# A Comparative Study on Water, Sanitation and Hygienic Practices of Elderly People in a Selected Old Home and Community, Gazipur, Bangladesh

Md. Abdul Bashet, Md. Sajib Rana, Md.Estiar Rahman, Prof. Dr. Md. Ruhul Furkan Siddique *Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka-1342, Bangladesh* 

Abstract

Background: The number of elderly people has been increasing worldwide and Bangladesh is not immune to this demographic reality. Adequate safe water and basic sanitation are precursor for personal hygiene and good health among elderly people. This study was carried out to determine the water, sanitation and hygienic practices of elderly people from a selected old home and nearest community, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

Methods: The study was descriptive type of cross sectional study. Data were collected from 133 elderly people from a selected old home (n=68) and nearest community (n=65) using purposive sampling technique, conducted from November 2017 to April, 2018. A closed ended questionnaire was used to collect data. Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0.

Results: Mean age for old home and community elderly was  $70.97(\pm 6.67)$  and  $67.86(\pm 5.88)$  respectively. Sanitation and Hygiene knowledge found that old home elderly had better knowledge. Age and education were associated with it. There was significant difference regarding water sources( $X^2=52.83$ , p<.001), water treatment( $X^2=4.31$ , p=.038), washing hand after defecation ( $X^2=38.69$ , p=.000), using shoes before going to toilet ( $X^2=6.385$ , p=.041), bath ( $X^2=7.78$ , p=.005), brush( $X^2=4.46$ , p=.035) washing cloth ( $X^2=3.91$ , p=.048) between old home and community elderly people.

Conclusion: Water, sanitation and hygiene practices for old home elderly was better than community elderly. Easily accessible and uninterrupted safe water supply, in house or attached sanitation facility, filtration by available, scientifically sound method need to be provided.

Key words: Elderly people, Water, Sanitation, Hygiene, Old home

## I. BACKGROUND

According to NIPORT, 1.13 million and good hygiene are

which is 7.1% of total population in Bangladesh. By 2025 along with other four Asian countries, Bangladesh will account about half of the world's elderly population[6]. The growth of aged people will continue and that has laid down several issues related to their status and roles, care and living, health, social support and well-being[7].

Ageing from 60 years and onwards is characterized by critical changes such as the death of a partner or a child, increasing health problems, the increasing need for care and possibly the move into an old people's home or a nursing home[8]. The WHO has predicted that ageing populations will present challenges to health care. The health of the elderly will be an important issue defining the health status of a population[9]. Elderly people tend to have reduced incomes, and in many countries may not have access to old age pension which can lead to affordability problems in accessing many services including water and sanitation[10].

Access to sanitation infrastructure, including toilets, showers and hand washing facilities, has long been identified as a precursor for personal hygiene and good health [11]. Difficulty in access to safe drinking water and good sanitation facilities and poor hygienic practices associated with some diseases like, skin diseases, ARIs, and diarrheal diseases, the leading diseases in Bangladesh[12]. Without adequate quantities of safe water for personal hygiene, skin and eye diseases spread easily among elderly people. Unless proper sanitation facilities are in use complemented with the right types of hygiene behaviors, elderly people will be vulnerable to recurrent incidences of water and sanitation related diseases[13]. Hygiene behavior are effected by demographic variables[14]. The global burden of disease and mortality rates could be reduced by about 9.1% and 6.3% respectively, if rapid success is attained in facilitating access to water, sanitation, and hygiene facilities[15]. As senior citizen are golden people of any country, proper health care infrastructure need to be provided them. They have knowledge, wisdom, experience that will help us in designing and developing our country.

Previous study on elderly related in Bangladesh mostly focused on psychological and physical health behavior but not on water, sanitation and hygiene. Consequently, Present study assessed information on water, sanitation and hygienic practices of elderly people living in a selected old home and nearby community to fill the gap. Also socio-demographic effect on hygiene practices, knowledge regarding sanitation and hygiene were also concern.

## II. METHODS

Participants and Procedure: This was a descriptive cross-sectional study. Study area was a selected old home and nearest community, Gazipur, Bangladesh. The Study population was ≥60 year old elderly people, conducted from November 2017 to April, 2018.A total of 133 elderly people studied using purposive sampling technique.

Statistical Procedures: Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0. Final analyses included descriptive statistics (e.g., frequencies, percentages, mean, standard deviation, chi-square test etc.).

## III. MEASURES

Socio-demographic measures: Socio-demographic information was collected concerning age, gender, marital status (currently married, divorced, widow and widower), educational status (No formal education, Primary level of education, SSC etc.). Age was asked and confirmed by National Identity Card (NID).

Water related measures: Water related information was collected regarding water sources, treatment, water procurement time, knowledge of water safety etc.

Sanitation related measures: Sanitation information were collected by toilet facility (sanitary, pit latrine with slab), location of toilet (attached to dwelling, inside the yard, outside the yard), soap availability, accessibility and waste disposal.

Hygiene related measures: Hygiene information were collected by bath, brush, washing cloth, using shoes before going to toilet, hand washing with soap after using toilet questions.

## IV. RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics

Table-1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

Variab	Catagory	Old l	nome	Nearest Community		
les	Category	Freque	Percen	Frequen	Percent	
		ncy	tage	cy	age	
Sex	Male	33	48.5	33	50.8	
Sex	Female	35	51.5	32	49.2	
Religio	Islam	59	86.8	63	96.9	
n	Hindu	9	13.2	2	3.1	
	60-69	27	39.7	40	61.5	
	70-79	33	48.5	20	30.8	
Age	80-89	7	10.3	5	7.7	
	≥90	1	1.5	0	0	

	Never married	4	5.9	0	0
	Currently Married	22	32.4	41	63.1
Marital	Separated	2	2.9	0	0
status	Divorced	7	10.3	0	0
	Widow/Widow er	33	48.5	24	36.9
	Illiterate	32	47.1	43	66.2
	Primary	22	32.4	14	21.5
Educati	Secondary	8	11.8	5	7.7
onal status	Higher secondary	1	1.5	2	3.1
Status	Above higher secondary	5	7.4	1	1.5

Among 133 respondents, 48.5% respondents in old home belonged to 70-79 years age group. In community, 61.5% respondents belonged to 60-69 age groups. Mean age for old home and community elderly was 70.97 ( $\pm 6.67$ ) and 67.86( $\pm 5.88$ ) respectively. Among 68 respondents in old home, 33 (48.5%) were widow/widower and 22(32.4%) were currently married. Whereas in community, 24(36.9%) were widow/widower and 41(63.1%) were currently married. In old home, 32(47.1%) were illiterate, 22(32.4%) had primary level of education. In community, 43(66.2%) were illiterate and 14(21.5%) had primary level of education.

Sanitation and Hygiene knowledge comparison

Table-2: Distribution of the respondent's sanitation and hygiene knowledge comparison

		Old ho	ome	Nearest Community				
Categor y	Mal   Femal   *		Frequency (percentag e)	Mal e	Femal e	Frequency (percentag e)		
	Sanitation and hygiene knowledge							
Poor	9	14	23(33.8%)	16	22	38(58.5%)		
Good	14	13	27(39.7%)	10	6	16(24.6%)		
Excellen t	10	8	18(26.5%)	7	4	11(16.9%)		
Total	33	35	68(100%)	33	32	65(100%)		

There were 10 multiple choice questions for each section. A score of "1" (one) was given for correct response and "0" (zero) score was given for wrong or don't know response. Knowledge score was arbitrarily classified as excellent knowledge (>7/10), good (4-7/10 and poor knowledge (<4/10) [16–18]. Table shows that, 26.5% elderly people had excellent knowledge in old home, whereas it was 16.9% in community regarding sanitation and hygiene knowledge. Also 33.8% in old home and 58.5% in community elderly people had poor knowledge.

Socio-demography and knowledge

Table-3: Distribution	of the respondents b	v socio-demography	and knowledge

Variables		Knowledge		Total	X <sup>2</sup> (df)	P- value	
variables	Poor	Good	Excellent	1 otai	X- (ai)	P- value	
Sex							
Male	25(37.9%)	24(36.4%)	17(25.8%)	66(49.6%)	3.42(2)	.181	
Female	36(53.7%)	19(28.4%)	12(17.9%)	67(50.4%)	3.42(2)	.101	
Age							
60-69	26(38.8%)	23(34.3%)	18(26.9%)	67(50.4%)			
70-79	25(47.2%)	18(34%)	10(18.9)	53(39.4%)	6.95(4)	.012	
≥80	10(76.9%)	2(15.4%)	1(7.7%)	13(9.8%)		.012	
Marital status		•	•	•	•	•	
Currently married	25(39.7%)	21(33.3%)	17(27%)	63(47.4%)			
Others(never married, divorced, separated, widow/widower)	36(51.4%)	22(31.4%)	12(17.2%)	70(52.6%)	2.51(2)	.068	
Educational status							
Illiterate	59(78.7%)	16(21.3%)	0(0%)	75(56.4%)			
Primary	2(5.6%)	27(75%)	7(19.4%)	36(27.1%)			
Secondary	0(0%)	0(0%)	13(100%)	13(9.8%)	148.75	<.05	
Higher secondary	0(0%)	0(0%)	3(100%)	3(2.3%)	1		
Above higher secondary	0(0%)	0(0%)	6100%)	6(4.5%)			

Table shows that,  $age(X^2=6.95, P=.012)$  and education( $X^2=148.75, P<.05$ ) were significantly associated with sanitation and hygiene knowledge.

Water, sanitation and hygiene findings

Table-4: Distribution of the respondents by water, sanitation and hygiene practice

Variables	Cotogowy	Old home		Nearest C	Community	<b>X</b> <sup>2</sup> ( <b>df</b> )	P-
variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	A (dl)	value
Water sources	Supply water	68	100	27	41.5	52.83(1)	<.05
water sources	Tube well	0	0	38	58.5	32.03(1)	
Water treatment	Yes(filtration)	0	0	4	6.2	4.31(1)	.038
water treatment	No	68	100	61	93.8	4.31(1)	.036
	Within minute	68	100	12	18.5		
Water collection time from sources	2-3minutes	0	0	46	70.7		
nom sources	>3 minutes	0	0	7	10.8		
Perception of water	Safe	62	91.2	55	84.6	1.35(1)	.245
safety	Not safe	6	8.8	10	15.6	1.33(1)	
Kids of defecation	Sanitary latrine	68	100	54	83.1		
practice	Insanitary latrine	0	0	11	16.9	10.41(1)	.001
	Attached to dwelling	68	100	12	18.5		
Location of toilet	Elsewhere inside yard	0	0	43	66.2		
	Outside yard	0	0	10	15.4		
Number of people using	1-5	24	35.3	50	76.9		
per toilet	6 -10	44	64.7	15	23.1		

Waste disposal(solid waste)	Dustbin	68	100	28	43.1		
	Open place	0	0	15	23.1	=	
, aste)	Community drainage	0	0	22	33.8	=	
Presence of soap in	Yes	55	80.9	29	44.6	18.79(1)	<.05
hand washing facility	No	13	19.1	36	55.4	16.79(1)	<.03
Bathing practice	Regular	54	79.4	37	56.9		
Bauming practice	Irregular	14	20.6	28	43.1	7.78(1)	.005
D 11 6 4	Regular	55	80.9	42	64.6	4.46(1)	.035
Brushing of teeth	Irregular	13	19.1	23	35.4		
Washing cloth	Regular	44	64.7	31	47.7	3.91(1)	.048
washing cloth	Irregular	24	35.3	34	52.3		
	Yes	60	88.2	46	70.8		
Using shoes before going to toilet	No	4	5.9	8	12.3	6.38(2)	.041
going to tollet	Sometimes	4	5.9	11	16.9	=	
	Only water	7	10.3	35	53.8		
Hand washing after defecation	Soap	61	89.7	25	38.5	38.69(2)	.000
	Ash	0	0	5	7.7		

Old home water source was supplied water. In community, more than half of the respondent's water sources were tube well. Old home respondents didn't use any water treatment method. In community, 6.2% respondents treated water by filtration. Old home had improved inside sanitary toilet facility. But in community, 16.9% respondents had pit latrine with slab. Also43(66.2%) respondents' toilet were elsewhere inside the yard and 10(15.4%) respondents' toilet were attached to dwelling. Old home elderly disposed their solid waste in dustbin. In community, 15(23.1%) respondents in open place and 22(33.8%) respondents in community drainage. From observation, it was found that, in old home 50(73.5%) respondents had soap in hand washing facility and 13(19.2%) had nothing. Whereas in community, 29(44.6%) respondent's had soap. 54(79.4%) respondents in old home used to bath regular. In community, it was 37(56.9%). In old home and community, 55(80.9%) and 42(64.6%) respondents used to brush regularly respectively. 44(64.7%) respondents in old home used to wash their cloth regularly and is was 31(47.7%) for community. 60(88.2%) respondents in old home used shoes before going to toilet and it was 46(70.8%) for community. 61(89.7%) respondents in old home used soap with water and 7(10.3%) used only water to wash their hands after defecation. On the contrary, in community, 25(38.5%) used soap with water, 35(53.8%) used only water.

## V. DISCUSSION

Although, there are a lot of WASH related study in Bangladesh, elderly focused study are rare. Elderly people are increasing rapidly so their water facility, sanitation condition and hygiene status becoming a growing concern. Affordable and safe water and basic sanitation facilities can prevent the occurrence of various infectious diseases and help in curbing

the associated morbidity and mortality[19,20]. The study provides cross sectional data on water sanitation and hygienic practices and associated factors among selected old home and community elderly people.

The present study found elderly people in old home has higher mean age than community. This is similar with other studies done previously[21,22]. One probable reason for this elderly people in community get better facility than family setting. Also their educational status are more than community[23]. Elderly people in old home get opportunity to share their knowledge among other unlike family where elderly people remain alone without care. Institutional setting in old home, they had to maintain health and hygiene practices. As a result, they face few health related problems. So their life expectancy increases.

Study revealed that old home elderly had better knowledge compare to community elderly people regarding sanitation and hygiene. Socio-demography was associated with it. Age distribution indicates that, it has effect on bath, brush and washing cloth practices in both groups' elderly people. Prior studies also relevant with it indicating increase in age reduces practice regarding hygiene[24]. Older ages associated with also related problems with poor hygiene practices[25,26].Common colloquial that increases in ages reduces people ability in maintain good health and personal hygiene regarding bath, oral and dress hygiene. Marital status also had an effect on hygiene practices supported previous studies[14].It is believed and true among people that elderly people who are divorced, separated, widow or widower feel themselves always in mental stress, depression and psychological problems. This may reduce their ability in maintaining good personal hygiene. Moreover men or women who are widow/widower, separated, divorced aren't treated well in our society. Study also found education had effect on hygiene practices. Many studies found it that literate people practices good hygiene compared to illiterate[27].

The source of water in old home was supplied water compare to community. According to Ricard Gine, 94% of urban people have improved sources of drinking water[28]. This may due to old home is institution; they may raise several funds from donation or govt. subsidies. Supply water already reached all over the country in urban setting also somewhere in rural area, Bangladesh. A small number of respondents did so by filtration. A study done by WE Consult Lda for UNICEF Mozambique in 18 district of Mozambique in 2009, had found that only 19% of the respondents have practices some form of water treatment practices[29]. Old home and community elderly people thought their water was safe and no need to treat it before using.

Study revealed that, all the elderly people in old home and about half of the community elderly people disposed their solid waste in dustbin which was similar with another study that revealed that 98% respondents disposed solid waste in community dustbin[30]. Old home was in urban area and the urban management team manages waste by taking everyday waste from household. Old home elderly people used to bath, brush and wash their cloth more frequently than community elderly people. This is consistent with previous other study[31]. This was due elderly people need easily accessible bathroom facility so that they can easily access water mostly if attached to room. As old home provide attached and sanitary bathroom facility, elder people in old home had good hygiene practices. Study revealed more elderly people in old home used soap after using toilet. The percentage is very for both group compare to a study conducted by Global Public Privet Partnership for hand washing(PPPHW) which included several sub-Saharan African countries(i.e.; Kenya, Senegal, Tanzania and Uganda) reported that 17% of participants wash their hands after using toilet, while 45% used only water[32]. This was due in availability of soap in toilet. Old home provide soap all elderly people and elderly in old home were more awareness regarding hygiene. Knowledge for sanitation and hygiene among old home elderly was more so their percentage was also more.

Chi-square test also indicates that there is significant difference between old home and community regarding sanitation and hygiene knowledge and practices of elderly people (washing hand after defecation, using shoes before going to toilet, bath regularly, brush regularly and washing cloth regularly). It is true that, old home provide better facility regarding sanitation, hygiene and water accessibility, so to better practices regarding WASH. Elderly people in community don't get such facilities. They are treated as burden for family and they don't get proper love and affection also. As a result, they have very limited access in practicing good WASH.

## VI. LIMITATIONS

Due to the cross-sectional nature of the study, no conclusions can be drawn regarding causality. The study is also limited by the relatively small sample size and study location (Gazipur), so the generalization of the whole country (and other countries) is highly limited. Elder people are intellectually impairment, so there may information bias. Future studies need to overcome such limitations by employing longitudinal designs with larger and more representative samples.

## VII. CONCLUSION

From this study, it can be concluded that, water, sanitation and hygienic practices was better in old home than community elderly people. Old home elderly people had better knowledge regarding sanitation and hygiene practices. Age and education were associated with it. This findings suggest that for the betterment of the elderly people, old age friendly, easily accessible and safe water facility, proper hygiene education and logistics, and affordable and resilient sanitation should be provided.

## **DECLARATIONS**

Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to the old home and nearest community elderly people for their willingness to participate in the study and would like to thank the Chairman, Department of Public Health and informatics, Jahangirnagar University.

**Funding** 

Self

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest.

Ethical issues

The study was confirmed by department of Public Health and Informatics, Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh. Inform consent was taken from the participants prior to data collection. Anonymity and confidentiality of data were ensured and all participants were provided with the information about the nature and purpose of the study, procedures, and the right to withdraw their data any time.

Author's contributions

Conceptualization: Md. Abdul Bashet, Md. Sajib Rana, Md.

Estiar Rahman

Data curation: Md. Abdul Bashet, Md. Sajib Rana

Formal analysis: Md. Abdul Bashet, Md. Estiar Rahman

Funding acquisition: Md. Abdul Bashet

Investigation: Md. Abdul Bashet, Md. Sajib Rana, Md. Estiar

Rahman

Supervision: Prof. Dr. Md. Ruhul Furkan Siddique

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Mosler H-J. A systematic approach to behavior change interventions for the water and sanitation sector in developing countries: a conceptual model, a review, and a guideline. Int J Environ Health Res. 2012;22:431–49.
- Howard G, Bartram J, Water S, Organization WH. Domestic water quantity, service level and health. 2003;
- Barikdar A, Ahmed T, Lasker SP. The situation of the elderly in Bangladesh. Bangladesh J Bioeth. 2016;7:27–36.
- [4]. Hossain MI, Akhtar T, Uddin MT. The Elderly care services and their current situation in Bangladesh: An understanding from theoretical perspective. J Med Sci. 2006;6:131–8.
- [5]. Khanam MA, Streatfield PK, Kabir ZN, Qiu C, Cornelius C, Wahlin Å. Prevalence and patterns of multimorbidity among elderly people in rural Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. J Health Popul Nutr. 2011;29:406.
- [6]. Chaklader H, Haque M, Kabir M. Socio-economic situation of urban elderly population: evidence from a micro study. Elder Contemp Issues Sattar MA Kabir M Elahi KM Abedin S. 2003;1– 13.
- [7]. Khan HT, Leeson GW. The demography of aging in Bangladesh: a scenario analysis of the consequences. Hallym Int J Aging. 2006;8:1–21.
- [8]. Rischanek U. Lebensformen und Wohnsituation der Hochbetagten in Österreich. Hochaltrigkeit Österr. 2008;47.
- Van Lerberghe W. The world health report 2008: primary health care: now more than ever. World Health Organization; 2008.
- [10]. Pal JK, Hussain MM. Health Care and Hygiene Practices of Older People in Tea Garden: A Study Conducted in Lackatoorah Tea Garden of Sylhet District. Open J Soc Sci. 2016;4:144.
- [11]. Bartram J, Cairncross S. Hygiene, sanitation, and water: forgotten foundations of health. PLoS Med. 2010;7:e1000367.
- [12]. Bangladesh DHS. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), Mitra and Associates, and Macro International. (2009). Calverton Md Bangladesh Demogr Health Surv. 2007;
- [13]. Mohd R, Malik I. Sanitation and Hygiene Knowledge, Attitude and Practices in Urban Setting of Bangalore: A Cross-Sectional Study. J Community Med Health Educ. 2017;7:2161– 0711.1000540.
- [14]. Persson GR, Persson RE, Hollender LG, Kiyak HA. The impact of ethnicity, gender, and marital status on periodontal and systemic health of older subjects in the Trials to Enhance Elders' Teeth and Oral Health (TEETH). J Periodontol. 2004;75:817–23.
- [15]. Persson GR, Persson RE, Hollender LG, Kiyak HA. The impact of ethnicity, gender, and marital status on periodontal and systemic health of older subjects in the Trials to Enhance Elders' Teeth and Oral Health (TEETH). J Periodontol. 2004;75:817–23.
- [16]. Pai MS. A Descriptive Study to Assess the Knowledge and Practice Regarding Water, Sanitation and Hygiene among Women in Selected Villages of Udupi District. Nitte Univ J Health Sci. 2016;6:21.

- [17]. Sarkar M. Personal hygiene among primary school children living in a slum of Kolkata, India. J Prev Med Hyg. 2013;54:153.
- [18]. Mohd R, Malik I. Sanitation and Hygiene Knowledge, Attitude and Practices in Urban Setting of Bangalore: A Cross-Sectional Study. J Community Med Health Educ. 2017;7:2161– 0711.1000540.
- [19]. Kuberan A, Singh AK, Kasav JB, Prasad S, Surapaneni KM, Upadhyay V, et al. Water and sanitation hygiene knowledge, attitude, and practices among household members living in rural setting of India. J Nat Sci Biol Med. 2015;6:S69.
- [20] Esrey SA, Potash JB, Roberts L, Shiff C. Effects of improved water supply and sanitation on ascariasis, diarrhoea, dracunculiasis, hookworm infection, schistosomiasis, and trachoma. Bull World Health Organ. 1991;69:609.
- [21]. Esrey SA, Potash JB, Roberts L, Shiff C. Effects of improved water supply and sanitation on ascariasis, diarrhoea, dracunculiasis, hookworm infection, schistosomiasis, and trachoma. Bull World Health Organ. 1991;69:609.
- [22]. Dhama K, Rajagunalan S, Chakraborty S, Verma AK, Kumar A, Tiwari R, et al. Food-borne pathogens of animal origin-diagnosis, prevention, control and their zoonotic significance: a review. Pak J Biol Sci. 2013;16:1076.
- [23]. Kalam IM, Khan HT. Morbidities among older people in Bangladesh: evidence from an ageing survey. 2006;
- [24]. Åstrøm AN, Haugejorden O, Skaret E, Trovik TA, Klock KS. Oral impacts on daily performance in Norwegian adults: the influence of age, number of missing teeth, and socio-demographic factors. Eur J Oral Sci. 2006;114:115–21.
- [25]. Syrjälä A-MH, Ylöstalo P, Ruoppi P, Komulainen K, Hartikainen S, Sulkava R, et al. Dementia and oral health among subjects aged 75 years or older. Gerodontology. 2012;29:36–42.
- [26]. Olusile AO, Adeniyi AA, Orebanjo O. Self-rated oral health status, oral health service utilization, and oral hygiene practices among adult Nigerians. BMC Oral Health. 2014;14:140.
- [27]. Shah N, Sundaram KR. Impact of socio-demographic variables, oral hygiene practices, oral habits and diet on dental caries experience of Indian elderly: a community-based study. Gerodontology. 2004;21:43–50.
- [28]. Alkasir RS. Development of Field-Portable Biosensors for the Detection of Phenols in Aquatic and Aerosol Environments. Clarkson University; 2015.
- [29] Supply WJW, Programme SM. Progress on drinking water and sanitation: 2014 Update. World Health Organization; 2014.
- [30]. Joshi A, Prasad S, Kasav JB, Segan M, Singh AK. Water and sanitation hygiene knowledge attitude practice in urban slum settings. Glob J Health Sci. 2014;6:23.
- [31] Leibler JH, Nguyen DD, León C, Gaeta JM, Perez D. Personal Hygiene Practices among Urban Homeless Persons in Boston, MA. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017;14:928.
- [32]. Bamidis PD, Vivas AB, Styliadis C, Frantzidis C, Klados M, Schlee W, et al. A review of physical and cognitive interventions in aging. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2014;44:206–20.