Socioeconomic Factors and Athletic Achievement: The Role of Economic Resources in Competitive Sports

Dr. Virendra Singh

Assistant Professor of Physical Education, Ch. Bansilal GCW, Tosham Bhiwani

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.1309000207

Received: 18 September 2026; Accepted: 23 September 2026; Published: 20 October 2026

ABSTRACT

Athletic performance depends on several factors; these include physical attributes, psychological conditioning, social aspects, environmental conditions and economic factors. Despite the importance of sports aptitude and personal motivation as factors determining athletic performance, economic incentives can be critical to provide opportunities for athletes to undertake optimal training, competition and recovery regimes, and contribute to both the immediate success in competition and also long-term talent development. Athletes with higher economic status can possibly have better access to high quality coaching, training facilities and equipment, food supplements, medical services, transportation, competitive events and extension into high performance programs while athletes with lower economic incentive do face various constraints and so have restricted access to training programs. This paper reviews the existing literature addressing socioeconomic status and participation in sports, access and utilization of sporting resources and talent development with particular regard to competition performance. This review discussion highlights how socioeconomic inequity can limit opportunities to access competitive sport although there is no evidence that socioeconomic situation directly results in athletic excellence. On top of that, this review emphasizes the importance of easily accessible sports, inter-school programs, financial support, inexpensive coaching and fair sports talent identification programs in ensuring wider access to sports.

KeyWords: Athletic Achievement, Competitive Sports, Economic Resources, Physical Education, Socioeconomic Status, Sports development, Sports Participation

INTRODUCTION

Sport is one of the main areas of physical education and is commonly seen as one of the activities that helps promote physical fitness, psychological well-being, social interaction, discipline and personal achievement. Aside from being recreational, sport also acts as a kind of channel through which people can get to know specific skills and eventually become part of the competition. But when the shift happens from simply joining in to becoming one of the very best in sport, it cannot be done through physical ability, motivation or commitment alone. Physical fitness, mental development and emotional health of athletes, as well as the characteristics of their environment and the social setting where the training happens have all been shown to greatly influence the development of an athlete (Zhao et al., 2026). That is why, it is necessary to study the socioeconomic background as well as it affects how one gets involved in sport and continues to be involved. Socioeconomic status usually reflects the situation of a family such as the amount of family income, education level of parents occupation availability of material and economic resources. This is very much true when it comes to children. As per the evidence collected in the meta-analysis and a review of 104 studies, children from more affluent socioeconomic areas are more likely to take part in sport and even continue their participation for a longer period of time (Owen et al., 2022). This result is more marked in sport participation that is organized when in general being a participant is accompanied by some form of monetary or material costs and it is not just limited to time.

As athletes ascend to higher levels of competitive sport, the economic costs tied to participation tend to increase. Costs tied to recruiting coaches, sports equipment, use of facilities such as athletic training, transport and travel and contest entry fees are potential barriers to continued participation among athletes from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. This has been supported by research on low socioeconomic participation in sports identifying cost

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

access time and opportunity as key barriers to continued participation (Pandya, 2021). These barriers may impact athlete development by occurring between training sessions and competitions, or by discouraging athletes from continuing in organized sport. While socioeconomic circumstances and athletic achievement are related, this relationship cannot be interpreted as simply or deterministic. Economic resources are not the cause of human talent nor does a lack of financial resources necessarily prevent individuals from ultimately achieving athletic excellence. Instead, socioeconomic circumstances influence the opportunities available to identify, foster and develop athletic talent (Bhengu et al., 2026). Access to quality coaching, suitable training facilities, up-to-date equipment, appropriate nutrition, physical intervention treatments and the opportunity to compete can all help provide athletes with increased potential for improving abilities and performance, while inaccessibility to these same factors could inhibit development of athletes with considerable potential. For physical education this is of concern because inequalities in access to sporting facilities and training opportunities constrains participation and progression within competitive sport (Penney, 2002). Schools, community sport programmes, athletic associations and government agencies can all contribute towards eroding this inequality by providing relatively inexpensive support opportunities for athletes (Kumar, 2024). Exploring the importance of socioeconomic background in athletic success is important in understanding how economic circumstances impact pathways to success and creating what might be a more effective and less discriminatory form of athletic development. This paper aims to explore the relationships between socioeconomic circumstances and athletic success by exploring access to competition, the effects of economic circumstances on skill and performance development and the effects of economic inequalities on competitiveness and pathways to successful performance. This study also attempts to analyze the impact of cumulative socioeconomic disadvantage on athletic development and achievement.

Socioeconomic Conditions and Access to Competitive Sports Opportunities

Getting into competitive sports is the starting point for athlete development. Before athletes can systematically be trained or compete against other athletes, there has to be access to organized sports on a regular basis for them. The socioeconomic conditions are a big factor in providing these opportunities since playing competitive sports may come down to having the money, social contacts and support from the community (Hernandez et al., 2023). Even though interest in sports and physical skills may be spread out quite equally throughout society, the entry and continuation of active involvement in a sports club are quite often decided by the economic power of a person or their families. Studies have repeatedly showed that kids and youths from better economic backgrounds tend to join organized sports more often and stay in sports for longer than those who are economically disadvantaged (Andersen and Bakken, 2019). Compared to general physical activity, competitive sports are a much bigger socioeconomic issue because they typically require more money to participate. The costs involved in competitive sports can vary greatly. One reason why competitive sport gets expensive is that besides the basic physical requirements, many activities like registration fees, uniforms, equipment, coach etc. may be required (Singh, 2024). All of these things put together may cause financial pressure that is quite significant to an athlete's family who has to sustain the participation of the athlete on a long run. A person may be interested to be part of sports but they also need the means to do so. That is why, the availability of sporting opportunities that are competitive in nature depend upon both the readiness to get involved physically and mentally on the side of the potential participant and also their ability to meet the financial and other logistical requirements involved in the participation of professional sports. It is well accepted that the availability of money and transportation are major factors to be considered when examining barriers to sport participation, mainly among children and teenagers.

Socioeconomic conditions are also factors that influence the variety and the quality of sporting opportunities that are available in different communities. Families who live in affluent neighborhood areas may be able to get into good sports clubs, specialized academies, properly maintained training facilities as well as structured youth development programs (Pareek and Vertika, 2019). Then again, economically disadvantageous neighborhoods might be equipped with not much sporting facilities and limited recreation places or they may hardly access the special kind of coaching facilities. Yet, these gaps, from the point of view of young people's sporting interest may not point out to their sports disinterest rather they could be reflecting a lack of exposure to equal sporting environments that leads to regular participation and skills development. Because of this, the way sporting resources are distributed geographically as well as institutionally are closely related to inequality in the area of

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

participation of athletes in sporting competitions. One factor that shapes families' differences in access to sports facilities is family situation which in turn determines how practical factors surrounding sports participation are shaped. Parents have been found out to make contributions to the success of athletes mainly during their formational and training years when support was needed mostly through financial means transport, emotional support and helping schedule meetings with coaches (Latha, 2024). Even so, the readiness or the ability to offer support will differ quite a bit given working conditions, educational background, household income and household responsibilities. Material constraints on the families might mean less money for travel, less equipment for the different types of sport and/or less availability to accommodate the time required for sports as part of organized activities. In addition, a couple of recently published articles highlight the way parental and family sporting conduct may be interlinked with family socioeconomic status for determining the children's access to organized sports showing that it's not just money which matters in providing access to sporting facilities but also the athlete's social life in general.

Continuity of participation is also a very important concern here. Generally, it is through many years of progressive training that athletic achievement is realized. Disruptions caused by financial difficulties, lack of transportation or an inability to afford increasing costs of sports participation may negatively impact training continuity and deprive athletes of the possibility to compete and move up the athletic ladder (Dhiman et al., 2025). Even in cases when athletically talented individuals who come from economically disadvantaged backgrounds are fortunate enough to participate in sports activities, they may not be able to remain continuously involved mainly since they have to meet ever rising economic demands and the level of training required gets higher with time. When discontinuity happens, athletes might lose some of these elements: skill mastery and acquisition, physical development and growth, tactical awareness and ultimately the self-confidence that comes with competition at an advanced level. These are all elements that require an athlete to be exposed to a well-planned training program and a competition situation regularly. So, it is not only about how many sports programs are available that one should think about the issue of access to competitive sport. Other equally important aspects like affordability, uninterrupted participation, adequate family and institutional support, availability of different sports facilities and the existence of inclusive sporting environments make up the concept of meaningful access to sport. It is through various influences that each of these aspects has and through combining them that the overall picture of possibilities an individuals can access to develop or continue their athletic skills is formed. Although sporting potential is by no means solely decided upon by an individual's socioeconomic level, the lack of resources might very well be the reason why that individual never gets close to the point where a potential can be realized in practice. It is because of this the recognition of such influences that forms a foundation for further inquiries into how the availability and distribution of resources affect both the quality of athletic training and its long-term outcome. It is an issue worth studying to determine the extent to which one can be successful in his athletic career if deprived of the basic necessary resources to that effect.

Economic Resources and Their Influence on Athletic Training and Performance Development

As soon as athletes join an organized competitive sport, their access to material resources has a great impact upon the quality of their trainings and their persistence in this type of training. Athletic performance is improved by regular practice, suitable coaching, proper recovery and repeated involvement in sport-related situations (Gautam and Dwivedi, 2023). To achieve all these goals, one has to spend money on various aspects that include investing in a coach, equipment, the facility for training, food and drink, sports therapy and recovery aids. So, a gap in material resources may lead an athlete's training environment to differ and may determine the level at which the athlete can physically technically, tactically and mentally develop. Qualified coaching access is a key necessity in the development of competitive athletes. Coaches assist in the enhancement of technical skills, organization of training loads, evaluation of performance, preparation of tactics and in prevention of injuries where economically privileged youth very likely have better access to specialized coaches, private training, sports schools and other facilities. The training and performance programmes are also tailored to the individual requirements of these economically privileged athletes. Then again, youth athletes from financially weak families may rely a lot on publicly organized or school-based programmes which could give less individual support (Singh, 2024). Talent development research has found that achieving an expert level is not a matter of talent alone, rather it also needs long-term engagement of the participant with many developmental experiences. Because of this, different levels of accessibility of good coaches determine how much an athlete's abilities can actually be used and how much they are developing these abilities effectively.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

Sports equipment and training facilities make up another significant aspect of economic inequality. Proper equipment enables athletes to train safely and with maximum efficiency whereas suitable equipment gives them their very first playground, the physical environment where they can practice sport-specific skills (Patil and Metri, 2016). The need for resources and facilities differs in various sports. In gymnastics swimming tennis, athletics and certain contact sports, athletes may need special facilities and equipment. If athletes are always exposed to such conditions that have regular availability of appropriate resources, they train under more competition-like demands. Then again, the athletes who do not have the proper equipment or who have access to facilities irregularly, may find their training quality deteriorated and, that means, also have their opportunities for technical and physical development reduced. In fact, a study of physical activity and socioeconomic status showed that the availability of a household's assets and access to premises were the two factors affecting opportunities of participation in sports among others.

Besides training skills, one of the areas where economic resources are very important is the need for nutritional and health-related support that athletes require (Sahu et al., 2025). Having enough to eat is vital to the energy levels needed for exercise and to the way the body grows physically and as a means of recovery alongside remaining healthy and fit. When the volume of training goes up quite a bit it will also be more important for the athletes to carefully consider what to eat and what not to eat as well as other ways of recovery that requires financial support. In the end, the availability of doctors, physiotherapists and other rehabilitation or health-related staff would definitely be one of the big assets that can help with staying injury-free and keeping up with the regular workout schedule. So, if some athletes have sufficient funds, they will probably be able to access specialized services whenever they need it while the limitation of finances might lead others to either delay medical treatment or try to handle injuries by themselves without enough professional support. These differences can be very important when one needs to continue training and compete for a long period of time without a break.

Therefore, the influence of economic resources should therefore be understood through the overall quality and consistency of the developmental environment of an athlete. A financial privilege does not directly equate to having excellent sporting performance nor does lack of money guarantee that the athlete is not going to be very good. That being said, having coaching support, good facilities, proper equipment, balanced nutrition, access to healthcare and recovery services can create an environment supporting continuous athletic progression. In such case, athletes who encounter shortages or disruptions to resources might find their training time, learning new skills, physical preparation or recovery hampered. Eventually, such differences will result in different developmental paths even between two very similar athletes for their initial potentials. That is why the significance of economic factors is far from just training related issues alone because they can actually decide whether an athlete can continue developing consistently or how an athlete can gradually catch up with the increasing demands in high-level competitive athletics. This provides a direct connection between socioeconomic circumstances and the next stage of athletic development, namely access to competition and the opportunities through which athletes can demonstrate their abilities and progress toward higher levels of achievement.

Economic Inequality, Competitive Exposure and Pathways to Athletic Achievement

Competitive exposure should be considered as an important part of sports development. Yes, one of the main reasons is training that cannot entirely prepare athletes for the demands of competition. Playing in tournaments leagues championships, trials and other sorts of organised competitions help athletes to perform well at different levels by putting their skills into practice when the pressure is the greatest, getting hands-on experience with various opponents, understanding the tactics involved at a deeper level and benchmarking their performances in the actual competitive situation (Kumar and Sharma, 2026). Still, engaging in competitions involves some financial costs as registration charges, costs of transportation, boarding, catering, equipment and the likes are all involved. This way apart from the economic background of the athlete influencing the access to coaching/training sessions at the elite level, it might also mean that the opportunities provided for the athlete to experience competitive play are of different intensities. As the competition level when the athlete is participating the financial commitments related to getting involved in this likely gets bigger. Even though playing locally might be quite low in cost, competing at regional, national, and international level will often be a key thing for travel and accommodation expenses. So, the young talents having better financial circumstances can regularly

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

and easily make their appearances in both the competitions and the training sessions outside their localities. On the other end, if one's economic power is quite lower then these individuals may have to restrict their involvement in events or choose to leave competitions purely because of the financial aspect. Such inequalities will affect both the number of opportunities and the ability of an athlete to gain experience through playing against others which is one of the main factors of athletic development over the years.

Exposure to competition can also greatly influence how athletes are identified for talent purposes. Sport officials and selectors often turn to competitions as a means of checking out athletes and the competition setting is a very common scene for identifying and selecting players with great potential. The athletes who regularly take part in recognized competitions tend to be the ones to meet the selection systems. Then again, those athletes who are not given much or any opportunities to show their talent through a match setting might not be included as part of these structures even if they are very potentially athletically gifted. For example, research on high-performance youth sports suggests that underrepresentation within elite development opportunities might be a result of unequal access to performance resources and competitive exposures (Das et al., 2025). Such inequality creates the distinction that one can be very talented but might not be given a chance to show it. Even though the selection system seems to be based only on performance, there is a major influence that comes from past training and competitions on the performance one is able to deliver. Athletes who have been given more coaching and regular exposure to competition have the means to demonstrate their abilities to the world better than the very skilled athlete who is deprived of most competition opportunities. So, socioeconomic inequality might affect the talent identification process through this indirect way. It can happen that talent identification systems do not consider socioeconomic factors even if some athletes who do not reach the environments where their abilities can be checked and assessed would have been potential players. This could be very important because a great performance depends on one's ability, opportunity and effort not only on one's own capabilities.

Competitive exposure can be seen as a catalyst for continuous development for athletes who are at different levels of competition. A higher degree of acquaintance with competitive situations tends to enhance the athlete's familiarity with various game situations, his or her confidence and experience, ability of making tactical decisions as well as in case of the sport environment that particular setting where one can display top performances (Sharma, 2024). These accumulated factors could be very helpful in being chosen for a team, getting higher level coaching and competing in major level competitions. But athletes who come from backgrounds without economic resources may not get even a remote chance to start that developmental and recognition cycle. That is why economic disadvantage may be a limiting factor to becoming a successful athlete, not due to the reason that athletic talent is reduced but rather because the access to the training, exposure and other factors necessary for the athlete's talent to be developed and showcased is cut down due to lack of resources. This does not imply at all that factors that are tied to socioeconomic conditions are the only or even main factors that account for difference in competitive achievement. There are other factors that contribute to the development and success of athletes as they go from lower to higher level competitions. Athletic progression is influenced by physical characteristics, training quality, motivation, psychological factors, coaching, social support, health, and individual commitment. Despite all of these factors that an athlete may have or develop to some extent, economic resources are one of the deciding factors as far as being granted to access and benefit from many of these developmental conditions. Competitive exposure is consequently an important link between socioeconomic circumstances and athletic achievement, connecting the availability of resources during development with the opportunities required to demonstrate performance and progress within competitive sport.

The Cumulative Relationship Between Socioeconomic Conditions and Athletic Achievement

The effect of socioeconomic status on athletic success is best viewed as a chain of events rather than a single economic measure. Much like the development of an athlete's performance over a number of years, the socioeconomic approach to access involves how resources are available over a period of time. Factors like access to competitions, training facilities equipment coaches nutrition health care, transportation and competition all impact over the long-term and as these factors overlap, the extent of disadvantage may become overwhelming. Socioeconomic conditions influence sport development through a number of processes. For an athlete of low socioeconomic status, limited funds may initially restrict access to formal sport participation. Later on, limited funds may restrict access to experienced coaches, category-specific equipment, appropriate facilities, locality of

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

competitions, travel to competitions etc. Over time, this may affect training consistency, technical development, physical conditioning confidence competition participation and career development plans. Research into continued sports participation established that the costs of sport and access to facilities is a barrier to ongoing involvement (Kumar, 2024). Family circumstances are closely linked with athletic development. It is to note here that especially for young athletes the role of parents as financial patrons, transporters and motivators is crucial. Athletes from economically strong socioeconomic groups enables their families to absorb the time and financial commitment needed for competitive sport while families constrained by economic factors may have to ration out household funds which acts as a deterrent factor to overall growth and development of an athlete. Socioeconomic factors therefore, shape sport development through material resources and the support available within the family.

The cumulative nature of these effects is mainly significant because athletic development is especially dependent upon experience of continual progression through different levels of competition. Short term restrictions, like an inability to train with team mates for a few weeks are unlikely to have a major influence when alternate opportunities exist but long-term restrictions like having no access to training facilities and coaching for several years could restrict the number of available developmental opportunities and the peak level of attainment over time. This outcome might cause systematic differences between athletes with equivalent innate abilities who have had very different prior competitive and training experiences. This way, disadvantage may be related to differences in development without necessarily suggesting that individuals from such households have inherently lower potential. This cumulative process may also affect athletes psychologically. Athletes who are frustrated by the inability to compete, access to suitable equipment or to sustain the same levels of training as their competitors may experience reduction in confidence and possibly other emotion-related consequences like frustration and defeat. Such effects could in turn influence persistence and motivation and increase the chance of discontinuation. Conversely, sport environments that are conducive to inspiration, enjoyment and reward might mean lasting psychological benefits despite a lack of equipment or opportunity highlighting the roles of coaches, schools, families and community organizations in reducing the impact of socioeconomic disadvantage. Because of this, as the model suggests, competitive development can be viewed as a progression through which household resources influence opportunities, those opportunities affect participation and training, and these experiences ultimately determine competitive opportunities and achievement. Those from more advantaged economic circumstances may find progression more readily supported by the availability of resources whereas those from more disadvantaged environments may encounter greater obstacles at each of these stages. Such pathways are still moderated by institutional contexts and individual pressures including developmental and cultural ones. Every effort in the educational domain and in sport participation programs minimize the importance of household economic circumstances in shaping athletic opportunities. The significance of this cumulative relationship lies the fact that it offers a basis from which to move away from the assumption that athletic success is predominantly dependent upon innate ability.

Implications for Physical Education and Sports Development

The impact of economic factors on athletic success has significant relevance for physical education and the organization of sports more generally. Equitable sporting opportunities will be difficult to establish if access to coaching facilities sport equipment, competition and other developmental resources is limited by one's socioeconomic background. By no means should such considerations serve to minimize the importance of the individual or family support but rather to ensure that financial constraints are not needlessly a barrier to participation and development. Schools are mainly well-placed to do this because physical education is seen as having the ability to reach children of all socioeconomic groups. Effective school sports programmes can be used to expose students to numerous sporting pursuits, develop key skills and identify sporting potential at an early stage. They can also yield access to facilities or equipment that may be less readily available elsewhere (both at home and in the wider community), and this will help to increase participation without necessitating expensive private club or academy memberships. Equity-based opportunities in sports programmes and organizations, as well as community clubs also have the same effect. It should be ensured that the financially disadvantaged athletes are not left behind which can be done by reducing participation costs through fellowships, lowering fees for sports programs, developing equipment distribution schemes, providing support for coaching and helping to ease costs tied to competition. This becomes even more important when the athletes reach the

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XIII Issue IX September 2026

most costly stages of competition like at major sporting events and when transitioning to higher levels of coaching, travelling, competition and other sports-related expenditures such as training costs.

What also needs to be addressed in talent identification is the socioeconomic dimension. If talent identification relies solely on contacts through existing clubs, private academies or competitions which require a large financial contribution then there is a lower chance for athletes from lower socioeconomic backgrounds to become identified. Talent identification through schools, community programmes and competitions that are available in the local area can widen the source from which athletes are selected for development. This can have the effect of widening opportunities for development and increasing the chance that talent will be identified for the athlete who may have been missed by the existing systems available. From a policy perspective there needs to be an equal investment not only in supporting individual sports organizations with their existing capabilities but also in ensuring that there is accessible and affordable community-based facilities that can increase opportunities and access to clubs coaching equipment and competitions providing opportunities for participation whilst also maximizing potential for talent identification. This is not a concern solely for the private or elite sports but must be considered proportionately about the wider issues of physical education in many of its broadest aspects that include lifelong participation, physical health, social inclusion and personal development. Such implications require far more than just financial support to a talented athlete. An equitable system needs to be one which puts greater efforts into addressing the filters through which opportunities for sports participation are created, providing opportunities through sporting institutions and the public sector that enable opportunities to arise for development of talented individuals regardless of socioeconomic standing. Thereby supporting both the educational and developmental aspects of sport and the greater objective of a diverse, international and competitive sporting system.

CONCLUSION

Socioeconomic conditions represent an important contextual factor in the development of athletic achievement. The athletes from different socioeconomic backgrounds do not always have equal access to organized sporting opportunities and these differences can influence participation, training, competition exposure and progression within competitive sport. Economic resources do have an effect through access to qualified coaching, appropriate equipment and facilities, nutrition, healthcare, transportation and other forms of support that contribute to sustained athletic development. However, the relationship between socioeconomic resources and athletic achievement should not be understood as direct or deterministic. Financial advantage does not guarantee competitive success while economic disadvantage does not prevent individuals from reaching high levels of performance. Rather, economic conditions influence the opportunities through which athletic potential can be developed, maintained, recognized and demonstrated. When athletes experience repeated limitations in accessing essential sporting resources, these disadvantages may accumulate over time and affect the continuity and quality of their development. From the perspective of physical education and sports development, reducing these inequalities is therefore important for promoting greater equality of opportunity. Schools, community programmes, sports organizations and public institutions can contribute by providing affordable facilities, coaching, equipment, competition opportunities and appropriate support for athletes from economically disadvantaged backgrounds. More inclusive talent-identification systems can further help ensure that sporting potential is not overlooked because of limited financial resources. Overall, athletic achievement results from an interaction between individual characteristics and the environment in which those characteristics are developed. Economic resources constitute an important component of this environment. Ensuring broader and more equitable access to sporting opportunities can help reduce the influence of socioeconomic disadvantage while enabling talented athletes from diverse backgrounds to pursue competitive excellence. In this context, addressing economic inequality in sport is not only a matter of social fairness but also an essential consideration for the effective development of athletic talent.

REFERENCES

1. Andersen, P. L., & Bakken, A. (2019). Social class differences in youths' participation in organized sports: What are the mechanisms?. International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 54(8), 921-937.

2. Bhengu, P., Roman, N. V., & Filies, G. C. (2026). Revealing disparities: an exploration of talent identification and sports development among youth. European Journal for Sport and Society, 1-19.
3. Das, D., Kumar, P., Dixit, A., & Balyan, V. (2025). The missing gender: Examining the barriers to women's participation in sports in India. Business Perspectives and Research, 13(4), 575–594.
4. Dhiman, R., Pandey, V. K., & Phukon, M. C. (2025). Influence of socioeconomic status on sports participation in rural vs urban areas in southern Rajasthan. Chetana International Journal of Education (CIJE Quarterly), 10(4), 59–63.
5. Gautam, A. K., & Dwivedi, S. K. (2023). National vs state-level athletics coaching practices in India: A study on disparities and alignment. IOSR Journal of Sports and Physical Education, 10(5), 67–69.
6. Hernandez, M. I., Miller, E. C., Prieto, L. A., Columna, L., Biese, K. M., & Bell, D. R. (2023). The current youth sport culture and its impact on sport participation experiences of low socioeconomic status families. Internet Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice, 21(2), 9.
7. Kumar, A. (2024). Analysing the socioeconomic barriers to sports participation in urban areas: A review. All India Journal of Sports and Physical Education, 6(1), 79–86.
8. Kumar, R. (2024). Building a healthy nation: A white paper on Olympic sports and the Indian education system. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 13(8), 2805.
9. Kumar, R., & Sharma, U. (2026). Elite athlete development and performance determinants in Indian boxing: A case study of Manish Kaushik. International Journal of Drug Delivery Technology, 16(11s), 77–84.
10. Latha, B. R. (2024). Impact of parental pressure and involvement on youth sports participation: A study. Library Progress (International), 44(3), 11612–11622.
11. Owen, K. B., Nau, T., Reece, L. J., Bellew, W., Rose, C., Bauman, A., & Smith, B. J. (2022). Fair play? Participation equity in organised sport and physical activity among children and adolescents in high income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 19(1), 27.
12. Pandya, N. K. (2021). Disparities in youth sports and barriers to participation. Current reviews in musculoskeletal medicine, 14(6), 441-446.
13. Pareek, N., & Vertika. (2019). The impact of gender and socioeconomic status on youth participation in sports. Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education, 19(3), 568-571.
14. Patil, S., & Metri, V. D. (2016). A study of physical education and sports facilities available in government and aided high schools of Koppal taluka. International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health, 3(5), 133–136.
15. Penney, D. (2002). Equality, equity and inclusion in physical education and school sport. The sociology of sport and physical education: An introductory reader, 110-128.
16. Sahu, V. K., Tiwari, A., & Pradhan, L. R. (2025). Nutritional deficiencies among tribal athletes and their effect on performance metrics. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 13(8), 408–410.
17. Sharma, P. (2024). Relationship between self-confidence and performance in individual sports among college athletes. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 12(2), 204–210.
18. Singh, S. (2024). Socio-economic factors influencing sports participation in India: An analysis. International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 9(6), 439–447.
19. Zhao, J., Peng, X., & Xiang, C. (2026). Environmental factors influencing the development of elite athletes: a systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology, 17, 1849040.