

# Hygiene Promotion Interventions and Their Effectiveness in Protecting Public Health in Rohingya Refugee Settlements in Bangladesh

Mohammad Ali and Tanzima Shahreen

Humanitarian Professional and Researcher, Bangladesh

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2024.1115007P>

Received: 20 August 2024; Accepted: 26 August 2024; Published: 19 September 2024

## ABSTRACT

This paper examines the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in protecting public health within the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh. The study focuses on various strategies implemented to improve hygiene practices and reduce the incidence of waterborne diseases among the refugee population. Key interventions include the distribution of hygiene kits, handwashing campaigns, construction and maintenance of sanitation facilities, and educational programs tailored to the cultural context of the Rohingya community. Through a mixed-methods approach involving quantitative surveys, qualitative interviews, and observational data, the study assesses changes in hygiene behaviors, knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP), as well as health outcomes. The findings indicate significant improvements in hygiene practices, such as increased handwashing with soap, greater use of latrines, and better water storage practices, alongside a notable reduction in diarrheal disease incidence. The paper also highlights the critical role of community engagement, cultural sensitivity, and continuous monitoring in enhancing the effectiveness and sustainability of hygiene interventions. These insights contribute to the broader understanding of public health strategies in humanitarian settings and provide valuable recommendations for future hygiene promotion efforts in similar contexts.

This paper also evaluates the impact of hygiene promotion interventions on public health within the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh. Through a mixed-methods approach, the study investigates the effectiveness of various strategies, including the distribution of hygiene kits, handwashing campaigns, construction of sanitation facilities, and culturally tailored educational programs. The key findings reveal significant improvements in hygiene practices, such as increased handwashing with soap from 40% to 75%, greater use of latrines from 55% to 85%, and enhanced safe water storage practices from 50% to 80% (all  $p < 0.001$ ). Additionally, the incidence of diarrheal diseases decreased from 35% to 15% ( $p < 0.001$ ). The study also highlights an improvement in the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) scores from 60% to 85% ( $p < 0.001$ ). Community engagement and culturally sensitive approaches were identified as critical factors contributing to the success of these interventions. Continuous monitoring and adaptive strategies were essential for sustaining the positive outcomes. The findings underscore the importance of comprehensive, community-driven, and culturally tailored hygiene promotion interventions in improving public health outcomes in refugee settings.

**Keywords:** Hygiene promotion, Public health, Rohingya refugees, Refugee settlements, Waterborne diseases, Sanitation facilities, Community engagement, Cultural sensitivity, Health interventions

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background on the Rohingya refugee crisis

The Rohingya refugee crisis stems from decades of systemic persecution and human rights abuses against the Rohingya, a stateless Muslim minority group in Myanmar. This persecution culminated in a military crackdown in August 2017, which led to widespread violence, including killings, sexual violence, and the burning of villages, forcing over 700,000 Rohingya to flee to neighboring Bangladesh (United Nations High

Commissioner for Refugees [UNHCR], 2019). The majority of these refugees have settled in the Cox's Bazar district, creating one of the largest and most densely populated refugee camps in the world.

The influx of refugees has overwhelmed the existing infrastructure and resources, leading to severe challenges in sanitation, healthcare, and access to clean water. The crowded living conditions, coupled with inadequate sanitation facilities, have heightened the risk of infectious diseases, posing significant public health threats. Humanitarian organizations have launched various hygiene promotion interventions to address these challenges, aiming to improve health outcomes and prevent disease outbreaks. Despite these efforts, the nature of the crisis requires sustained and adaptive responses to meet the evolving needs of the refugee population. Understanding the background and context of the Rohingya refugee crisis is crucial for developing effective public health strategies and interventions (UNHCR, 2019).

## 1.2 Importance of public health in refugee settings

Public health is a critical component in refugee settings, where displaced populations often face heightened risks of disease and poor health outcomes due to overcrowded living conditions, inadequate sanitation, and limited access to healthcare services. In such environments, communicable diseases can spread rapidly, exacerbating the already precarious situation of refugees. Effective public health interventions are essential to prevent outbreaks of infectious diseases, reduce mortality and morbidity, and promote overall well-being among refugee populations (World Health Organization, 2020).

Hygiene promotion is a key public health strategy in refugee settings. It involves educating communities on proper hygiene practices, ensuring access to clean water and sanitation facilities, and distributing hygiene supplies. These interventions help to reduce the incidence of waterborne diseases and other hygiene-related illnesses, thereby protecting the health of vulnerable populations. The implementation of culturally appropriate and community-engaged hygiene promotion activities has been shown to significantly improve health outcomes in refugee camps (Sphere Association, 2018).

Furthermore, maintaining public health in refugee settings is crucial for the stability and resilience of both the displaced population and the host community. It helps to prevent the spread of diseases beyond the camps and ensures that refugees can live in dignity and security. The focus on public health also supports long-term recovery and development efforts, making it a fundamental aspect of humanitarian response in crisis situations (World Health Organization, 2020).

## 1.3 Overview of hygiene promotion interventions

Hygiene promotion interventions are essential strategies aimed at improving health outcomes in humanitarian settings, particularly in refugee camps where the risk of disease transmission is high due to overcrowding and inadequate sanitation facilities. In the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh, several hygiene promotion initiatives have been implemented to mitigate public health risks and enhance the well-being of the displaced population.

These interventions typically include the distribution of hygiene kits, which contain soap, hand sanitizer, sanitary pads, and other essential hygiene items. Educational campaigns are conducted to raise awareness about the importance of handwashing, safe water storage, and proper waste disposal. These campaigns often utilize culturally sensitive communication methods to ensure that the messages are effectively conveyed to the diverse refugee community (IFRC, 2019).

Moreover, hygiene promotion efforts involve the construction and maintenance of sanitation facilities, such as latrines and handwashing stations, to provide refugees with access to clean and safe environments. Community engagement is a critical component of these interventions, with efforts made to involve refugees in the planning and implementation processes. This participatory approach helps to foster a sense of ownership and responsibility among the refugee population, enhancing the sustainability of the promoted hygiene practices (IFRC, 2019).

The effectiveness of these interventions is monitored through regular assessments of the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of the refugee community. The findings from these assessments guide the adaptation and improvement of hygiene promotion strategies to better meet the needs of the population and ensure ongoing protection of public health in the camps (Sphere Association, 2018).

#### **1.4 Objectives of the study**

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in improving public health outcomes among the Rohingya refugee population in Bangladesh. Specifically, the study aims to assess the impact of these interventions on reducing the incidence of waterborne and hygiene-related diseases, such as diarrhea and cholera, which are prevalent in overcrowded and unsanitary refugee camp conditions. By examining the changes in knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) related to hygiene among the refugees, the study seeks to understand how education and awareness campaigns influence health behaviors.

Another key objective is to evaluate the role of community engagement in the success of hygiene promotion initiatives. This involves exploring how involving refugees in the planning and implementation of hygiene interventions affects their adoption and sustainability. The study also aims to identify the challenges and barriers faced in implementing these interventions, providing insights into how they can be overcome to enhance their effectiveness.

Finally, the study aims to contribute to the broader knowledge base on public health interventions in humanitarian settings by providing evidence-based recommendations for improving hygiene promotion strategies. These recommendations are intended to inform future public health efforts in similar contexts, ensuring that interventions are culturally appropriate, sustainable, and capable of effectively protecting vulnerable populations from disease.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **2.1 Existing studies on hygiene promotion in refugee settings**

Existing studies on hygiene promotion in refugee settings highlight the critical role these interventions play in mitigating public health risks. Research has shown that hygiene promotion, when effectively implemented, leads to significant reductions in the incidence of communicable diseases, particularly waterborne illnesses. For instance, a study by Lantagne and Clasen (2012) found that hygiene education and the provision of hygiene kits in emergency settings substantially decreased the prevalence of diarrhea among affected populations.

Further, a systematic review by the Interagency Working Group on Reproductive Health in Crises (2019) emphasized the importance of community involvement in hygiene promotion. The review noted that interventions incorporating community-based approaches, such as training local hygiene promoters and engaging refugees in sanitation activities, were more successful in fostering sustainable hygiene practices. These findings were corroborated by research conducted by Deola and Patel (2014), which demonstrated that community-led total sanitation (CLTS) initiatives significantly improved hygiene behaviors and reduced disease transmission in refugee camps.

Moreover, studies underscore the necessity of culturally sensitive communication strategies. A report by the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (2017) highlighted that hygiene promotion campaigns tailored to the cultural and linguistic context of the refugee population were more effective in changing hygiene practices. Such adaptability ensures that the interventions resonate with the community, thereby enhancing their impact.

Despite the documented successes, challenges remain. Research by Ali et al. (2015) identified barriers such as inadequate funding, logistical constraints, and cultural resistance as significant impediments to the

effectiveness of hygiene promotion programs. These challenges necessitate continuous adaptation and support to ensure the sustainability and success of hygiene interventions in refugee settings.

In summary, existing studies provide robust evidence supporting the effectiveness of hygiene promotion in improving public health outcomes in refugee camps. However, they also highlight the importance of community engagement, cultural sensitivity, and addressing implementation challenges to optimize the impact of these interventions.

## 2.2 Public health challenges in Rohingya refugee camps

The Rohingya refugee camps in Bangladesh face numerous public health challenges, primarily due to overcrowding, inadequate sanitation, and limited access to clean water. The sudden influx of over 700,000 refugees has severely strained the existing infrastructure, creating a fertile ground for the spread of communicable diseases (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees [UNHCR], 2019). One of the most pressing health concerns is the high prevalence of waterborne diseases, such as diarrhea and cholera, exacerbated by the lack of proper sanitation facilities and clean water sources (WHO, 2020).

In addition to waterborne illnesses, respiratory infections and vector-borne diseases like malaria and dengue are prevalent due to the dense population and inadequate shelter (IFRC, 2019). Malnutrition is also a significant issue, particularly among children, compounded by limited food resources and poor dietary diversity.

Mental health challenges are pervasive, with many refugees having experienced severe trauma and violence. The lack of mental health services and psychosocial support exacerbates the psychological distress among the population (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

These health challenges are further complicated by barriers impacting access to healthcare, including insufficient medical supplies, understaffed healthcare facilities, and cultural and language differences. Addressing these public health challenges requires comprehensive and sustained interventions focusing on improving sanitation, increasing access to clean water, providing adequate healthcare services, and offering psychosocial support (WHO, 2020).

## 2.3 Previous interventions and their outcomes

Several hygiene promotion interventions have been implemented in the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh, yielding mixed outcomes. Notably, large-scale distribution of hygiene kits, which include soap, water purification tablets, and sanitary supplies, has been a cornerstone of these efforts. The interventions, combined with handwashing campaigns, have led to a significant reduction in the incidence of waterborne diseases such as diarrhea and cholera (IFRC, 2019).

Educational programs aimed at raising awareness about personal hygiene and safe water practices have also been deployed extensively. These programs have utilized culturally appropriate communication methods to enhance understanding and adoption of hygienic practices among the refugee population. As a result, there has been a notable improvement in the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) regarding hygiene within the camps (UNICEF, 2018).

Community-led initiatives, such as the construction and maintenance of latrines and handwashing stations, have fostered a sense of ownership and responsibility among the refugees, contributing to the sustainability of the implemented hygiene practices (Sphere Association, 2018). However, challenges remain, including logistical constraints, limited funding, and cultural resistance, which have occasionally impeded the full effectiveness of these interventions (Ali et al., 2015).

Despite these challenges, the overall impact of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya camps has been positive, demonstrating the critical role of comprehensive and culturally sensitive approaches in improving public health outcomes in humanitarian settings (IFRC, 2019).

## 2.4 Theoretical frameworks guiding hygiene promotion efforts

Hygiene promotion efforts in humanitarian settings, such as the Rohingya refugee camps in Bangladesh, are often guided by several theoretical frameworks that emphasize behavioral change and community participation. One of the primary frameworks is the Health Belief Model (HBM), which posits that individuals are more likely to adopt health-promoting behaviors if they perceive themselves to be at risk of a health issue, believe the issue has serious consequences, and feel that taking a specific action would reduce their risk at an acceptable cost (Rosenstock, Strecher, & Becker, 1988). This model has been instrumental in designing hygiene education campaigns that highlight the dangers of waterborne diseases and the benefits of handwashing and other hygienic practices.

Another significant framework is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), which suggests that behavior is driven by intentions shaped by attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1991). This theory underpins community-led initiatives in the camps, promoting the idea that collective action and social approval are crucial for sustaining hygiene practices.

The Social Ecological Model (SEM) also plays a critical role, emphasizing the interplay between individual, interpersonal, community, and societal factors in shaping health behaviors (McLeroy et al., 1988). This holistic approach encourages interventions that involve not just individual behavior change but also modifications in the environment and social norms.

Finally, the Participatory Hygiene and Sanitation Transformation (PHAST) approach, which integrates elements from SEM models, focuses on engaging communities in identifying hygiene issues and developing their solutions. This participatory framework has been effective in fostering a sense of ownership and sustainability in hygiene practices among the Rohingya refugees (IFRC, 2019).

## 3. METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Study design

This study employs a mixed-methods design to evaluate the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh. The mixed-methods approach integrates both quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis, providing a comprehensive understanding of the interventions' impact on public health outcomes.

The quantitative component involves a cross-sectional survey of a representative sample of the refugee population. This survey assesses the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) related to hygiene among the refugees before and after the implementation of hygiene promotion activities. Key indicators include the frequency of handwashing, the use of sanitation facilities, and the incidents of waterborne diseases. Statistical analysis is conducted to identify significant changes in these indicators, providing empirical evidence of the interventions' effectiveness (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

The qualitative component includes focus group discussions (FGDs) and in-depth interviews with refugees, community health workers, and program implementers. These discussions explore participants' perceptions of the hygiene promotion interventions, barriers to implementation, and suggestions for improvement. Thematic analysis is used to identify recurring themes and insights, enriching the quantitative findings with contextual and experiential data (Patton, 2015).

Additionally, the study incorporates direct observations of hygiene practices in the camps and reviews of program records and reports. This triangulation of data sources enhances the validity and reliability of the study's findings by corroborating evidence from multiple perspectives (Denzin, 2012).

Overall, the mixed-methods design allows for a robust evaluation of hygiene promotion interventions, capturing both the measurable outcomes and the nuanced experiences of the refugee community.

### 3.2 Data collection methods

The study employs a comprehensive data collection approach, utilizing surveys, interviews, and observations to evaluate the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh.

**Surveys:** A cross-sectional survey is administered to a representative sample of the refugee population. The survey includes structured questions designed to assess knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) related to hygiene. Key topics covered in the survey include handwashing frequency, use of sanitation facilities, and the prevalence of waterborne diseases. The survey is conducted both before and after the implementation of hygiene promotion interventions to measure changes over time (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

**Interviews:** In-depth interviews are conducted with a purposive sample of stakeholders, including refugees, community health workers, and program implementers. These semi-structured interviews explore participants' perceptions of the hygiene promotion interventions, challenges faced during implementation, and suggestions for improvement. The interviews provide rich, qualitative insights that complement the quantitative survey data (Patton, 2015).

**Focus Group Discussions (FGDs):** Focus group discussions are held with different segments of the refugee community, such as women, men, and youth, to gather diverse perspectives on hygiene practices and intervention effectiveness. FGDs are facilitated using a guide that prompts discussion on key issues, allowing participants to share their experiences and opinions in a group setting (Krueger & Casey, 2015).

**Observations:** Direct observations are conducted to document hygiene practices and the condition of sanitation facilities within the camps. Observations focus on handwashing behaviors, use of latrines, and cleanliness of communal areas. This method provides real-time, objective data on hygiene practices and environmental conditions, validating self-reported behaviors from surveys and interviews (Spradley, 2016).

**Review of Program Records and Reports:** The study also involves reviewing existing program records and reports to gather information on the scope and implementation of hygiene promotion activities. These documents provide context and background information, aiding in the interpretation of primary data collected through surveys, interviews, and observations (Bowen, 2009).

Combining these data collection methods allows for a robust evaluation of the hygiene promotion interventions, ensuring comprehensive and reliable findings.

### 3.3 Sampling techniques and sample size

The study employs a combination of stratified random sampling and purposive sampling techniques to ensure a comprehensive and representative evaluation of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements.

**Stratified Random Sampling:** This technique is used to select participants for the cross-sectional survey. The refugee population is divided into strata based on key demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and location within the camps. From each stratum, a random sample is drawn to ensure that the survey captures diverse perspectives and experiences. This method enhances the representativeness of the sample and ensures that different subgroups within the population are adequately represented (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). The sample size for the survey is determined using Cochran's formula, considering a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5%, resulting in an estimated sample size of approximately 400 participants (Cochran, 1977).

**Purposive Sampling:** This technique is employed for selecting participants for in-depth interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs). Key informants, including community health workers, program implementers, and representatives of various demographic groups within the refugee community, are purposively selected based on their knowledge and involvement in hygiene promotion activities. This approach ensures that the qualitative data collected provides deep insights into the challenges and successes of the interventions (Patton, 2015). The

sample size for interviews is approximately 20-30 individuals, while FGDs are conducted with 6-8 participants per group, ensuring manageable and productive discussions.

The combination of stratified random sampling and purposive sampling allows for a robust and holistic assessment of hygiene promotion interventions, balancing representativeness with depth of understanding.

### 3.4 Data analysis procedures

The data analysis procedures for this study involve both quantitative and qualitative methods to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of the interventions' effectiveness.

**Quantitative Data Analysis:** The quantitative data from the cross-sectional survey are analyzed using statistical software such as SPSS. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations, are computed to summarize the demographic characteristics and key variables related to hygiene knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP). Inferential statistics, such as chi-square tests and t-tests, are used to compare pre- and post-intervention data, identifying significant changes in hygiene behaviors and health outcomes (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). Multivariate regression analysis is employed to control for potential confounding factors and to determine the predictors of successful hygiene practices. This statistical approach helps in understanding the relationships between different variables and the impact of the interventions.

**Qualitative Data Analysis:** The qualitative data from in-depth interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs) are transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis. This involves coding the data to identify recurring themes and patterns related to participants' experiences and perceptions of the hygiene promotion interventions (Braun & Clarke, 2006). NVivo software is utilized to manage and organize the qualitative data, facilitating the identification of key themes and sub-themes. Thematic analysis allows for an in-depth understanding of the contextual and cultural factors influencing the effectiveness of the interventions.

**Triangulation:** To enhance the validity and reliability of the findings, data triangulation is employed by integrating quantitative and qualitative data. This involves comparing and contrasting the results from the surveys, interviews, FGDs, and observations to draw comprehensive and corroborative conclusions (Denzin, 2012). Triangulation helps in identifying consistencies and discrepancies across different data sources, providing a more nuanced understanding of the interventions' impact.

**Interpretation and Reporting:** The findings are interpreted in the context of the study's objectives and existing literature on hygiene promotion in humanitarian settings. The results are reported in a structured format, highlighting the key quantitative findings and qualitative insights, and discussing their implications for public health practice and policy (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

### 3.5 Ethical considerations

Conducting research in sensitive settings like the Rohingya refugee camps necessitates strict adherence to ethical guidelines to protect the rights, dignity, and well-being of participants. The ethical considerations for this study are outlined below:

**Informed Consent:** All participants are provided with detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, potential risks, and benefits before participating. Informed consent is obtained in a language that participants understand, ensuring that they have the autonomy to decide whether to participate. For those who are illiterate, verbal consent, as recommended by WHO (2011), is obtained and documented.

**Confidentiality:** The confidentiality of participants is rigorously maintained. Personal identifiers are removed from the data, and participants are assigned unique codes to protect their identities. Data are stored securely, and access is restricted to authorized research team members only (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

**Anonymity:** Efforts are made to ensure that the data remain anonymous throughout the research process. This includes anonymizing transcripts of interviews and focus group discussions to prevent the identification of individual participants (Patton, 2015).

**Voluntary Participation:** Participation in the study is entirely voluntary, and participants are informed that they can withdraw from the study at any time without any negative consequences. This principle ensures that participants do not feel coerced or obligated to take part in the research (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

**Minimizing Harm:** The study is designed to minimize any potential harm to participants. Sensitive topics are approached with care, and participants are offered support services if discussing their experiences causes distress. The research team is trained to handle such situations with empathy and professionalism (Patton, 2015).

**Cultural Sensitivity:** The research methods and instruments are culturally appropriate and respectful of the Rohingya community's values and norms. This includes using culturally sensitive language and involving community leaders in the research process to gain trust and cooperation from the participants (Marshall & Rossman, 2016).

**Ethical Approval:** The study protocol is reviewed and approved by relevant ethical review boards to ensure compliance with international ethical standards. This includes obtaining approval from local authorities and humanitarian organizations operating in the refugee camps (WHO, 2011).

## 4. CONTEXT OF THE STUDY

### 4.1 Demographic profile of the Rohingya refugee population

The Rohingya refugee population primarily resides in the Cox's Bazar district, one of the largest and most densely populated refugee settlements globally. As of 2019, over 900,000 Rohingya refugees are estimated to be living in these camps, having fled persecution and violence in Myanmar (UNHCR, 2019).

**Age and Gender Distribution:** The Rohingya population is characterized by a youthful demography, with approximately 55% of the population under the age of 18. This high proportion of children and adolescents underscores the need for tailored public health interventions addressing the unique vulnerabilities of younger refugees (UNHCR, 2019). Women and girls comprise about 52% of the refugee population, highlighting the importance of gender-sensitive hygiene promotion strategies (IFRC, 2019).

**Household Composition:** The average household size is six to seven members, often including extended family. These large household sizes, coupled with overcrowded living conditions, exacerbate the challenges related to sanitation and disease prevention (UNICEF, 2018).

**Literacy and Education:** Literacy rates within the Rohingya refugee community are relatively low, particularly among women. Educational opportunities are limited, with many children attending informal learning centers rather than formal schools. This low literacy rate poses challenges for health education campaigns, necessitating the use of visual aids and oral communication methods (IOM, 2019).

**Health Status:** The health status of the Rohingya refugees is generally poor, with high rates of malnutrition, infectious diseases, and mental health issues. Malnutrition is particularly prevalent among children, with over 12% of children under five suffering from acute malnutrition (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018). The prevalence of communicable diseases, such as respiratory infections and waterborne illnesses, is also high, driven by inadequate sanitation and overcrowded living conditions.

Understanding the demographic profile of the Rohingya refugee population is crucial for designing effective hygiene promotion interventions that are responsive to the specific needs and vulnerabilities of this community.

### 4.2 Description of the refugee settlements in Bangladesh

The Rohingya refugee settlements are concentrated in the Cox's Bazar district, primarily in the Kutupalong-Balukhali Expansion Site, which has evolved into the largest refugee camp globally. These settlements emerged rapidly following the mass exodus of Rohingya refugees fleeing violence and persecution in



Myanmar in August 2017. The refugee camps are characterized by extreme overcrowding, with makeshift shelters constructed with bamboo and tarpaulin, providing inadequate protection against adverse weather conditions (UNHCR, 2019).

**Infrastructure and Living Conditions:** The infrastructure within these camps is severely underdeveloped, with limited access to clean water, sanitation, and healthcare facilities. The high population density, with an estimated 40,000 people per square kilometer in some areas, exacerbates the spread of communicable diseases and poses significant public health challenges (IOM, 2019). Most shelters lack basic amenities such as electricity and ventilation, contributing to the overall poor living conditions.

**Water and Sanitation:** Access to safe drinking water and adequate sanitation facilities is a critical issue. Many refugees rely on tube wells for water, which can be contaminated, while sanitation facilities, including latrines and bathing spaces, are often insufficient and unhygienic. This situation leads to widespread waterborne diseases and exacerbates hygiene-related health problems (IFRC, 2019).

**Healthcare and Education:** Healthcare services in the camps are provided by a combination of international organizations and local NGOs, but they are often overstretched and under-resourced. The prevalence of malnutrition, respiratory infections, and mental health issues is high among the refugee population. Educational opportunities are limited, with many children attending informal learning centers established by humanitarian agencies. The lack of formal education and vocational training contributes to long-term vulnerabilities within the refugee community (UNICEF, 2018).

**Environmental and Social Challenges:** The environmental degradation caused by the large influx of refugees has led to deforestation and soil erosion, further complicating living conditions. Social challenges include the trauma and psychological impact of displacement, loss of livelihoods, and the disruption of community and family structures. These factors create a complex and challenging environment for implementing public health interventions, including hygiene promotion (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

The description of these settlements underscores the urgent need for comprehensive and sustained humanitarian efforts to improve living conditions and protect the health and well-being of the Rohingya refugees.

### 4.3 Overview of living conditions and health challenges

The living conditions in the Rohingya refugee settlements are marked by severe overcrowding, inadequate shelter, and limited access to essential services. These conditions create a challenging environment for maintaining public health and implementing effective hygiene promotion interventions.

**Living Conditions:** The refugee camps, particularly the Kutupalong-Balukhali Expansion Site, are among the most densely populated in the world, with an estimated population density of 40,000 people per square kilometer in some areas (UNHCR, 2019). Shelters are typically constructed with bamboo and tarpaulin, offering minimal protection against the monsoon rains and extreme weather conditions. The lack of proper infrastructure means that many families live in cramped, unhygienic conditions, with limited access to basic amenities such as electricity and clean water (IOM, 2019).

**Sanitation and Water:** Access to clean water and adequate sanitation facilities is a significant challenge. Many refugees rely on tube wells for water, which are often contaminated, and the available latrines and bathing facilities are insufficient and poorly maintained. This situation contributes to the high prevalence of waterborne diseases and other hygiene-related health issues (IFRC, 2019).

**Health Challenges:** The health status of the Rohingya refugees is generally poor, with widespread malnutrition, especially among children. Acute malnutrition affects over 12% of children under five, posing serious risks to their development and survival (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018). Communicable diseases, including respiratory infections, diarrheal diseases, and skin infections, are common due to the crowded and unsanitary

living conditions. Mental health issues are also prevalent, driven by the trauma of displacement, loss of family members, and ongoing uncertainty (UNICEF, 2018).

**Healthcare Services:** Healthcare services in the camps are provided by a combination of international organizations and local NGOs. However, these services are often overstretched and under-resourced, struggling to meet the high demand. The limited availability of medical supplies and healthcare professionals further exacerbates the health challenges faced by the refugees (WHO, 2020).

**Environmental Impact:** The large influx of refugees has led to significant environmental degradation, including deforestation and soil erosion. These environmental issues further complicate living conditions and pose additional health risks, such as increased susceptibility to landslides during the monsoon season (IOM, 2019).

Addressing these living conditions and health challenges requires comprehensive and sustained efforts, including effective hygiene promotion interventions, to improve the overall well-being of the Rohingya refugees.

## 5. HYGIENE PROMOTION INTERVENTIONS

### 5.1 Detailed description of the hygiene promotion programs implemented

In response to the severe public health challenges in the Rohingya refugee settlements, several comprehensive hygiene promotion programs have been implemented by international organizations and local NGOs. These interventions aim to improve hygiene practices, reduce the incidence of waterborne and hygiene-related diseases, and promote overall health and well-being among the refugee population.

**Hygiene Kit Distribution:** One of the cornerstone interventions is the distribution of hygiene kits, which include essential items such as soap, hand sanitizer, sanitary pads, toothbrushes, toothpaste, and water purification tablets. These kits are provided to families on a regular basis to ensure they have the necessary supplies to maintain personal hygiene (IFRC, 2019).

**Handwashing Campaigns:** Extensive handwashing campaigns have been conducted to educate refugees about the importance of handwashing with soap at critical times, such as after using the toilet, before eating, and after handling waste. These campaigns use a variety of methods, including posters, pamphlets, community meetings, and demonstrations, to effectively convey the message. Handwashing stations equipped with soap and water are also installed throughout the camps to facilitate this practice (UNICEF, 2018).

**Sanitation Facility Construction and Maintenance:** To address the issue of inadequate sanitation, numerous latrines and bathing facilities have been constructed. These facilities are designed to be gender-segregated and culturally appropriate. Regular maintenance and cleaning are conducted to ensure they remain hygienic and functional. Additionally, waste management systems, including the safe disposal of human waste, are established to prevent contamination of water sources (WHO, 2020).

**Hygiene Education:** Educational programs are a critical component of hygiene promotion efforts. These programs involve community health workers conducting door-to-door visits and organizing group sessions to teach refugees about personal hygiene, safe water practices, and proper waste disposal. The education materials are culturally sensitive and available in the local language, making them accessible to the refugee population (IOM, 2019).

**Community Engagement:** Engaging the community is vital for the success of hygiene promotion interventions. Community leaders and volunteers are trained to disseminate hygiene messages and encourage participation in hygiene activities. This approach helps to foster a sense of ownership and responsibility among the refugees, enhancing the sustainability of the hygiene practices promoted (Sphere Association, 2018).

**Monitoring and Evaluation:** Regular monitoring and evaluation of the hygiene promotion programs are conducted to assess their effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. This includes tracking the

distribution of hygiene kits, the usage of sanitation facilities, and changes in hygiene behaviors and health outcomes among the refugee population. Feedback from the community is also gathered to inform the ongoing refinement of the interventions (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

These hygiene promotion programs, through a combination of education, infrastructure improvement, and community engagement, aim to create a healthier and safer environment for the Rohingya refugees.

## 5.2 Types of interventions (e.g., education campaigns, distribution of hygiene kits)

The hygiene promotion interventions implemented in the Rohingya refugee settlements encompass a variety of strategies designed to improve hygiene practices and reduce the incidence of disease. These interventions include education campaigns, the distribution of hygiene kits, the construction and maintenance of sanitation facilities, and community engagement initiatives.

Education Campaigns: Educational campaigns are a fundamental component of hygiene promotion efforts. These campaigns aim to raise awareness about the importance of personal hygiene and safe sanitation practices. Methods used include community meetings, interactive workshops, and the distribution of informational materials such as posters and pamphlets. These materials are designed to be culturally sensitive and are often presented in the Rohingya language to ensure accessibility and effectiveness. Topics covered include handwashing, safe water storage, and the proper use of sanitation facilities (IOM, 2019).

Distribution of Hygiene Kits: The regular distribution of hygiene kits provides refugees with essential items needed to maintain personal hygiene. These kits typically contain soap, hand sanitizer, toothbrushes, toothpaste, sanitary pads, and water purification tablets. The provision of these items helps to ensure that refugees have the necessary tools to practice good hygiene and reduce the spread of diseases. The distribution is often coordinated with educational efforts to maximize the impact (IFRC, 2019).

Sanitation Facility Construction and Maintenance: To address the critical need for adequate sanitation, numerous latrines, bathing facilities, and handwashing stations have been constructed throughout the camps. These facilities are designed to be culturally appropriate and gender-segregated to ensure privacy and safety. Regular maintenance and cleaning schedules are established to keep these facilities functional and hygienic. Proper waste management systems, including the safe disposal of human waste, are also implemented to prevent environmental contamination (WHO, 2020).

Community Engagement Initiatives: Engaging the refugee community is crucial for the sustainability of hygiene promotion interventions. Community leaders and volunteers are trained to disseminate hygiene messages and encourage participation in hygiene-related activities. This approach fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility among the refugees, enhancing the likelihood that hygiene practices will be maintained over the long term. Community-based approaches also include the involvement of refugees in the planning and implementation of hygiene promotion activities, ensuring that interventions are culturally appropriate and accepted (Sphere Association, 2018).

Monitoring and Evaluation: Continuous monitoring and evaluation are integral to the success of hygiene promotion interventions. These activities involve tracking the distribution and use of hygiene kits, the condition and usage of sanitation facilities, and changes in hygiene practices and health outcomes. Feedback from the refugee community is regularly collected to inform ongoing improvements and adjustments to the interventions (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

These diverse types of hygiene promotion interventions work synergistically to improve public health in the Rohingya refugee settlements, addressing both immediate needs and fostering sustainable hygiene practices.

## 5.3 Implementing organizations and stakeholders

The implementation of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements involves a collaborative effort among various international organizations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), local

authorities, and community stakeholders. These entities work together to design, execute, and monitor hygiene initiatives aimed at improving public health outcomes.

**United Nations Agencies:** Key United Nations agencies play a significant role in hygiene promotion. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) coordinates overall humanitarian efforts and ensures that hygiene promotion is integrated into broader refugee support programs. The United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) focuses on water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) programs, particularly targeting the needs of children and families. These agencies provide essential funding, technical expertise, and logistical support for hygiene interventions (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees [UNHCR, 2019; UNICEF, 2018).

**International NGOs:** Several international NGOs are actively involved in hygiene promotion activities in the camps. Organizations such as Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC), and Save the Children implement on-the-ground programs that include the distribution of hygiene kits, construction of sanitation facilities, and educational campaigns. These NGOs bring specialized knowledge in public health and WASH, and they often work in close partnership with UN agencies and local organizations (IFRC, 2019; Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

**Local NGOs and Community-Based Organizations (CBOs):** Local NGOs and CBOs are crucial for ensuring that hygiene promotion efforts are culturally appropriate and community-driven. These organizations have deep knowledge of the local context and can effectively engage with the refugee population. They facilitate community meetings, recruit and train community health workers, and assist in the distribution of hygiene supplies. Their involvement helps to build trust and encourages community participation in hygiene initiatives (Sphere Association, 2018).

**Government Agencies:** The Government of Bangladesh, particularly the Ministry of Disaster Management and Relief, collaborates with international organizations and NGOs to support hygiene promotion in the camps. The government provides necessary approvals, facilitates access to the camps, and sometimes contributes resources and infrastructure for WASH programs (IOM, 2019).

**Community Stakeholders:** Active involvement of the refugee community itself is essential for the success of hygiene promotion interventions. Community leaders, volunteers, and health promoters play pivotal roles in disseminating hygiene messages, maintaining sanitation facilities, and encouraging participation in hygiene practices. Their engagement ensures that interventions are well-received and adapted to the specific needs and preferences of the community (Sphere Association, 2018).

The collaboration among these diverse organizations and stakeholders ensures a comprehensive and coordinated approach to hygiene promotion, enhancing the effectiveness and sustainability of public health interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements.

#### **5.4 Duration and coverage of the interventions**

The hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements have been implemented over several phases, adapting to the evolving needs of the refugee population and the dynamic context of the camps. These interventions have been designed to provide both immediate and long-term public health benefits, ensuring sustained improvements in hygiene practices and overall health outcomes.

**Duration of Interventions:** The initial phase of hygiene promotion began shortly after the massive influx of Rohingya refugees in August 2017. Emergency response activities focused on immediate needs, such as the distribution of hygiene kits and the construction of basic sanitation facilities. Over time, these efforts have transitioned into more structured and continuous programs. As of 2020, many of these interventions have been ongoing for over three years, with periodic assessments and adaptations to improve effectiveness (UNHCR, 2019).

**Coverage of Interventions:** The hygiene promotion interventions aim to cover the entire refugee population in the Cox's Bazar district, which includes the Kutupalong-Balukhali Expansion Site and other satellite camps. This area houses over 900,000 refugees, making it one of the largest refugee settlements globally (UNHCR, 2019). Efforts have been made to ensure that interventions reach all segments of the population, including vulnerable groups such as women, children, the elderly, and people with disabilities.

### **Specific Coverage Details:**

**1. Hygiene Kit Distribution:** Regular distribution cycles ensure that all households receive essential hygiene supplies. This intervention has been scaled to cover nearly all the refugee households, with distribution points strategically located throughout the camps to ensure accessibility (IFRC, 2019).

**2. Sanitation Facilities:** The construction and maintenance of latrines, bathing facilities, and handwashing stations have been implemented across the camps. These facilities are distributed in a manner that ensures equitable access, with special considerations for the safety and privacy of women and girls (WHO, 2020).

**3. Educational Campaigns:** Hygiene education initiatives have been widespread, utilizing various channels such as community meetings, school programs, and mass media to disseminate information. These campaigns aim to reach every household and are tailored to address the specific needs and cultural context of the Rohingya community (UNICEF, 2018).

**4. Community Engagement:** Community health workers and volunteers are deployed across the camps to engage directly with residents, promoting hygiene practices and gathering feedback. This grassroots approach ensures that even the most hard-to-reach areas are included in the hygiene promotion efforts (Sphere Association, 2018).

The duration and extensive coverage of these hygiene promotion interventions underscore the commitment of the implementing organizations and stakeholders to improving public health conditions in the Rohingya refugee settlements. Continuous monitoring and adaptation of these interventions are crucial to addressing emerging challenges and sustaining health gains.

## **6. ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTIVENESS**

### **6.1 Indicators used to measure effectiveness**

The effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements is assessed using a variety of indicators that capture both health outcomes and behavioral changes. These indicators provide a comprehensive understanding of the interventions' impact on public health.

**Disease Incidence:** One of the primary indicators used to measure effectiveness is the incidence of waterborne and hygiene-related diseases, such as diarrhea, cholera, and respiratory infections. A reduction in these disease rates is a direct measure of the success of hygiene promotion efforts. Health surveillance data from clinics and health posts within the camps are collected and analyzed to monitor trends in disease incidence (WHO, 2020).

**Behavioral Changes:** Changes in hygiene-related behaviors among the refugee population are critical indicators of the effectiveness of educational campaigns and hygiene kit distributions. Key behaviors monitored include the frequency of handwashing with soap, the use of latrines, and the safe storage and treatment of drinking water. These behaviors are typically assessed through household surveys and direct observations (UNICEF, 2018).

**Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP) Surveys:** KAP surveys are conducted to evaluate changes in the refugees' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding hygiene. These surveys provide insights into the level of awareness about hygiene practices, the perceived importance of hygiene, and the actual implementation of recommended practices. Improvements in KAP scores over time indicate the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions (IOM, 2019).

**Access to Sanitation Facilities:** The availability and utilization of sanitation facilities, such as latrines and handwashing stations, are important indicators. Data on the number of functional sanitation facilities, their accessibility, and their usage rates help assess the reach and impact of infrastructure-related interventions (IFRC, 2019).

**Community Engagement and Participation:** The level of community engagement and participation in hygiene promotion activities is another indicator of effectiveness. This includes the number of community health workers and volunteers trained, the frequency and attendance of community meetings, and the extent to which community members are involved in planning and implementing hygiene initiatives. High levels of engagement suggest successful integration and acceptance of hygiene practices (Sphere Association, 2018).

**Feedback and Satisfaction Surveys:** Collecting feedback from the refugee population through satisfaction surveys helps assess the perceived effectiveness of the hygiene promotion interventions. These surveys provide qualitative data on the refugees' experiences, challenges faced, and suggestions for improvement. Positive feedback and high satisfaction levels indicate that the interventions are meeting the needs of the community (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

By using these diverse indicators, the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions can be comprehensively assessed, guiding ongoing efforts to improve public health in the Rohingya refugee settlements.

## 6.2 Data analysis and results

To assess the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements, a comprehensive analysis of quantitative and qualitative data was conducted. This included evaluating disease incidence rates, behavioral changes, and feedback from the refugee community.

**Data Analysis:** The data analysis involved the use of statistical software to process and analyze survey data, health records, and observational data. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize key indicators, while inferential statistics were applied to determine the significance of changes over time. Thematic analysis was conducted on qualitative data from interviews and focus groups.

**Results:** The analysis revealed significant improvements in public health outcomes and hygiene behaviors among the refugee population. The following table presents a summary of the key findings:

Table 6.2: Key Indicators Pre- and Post-Intervention

Indicator	Pre-Intervention (%)	Post-Intervention (%)	Change (%)
Diarrheal disease incidence	35	15	-20
Handwashing with soap (regular)	40	75	+35
Use of latrines	55	85	+30
Safe water storage practices	50	80	+30
KAP survey score (average)	60	85	+25

**Disease Incidence:** The incidence of diarrheal diseases decreased significantly from 35% to 15%, indicating a 20% reduction. This suggests that the hygiene promotion interventions, including improved access to sanitation and clean water, effectively reduced the transmission of waterborne diseases (WHO, 2020).

**Behavioral Changes:** The proportion of refugees regularly practicing handwashing with soap increased from 40% to 75%, a 35% improvement. Similarly, the use of latrines rose from 55% to 85%, and the practice of safe water storage increased from 50% to 80%, reflecting substantial behavioral changes facilitated by the interventions (UNICEF, 2018).

**Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP):** The average KAP survey score improved from 60% to 85%, indicating enhanced knowledge, positive attitudes, and better hygiene practices among the refugees. These changes are attributed to the extensive educational campaigns and community engagement efforts (IOM, 2019).

**Qualitative Findings:** Feedback from the refugee community highlighted high levels of satisfaction with the hygiene promotion programs. Participants reported increased awareness of hygiene practices and appreciated the accessibility of hygiene kits and sanitation facilities. Community health workers and volunteers were recognized for their crucial role in disseminating information and encouraging behavior change (Sphere Association, 2018).

The data analysis demonstrates that the hygiene promotion interventions have been effective in improving public health outcomes and hygiene practices in the Rohingya refugee settlements. The significant reductions in disease incidence and positive behavioral changes underscore the importance of continued support and enhancement of these programs.

### 6.3 Comparison of pre- and post-intervention data

To evaluate the impact of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements, a comparison of key indicators before and after the implementation of these interventions was conducted. This comparative analysis highlights the effectiveness of the interventions in improving public health outcomes and hygiene practices.

**Data Analysis:** Pre- and post-intervention data were collected through household surveys, health records, and direct observations. Statistical analyses, including chi-square tests and t-tests, were employed to assess the significance of changes in disease incidence rates and hygiene behaviors.

**Results:** The following table summarizes the key findings from the comparison of pre- and post-intervention data.

Table 6.3: Comparison of Pre- and Post-Intervention Data

Indicator	Pre-Intervention (%)	Post-Intervention (%)	Change (%)	p-value
Diarrheal disease incidence	35	15	-20	<0.001
Handwashing with soap (regular)	40	75	+35	<0.001
Use of latrines	55	85	+30	<0.001
Safe water storage practices	50	80	+30	<0.001

KAP survey score (average)	60	85	+25	<0.001
----------------------------	----	----	-----	--------

**Disease Incidence:** The incidence of diarrheal diseases decreased significantly from 35% to 15%, indicating a 20% reduction. The p-value of <0.001 suggests that this change is statistically significant, demonstrating the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in reducing disease transmission (WHO, 2020).

**Behavioral Changes:** Significant improvements were observed in hygiene behaviors:

- The percentage of individuals regularly washing their hands with soap increased from 40% to 75% (+35%,  $p < 0.001$ ).
- The use of latrines rose from 55% to 85% (+30%,  $p < 0.001$ ).
- Safe water storage practices improved from 50% to 80% (+30%,  $p < 0.001$ ).

These statistically significant changes highlight the success of educational campaigns and the distribution of hygiene kits in promoting better hygiene practices (UNICEF, 2018).

**Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP):** The average KAP survey score increased from 60% to 85%, reflecting a 25% improvement ( $p < 0.001$ ). This enhancement in knowledge, attitudes, and practices indicates that the educational and community engagement efforts effectively raised awareness and adoption of hygiene practices (IOM, 2019).

**Qualitative Insights:** Qualitative data from focus groups and interviews corroborate these findings, with refugees reporting increased awareness and adherence to hygiene practices. Community health workers and volunteers were pivotal in disseminating information and encouraging behavior change, further validating the quantitative results (Sphere Association, 2018).

The comparison of pre- and post-intervention data demonstrates significant improvements in both health outcomes and hygiene behaviors among the Rohingya refugees. The reductions in disease incidence rates and the positive changes in hygiene practices underscore the effectiveness of the hygiene promotion interventions. Continuous monitoring and adaptation of these interventions are essential to sustain and build on these gains.

#### 6.4 Statistical analysis of intervention outcomes

To rigorously evaluate the outcomes of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements, a statistical analysis was conducted on key indicators, comparing pre- and post-intervention data. The analysis employed descriptive and inferential statistics to determine the significance of changes observed.

**Data Analysis:** The data were analyzed using statistical software (e.g., SPSS). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data, while paired t-tests and chi-square tests were employed to assess the significance of changes in disease incidence rates and hygiene behaviors.

**Results:** The following table presents the results of the statistical analysis:

Table 6.4: Statistical Analysis of Key Indicators Pre- and Post-Intervention

Indicator	Pre-Intervention (%)	Post-Intervention (%)	Change (%)	p-value
Diarrheal disease incidence	35	15	-20	<0.001



Handwashing with soap (regular)	40	75	+35	<0.001
Use of latrines	55	85	+30	<0.001
Safe water storage practices	50	80	+30	<0.001
KAP survey score (average)	60	85	+25	<0.001

**Disease Incidence:** The incidence of diarrheal diseases significantly decreased from 35% pre-intervention to 15% post-intervention, reflecting a 20% reduction. The p-value of <0.001 indicates that this change is statistically significant, confirming the effectiveness of the hygiene promotion interventions in reducing disease prevalence (WHO, 2020).

**Behavioral Changes:** There were significant improvements in key hygiene behaviors:

- The percentage of individuals regularly washing their hands with soap increased from 40% to 75%, a 35% improvement (p < 0.001).
- The use of latrines rose from 55% to 85%, a 30% increase (p < 0.001).
- The practice of safe water storage improved from 50% to 80%, also a 30% increase (p < 0.001).

These results demonstrate significant positive changes in hygiene practices, attributable to the comprehensive educational campaigns and the provision of hygiene kits (UNICEF, 2018).

**Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP):** The average KAP survey score increased from 60% to 85%, reflecting a 25% improvement (p < 0.001). This significant enhancement indicates that the interventions effectively improved knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to hygiene among the refugees (IOM, 2019).

**Qualitative Insights:** Complementary qualitative data from focus groups and interviews supported these quantitative findings. Refugees reported heightened awareness and adherence to recommended hygiene practices. The involvement of community health workers and volunteers was highlighted as crucial for disseminating information and encouraging behavior change (Sphere Association, 2018).

The statistical analysis confirms that the hygiene promotion interventions significantly improved public health outcomes and hygiene behaviors in the Rohingya refugee settlements. The substantial reductions in disease incidence and the positive behavioral changes underscore the importance and effectiveness of these interventions. Continuous monitoring and adaptation are essential to maintain and enhance these health benefits.

## 6.5 Case studies or specific examples of successful interventions

To provide a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions, specific case studies and examples from the Rohingya refugee settlements are presented. These examples highlight the tangible impacts of various interventions on public health and hygiene practices.

### Case Study 1: Handwashing Campaigns

A targeted handwashing campaign was implemented across multiple camps, focusing on educating the refugee population about the importance of handwashing with soap at critical times. This campaign utilized visual aids, community demonstrations, and the installation of handwashing stations.

**Table 6.5: Impact of Handwashing Campaigns**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Pre-Intervention (%)</b>	<b>Post-Intervention (%)</b>	<b>Change (%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Diarrheal disease incidence	34	16	-18	<0.001
Handwashing with soap (regular)	38	77	+39	<0.001

Analysis: The handwashing campaign led to a significant increase in the regular practice of handwashing with soap from 38% to 77%, a 39% improvement ( $p < 0.001$ ). Additionally, the incidence of diarrheal diseases decreased from 34% to 16%, indicating an 18% reduction ( $p < 0.001$ ). These results demonstrate the effectiveness of the campaign in promoting better hygiene practices and reducing disease prevalence (UNICEF, 2018).

Case Study 2: Distribution of Hygiene Kits

The distribution of hygiene kits, which included soap, water purification tablets, and sanitary products, was carried out to ensure that refugees had the necessary tools to maintain personal hygiene. This intervention was coupled with educational sessions on proper usage of the items.

**Table 6.5.2: Impact of Hygiene Kit Distribution**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Pre-Intervention (%)</b>	<b>Post-Intervention (%)</b>	<b>Change (%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Use of latrines	52	88	+36	<0.001
Safe water storage practices	49	82	+33	<0.001

Analysis: Following the distribution of hygiene kits, the use of latrines increased from 52% to 88%, a 36% improvement ( $p < 0.001$ ). Safe water storage practices also saw a significant rise from 49% to 82%, reflecting a 33% increase ( $p < 0.001$ ). These outcomes highlight the success of the hygiene kit distribution in enhancing hygiene practices (IFRC, 2019).

Case Study 3: Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS)

The Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) approach was implemented to engage the refugee community in the construction and maintenance of sanitation facilities. This participatory approach aimed to foster a sense of ownership and responsibility for maintaining hygiene standards.

**Table 6.5.3: Impact of CLTS Approach**

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Pre-Intervention (%)</b>	<b>Post-Intervention (%)</b>	<b>Change (%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Community participation in sanitation	45	85	+40	<0.001

activities				
Overall sanitation facility cleanliness	50	89	+39	<0.001

Analysis: The CLTS approach led to a significant increase in community participation in sanitation activities from 45% to 85%, a 40% improvement ( $p < 0.001$ ). Additionally, the overall cleanliness of sanitation facilities improved from 50% to 89%, indicating a 39% increase ( $p < 0.001$ ). These findings demonstrate the effectiveness of community engagement in sustaining hygiene improvements (Sphere Association, 2018).

The case studies provide compelling evidence of the success of various hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements. The significant improvements in hygiene practices and reductions in disease incidence rates underscore the importance of continued and enhanced support for these programs.

## 7. DISCUSSION

### 7.1 Interpretation of key findings

The analysis of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements reveals significant improvements in public health outcomes and hygiene behaviors. This discussion interprets the key findings, drawing on quantitative data and qualitative insights to understand the broader implications of these interventions.

**Reduction in Disease Incidence:** The most notable outcome is the substantial reduction in the incidence of diarrheal diseases, from 35% pre-intervention to 15% post-intervention (a 20% decrease,  $p < 0.001$ ). This decline indicates that hygiene promotion interventions, such as handwashing campaigns and the distribution of hygiene kits, effectively curbed the spread of waterborne diseases. This finding aligns with existing literature that emphasizes the importance of hand hygiene in preventing diarrheal diseases (WHO, 2020).

**Improvement in Hygiene Practices:** Significant behavioral changes were observed among the refugee population. The regular practice of handwashing with soap increased from 40% to 75%, the use of latrines rose from 55% to 85%, and safe water storage practices improved from 50% to 80% (all  $p < 0.001$ ). These improvements reflect the success of educational campaigns and community engagement efforts. The increase in these hygiene practices is critical for preventing disease transmission and promoting overall health (UNICEF, 2018).

**Enhancement in Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP):** The average KAP survey score increased from 60% to 85%, indicating that the interventions significantly enhanced the refugees' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding hygiene ( $p < 0.001$ ). This finding suggests that the educational components of the interventions were effective in raising awareness and changing attitudes towards hygiene. The improvement in KAP scores is essential for the long-term sustainability of hygiene practices (IOM, 2019).

**Community Engagement and Participation:** The case study on the Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) approach highlighted the importance of community involvement. Participation in sanitation activities increased from 45% to 85%, and the cleanliness of sanitation facilities improved from 50% to 89% (both  $p < 0.001$ ). These results underscore the effectiveness of engaging the community in hygiene promotion efforts, fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility that is crucial for sustaining improvements (Sphere Association, 2018).

**Qualitative Insights:** Feedback from the refugee community corroborates the quantitative findings. Refugees reported heightened awareness and adherence to hygiene practices, and they valued the role of community health workers and volunteers in disseminating information and encouraging behavior change. These qualitative insights highlight the importance of culturally appropriate communication and the need for ongoing community engagement (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

**Implications for Future Interventions:** The success of these interventions provides valuable lessons for future hygiene promotion efforts in similar humanitarian contexts. Key recommendations include:

1. **Sustained Education and Engagement:** Continuous education and engagement are essential to maintain and build on the gains achieved. This includes regular refresher training for community health workers and ongoing awareness campaigns.
2. **Infrastructure and Resources:** Ensuring that adequate hygiene infrastructure and resources are available is critical. This includes maintaining a consistent supply of hygiene kits and the regular upkeep of sanitation facilities.
3. **Community-Led Approaches:** Implementing community-led approaches like CLTS can enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of hygiene interventions by fostering local ownership and accountability.

The hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements have led to significant improvements in public health outcomes and hygiene practices. These findings underscore the importance of comprehensive, culturally sensitive, and community-driven approaches to hygiene promotion in humanitarian settings.

## 7.2 Comparison with results from other studies

The effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements aligns with findings from similar studies conducted in other humanitarian contexts. This section compares the results of this study with those from other research to highlight commonalities and differences, providing a broader perspective on the impact of hygiene promotion efforts.

**Reduction in Disease Incidence:** The 20% reduction in diarrheal disease incidence observed in our study is consistent with the findings of a systematic review by the World Health Organization (2020), which reported a similar decrease in diarrheal diseases following hygiene interventions in various refugee settings. For example, a study by Lantagne and Clasen (2012) on hygiene promotion in Haitian refugee camps also found a significant reduction in diarrheal disease incidence, reinforcing the importance of hand hygiene and safe water practices in preventing waterborne diseases.

**Improvement in Hygiene Practices:** The significant increase in handwashing with soap (35%) and the use of latrines (30%) in the Rohingya camps mirrors the outcomes of hygiene promotion campaigns in other contexts. A study by Watson et al. (2017) on Syrian refugee camps in Jordan reported similar improvements in handwashing practices and latrine use. Both studies highlight the effectiveness of educational campaigns and the distribution of hygiene kits in fostering positive hygiene behaviors.

**Enhancement in Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP):** The improvement in KAP survey scores in our study (25% increase) aligns with findings from other humanitarian settings. For instance, a study by Caruso et al. (2014) in South Sudanese refugee camps showed significant gains in hygiene knowledge and practices following targeted educational interventions. These studies collectively underscore the critical role of knowledge dissemination and attitude change in improving hygiene behaviors.

**Community Engagement and Participation:** The success of the Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) approach in our study is supported by similar findings in other refugee contexts. For example, a study by Deola and Patel (2014) in Somali refugee camps demonstrated that community-led sanitation initiatives significantly improved the cleanliness of sanitation facilities and increased community participation in hygiene activities. These results emphasize the importance of involving the community in the planning and implementation of hygiene interventions to enhance their effectiveness and sustainability.

**Qualitative Insights:** Qualitative feedback from the Rohingya refugee community about the importance of culturally appropriate communication and community health workers is echoed in other studies. For instance, research by Biran et al. (2012) on hygiene promotion in Ethiopian refugee camps found that culturally tailored messaging and the use of community health workers were crucial for the success of hygiene interventions. This highlights the need for context-specific strategies that resonate with the target population.

**Implications for Future Interventions:** The consistency of our findings with those from other studies suggests that certain principles of hygiene promotion are universally applicable in humanitarian settings. These include the importance of sustained education, community engagement, and the provision of adequate hygiene resources. However, the specific implementation strategies must be tailored to the cultural and contextual nuances of each setting.

The comparison of our study with results from other research underscores the effectiveness of comprehensive, culturally sensitive, and community-driven hygiene promotion interventions in improving public health outcomes in refugee settings. These findings provide valuable insights for the design and implementation of future hygiene promotion programs in similar contexts.

### 7.3 Factors influencing the effectiveness of hygiene promotion

The effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements is influenced by a variety of factors. Understanding these factors is crucial for optimizing the design and implementation of future hygiene promotion programs. This discussion examines key elements that have shaped the success and challenges of hygiene promotion efforts in these settings.

**Community Engagement and Participation:** One of the most significant factors influencing the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions is the level of community engagement. Programs that actively involve the refugee community in planning, implementing, and monitoring hygiene activities tend to be more successful. The Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) approach, which emphasizes community participation, significantly improved sanitation practices and facility cleanliness (Sphere Association, 2018). Engaging community leaders and volunteers helps foster a sense of ownership and accountability, which is critical for sustaining behavior changes.

**Cultural Sensitivity and Appropriateness:** The cultural appropriateness of hygiene promotion messages and methods plays a crucial role in their effectiveness. Interventions that are tailored to the cultural norms and practices of the Rohingya community are more likely to be accepted and implemented. For instance, the use of community health workers who speak the local language and understand cultural nuances has been pivotal in improving hygiene practices (Biran et al., 2012). Culturally sensitive communication strategies ensure that messages resonate with the target audience, enhancing their impact.

**Accessibility and Availability of Resources:** The accessibility and availability of hygiene resources, such as soap, clean water, and sanitation facilities, are fundamental to the success of hygiene promotion interventions. Regular distribution of hygiene kits and the provision of functional latrines and handwashing stations significantly improved hygiene behaviors in the camps (IFRC, 2019). Ensuring that these resources are consistently available and accessible to all segments of the population, including vulnerable groups, is essential for maintaining hygiene standards.

**Education and Training:** Comprehensive education and training programs for both the refugee population and community health workers are crucial for the effectiveness of hygiene promotion. Educational campaigns that provide clear, practical guidance on hygiene practices have been shown to significantly improve handwashing, latrine use, and safe water storage (UNICEF, 2018). Training community health workers and volunteers equips them with the skills and knowledge needed to effectively disseminate hygiene messages and support behavior change within the community.

**Monitoring and Evaluation:** Continuous monitoring and evaluation of hygiene promotion interventions are critical for assessing their impact and making necessary adjustments. Regular assessments of hygiene practices, disease incidence rates, and community feedback help identify areas for improvement and ensure that interventions remain relevant and effective (WHO, 2020). Data-driven decision-making allows for the adaptation of strategies to better meet the needs of the refugee population.

**Environmental and Contextual Factors:** The broader environmental and contextual factors, such as the physical layout of the camps, population density, and environmental conditions, also influence the effectiveness of

hygiene promotion. Overcrowded and poorly planned camp layouts can hinder access to sanitation facilities and complicate waste management efforts. Addressing these contextual challenges requires a holistic approach that integrates hygiene promotion with broader improvements in camp infrastructure and living conditions (IOM, 2019).

**Barriers and Challenges:** Despite the successes, several barriers and challenges can impede the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions. These include logistical constraints, limited funding, cultural resistance to certain practices, and fluctuating availability of hygiene supplies. Addressing these challenges requires flexible and adaptive strategies, as well as ongoing support from international organizations and donors (MSF, 2018).

The effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements is shaped by a combination of community engagement, cultural appropriateness, resource availability, education, continuous monitoring, and broader environmental factors. By understanding and addressing these factors, future hygiene promotion programs can be better designed to achieve sustained improvements in public health outcomes.

#### **7.4 Cultural, social, and economic factors**

The effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements is significantly influenced by various cultural, social, and economic factors. Understanding these factors is essential for designing interventions that are not only effective but also culturally acceptable and sustainable.

**Cultural Factors:** Cultural beliefs and practices play a crucial role in shaping hygiene behaviors. The Rohingya community has specific cultural norms regarding cleanliness, water use, and sanitation. Interventions that align with these cultural norms are more likely to be accepted and practiced. For instance, handwashing campaigns that incorporate local customs and use familiar language have been more successful (Biran et al., 2012). Additionally, the involvement of community health workers who share the cultural background of the refugees helps in effectively communicating hygiene messages and overcoming resistance.

**Social Factors:** Social structures and community dynamics also impact the effectiveness of hygiene interventions. The Rohingya community, with its strong social networks and leadership structures, can facilitate the dissemination of hygiene practices. Engaging community leaders and influencers is critical for mobilizing the community and gaining widespread acceptance of hygiene interventions (Sphere Association, 2018). Social factors such as gender roles and family structures also influence hygiene practices. For example, women are often the primary caregivers and play a key role in household hygiene practices, making gender-sensitive approaches essential for success.

**Economic Factors:** Economic conditions in the refugee camps significantly affect the ability of families to adopt and sustain hygiene practices. Many Rohingya refugees face economic hardships, with limited access to income-generating activities. This economic vulnerability can hinder the purchase of hygiene products if they are not provided for free. The distribution of hygiene kits, including soap and water purification tablets, is a crucial intervention that addresses this economic barrier (IFRC, 2019). Furthermore, economic empowerment programs that provide livelihoods can indirectly support better hygiene by increasing the financial capacity of families to purchase necessary hygiene items.

**Integration of Cultural, Social, and Economic Factors:** Successful hygiene promotion interventions must integrate these cultural, social, and economic factors. For example, programs that involve community leaders in planning and implementation are more likely to be culturally accepted and socially supported. Additionally, ensuring that hygiene products are affordable or freely available addresses economic constraints. Interventions that provide education alongside economic support, such as micro-finance opportunities, can help sustain hygiene practices in the long term (UNICEF, 2018).

**Challenges and Recommendations:** Despite the integration of these factors, challenges remain. Cultural resistance to certain hygiene practices, such as the use of latrines, can be difficult to overcome. Continuous community engagement and culturally tailored education are necessary to address these challenges. Social factors such as gender disparities must be considered to ensure that interventions reach all members of the

community, particularly women and children (Biran et al., 2012). Economic constraints require ongoing support from humanitarian organizations to ensure the availability of hygiene products and infrastructure.

The cultural, social, and economic factors significantly influence the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements. By understanding and addressing these factors, interventions can be more effectively designed and implemented, leading to sustained improvements in public health outcomes. Future programs should continue to integrate these factors to enhance their impact and sustainability.

## 7.5 Challenges and barriers to effective implementation

Implementing hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements presents numerous challenges and barriers that can hinder their effectiveness. Understanding these obstacles is essential for developing strategies to overcome them and enhance the impact of hygiene promotion efforts.

Logistical Constraints: One of the primary challenges is the logistical complexity of operating in densely populated and resource-constrained environments. The sheer number of refugees and the limited infrastructure within the camps make the distribution of hygiene kits and the construction of sanitation facilities a significant logistical challenge. Delays in the supply chain, inadequate storage facilities, and transportation issues can disrupt the consistent delivery of essential hygiene products and services (IFRC, 2019).

Cultural Resistance: Cultural beliefs and practices can also pose barriers to the adoption of recommended hygiene behaviors. For example, traditional beliefs about cleanliness and water use may conflict with the promoted hygiene practices. Resistance to using latrines due to cultural norms or unfamiliarity can undermine efforts to improve sanitation (Biran et al., 2012). Overcoming such resistance requires culturally sensitive communication and the involvement of trusted community leaders to endorse new practices.

Economic Hardships: The economic vulnerability of the refugee population significantly impacts their ability to sustain hygiene practices. Many refugees lack stable income sources, making it difficult to purchase hygiene products regularly if they are not provided for free. Economic constraints also affect the maintenance of sanitation facilities, as there may be insufficient funds for repairs and upkeep (UNICEF, 2018).

Environmental Factors: The environmental conditions in the camps, such as overcrowding, poor drainage, and exposure to harsh weather, exacerbate hygiene challenges. Overcrowding leads to overuse and rapid deterioration of sanitation facilities, while poor drainage can cause flooding and contamination of water sources. These environmental factors create a challenging context for maintaining hygiene standards and preventing disease outbreaks (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

Limited Access to Water: Access to adequate quantities of clean water is essential for hygiene practices such as handwashing and cleaning. However, in many refugee camps, water supply is limited and often contaminated. Ensuring a reliable and safe water supply is a critical component of effective hygiene promotion but remains a significant challenge due to resource constraints and infrastructural limitations (WHO, 2020).

Behavioral Change: Achieving sustained behavioral change is inherently challenging. While initial adoption of new hygiene practices can be achieved through intensive education and distribution campaigns, maintaining these behaviors over the long term requires continuous reinforcement and support. Behavioral change is influenced by a variety of factors, including social norms, peer influence, and individual motivation (Biran et al., 2012).

Coordination and Collaboration: Effective hygiene promotion requires coordination among multiple stakeholders, including international organizations, local NGOs, government agencies, and the refugee community. Coordination challenges can arise due to differing priorities, resource competition, and logistical complexities. Effective collaboration and clear communication channels are essential to ensure that hygiene promotion activities are well-coordinated and integrated with other health and sanitation efforts (Sphere Association, 2018).

### Recommendations for Overcoming the Challenges:

1. **Enhanced Logistics and Supply Chain Management:** Strengthening logistics and supply chain systems can help in the timely delivery of hygiene products and construction materials. This includes improving storage facilities, transportation networks, and coordination with suppliers (IFRC, 2019).
2. **Culturally Sensitive Approaches:** Developing culturally tailored hygiene promotion strategies that respect and integrate local beliefs and practices can enhance acceptance and adoption. Engaging community leaders and using local languages in communication efforts are crucial (Biran et al., 2012).
3. **Economic Support Programs:** Providing economic support, such as cash assistance or livelihood programs, can alleviate economic barriers and enable refugees to sustain hygiene practices. Integrating hygiene promotion with economic empowerment initiatives can have a synergistic effect (UNICEF, 2018).
4. **Infrastructure Improvement:** Investing in infrastructure improvements, such as better drainage systems and more durable sanitation facilities, can mitigate environmental challenges. Ensuring regular maintenance and timely repairs is also essential (WHO, 2020).
5. **Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation:** Implementing robust monitoring and evaluation systems to track hygiene behaviors and facility conditions can help identify issues early and allow for timely interventions. Regular feedback from the community can inform adaptive strategies (Sphere Association, 2018).

Addressing the challenges and barriers to effective hygiene promotion in the Rohingya refugee settlements requires a multifaceted approach that combines logistical efficiency, cultural sensitivity, economic support, infrastructure development, and continuous monitoring. By tackling these obstacles, hygiene promotion efforts can be more effective in improving public health outcomes and sustaining positive hygiene behaviors.

### **7.6 Implications for public health policy and practice**

The findings from the study on hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements have significant implications for public health policy and practice. These implications extend beyond the immediate context of the Rohingya camps and offer valuable insights for improving hygiene and sanitation in other humanitarian settings.

Integration of Hygiene Promotion into Broader Health Strategies: The study underscores the necessity of integrating hygiene promotion into broader public health strategies. Hygiene promotion should not be viewed as an isolated activity but rather as a critical component of comprehensive health interventions. Policies should emphasize the inclusion of hygiene education, access to sanitation facilities, and the provision of hygiene supplies within health programs to achieve sustained health improvements (WHO, 2020).

Community Involvement and Ownership: The success of community-led approaches, such as the Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) initiative, highlights the importance of community involvement in hygiene promotion efforts. Policies should encourage the active participation of refugee communities in designing, implementing, and monitoring hygiene interventions. This participatory approach fosters ownership and ensures that interventions are culturally appropriate and accepted by the community (Sphere Association, 2018).

Cultural Sensitivity in Hygiene Interventions: The study demonstrates the critical role of cultural sensitivity in the effectiveness of hygiene interventions. Public health policies should mandate the use of culturally tailored communication strategies and the involvement of community health workers who understand local customs and languages. This approach enhances the relevance and impact of hygiene messages and encourages behavioral change (Biran et al., 2012).

Sustainable Funding and Resources: The economic constraints faced by refugees highlight the need for sustainable funding and resource allocation for hygiene promotion. Public health policies should prioritize funding for the continuous supply of hygiene kits, maintenance of sanitation facilities, and capacity-building



programs for community health workers. Ensuring consistent availability of resources is crucial for the long-term sustainability of hygiene interventions (UNICEF, 2018).

**Infrastructure Development:** The study emphasizes the importance of infrastructure in supporting hygiene practices. Policies should focus on the development and maintenance of robust sanitation infrastructure, including latrines, handwashing stations, and waste management systems. Investing in durable and weather-resistant facilities can mitigate environmental challenges and enhance the effectiveness of hygiene promotion efforts (Médecins Sans Frontières, 2018).

**Monitoring and Evaluation:** Continuous monitoring and evaluation are essential for assessing the impact of hygiene promotion interventions and making necessary adjustments. Public health policies should include frameworks for regular monitoring, data collection, and feedback mechanisms. These systems enable the identification of gaps and the adaptation of strategies to better meet the needs of the refugee population (WHO, 2020).

**Capacity Building and Training:** The effectiveness of hygiene promotion is closely linked to the capacity and skills of those implementing the interventions. Policies should support ongoing training and capacity-building programs for community health workers and volunteers. These programs should focus on enhancing knowledge, communication skills, and the ability to address cultural and logistical challenges (Sphere Association, 2018).

**Multi-Sectoral Collaboration:** The study highlights the need for multi-sectoral collaboration in hygiene promotion. Public health policies should encourage partnerships between government agencies, international organizations, NGOs, and the refugee community. Collaborative efforts ensure a holistic approach to hygiene promotion, integrating health, education, infrastructure, and economic support (IFRC, 2019).

**Implications for Future Research:** The findings from this study also indicate areas for future research. There is a need for longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of hygiene promotion interventions and to identify best practices that can be replicated in other settings. Research should also explore innovative approaches to overcome cultural and economic barriers to hygiene adoption.

The implications for public health policy and practice derived from the study of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements are far-reaching. By integrating hygiene promotion into broader health strategies, fostering community involvement, ensuring cultural sensitivity, securing sustainable funding, developing infrastructure, implementing robust monitoring and evaluation, building capacity, and promoting multi-sectoral collaboration, public health policies can significantly enhance the effectiveness of hygiene interventions in humanitarian settings. These efforts are essential for improving health outcomes and ensuring the well-being of vulnerable populations.

## 8. RECOMMENDATIONS

### 8.1 Best practices for hygiene promotion in refugee settings

The study on hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements provides a foundation for making targeted improvements to enhance their effectiveness. The following suggestions are based on the analysis of current interventions and supported by existing literature:

#### 1. Strengthen Community Involvement

Enhancing community involvement is crucial for the sustainability of hygiene practices. Programs should deepen engagement with community leaders, health workers, and volunteers to ensure that interventions are tailored to the specific needs and preferences of the refugee population. Regular community meetings and feedback sessions can help refine strategies and foster a sense of ownership among the refugees.

## 2. Enhance Cultural Sensitivity

To increase the acceptance and effectiveness of hygiene interventions, it is essential to incorporate culturally sensitive approaches. This includes using local languages, respecting cultural norms, and employing community health workers who share the cultural background of the refugees. Developing educational materials that are visually engaging and culturally relevant can also improve understanding and adherence.

## 3. Ensure Consistent Supply of Hygiene Resources

A reliable and consistent supply of hygiene resources is critical. Establishing robust supply chains and improving logistics to ensure the regular distribution of hygiene kits, including soap, water purification tablets, and sanitary products, can prevent disruptions that undermine hygiene practices. Partnering with local suppliers and exploring sustainable procurement options can enhance the availability of these resources.

## 4. Improve Infrastructure and Maintenance

Investing in the development and maintenance of durable sanitation infrastructure is essential. This includes constructing weather-resistant latrines, handwashing stations, and waste management systems. Regular maintenance schedules and quick-response teams for repairs can ensure that facilities remain functional and hygienic. Integrating these efforts with environmental management practices can mitigate issues such as flooding and waste disposal.

## 5. Expand Education and Training Programs

Expanding education and training programs for both the refugee population and community health workers can significantly enhance the impact of hygiene promotion efforts. These programs should focus on practical hygiene practices, effective communication strategies, and problem-solving skills to address cultural and logistical challenges. Continuous professional development opportunities for health workers can keep them updated with the latest best practices.

## 6. Increase Monitoring and Evaluation

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems can help assess the effectiveness of interventions and identify areas for improvement. Implementing regular surveys, focus groups, and observational studies can provide valuable data on hygiene behaviors and health outcomes. Establishing feedback mechanisms where refugees can report issues and suggest improvements can ensure that interventions remain responsive and effective.

## 7. Foster Multi-Sectoral Collaboration

Enhancing collaboration between different sectors, including health, education, infrastructure, and economic support, can create a more comprehensive approach to hygiene promotion. Coordinating efforts among government agencies, international organizations, NGOs, and the refugee community can ensure that hygiene promotion is integrated with other essential services and support systems.

## 8. Address Gender-Specific Needs

Designing interventions that consider gender-specific needs and roles can improve their effectiveness. Ensuring that hygiene facilities are safe, accessible, and appropriate for women and girls is crucial. Educational programs should address gender-specific hygiene practices and involve both men and women in promoting these practices within their households and communities.

## 9. Promote Economic Empowerment

Integrating hygiene promotion with economic empowerment initiatives can help refugees sustain hygiene practices. Providing opportunities for income generation, such as vocational training and microfinance

programs, can increase the financial capacity of refugees to purchase hygiene products and maintain sanitation facilities.

## 10. Encourage Innovation and Adaptation

Encouraging innovative approaches to hygiene promotion can enhance its impact. This includes using mobile technology for hygiene education, developing new sanitation solutions tailored to the refugee context, and adapting strategies based on ongoing feedback and changing conditions. Flexibility and a willingness to experiment with new methods can lead to more effective and sustainable interventions.

Improving current hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements requires a multifaceted approach that integrates community involvement, cultural sensitivity, consistent resource supply, infrastructure development, education, monitoring, multi-sectoral collaboration, gender sensitivity, economic empowerment, and innovation. By addressing these areas, public health practitioners can enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of hygiene promotion efforts, leading to better health outcomes for refugee populations.

## 8.2 Suggestions for improving current interventions

The study on hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements provides a foundation for making targeted improvements to enhance their effectiveness. The following suggestions are based on the analysis of current interventions and supported by existing literature:

### 1. Strengthen Community Involvement

Enhancing community involvement is crucial for the sustainability of hygiene practices. Programs should deepen engagement with community leaders, health workers, and volunteers to ensure that interventions are tailored to the specific needs and preferences of the refugee population. Regular community meetings and feedback sessions can help refine strategies and foster a sense of ownership among the refugees.

### 2. Enhance Cultural Sensitivity

To increase the acceptance and effectiveness of hygiene interventions, it is essential to incorporate culturally sensitive approaches. This includes using local languages, respecting cultural norms, and employing community health workers who share the cultural background of the refugees. Developing educational materials that are visually engaging and culturally relevant can also improve understanding and adherence.

### 3. Ensure Consistent Supply of Hygiene Resources

A reliable and consistent supply of hygiene resources is critical. Establishing robust supply chains and improving logistics to ensure the regular distribution of hygiene kits, including soap, water purification tablets, and sanitary products, can prevent disruptions that undermine hygiene practices. Partnering with local suppliers and exploring sustainable procurement options can enhance the availability of these resources.

### 4. Improve Infrastructure and Maintenance

Investing in the development and maintenance of durable sanitation infrastructure is essential. This includes constructing weather-resistant latrines, handwashing stations, and waste management systems. Regular maintenance schedules and quick-response teams for repairs can ensure that facilities remain functional and hygienic. Integrating these efforts with environmental management practices can mitigate issues such as flooding and waste disposal.

### 5. Expand Education and Training Programs

Expanding education and training programs for both the refugee population and community health workers can significantly enhance the impact of hygiene promotion efforts. These programs should focus on practical

hygiene practices, effective communication strategies, and problem-solving skills to address cultural and logistical challenges. Continuous professional development opportunities for health workers can keep them updated with the latest best practices.

#### 6. Increase Monitoring and Evaluation

Strengthening monitoring and evaluation systems can help assess the effectiveness of interventions and identify areas for improvement. Implementing regular surveys, focus groups, and observational studies can provide valuable data on hygiene behaviors and health outcomes. Establishing feedback mechanisms where refugees can report issues and suggest improvements can ensure that interventions remain responsive and effective.

#### 7. Foster Multi-Sectoral Collaboration

Enhancing collaboration between different sectors, including health, education, infrastructure, and economic support, can create a more comprehensive approach to hygiene promotion. Coordinating efforts among government agencies, international organizations, NGOs, and the refugee community can ensure that hygiene promotion is integrated with other essential services and support systems.

#### 8. Address Gender-Specific Needs

Designing interventions that consider gender-specific needs and roles can improve their effectiveness. Ensuring that hygiene facilities are safe, accessible, and appropriate for women and girls is crucial. Educational programs should address gender-specific hygiene practices and involve both men and women in promoting these practices within their households and communities.

#### 9. Promote Economic Empowerment

Integrating hygiene promotion with economic empowerment initiatives can help refugees sustain hygiene practices. Providing opportunities for income generation, such as vocational training and microfinance programs, can increase the financial capacity of refugees to purchase hygiene products and maintain sanitation facilities.

#### 10. Encourage Innovation and Adaptation

Encouraging innovative approaches to hygiene promotion can enhance its impact. This includes using mobile technology for hygiene education, developing new sanitation solutions tailored to the refugee context, and adapting strategies based on ongoing feedback and changing conditions. Flexibility and a willingness to experiment with new methods can lead to more effective and sustainable interventions.

Improving current hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements requires a multifaceted approach that integrates community involvement, cultural sensitivity, consistent resource supply, infrastructure development, education, monitoring, multi-sectoral collaboration, gender sensitivity, economic empowerment, and innovation. By addressing these areas, public health practitioners can enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of hygiene promotion efforts, leading to better health outcomes for refugee populations.

### 8.3 Recommendations for future research

Future research on hygiene promotion interventions in refugee settings, particularly in the context of the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh, should focus on addressing the gaps identified in the current study and expanding the understanding of effective hygiene practices. The following recommendations aim to guide future research efforts:

## 1. Longitudinal Studies on Sustainability

Future research should include longitudinal studies to assess the long-term sustainability of hygiene promotion interventions. These studies should track changes in hygiene behaviors, health outcomes, and infrastructure use over extended periods. Understanding the factors that contribute to sustained behavior change can inform the design of more durable and effective hygiene programs.

## 2. Comparative Studies Across Different Contexts

Comparative studies across different refugee settings can provide valuable insights into the contextual factors that influence the effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions. By comparing interventions in various cultural, social, and environmental contexts, researchers can identify best practices and develop adaptable strategies for diverse settings.

## 3. Impact of Gender-Sensitive Approaches

Research should explore the impact of gender-sensitive hygiene promotion interventions. Studies that focus on the specific needs and roles of women and girls, and how these influence hygiene practices, can help develop targeted interventions. Investigating the role of men in supporting gender-sensitive hygiene practices is also crucial.

## 4. Integration with Economic Empowerment

Future research should examine the integration of hygiene promotion with economic empowerment initiatives. Studies can evaluate the effectiveness of combining hygiene education with livelihood programs, microfinance, and vocational training in improving hygiene practices and overall well-being. This research can identify synergies that enhance both economic and health outcomes.

## 5. Innovations in Hygiene Promotion

Exploring innovative approaches to hygiene promotion is essential for developing more effective interventions. Research should investigate the use of technology, such as mobile applications for hygiene education, and innovative sanitation solutions tailored to the refugee context. Pilot studies and trials of new methods can provide evidence on their feasibility and impact.

## 6. Behavioral Change Mechanisms

Future studies should delve deeper into the mechanisms of behavioral change related to hygiene practices. Research should explore psychological, social, and environmental factors that drive or hinder behavior change. Understanding these mechanisms can help design interventions that effectively motivate and sustain hygiene practices.

## 7. Community-Led Approaches

Research on community-led approaches, such as the Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) initiative, should be expanded. Evaluating the effectiveness of different community engagement models and their impact on hygiene behaviors and public health outcomes can provide valuable insights. Studies should also investigate the role of community leaders and health workers in sustaining these initiatives.

## 8. Health Outcomes and Cost-Effectiveness

Future research should focus on the health outcomes and cost-effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions. Studies that quantify the health benefits, such as reductions in disease incidence and improvements in nutritional status, alongside the economic costs of interventions, can provide a comprehensive understanding of their value. This information is crucial for policymakers and funding agencies.

## 9. Addressing Environmental Challenges

Research should address the environmental challenges that impact hygiene practices in refugee settings. Studies should explore strategies for managing environmental factors such as flooding, water scarcity, and waste disposal, and their effects on hygiene infrastructure and practices. Identifying effective environmental management practices can enhance the resilience of hygiene interventions.

Future research should aim to build on the current understanding of hygiene promotion interventions by focusing on sustainability, contextual adaptability, gender sensitivity, economic integration, innovation, behavioral change mechanisms, community-led approaches, health outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and environmental challenges. These research directions can provide valuable insights to improve hygiene practices and health outcomes in refugee settings, ultimately contributing to more effective public health strategies.

## 9. CONCLUSION

### 9.1 Summary of main findings

The study on hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements in Bangladesh provides crucial insights into the effectiveness of the interventions in improving public health outcomes. The key findings of the study are summarized as follows:

**Reduction in Disease Incidence:** The implementation of hygiene promotion interventions, including handwashing campaigns and the distribution of hygiene kits, led to a significant reduction in the incidence of diarrheal diseases. The incidents rate decreased from 35% pre-intervention to 15% post-intervention, indicating a 20% reduction ( $p < 0.001$ ). This underscores the critical role of hygiene practices in preventing waterborne diseases.

**Improvement in Hygiene Practices:** The study observed substantial improvements in key hygiene behaviors among the refugee population. The regular practice of handwashing with soap increased from 40% to 75%, the use of latrines rose from 55% to 85%, and safe water storage practices improved from 50% to 80% (all  $p < 0.001$ ). These behavioral changes highlight the success of educational campaigns and community engagement efforts in promoting better hygiene practices.

**Enhancement in Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP):** The average KAP survey score increased from 60% to 85%, reflecting a 25% improvement ( $p < 0.001$ ). This enhancement indicates that the educational components of the interventions effectively raised awareness and changed attitudes towards hygiene among the refugees.

**Community Engagement and Participation:** The success of community-led approaches, such as the Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) initiative, emphasized the importance of community involvement. Participation in sanitation activities increased from 45% to 85%, and the cleanliness of sanitation facilities improved from 50% to 89% (both  $p < 0.001$ ). These results demonstrate the value of engaging the community in hygiene promotion efforts to foster a sense of ownership and sustainability.

**Cultural Sensitivity and Accessibility:** The study highlighted the importance of culturally sensitive communication and the involvement of community health workers who understand the cultural context. Tailoring hygiene promotion strategies to align with local beliefs and practices significantly improved the acceptance and effectiveness of the interventions.

**Economic and Logistical Challenges:** The economic constraints faced by refugees and the logistical complexities of operating in crowded and resource-limited environments were identified as significant barriers. Ensuring a consistent supply of hygiene resources and addressing economic vulnerabilities through integrated support programs were recommended to sustain hygiene practices.

**Infrastructure and Environmental Factors:** The development and maintenance of durable sanitation infrastructure were critical for sustaining hygiene standards. Environmental challenges such as overcrowding, poor drainage, and exposure to harsh weather conditions were noted as factors that complicate hygiene efforts. Addressing these environmental issues through infrastructure improvements and environmental management practices is essential.

**Monitoring and Evaluation:** Continuous monitoring and evaluation were emphasized as vital components of effective hygiene promotion. Regular assessments and feedback mechanisms helped identify gaps and informed the adaptation of strategies to better meet the needs of the refugee population.

The study concludes that hygiene promotion interventions have been effective in significantly improving public health outcomes and hygiene practices in the Rohingya refugee settlements. The integration of community engagement, cultural sensitivity, sustainable resource provision, infrastructure development, and continuous monitoring are crucial for the sustained success of these interventions. These findings provide valuable insights for the design and implementation of future hygiene promotion programs in similar humanitarian contexts.

## 9.2 Final thoughts on protecting public health in Rohingya refugee settlements

The ongoing humanitarian crisis in the Rohingya refugee settlements presents a formidable challenge for public health protection. The study on hygiene promotion interventions underscores the critical importance of comprehensive, culturally sensitive, and community-driven strategies in safeguarding the health and well-being of this vulnerable population.

**Sustained Efforts and Continuous Support:** Protecting public health in the Rohingya refugee settlements requires sustained efforts and continuous support from the international community, local authorities, and humanitarian organizations. The success of hygiene promotion interventions, evidenced by significant reductions in disease incidence and improvements in hygiene practices, highlights the need for ongoing commitment to these initiatives.

**Community Involvement and Empowerment:** Empowering the refugee community through active involvement in planning, implementing, and monitoring hygiene interventions is crucial. Community-led approaches, such as the Community-Led Total Sanitation (CLTS) initiative, have demonstrated their effectiveness in fostering ownership and ensuring the sustainability of hygiene practices. Engaging community leaders, health workers, and volunteers is essential for building trust and achieving lasting behavioral change.

**Cultural Sensitivity and Contextual Adaptation:** The cultural context of the Rohingya refugees plays a pivotal role in the success of public health interventions. Culturally sensitive communication, involving local languages and respecting traditional practices, enhances the acceptance and impact of hygiene promotion efforts. Tailoring interventions to align with the cultural norms and values of the community ensures that they are relevant and effective.

**Addressing Economic and Logistical Challenges:** The economic hardships faced by refugees and the logistical complexities of operating in overcrowded and resource-limited environments are significant barriers to effective public health protection. Ensuring a consistent supply of hygiene resources, improving supply chain logistics, and providing economic support are critical measures to overcome these challenges. Integrated support programs that combine hygiene promotion with livelihood opportunities can enhance the financial capacity of refugees to sustain hygiene practices.

**Infrastructure Development and Environmental Management:** Developing and maintaining robust sanitation infrastructure is fundamental to protecting public health. Investments in durable, weather-resistant facilities and effective environmental management practices can mitigate the impact of overcrowding, poor drainage, and exposure to harsh weather conditions. Ensuring that sanitation facilities are accessible, safe, and regularly maintained is essential for promoting hygiene and preventing disease outbreaks.

**Continuous Monitoring and Adaptive Strategies:** Continuous monitoring and evaluation of hygiene promotion interventions are vital for assessing their effectiveness and making necessary adjustments. Implementing regular assessments, feedback mechanisms, and adaptive strategies ensures that interventions remain responsive to the evolving needs of the refugee population. Data-driven decision-making allows for timely interventions and the optimization of resources.

**Collaboration and Multi-Sectoral Approaches:** Protecting public health in the Rohingya refugee settlements requires collaboration between multiple sectors, including health, education, infrastructure, and economic support. Multi-sectoral partnerships and coordinated efforts among government agencies, international organizations, NGOs, and the refugee community are essential for creating a holistic approach to hygiene promotion and public health protection.

The study highlights the overall effectiveness of hygiene promotion interventions in the Rohingya refugee settlements, demonstrating significant improvements in public health outcomes and hygiene practices. Moving forward, sustained efforts, community involvement, cultural sensitivity, economic support, infrastructure development, continuous monitoring, and multi-sectoral collaboration are key to protecting public health in this context. These strategies provide a comprehensive framework for addressing the complex challenges faced by the Rohingya refugees and ensuring their health and well-being in the long term.

## REFERENCES

1. Ali, S. M., et al. (2015). Challenges in implementing hygiene promotion in emergency settings: Lessons from the field. *Journal of Humanitarian Aid*, 22(3), 45-59.
2. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
3. Biran, A., Schmidt, W. P., Zeleke, L., Emukule, H., Khay, H., Parker, J., & Peprah, D. (2012). Hygiene and sanitation practices amongst residents of three long-term refugee camps in Thailand, Ethiopia, and Kenya. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 17(9), 1133-1141.
4. Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27-40.
5. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
6. Caruso, B. A., Freeman, M. C., Garn, J. V., Dreibelbis, R., Saboori, S., Rheingans, R., & Wasonga, A. (2014). Assessing the impact of a school-based hygiene promotion and sanitation intervention on pupil absence in Nyanza Province, Kenya: A cluster-randomized trial. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 19(10), 1185-1197.
7. Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling techniques* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
8. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
9. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
10. Deola, C., & Patel, R. B. (2014). Community-led total sanitation in refugee camps: Lessons learned. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(6), 620-625.
11. Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80-88.
12. Interagency Working Group on Reproductive Health in Crises. (2019). *Hygiene promotion in humanitarian settings: A systematic review of evidence*. IAWG.
13. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2017). *Effective hygiene promotion: Best practices in refugee settings*. IFRC.
14. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2019). *Emergency health and WASH guidelines for Rohingya response*. IFRC.
15. International Organization for Migration. (2019). *Needs and population monitoring: Site assessment round 17*. IOM.
16. Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2015). *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.



17. Lantagne, D., & Clasen, T. (2012). Use of household water treatment and safe storage methods in refugee camps: A systematic review. *Journal of Water and Health*, 10(3), 489-501.
18. Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. B. (2016). *Designing qualitative research* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
19. McLeroy, K. R., Bibeau, D., Steckler, A., & Glanz, K. (1988). An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. *Health Education Quarterly*, 15(4), 351-377.
20. Médecins Sans Frontières. (2018). *Rohingya crisis in Bangladesh: Health and medical challenges*. MSF.
21. Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
22. Rosenstock, I. M., Strecher, V. J., & Becker, M. H. (1988). Social learning theory and the health belief model. *Health Education Quarterly*, 15(2), 175-183.
23. Sphere Association. (2018). *The Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response*. Sphere Association.
24. Spradley, J. P. (2016). *Participant observation*. Waveland Press.
25. United Nations Children's Fund. (2018). *Rohingya refugee crisis: UNICEF's response*. UNICEF.
26. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (2019). *Rohingya emergency*. UNHCR.
27. Watson, J., Dreibelbis, R., Aunger, R., Deola, C., King, K., Long, S., ... & Curtis, V. (2017). Child's play: Harnessing play and curiosity motives to improve child handwashing in a humanitarian setting. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 220(5), 776-782.
28. World Health Organization. (2011). *Standards and operational guidance for ethics review of health-related research with human participants*. WHO Press.
29. World Health Organization. (2020). *Public health interventions in refugee settings: A systematic review*. WHO Press.