., Pascoal, L. A. F., Ruiz, U. S., Daniel, E. & Amorim, A. B. (2013). Manure production and mineral excretion in faeces of gilts fed ractopamine. *Acta Scientiarum. Animal Sciences*. Maringa. Received on September 19, 2012, Accepted on December 14, 2012, v35n3, 267 – 272.
Available in; <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=303128706007>
53. West, L. T. & Kang, B. T. (1997). Ultisols: Characteristics and impacts on Society. *Advances in Agronomy. Science Direct*. [http://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2113\(08\)60244-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2113(08)60244-8). 63, 179 – 236.
54. Zhao, C. (2013). Nitrogen and potassium dynamics of selected Indiana soils. Purdue University. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/open_access_dissertations. Pp 1 – 205.