AI-Powered Performance Management: A Case Study in Accra

Samuel Asante

School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.1210000337

Received: 12 November 2025; Accepted: 18 November 2025; Published: 22 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing the management of organizations around the globe and how employee performance is measured and improved. The study investigated the use and effect of AI-powered performance management systems in selected firms in Accra, Ghana. By employing a mixed-methods methodology, data were gathered from 120 employees and managers across multiple sectors, including banking, telecommunications, and technology. Results indicate that AI tools facilitate transparency, objectivity, and efficiency in the performance assessment processes. Nevertheless, challenges to implementation such as high costs, shortage of technical know-how, and data privacy concerns remain. The study argues that by augmenting AI with human supervision and ethical frameworks, AI can support strategic human resource development and organizational excellence. Recommendations include capacity building, regulatory policy development, and adoption of hybrid appraisal models.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Performance Management, Human Resource Management, Organizational Efficiency, Ghana

INTRODUCTION

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) uses in organizations continue to rise in prominence, this application of AI in the workplace has transformed how companies do staff performance management practices. AI-based systems provide real-time analytics, predictive insights, and automated feedback to mitigate subjective aspects of an employee evaluation process (Huang & Rust, 2021). In developing countries like Ghana, such systems are gradually beginning to attract recognition in the context of digital transformation (Asare & Ofori, 2022). Yet, the nature of AI in performance management practices in Ghanaian businesses has received limited research attention. This study therefore studies the adoption, impact, and challenges of AI-driven performance management in Accra.

Objectives:

1. Examine the extent of AI adoption in performance management.
2. Assess its impact on organizational efficiency.
3. Explore employee and managerial perceptions.
4. Identify challenges affecting implementation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Concept of AI in Performance Management

AI uses smart algorithms to look at employee data, find patterns in performance, and make appraisals based on facts (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2023). AI systems offer ongoing monitoring, which lets organizations make decisions more quickly and fairly than traditional evaluations do.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XII Issue X October 2025

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on three key theories:

- **Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989):** Explains how perceived usefulness and ease of use influence the adoption of AI tools.
- **Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991):** Positions AI as a strategic organizational resource that enhances competitive advantage.
- **Socio-Technical Systems Theory (Trist & Bamforth, 1951):** Emphasizes the interdependence between technology and human systems in performance management.

Empirical Review

Research conducted by Guenole et al. (2021) and Huang & Rust (2021) indicates that AI improves transparency and mitigates prejudice in evaluations. Omondi and Were (2022) and Akinola (2022) discovered that infrastructural deficiencies and ethical concerns impede AI deployment in Africa. In Ghana, there is a lack of research (Boateng & Agyeman, 2023) that focusses explicitly on AI-driven performance management, showing that there is a big gap in the context.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

A descriptive case study strategy was employed, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative methodologies to yield full insights regarding AI adoption in Accra.

Population and Sample

The research included 120 participants, comprising 100 employees and 20 managers from the banking, telecommunications, technology, and service industries. We used both purposive and random sampling methods.

Data Collection and Analysis

Data were gathered through questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. Descriptive statistics were used to look at quantitative data, while theme analysis was used to look at qualitative replies. Strictly followed ethical rules, such as getting permission and keeping things private.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

AI in Performance Management.

A total of two-thirds (68%) of organizations in Accra have adopted AI-powered management systems in their performance appraisal in accordance with the findings presented above. They mostly hail from sectors like banking, telecommunications, tech, and professional services, where digital transformation has been sharper. Another 22% of organizations said they were moving toward adoption of AI and were in the process of piloting hybrid systems, which combined manual assessments with digital dashboards. The last 10% relied only on a traditional, paper-based appraisal system. Top AI-powered tools and technologies used by these organizations often include automated performance dashboards, HR analytics software, and machine learning–based evaluation algorithms that track performance trends and provide predictive reports. Among the tools discussed were Power BI, Oracle HCM Cloud, SAP SuccessFactors, and proprietary homegrown AI-applications. Managers interviewed stressed that implementation of AI had increased transparency, objectivity and promptness in appraisals by introducing the use of AI. One HR manager stated:

“With AI-driven dashboards, we no longer rely solely on subjective impressions; performance discussions are now backed by concrete data.” This finding also supports Guenole et al. (2021) and others that AI integration

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XII Issue X October 2025

for performance management results in improved accuracy and uniformity through the minimization of human bias. In like manner Boateng and Agyeman (2023) found that Ghanaian companies using AI in their human resources processes have greater employees' accountability and trust in the organizations. But adoption rates differ as organizations grow, as institutions become digitally responsive and as leaders turn inward. Larger companies with well-established digital infrastructures tend to use AI more quickly than do small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), whose financial and technical constraints frequently prevent adoption. This trend supports the Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991) which argues that technological adoption is contingent on the existence of specific organizational capabilities (for example, capital, expertise, human resources).

Impact on Organizational Efficiency

Organizational efficiency and performance results have been positively influenced by the implementation of AI-driven systems. Data shows that 75% of employees perceived that AI systems have improved their productivity while 68% of managers observed improved decision making and operational speed. These perceptions were also evident across verticals revealing increasing confidence to optimize human resource management processes via AI. Performance systems powered by AI were attributed to:

- Automating reporting tasks, reducing the administrative burden on HR departments.
- Providing real-time performance tracking, which allows supervisors to identify productivity trends and intervene proactively.
- Supporting predictive analytics, enabling managers to anticipate performance gaps and recommend targeted training interventions.
- Ensuring fairer assessments, as AI tools rely on quantifiable data rather than subjective judgments.

These findings strengthen Kaplan and Haenlein (2023) who highlighted the fact that AI systems can help organizations become more efficient and precise in their operation. Additionally, the results are consistent with Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2023) which found that companies implementing Artificial Intelligence gain in terms of measurable productivity improvement, and strategic agility. However, there is an underwhelming concern on the part of a small percentage of employees (around 15%), about whether reliance on automation could undermine interpersonal feedback and mentorship, which are integral aspects of employee development. Such a concern resonates with Bostrom (2023), who cautioned against reliance on AI that might, as he points out, depersonalize work relationships without human intermediation. In summary, however, there is evidence suggesting that AI integration is a useful tool in optimizing performance management, provided that the synergy between the technology and human judgment survives.

Employee and Managerial Perceptions

Negative attitudes, though nuanced, between employees and managers toward AI-guided systems were mixed. Around 70% of respondents agreed that AI-powered performance tools were fairer, more transparent, and more data-driven than regular appraisal systems. Employees liked that AI eliminated favoritism and enabled them to monitor their performance metrics themselves. Some 10% had negative perceptions of AI, citing concerns about privacy, fear of job surveillance, and not knowing whether their data would be secure. These patterns indicate that AI acceptance is increasing, but trust and digital literacy are still crucial dimensions of adoption. For qualitative interviews, a very big positive is that they ask the staff for human involvement in feedback and appraisal discussions. Although employees appreciated the efficiency of AI-based systems, they added, the value should be taken into account as well, emphasizing emotional intelligence and empathy traits which machines cannot substitute. In the opinion of managers too, AI should be an aid, not substitute, for human judgment. These results are in accordance with Trist and Bamforth's (1951) Socio-Technical Systems Theory. They claim that technology should not replace human elements, but should complement them. They also support the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989), indicating here that how employees perceive AI tools to be useful and easy to use is a key factor in their acceptance. Yet the long-term effect of AI on performance management might be different only if long-term trust and engagement throughout the process of adoption can now be established.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XII Issue X October 2025

Challenges Identified

Despite the promising benefits of AI-powered performance management, the study identified several challenges that hinder full-scale adoption among organizations in Accra:

1. **High Cost of Technology (72%)**: The initial cost of acquiring AI software, maintaining digital infrastructure, and training staff remains a key barrier, particularly for SMEs.
2. **Limited Technical Expertise (65%)**: A shortage of skilled AI and data analytics professionals constrains the effective use of these systems.
3. **Resistance to Change (58%)**: Employees and managers accustomed to traditional appraisal systems often resist technological shifts due to fear of redundancy or lack of familiarity.
4. **Data Privacy Concerns (47%)**: Employees expressed discomfort about how performance data are collected, stored, and used, reflecting wider concerns about workplace surveillance.
5. **Lack of Clear AI Policies (40%)**: Many organizations operate without well-defined policies or ethical guidelines governing the use of AI in HR processes.

These findings are consistent with those of Akinola (2022) and Omondi & Were (2022), who found comparable infrastructural, ethical, and regulatory limitations in other African settings. The lack of national frameworks on AI ethics and governance further complicates implementation, creating uncertainty for organizations seeking to digitize HR operations. As a result, tackling these challenges is a multi-level process, encompassing investment in digital infrastructure, capacity building, and regulatory support to ensure safe and equitable AI usage in Ghana's corporate sector.

Discussion

Overall, our findings suggest that AI-powered performance management systems have begun to transform organizational operations in Accra, with an increasing emphasis on efficiency, fairness, and strategic decision-making. However, the benefits are moderated by organizational readiness, user trust, and technical capability. The research findings strengthen the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989) by indicating that employees' perceived usefulness and ease of use of AI tools have a substantial impact on their likelihood to adopt AI. Likewise, to better understand AI-based performance systems, the Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991) is validated in the sense that firms that possess superior financial and technical capacity successfully implemented it. Furthermore, the study stresses that the Socio-Technical Systems Theory should be considered when considering AI adoption because AI adoption must strike the right balance between technological features and human-powered management practices. By balancing technological advancement with support, a balance can be maintained between AI and employee well-being. AI has the potential to transform performance management in Ghanaian organizations but sustainable implementation is contingent on leadership buy-in, user education, ethical governance, and continuous technological adaptation. This is why AI can be a transformative tool that benefits both organizational performance and trust and engagement among employees, once these elements are brought together.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

AI-powered performance management tools make Accra-based businesses far more fair, efficient, and able to make decisions based on data. But for automation to work, there needs to be a balance between it and human monitoring. Companies that put money into being ready for digital technology and ethical governance are better able to use AI to get long-term performance results.

Recommendations

1. **Capacity Building:** Train HR professionals and employees in AI literacy and data interpretation.
2. **Ethical Frameworks:** Develop clear policies on data usage, privacy, and accountability.

ISSN No. 2321-2705 | DOI: 10.51244/IJRSI |Volume XII Issue X October 2025

3. **Hybrid Models:** Combine AI insights with human judgment for balanced appraisals.
4. **Regulatory Support:** Government should establish national AI standards to guide organizational adoption.
5. **Future Research:** Further studies should assess the long-term impacts of AI adoption across sectors in Ghana.

REFERENCES

1. Akinola, A. (2022). *Artificial intelligence adoption and human resource management practices in Nigerian firms.* African Journal of Management Research, 28(2), 45–61.
2. Asare, K., & Ofori, D. (2022). *Digital transformation and organizational effectiveness in emerging markets: Evidence from Ghana's service sector.* Journal of African Business, 23(4), 612–629.
3. Barney, J. (1991). *Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage.* Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120.
4. Boateng, F., & Agyeman, S. (2023). *Artificial intelligence integration in performance management: Insights from Ghanaian enterprises.* International Journal of Human Resource Studies, 13(1), 76–92.
5. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2023). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies.* W. W. Norton & Company.
6. Davis, F. D. (1989). *Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology.* MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.
7. Guenole, N., Ferrar, J., & Feinzig, S. (2021). *The power of people: How successful organizations use workforce analytics to improve business performance.* Pearson Education.
8. Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). *Artificial intelligence in service.* Journal of Service Research, 24(1), 3–24.
9. Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2023). *Rulers of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence.* Business Horizons, 66(2), 129–139.
10. Omondi, P., & Were, J. (2022). *Challenges in the implementation of AI-driven systems in Kenyan workplaces.* East African Journal of Business and Economics, 4(3), 55–71.
11. Trist, E. L., & Bamforth, K. W. (1951). *Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method of coal-getting.* Human Relations, 4(1), 3–38.
12. World Economic Forum. (2023). *Future of jobs report 2023: Navigating AI-driven transformations in the workforce.* Geneva: World Economic Forum.