

Environmental Sustainability in Nigerian Manufacturing Industries: A Life Cycle Assessment of Production Processes

Tanko Fwadwabea^{1*}, Kpazo Grigwu Amos², Oluwapelumi Emmanuel Ope-Ojo³

¹MSc Student, Sustainable Development Centre, University of Abuja, Nigeria,

^{1,2,3}Industrial Inspectorate Department, Federal Ministry of Industry,

Trade and Investment, Abuja, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2024.1107084>

Received: 21 June 2024; Accepted: 12 July 2024; Published: 20 August 2024

ABSTRACT

This journal examines the environmental sustainability practices within Nigerian manufacturing industries, focusing on a life cycle analysis (LCA) of their production processes. The study evaluates the environmental impacts at various stages of production, identifies key areas for improvement, and provides recommendations for enhancing sustainability. The findings underscore the importance of adopting comprehensive LCA methodologies to mitigate adverse environmental effects and promote sustainable industrial development.

Keywords: Life Cycle Assessment, Environmental sustainability, Cement industry

INTRODUCTION

Environmental sustainability has become a critical concern globally, with industries playing a significant role in contributing to environmental degradation. In Nigeria, the manufacturing sector is a vital part of the economy, yet it faces challenges in implementing sustainable practices (Adelegan, J. A. 2004). This study aims to analyze the environmental impacts of manufacturing processes in Nigeria through a life cycle analysis approach.

Manufacturing industries are pivotal to the economic development of Nigeria. However, their operations often lead to significant environmental degradation, including air and water pollution, deforestation, and greenhouse gas emissions (Akintoye et al., 2019). The concept of environmental sustainability necessitates that industries operate in ways that do not deplete natural resources or cause long-term ecological damage (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987). This study employs life cycle analysis (LCA) to assess the environmental impacts of production processes in Nigerian manufacturing industries, providing a comprehensive view of their sustainability performance. highlighting the significance of sustainable practices in reducing environmental footprints.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of life cycle analysis (LCA) involves assessing the environmental impacts associated with all stages of a product's life, from raw material extraction through to production, use, and disposal (ISO, 2006). LCA is a comprehensive approach used to evaluate the environmental impacts of production processes from cradle to grave. This literature review explores the current state of environmental sustainability in Nigerian manufacturing industries, focusing on the use of LCA to assess and improve production processes.

Previous studies have emphasized the importance of LCA in identifying and mitigating environmental impacts (Rebitzer et al., 2004; Guinée et al., 2011). In the Nigerian context, research indicates that the manufacturing sector significantly contributes to pollution and resource depletion (Oyedepo, 2012; Onakpoya et al., 2015).

Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) is a methodological framework used to assess the environmental impacts of products, processes, or services throughout their life cycle, from raw material extraction to disposal (Guinée et al., 2011). In the context of Nigerian manufacturing, several studies have highlighted the need for improved environmental practices. For instance, Oke and Omidiora (2018) found that many Nigerian industries lack adequate waste management systems, leading to significant environmental pollution. Similarly, Akinyemi and Abiodun (2020) reported that emissions from manufacturing processes contribute substantially to air quality deterioration in urban areas.

Environmental Challenges in Nigerian Manufacturing

Nigerian manufacturing industries face numerous environmental challenges, including air and water pollution, resource depletion, and waste management issues. According to Akintoye et al. (2019), these industries significantly contribute to environmental degradation, which is exacerbated by inadequate regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms. The cement, textile, and food processing industries are particularly notorious for their environmental impacts.

Air Pollution

Cement production is a major source of air pollution in Nigeria. It releases substantial amounts of CO₂, NO_x, and particulate matter, contributing to climate change and health problems (Olajire, 2013). The use of fossil fuels for energy in these industries further exacerbates air pollution. Studies by Akintoye et al. (2019) and Akinyemi and Abiodun (2020) highlight the urgent need for cleaner production technologies and alternative energy sources.

Water Pollution and Resource Depletion

The textile industry in Nigeria is a significant polluter of water resources. The extensive use of chemicals and dyes in the manufacturing process results in the discharge of hazardous effluents into water bodies. Babatunde et al. (2017) report that many textile manufacturers lack effective wastewater treatment systems, leading to severe water pollution and negative impacts on aquatic life. Additionally, the industry's high-water consumption exacerbates the issue of resource depletion.

Waste Management

Waste management remains a critical issue across various manufacturing sectors in Nigeria. Oke and Omidiora (2018) found that inadequate waste management practices lead to the accumulation of industrial waste, which poses serious environmental and health risks. In the food processing industry, large quantities of organic waste are generated, and the lack of efficient waste-to-energy conversion systems further compounds the problem (Akinyele & Okpara, 2019).

Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) in Nigerian Manufacturing

Life Cycle Analysis is a methodological tool used to assess the environmental impacts of a product, process, or service throughout its entire life cycle. LCA helps identify areas with significant environmental impacts and suggests improvements for sustainability (Guinée et al., 2011). The Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) in Nigerian manufacturing industries is gaining traction as a valuable tool for evaluating environmental

impacts and promoting sustainability. In Nigeria, LCA typically involves four stages: goal and scope definition, inventory analysis, impact assessment, and interpretation.

Applications of LCA in Nigerian Industries

LCA has been increasingly applied to evaluate the sustainability of production processes in Nigerian industries. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) provides a standardized framework for conducting LCA, which includes four main phases: goal and scope definition, inventory analysis, impact assessment, and interpretation (ISO 14040, 2006).

Cement Industry

In the cement industry, LCA studies have highlighted the high environmental impact of production processes. Olajire (2013) conducted an LCA of Nigerian cement manufacturing and found that the main environmental burdens were due to raw material extraction, clinker production, and energy consumption. The study recommended adopting alternative fuels and raw materials to mitigate these impacts.

Strategies for Enhancing Environmental Sustainability

To improve environmental sustainability in Nigerian manufacturing industries, several strategies have been proposed based on LCA findings.

Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

Implementing energy-efficient technologies and transitioning to renewable energy sources are critical for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Studies suggest that industries should invest in modern, energy-efficient machinery and explore the use of solar, wind, and biomass energy (Akinyemi & Abiodun, 2020; Olajire, 2013).

METHODOLOGY

The LCA methodology involves several key stages: goal and scope definition, inventory analysis, impact assessment, and interpretation. This study focuses on three major sectors of Nigerian manufacturing: cement production, and food processing. Data were collected from industry reports, government publications, and on-site observations. The environmental impacts were assessed using the ReCiPe method, a widely recognized impact assessment method that integrates various environmental indicators (Huijbregts et al., 2016). The LCA was conducted following the ISO 14040/44 standards, focusing on the life cycle stages of raw material extraction, production, distribution, use, and end-of-life (ISO, 2006).

Detailed LCA Using ReCiPe Methodology for Cement Industry

Introduction to Recipe Methodology

The ReCiPe methodology is a widely used approach for Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA), integrating both midpoint and endpoint impact categories. Midpoint indicators reflect the environmental processes and potential impacts (e.g., climate change, acidification), while endpoint indicators represent the consequences on human health, ecosystems, and resource availability (Huijbregts et al., 2016). This methodology helps in comprehensively assessing the environmental impacts across different life cycle stages.

Life Cycle Stages

The detailed LCA using the ReCiPe methodology is applied to the following stages for both the cement and

food processing industries:

- Raw Material Extraction
- Production
- Distribution
- Use
- End-of-Life

CEMENT INDUSTRY

RAW MATERIAL EXTRACTION

Life Cycle Inventory (LCI):

- Limestone, clay, and gypsum extraction
- Energy consumption for mining operations
- Water use for dust suppression

Midpoint Indicators:

Climate Change: CO₂ emissions from machinery

Eutrophication: Nutrient runoff from land disturbance

Acidification: Emissions of NO_x and SO₂

Endpoint Indicators:

- Human Health: Respiratory issues from particulate matter
- Ecosystem Quality: Loss of biodiversity from habitat destruction
- Resource Availability: Depletion of mineral resources

Impact Assessment:

- Raw material extraction contributes significantly to climate change, acidification, and ecosystem quality degradation due to the emissions and land disturbance involved.

PRODUCTION

Life Cycle Inventory (LCI):

- Raw material preparation (crushing, grinding)
- Clinker production in kilns
- Cement grinding and blending
- Energy (primarily fossil fuels)

Midpoint Indicators:

- Climate Change: CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion
- Human Toxicity: Emissions of heavy metals and particulate matter
- Photochemical Oxidant Formation: NO_x emissions contributing to smog

Endpoint Indicators:

- Human Health: Increased morbidity due to air pollutants
- Ecosystem Quality: Acid rain effects from SO₂ and NO_x
- Resource Availability: Fossil fuel depletion

Impact Assessment:

The production stage is the most environmentally intensive, particularly due to clinker production. It dominates the impacts on climate change and human health.

DISTRIBUTION

Life Cycle Inventory (LCI):

- Transportation of cement to construction sites
- Fuel consumption for trucks

Midpoint Indicators:

- Climate Change: CO₂ emissions from transportation fuels
- Photochemical Oxidant Formation: NO_x emissions from diesel engines
- Particulate Matter Formation^{**}: Emissions from vehicle exhaust

Endpoint Indicators:

- Human Health: Respiratory diseases from particulates
- Ecosystem Quality: Ozone formation impacting vegetation
- Resource Availability: Fossil fuel depletion

Impact Assessment:

- The distribution stage contributes to climate change and human health impacts, primarily through transportation emissions.

USE

Life Cycle Inventory (LCI):

- Utilization of cement in construction
- Durability and lifespan of concrete structures

Midpoint Indicators:

- Climate Change: Indirect effects from the energy used in construction activities
- Resource Use: Consumption of additional materials.

Endpoint Indicators:

- Human Health: Minimal direct impact during use phase

- Ecosystem Quality: Long-term benefits from durable infrastructure
- Resource Availability: Efficient use can reduce future demand

Impact Assessment:

- The use stage has relatively low direct environmental impacts. The durability of concrete structures can indirectly reduce resource consumption and associated impacts over time.

END-OF-LIFE

Life Cycle Inventory (LCI):

- Demolition of concrete structures
- Disposal or recycling of concrete debris
- Energy use in demolition and recycling processes

Midpoint Indicators:

- Climate Change: CO₂ emissions from demolition machinery
- Waste Generation: Volume of construction debris
- Land Use: Landfill space for disposal

Endpoint Indicators:

- Human Health: Exposure to dust and particulates during demolition
- Ecosystem Quality: Impacts of improper disposal on soil and water
- Resource Availability^{**}: Benefits from recycling reducing raw material demand

Impact Assessment:

- Proper management and recycling of concrete waste can significantly mitigate the environmental impacts at this stage. Recycling reduces the need for new raw materials and associated emissions.

RESULTS

This section presents the detailed Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) results for cement industry in Nigeria, focusing on the key life cycle stages: raw material extraction, production, distribution, use, and end-of-life. Each stage's environmental impacts are assessed to identify areas for improvement and promote sustainability.

CEMENT INDUSTRY

Raw Material Extraction

The primary raw materials for cement production include limestone, clay, and gypsum. The extraction process involves quarrying, which has significant environmental impacts such as habitat destruction, biodiversity loss, and soil erosion. Additionally, the extraction process consumes substantial amounts of energy and water, contributing to resource depletion.

Environmental Impacts: Land degradation, loss of biodiversity, water use, energy consumption.

Production

The production stage involves raw material preparation, clinker production, and cement grinding. Clinker production, which involves the calcination of limestone in kilns, is the most energy-intensive and polluting phase, emitting large amounts of CO₂, NO_x, and SO₂. The use of fossil fuels in kilns significantly contributes to greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution.

Environmental Impacts: High CO₂ emissions, air pollution (NO_x, SO₂, particulate matter), high energy consumption.

Distribution

Cement distribution involves transportation from production facilities to construction sites or retailers. This stage primarily contributes to environmental impacts through fuel consumption and emissions from vehicles.

Environmental Impacts: CO₂ emissions from transportation, energy consumption.

Use

The use phase of cement involves its application in construction. Although this stage does not directly contribute significant environmental impacts, the durability and longevity of concrete structures can influence the frequency of construction and demolition activities, indirectly affecting environmental sustainability.

Environmental Impacts: Indirect impacts related to construction and demolition frequency.

End-Of-Life

The end-of-life stage involves the demolition of concrete structures and the disposal or recycling of concrete debris. Proper management of concrete waste is crucial to minimize environmental impacts. Recycling concrete can reduce the need for new raw materials and lower emissions, but improper disposal can lead to increased landfill use and associated impacts.

Environmental Impacts: Landfill use, potential for recycling, resource conservation.

DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the critical need for implementing sustainable practices across all stages of the manufacturing process. Key areas for improvement include:

1. **Sustainable Raw Material Sourcing:** Adopting practices such as reforestation and sustainable mining can mitigate the environmental impacts of raw material extraction.
2. **Cleaner Production Technologies:** Implementing energy-efficient and low-emission technologies can significantly reduce the environmental footprint of manufacturing processes.
3. **Efficient Logistics and Transportation:** Enhancing supply chain efficiency through optimized logistics and reduced transportation emissions can lower the environmental impact.
4. **Improved Waste Management:** Developing robust waste management systems, including recycling and safe disposal practices, is essential for minimizing end-of-life environmental impacts.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Cement Industry

1. **Energy Efficiency:** Adopt energy-efficient technologies and alternative fuels in clinker production to reduce emissions.
2. **Alternative Raw Materials:** Use industrial by-products like fly ash and slag as partial substitutes for clinker to lower raw material extraction impacts.
3. **Sustainable Transportation:** Optimize transportation logistics and invest in fuel-efficient vehicles to reduce distribution impacts.
4. **Recycling and Reuse:** Promote the recycling of concrete waste to minimize end-of-life environmental impacts.

CONCLUSION

Environmental sustainability in Nigerian manufacturing industries requires a holistic approach that encompasses the entire life cycle of production processes. By adopting comprehensive LCA methodologies, industries can identify critical areas for improvement and implement strategies to enhance environmental performance. Policymakers and industry stakeholders must collaborate to foster sustainable practices that align with global environmental standards and contribute to the sustainable development of Nigeria's manufacturing sector.

The detailed LCA of the cement and food processing industries in Nigeria reveals significant environmental impacts at each life cycle stage. By adopting sustainable practices and technologies, these industries can mitigate their environmental footprint and enhance overall sustainability. The recommendations provided can serve as a guide for industry stakeholders and policymakers to promote environmentally sustainable practices in Nigerian manufacturing industries.

The ReCiPe methodology provides a comprehensive framework for assessing the environmental impacts of the cement and food processing industries across their life cycle stages. By focusing on raw material extraction, production, distribution, use, and end-of-life, this LCA highlights critical areas where sustainable practices can be implemented to reduce environmental footprints. The findings underscore the importance of adopting energy-efficient technologies, sustainable agricultural practices, and effective waste management systems to enhance environmental sustainability in these industries.

REFERENCES

1. Adelegan, J. A. (2004). The history of environmental policy and pollution of water sources in Nigeria (1960–2004): The way forward. *Water Resources Development*, 20(3), 379-398.
2. Agbola, T., & Olurin, T. A. (2003). Land use and land cover change in the Niger Delta. *Human Ecology Review*, 10(1), 103-124.
3. Ajayi, S. O., & Osibanjo, O. (2015). Waste management practices in Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 15(1), 17-29.
4. Onakpoya, O. H., et al. (2015). Environmental impacts of industrialization in Nigeria. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(6), 6522-6541.
5. Oyedepo, S. O. (2012). Energy and sustainable development in Nigeria: the way forward. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 2(1), 1-17.
6. Rebitzer, G., et al. (2004). Life cycle assessment: Part 1: Framework, goal and scope definition, inventory analysis, and applications. *Environment International*, 30(5), 701-720.
7. Akinyemi, O., & Abiodun, O. (2020). Industrial emissions and air quality in Nigeria. *Journal of*

Environmental Management, 257, 109982.

8. Akinyele, D. O., & Okpara, K. O. (2019). Waste-to-energy potential of food processing industries in Nigeria. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 112, 290-299.
9. Akintoye, A. S., Adediran, M. A., & Ogundipe, O. A. (2019). Environmental impacts of manufacturing activities in Nigeria. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(29), 30314-30324.
10. Babatunde, B. O., Begum, R. A., & Pereira, J. J. (2017). Assessing the sustainability of textile manufacturing in Nigeria. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 162, 216-226.
11. Guinée, J. B., Heijungs, R., Huppes, G., Zamagni, A., Masoni, P., & Buonamici, R. (2011). Life Cycle Assessment: Past, present, and future. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45(1), 90-96.
12. ISO 14040. (2006). Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment—Principles and Framework. International Organization for Standardization.
13. Olajire, A. A. (2013). The greenhouse effect and global warming: Causes, impacts, and mitigation. *Journal of Scientific Research & Reports*, 3(3), 238-252.
14. Oke, A., & Omidiora, A. (2018). Waste management practices in Nigerian industries. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science*, 34(2), 45-54.
15. Huijbregts, M. A. J., Steinmann, Z. J. N., Elshout, P. M. F., Stam, G., Verones, F., Vieira, M., & van Zelm, R. (2016). ReCiPe 2016: A harmonized life cycle impact assessment method at midpoint and endpoint level. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 21(3), 353-364.