Architects of Amrit Kaal: A Conceptual and Policy Analysis of Bengaluru's Startup Ecosystem in Engineering India's Viksit Bharat 2047 Vision

*** Dr. Chandrashekar M. Mathapati**

Department of Management, Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women University, Vijayapura

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1309000171

Received: 24 September 2026; Accepted: 30 September 2026; Published: 15 October 2026

ABSTRACT

As India navigates the Amrit Kaal — the twenty-five-year period leading to the centenary of its independence — the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision stands as the government's roadmap toward a developed, US$30 trillion economy. Bengaluru, widely described as the "Silicon Valley of India," occupies a disproportionately important position within this national ambition. This paper presents a conceptual and policy-oriented analysis of how Bengaluru's startup ecosystem relates to the four demographic pillars of Viksit Bharat — Yuva (Youth), Gareeb (Poor), Annadata (Farmers), and Nari Shakti (Women) — drawing on existing industry reports, government and institutional publications, and peer-reviewed literature.

The analysis situates Bengaluru's evolution from an IT-services hub to a DeepTech, AI, and hardware-oriented innovation cluster within the broader national development narrative, and examines the documented role of Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) — Aadhaar, UPI, and ONDC — in extending the reach of Bengaluru-based ventures into underserved and rural markets. It also surveys the structural challenges reported in the literature, including a funding slowdown affecting late-stage, R&D-intensive ventures and continuing regulatory friction for deep-technology firms.

Because this study is desk-based rather than the product of a fielded primary survey, its claims are framed as a synthesis of secondary evidence and existing scholarship rather than as new empirical findings. To that end, the paper also sets out a stratified sampling framework and a set of measurable indicators that could be used to empirically test the propositions advanced here in future primary research. The paper concludes with policy recommendations intended to help translate Bengaluru's innovation capacity into distributed, nationwide impact consistent with the Viksit Bharat 2047 mandate.

Keywords: Viksit Bharat 2047, Bengaluru Startup Ecosystem, Amrit Kaal, Conceptual Analysis, DeepTech Innovation, Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI), National Development.

INTRODUCTION

The aspiration for a "Viksit Bharat 2047" represents India's commitment to transforming into a developed nation by the centennial of its independence. This vision, framed during the Amrit Kaal (the twenty-five-year lead-up to 2047), is anchored in the pursuit of a US$30 trillion economy, social equity, and global technological leadership. Central to this trajectory is the evolution of the Indian startup ecosystem, which has transitioned from a fringe economic activity to a widely cited driver of national growth and innovation (Kumar & Narsimulu, 2025; PHDCCI, 2024).

Bengaluru: The Crucible of Innovation

Bengaluru, historically known as the "Garden City," has reinvented itself as the Silicon Valley of India. As of 2025, industry rankings place it among the world's leading startup ecosystems, home to a high density of unicorns and a mature venture-capital network (Startup Genome, 2025). The city's combination of premier academic and research institutions — including the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) — global R&D centres, and an established

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

entrepreneurial culture make it a natural focal point for examining the relationship between regional innovation and national development goals.

The "GYAN" Pillars and Entrepreneurial Alignment

The government's vision for 2047 is organised around four demographic pillars, popularly referred to by the acronym GYAN:

1. G (Gareeb / Poor): Upliftment through financial inclusion and affordable services.
2. Y (Yuva / Youth): Job creation and skill development.
3. A (Annadata / Farmers): Enhancing agricultural productivity through technology.
4. N (Nari Shakti / Women): Promoting gender-led growth and entrepreneurship.

While these are national mandates rather than sector-specific targets, existing literature and industry reporting suggest that Bengaluru-based startups are frequently positioned as intermediaries between high-technology innovation and grassroots impact — for instance, through AI-assisted crop monitoring for the Annadata pillar or UPI-based micro-lending for the Gareeb pillar (Mukherjee & Joshi, 2025; Indian Economic Service, 2025).

Rationale and Problem Statement

Despite considerable commentary on the scale and valuation of Bengaluru's technology sector, systematic analysis explicitly mapping the ecosystem's alignment with the long-term Viksit Bharat 2047 mandate remains limited. Much existing coverage focuses on short-term funding cycles or unicorn counts rather than on the structural relationship between regional innovation and national development pillars. This paper addresses that gap through a conceptual synthesis of the available secondary literature, supplemented by a proposed empirical framework that future primary research could use to test the propositions developed here.

Objectives of the Study

- To synthesise existing literature and industry data on the alignment between Bengaluru's startup ecosystem and the Viksit Bharat 2047 goals.
- To examine documented evidence of DeepTech and Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) contributions to socio-economic inclusivity.
- To identify, from the literature, the regulatory and financial challenges facing Bengaluru-based ventures seeking national-scale impact.
- To propose a stratified empirical framework and set of measurable indicators for future primary research on this question.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The evolution of the Indian startup landscape provides the academic foundation for understanding the Viksit Bharat 2047 mission. This section reviews the transition of Bengaluru from a service-oriented hub to a broader innovation catalyst, as documented in existing scholarship and industry reporting.

From "Back-Office" to "Innovation Lab"

Historical accounts of Bengaluru (formerly Bangalore) often categorised it as the "outsourcing capital of the world." More recent scholarship argues that the city has entered a third wave of entrepreneurship — the first centred on IT services in the 1990s, the second on B2C e-commerce in the 2010s, and the current wave defined by DeepTech, biotechnology, and space technology (Dhruv, 2025). This literature links India's ambition of

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

reaching a US$30 trillion GDP to a broader shift from labour arbitrage toward intellectual-property creation, a transition in which Bengaluru's research and patent activity is frequently cited as a leading indicator.

The Synergy of Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)

A recurring theme in recent studies is the role of the "India Stack." Scholars suggest that the integration of Aadhaar, UPI, and the Open Network for Digital Commerce (ONDC) has lowered the cost of building and scaling digital services (Indian Economic Service, 2025; Mukherjee & Joshi, 2025). In the context of the 2047 vision, this literature identifies DPI as a key mechanism for inclusive growth, potentially allowing Bengaluru-based startups to extend services such as telemedicine and fintech to remote parts of the country at lower cost than traditional infrastructure models would allow.

Challenges to the 2047 Vision

Alongside this optimism, the literature also raises concerns about a "middle-income trap" and a broader global "funding winter." Analysts note that without a sustained supply of long-horizon, R&D-oriented investment — sometimes termed "patient capital" — startups may be structurally incentivised to prioritise short-term exits over longer-term, nation-building objectives (PHDCCI, 2024). This paper treats these concerns as propositions warranting empirical testing rather than settled conclusions, given the limited primary data currently available.

The Transition to "DeepTech" and Sovereignty

Recent scholarship argues that achieving Viksit Bharat 2047 will require India to shift from being a consumer of technology to a creator of technology. Studies of the Bengaluru cluster emphasise the growth of DeepTech — artificial intelligence, quantum computing, and space technology — and frame "technological sovereignty" as a precondition for developed-nation status (Kumar & Narsimulu, 2025). This literature positions Bengaluru's startups as contributors to that sovereignty, reducing reliance on global supply chains and building indigenous intellectual property broadly aligned with the Atmanirbhar Bharat (self-reliant India) mission.

Entrepreneurship and "Nari Shakti" (Gender-Led Development)

A further dimension of the 2047 vision concerns the inclusion of women in the economic mainstream. Literature on the Karnataka startup ecosystem indicates that Bengaluru has one of the higher concentrations of women-led startups among major Indian cities, and that women entrepreneurs in the city have been associated with more inclusive workplace and social-impact models (J & Swadimath, 2021). This "gender dividend" is frequently identified in the literature as relevant to raising India's female labour-force participation rate, a metric commonly used to benchmark developed economies.

Green Growth and the Circular Economy

As India works toward its stated net-zero target for 2070, the path to 2047 is understood in the literature to require a parallel shift toward green growth. Reviews of Bengaluru's CleanTech sector point to increasing activity in electric mobility, waste-to-energy solutions, and sustainable supply chains. This body of work frames startups that integrate Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations into their core business models as central to ensuring that India's development trajectory is sustainable as well as rapid.

The "Beyond Bengaluru" Spillover Effect

A growing body of literature explores a "hub-and-spoke" model of innovation, in which research and development activity remains concentrated in Bengaluru while downstream impact is felt in rural and semi-urban India. This is sometimes referred to as a "spillover effect" — for example, where Bengaluru-based AgriTech startups provide data services to farmers in other states. This framing treats the Bengaluru ecosystem as a national rather than purely local asset, though the extent of this spillover in practice remains an empirical question that this paper does not itself measure.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

Proposed Research Framework for Future Empirical Validation

The propositions reviewed in Section 2 are conceptual and drawn from secondary sources; they have not been tested here against primary data collected from Bengaluru founders. This section sets out a research design that could be used in future work to empirically test the extent to which Bengaluru's startup ecosystem is in fact aligned with the Viksit Bharat 2047 pillars. It is presented as a proposed framework rather than as a completed study, and the sample sizes and stratification below are targets a future researcher could adopt — not figures from data collected for this paper.

Proposed Research Design

A mixed-method design is recommended, combining a structured quantitative survey with open-ended qualitative questions, in order to capture both the scale of any reported impact and the underlying reasoning and motivation of founders.

Proposed Sampling Strategy

A target sample of N = 100 founders, co-founders, and C-suite executives of startups headquartered or maintaining a primary R&D centre in the Bengaluru Urban district is proposed, using stratified random sampling to ensure representation across sectors relevant to each Viksit Bharat pillar. Eligible respondents would ideally be startups registered with DPIIT or incubated at a recognised Bengaluru-based incubator (e.g., NSRCEL, C-CAMP, or Social Alpha), with respondents drawn from the Founder, Co-Founder, or C-suite level to ensure familiarity with the organisation's strategic direction.

Table 3.1: Proposed Stratified Sample Distribution

Sector	Target Sample (n = 100)	Focus Area for 2047	Relevance to Viksit Bharat Pillars
DeepTech & AI	25	Indigenous IP, robotics, semiconductors	Technological sovereignty & high-value GDP
FinTech & SaaS	20	Financial inclusion, digital payments, ONDC	Gareeb (financial access)
AgriTech & FoodTech	15	Precision farming, supply-chain efficiency	Annadata (farmers)
HealthTech & Bio	15	Remote diagnostics, affordable healthcare	Nari Shakti & social wellbeing
CleanTech & EVs	15	Net zero, green energy, circular economy	Sustainability & infrastructure
EdTech & SkillTech	10	Upskilling, vocational training	Yuva (youth empowerment)

The DeepTech weighting reflects Bengaluru's position as a leading Indian centre for AI and semiconductor-related activity; the combined 30 percent weighting for AgriTech and HealthTech is intended to test whether Bengaluru's predominantly urban innovation base translates into measurable rural impact; and the remaining sectors ensure each GYAN pillar has a corresponding stratum.

Proposed Distribution by Startup Stage

To avoid bias toward either established or nascent ventures, a future sample could be further stratified by funding stage: early stage (seed/pre-revenue, n = 40), growth stage (Series A/B, n = 40), and late stage (Series C+ /

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

unicorn, n = 20). This distribution is designed to capture perspectives across the full startup lifecycle, from emerging priorities at the seed stage to evidence of sustained, at-scale impact among later-stage ventures.

Proposed Variables and Analytical Techniques

Independent variables would include startup age, sector, funding stage, and technology stack (e.g., AI or DPI usage); dependent variables would include self-reported contribution to the GYAN pillars, job-creation figures, and perceived alignment with the 2047 vision, measured via a validated Likert-scale instrument. Analysis would combine descriptive statistics, Likert-scale scoring, and Pearson's correlation analysis to test whether a startup's sector (e.g., AgriTech) is significantly associated with its self-reported impact on a specific pillar (e.g., Annadata). Reliability of the survey instrument (e.g., via Cronbach's alpha) and disclosure of the achieved, as opposed to targeted, sample composition would be necessary for any resulting findings to be reported as empirical results.

DISCUSSION

Thematic Synthesis from Existing Literature

In the absence of a fielded primary survey, this section synthesises qualitative and secondary-source evidence from the literature reviewed in Section 2, organised around the themes the proposed framework in Section 3 is designed to test. These are presented as patterns reported in existing sources and industry commentary, not as statistical findings of this paper, and should be read as propositions for future empirical confirmation rather than established results.

Perceived Strategic Alignment

Industry commentary and founder interviews reported in trade press and ecosystem reports (e.g., Startup Genome, 2025; NASSCOM & Zinnov, 2024) frequently describe Bengaluru founders as viewing their work through a nation-building lens, particularly in DeepTech and fintech. Whether this self-description corresponds to measurable alignment — and how it varies by sector and stage — is precisely the kind of question the Section 3 framework is designed to test with a validated Likert instrument, rather than a claim this paper can verify on its own.

The Role of Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)

Existing research on DPI (Indian Economic Service, 2025; Mukherjee & Joshi, 2025) documents that Aadhaar, UPI, and ONDC function as shared infrastructure that startups build upon rather than duplicate, lowering the fixed cost of reaching new users. This literature frames the relationship as a public–private partnership in which government-provided "rails" are paired with privately built applications. The proportion of Bengaluru startups actively relying on DPI as a core part of their business model, however, has not been established through a representative survey and is identified here as a priority for future primary data collection.

"Nari Shakti" and Women-Led Growth

Literature on the Karnataka startup ecosystem (J & Swadimath, 2021) indicates that Bengaluru has a comparatively high share of women-founded or women-led ventures relative to other Indian tech hubs, and associates this with more inclusive organisational practices. Precise, current figures on the share of Bengaluru startups with a female founder or CXO were not available to this desk-based review in a form suitable for citation, and should be drawn from a dedicated, dated source (e.g., a recent DPIIT or industry-association report) or collected directly, rather than estimated.

Barriers to the 2047 Vision

Across the literature reviewed, three recurring friction points are reported qualitatively, though without a consistent quantitative basis across sources:

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

- Funding gaps: multiple sources describe a shortage of long-horizon, R&D-oriented capital for DeepTech ventures, consistent with the broader global "funding winter" discussed in Section 2.3.
- Regulatory hurdles: commentary on the Startup India initiative is broadly positive, but tax-compliance complexity for IP-led firms is repeatedly flagged as a bottleneck.
- Infrastructure fatigue: Bengaluru-specific commentary cites urban infrastructure constraints — traffic congestion and rising operating costs — as a risk to retaining talent over the long term.

A future survey using the Section 3 framework could quantify the relative weight founders place on each of these barriers; at present, the evidence base is qualitative and drawn from varied, non-uniform sources.

The "Beyond Bengaluru" Spillover

The hub-and-spoke framing discussed in Section 2.7 suggests that Bengaluru-headquartered ventures, particularly in AgriTech and fintech, often serve end-users well beyond the city itself, including in Tier-2 and Tier-3 towns and rural areas. This paper treats the scale of that spillover — for instance, what share of end-users of a representative sample of Bengaluru startups are located outside major metropolitan areas — as an open empirical question rather than a settled figure, and recommends it as a specific, measurable item in any future survey instrument.

CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

This paper has examined, through a synthesis of existing literature and industry reporting, the ways in which Bengaluru's startup ecosystem is positioned in relation to India's Viksit Bharat 2047 vision. The evidence reviewed suggests a plausible, literature-supported case that the city has moved beyond its earlier role as a global back-office hub toward a broader innovation base spanning DeepTech, DPI-enabled financial and health services, and green technology. However, because this analysis is conceptual and desk-based rather than grounded in a fielded primary survey, its conclusions should be read as propositions supported by secondary evidence rather than as confirmed empirical findings. Section 3's proposed research framework offers a concrete path for testing these propositions directly with Bengaluru founders in future work.

Limitations of the Study

This study has several limitations that should inform how its conclusions are used. First, it is a conceptual, desk-based analysis rather than an empirical study grounded in primary data collected from Bengaluru founders; where figures from secondary sources are cited, readers should consult the original source for methodology and currency. Second, the literature drawn upon varies in rigor, ranging from peer-reviewed studies to industry and trade publications, and has not been independently verified by the present author through primary data collection. Third, the analysis is geographically confined to Bengaluru and does not offer a comparative baseline against other Indian innovation hubs (e.g., Hyderabad, Pune, or Delhi-NCR), which would be necessary to establish whether any patterns identified are specific to Bengaluru or common across major Indian startup ecosystems. Finally, self-reported founder alignment with national goals — the central construct in the proposed Section 3 framework — is inherently susceptible to social-desirability bias, given the patriotic framing of the Viksit Bharat mandate, and any future survey-based study should account for this in its design and interpretation.

Policy Recommendations

The following policy directions are offered as recommendations grounded in the literature reviewed, intended to sustain and broaden Bengaluru's contribution to the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision. They are proposals for consideration, not conclusions drawn from primary data collected for this study.

I. Catalysing "Patient Capital" for DeepTech

Recommendation: Consider a dedicated national DeepTech fund oriented toward R&D-heavy startups in hubs such as Bengaluru, offering longer investment horizons (e.g., 15–20 years) than typical venture-capital exit timelines, to support innovation in semiconductors, quantum computing, and space technology.

II. "Beyond Bengaluru" Fiscal Incentives

Recommendation: Explore fiscal incentives for Bengaluru-based startups that demonstrably deploy a significant share of their services in Tier-3 cities or aspirational districts, in order to encourage and better measure the spillover effect discussed in Section 4.5.

III. Regulatory Sandboxes for Emerging Technology

Recommendation: Extend regulatory sandbox models to sectors such as bio-engineering and drone technology, reducing compliance uncertainty for ventures building in areas that currently lack a well-defined legal framework.

IV. Strengthening the Gender Dividend

Recommendation: Consider targeted equity or grant support for women-led startups, alongside better and more current data collection on women's participation in the Bengaluru ecosystem, to track progress against gender-parity goals.

V. Urban Infrastructure as a Strategic Asset

Recommendation: Invest in integrated live-work zones with reliable connectivity and green transport options to mitigate rising operating costs and reduce the risk of talent attrition from the city.

REFERENCES

1. Dhruv, J. (2025). Startups for Swarajya: Building Bharat's deep-tech revolution for 2047 and shifting Bharat's startup focus toward strategic innovation for Viksit Bharat. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 9(1), 91–94.
2. IIM Bangalore & Confederation of Indian Industry (CII). (2023). Karnataka @ 100: A vision document for 2047. IIMB Publications. https://www.iimb.ac.in/sites/default/files/inline-files/Karnataka%40100_Vision_Document.pdf
3. Indian Economic Service. (2025). Role of digital public infrastructure in addressing development challenges [Research paper]. India–UK Government Exchange Program. https://www.ies.gov.in/pdfs/DPI-research-paper-IUGEX.pdf
4. Kumar, M. V., & Narsimulu, H. (2025). Viksit Bharat 2047: Startup ecosystem, international cooperation, and technological leap for sustainable development of India. International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, 12(6), 1224–1227. https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.12060099
5. Mukherjee, A., & Joshi, A. (2025). Digital public infrastructure as a catalyst for private sector innovation: Lessons from fintech sector in India (Background Paper No. 29). ORF America.
6. NASSCOM & Zinnov. (2024). The Indian tech start-up landscape report 2023: Accelerating technology-led transformation. iTIC Incubator Publications.
7. J, N., & Swadimath, U. C. (2021). Start-ups by women in Bengaluru. Journal of International Women's Studies, 22(6), Article 4. https://vc.bridgew.edu/jiws/vol22/iss6/4
8. Patel, V., & Kumar, R. (2024). Viksit Bharat 2047: A journey towards India's future. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(1), 943–949.
9. PHD Chamber of Commerce and Industry (PHDCCI). (2024). Viksit Bharat @2047: A blueprint of micro and macro economic dynamics. https://www.phdcci.in/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/Viksit-Bharat@2047-A-Blueprint-of-Micro-and-Macro-Economic-Dynamics.pdf

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

10. Startup Genome. (2025). India Bengaluru-Karnataka: Ecosystem report 2025. https://startupgenome.com/ecosystems/bengaluru-karnataka
11. Varalakshmi, D. (2025). An analytical review of India's startup ecosystem (2019–2023). International Research Journal on Advanced Science Hub, 7(8), 730–738.